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#### Abstract

K. Audenaert in $[1]$ formulated a determinantal inequality arising from diffusion tensor imaging. Very recently M. Lin proved in [6] a complement and proposed a conjecture. In this short note, we generalize his conjecture and we prove it in a wild case, when the matrix is singular. We also present a refinement of the complement found by Lin and finally we present a series of determinantal inequalities followed by a conjecture.


## 1. Introduction

Audenaert formulated in his work [1] the following inequality for all $A, B \geqslant 0$ of same size $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+|B A|\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+A B\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

He proved it in order to get the following determinantal inequality arising from diffusion tensor imaging

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A+U^{*} B\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}(A+B)
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are two $n$-square positive semi-definite matrices and $U$ is a specified $n$-square unitary matrix arising from the polar decomposition of the matrix $B A$. Throughout this paper, let $M_{n}$ be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices. $I_{n}$ denotes the identity matrix in $M_{n}$. The modulus of a complex matrix $X$ is the unique positive semidefinite square root of the $X^{*} X$ denoted by $|X|=\left(X^{*} X\right)^{1 / 2}$. For $X, Y \in M_{n}$ Hermitian matrices we say $X \geqslant Y$ if $X-Y$ is positive semi-definite matrix. The spectrum of $X$ is the multiset of the eigenvalues of $X$ denoted by $\operatorname{Sp}(X)$, we can simply rearrange the eigenvalues of $X$ in decreasing order if they are all real, that is

$$
\lambda_{1}(X) \geqslant \lambda_{2}(X) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant \lambda_{n}(X)
$$

For every $X \in M_{n}$ Hermitian we have $\lambda(X)=\left(\lambda_{1}(X), \lambda_{2}(X), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(X)\right)^{t}$ is a real vector of order $n$. The spectral norm of a $X \in M_{n}$ is defined by $\|\|X\|\|_{o p}=\rho^{1 / 2}\left(X^{*} X\right)$ where

$$
\rho(X)=\sup _{\lambda \in S p(X)}|\lambda(X)| .
$$

[^0]Let us recall some definitions of majorizations: for a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the vector obtained after rearranging the components of $x$ in decreasing order is denoted by $x=\left(x_{1}^{\downarrow}, x_{2}^{\downarrow}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\downarrow}\right)^{t}$, we say $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is weakly $\log$ majorized by $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ denoted by $x \prec_{w, \log } y$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(x_{i}^{\downarrow}\right) \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(y_{i}^{\downarrow}\right) \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x$ is $\log$ majorized by $y\left(x \prec_{\log } y\right)$ if (2) is true and equality holds for $k=n$.
M. Lin proved in [6] a complement and a generalization for (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+|A B|\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+A B\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+|B A|^{p}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+A^{p} B^{p}\right) \quad 0 \leqslant p \leqslant 2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And he also introduced the following conjecture.
Conjecture 1.1. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+|A B|^{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+A^{p} B^{p}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant p \leqslant 2
$$

In this paper, we will show determinantal inequalities that are inspired by (1), (3) and (4).

## 2. Main Results

The main result in this paper are the following:

1. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k p}+|B A|^{p}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{k p}+A^{p} B^{p}\right) \quad k \geqslant 1,0 \leqslant p \leqslant 2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then, for all $0 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$,

- $\operatorname{det}\left(A^{p}+|B A|^{p}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{p}+A^{p} B^{p}\right)$.
- $\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+|B A|^{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{p} B^{p}\right)$.

3. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then, for all $p \geqslant 2$,

- $\operatorname{det}\left(A^{p}+|B A|^{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{p}+A^{p} B^{p}\right)$.
- $\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+|B A|^{p}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{p} B^{p}\right)$.

4. Let $A, B$ be two $n$-square hermitian matrices. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{4}+|A B|^{2}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{4}+A^{2} B^{2}\right)
$$

5. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then for every $k \geqslant 1$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+|A B|\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+A B\right)
$$

6. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+|B A|^{2}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+A^{2} B^{2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+(A B)^{2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}+|A B|^{2}\right)
$$

We remark that for two positive semi-definite matrices $A$ and $B$ with $A$ singular, the following general result holds

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+|B A|^{p}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+A^{p} B^{p}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+|A B|^{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+A^{p} B^{p}\right)
$$

for all $k>0, p \geqslant 0$. Which gives a partial answer of the positivity of Lin's conjecture.
We can find a generalization for (4), to do this we need the following lemmas where the proof of the first one is in [6] and the proof of the second is a corollary of Furuta's inequality and it can be found in [3, p. 128] and the third lemma proved in the interesting reference [5].

Lemma 1. If $\lambda(A), \lambda(B) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ such that $\lambda(A) \prec_{w, \log } \lambda(B)$ then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+B\right)
$$

Lemma 2. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices such that $A \geqslant B$. Then, for all $p \geqslant 1, r \geqslant 0$,

$$
A^{(p+2 r) / p} \geqslant\left(A^{r} B^{p} A^{r}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then for every unitarily invariant norm, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|X^{t} Y^{t} X^{t}\| \| \leqslant\left\|(X Y X)^{t} \mid\right\| \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1\right.\right. \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left|X^{t} Y^{t} X^{t}\right|\right\| \geqslant\left\|\left|(X Y X)^{t}\right|\right\| \quad t \geqslant 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following theorem is one of our main result.

THEOREM 1. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then for all $0 \leqslant$ $p \leqslant 2$ and for all $k \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k p}+|B A|^{p}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{k p}+A^{p} B^{p}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $k>1$ as the case $k=1$ is followed by limit argument. Assume that $A$ is invertible, for $A$ singular the inequality is true. First we need to prove that, for all $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$ and $k>1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right) \prec_{\log } \lambda\left(A^{(k-1) t} B^{t}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To achieve (9), it is enough to show that

$$
A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} \leqslant I_{n} \Rightarrow A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2} \leqslant I_{n}
$$

Assume that $A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} \leqslant I_{n}$, so $0 \leqslant B^{t} \leqslant A^{-(k-1) t}$ and by applying Lemma 2, we get

$$
A^{-(k-1) t(p+2 r) / p} \geqslant\left(A^{-(k-1) t r} B^{t p} A^{-(k-1) t r}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Now, by replacing $p$ with $\frac{1}{t} \geqslant 1$ and $r$ with $\frac{1}{2(k-1) t}>0$ we obtain

$$
A^{-(k-1) t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{(k-1) t}\right)} \geqslant\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t}
$$

which implies

$$
A^{-k t} \geqslant\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t}
$$

Therefore $A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2} \leqslant I_{n}$.
Let $a=\lambda_{1}\left(A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\right)$. If $a=0$, then it is obvious that (9) is true. If $a>0$, we observe that

$$
A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} \leqslant a I_{n} \text { and }\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} A\right)^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} B\right)^{t}\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} A\right)^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} \leqslant I_{n}
$$

This yields

$$
\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} A\right)^{k t / 2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} A\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} B\right)\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} A\right)^{-1 / 2}\right]^{t}\left(\frac{1}{a^{1 / k t}} A\right)^{k t / 2} \leqslant I_{n} .
$$

Thus

$$
A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2} \leqslant a I_{n} .
$$

And hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{1}\left(A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using the antisymmetric tensor product, we have

$$
\wedge^{s}\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right)=\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{k t / 2}\left(\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\wedge^{s} B\right)\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t}\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{k t / 2}
$$

and

$$
\wedge^{s}\left(A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\right)=\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\left(\wedge^{s} B\right)^{t}\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} \text { for } 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n
$$

Replacing $A$ and $B$ with $\wedge^{s} A$ and $\wedge^{s} B$ respectively in (10) yields

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(\Lambda^{s}\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right)\right) \leqslant \lambda_{1}\left(\Lambda^{s}\left(A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\right)\right)
$$

And so, for all $1 \leqslant s \leqslant n-1$, we have

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_{i}\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right) \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_{i}\left(A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\right)
$$

And as in general $\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}} B^{t} A^{\frac{(k-1) t}{2}}\right)
$$

and by consequently,

$$
\lambda\left(A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right) \prec_{\log } \lambda\left(A^{(k-1) t} B^{t}\right)
$$

By applying Lemma 1, we get

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{k t / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{t} A^{k t / 2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{(k-1) t} B^{t}\right)
$$

Now taking $A=A^{-2}, B=B^{2}$ and $t=p / 2$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{-k p / 2}\left(A B^{2} A\right)^{p / 2} A^{-k p / 2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{-k p+p} B^{p}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pre-post multiplying both sides of (11) by $\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k p / 2}\right)$ leads to the result for $k>1$ and $0<p \leqslant 2$. Finally, it is easy to see that (8) is true for $p=0$.

The next theorem shows some reverse inequalities.
THEOREM 2. Let $A$ and $B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then for $0 \leqslant$ $p \leqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+|B A|^{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{p} B^{p}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Take $Y=B^{2}, X=A$ and the spectral norm in (6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(B^{t} A^{2 t} B^{t}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{1}\left(\left(B A^{2} B\right)^{t}\right) \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\wedge^{s}\left(A^{t} B^{2 t} A^{t}\right)=\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{t}\left(\wedge^{s} B\right)^{2 t}\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{t}$ and $\wedge^{s}\left(\left(A B^{2} A\right)^{t}\right)=\left(\wedge^{s} A\left(\wedge^{s} B\right)^{2} \wedge^{s} A\right)^{t}$.
Replacing $A$ and $B$ with $\wedge^{s} A$ and $\wedge^{s} B$ respectively in (13) yields

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(\wedge^{s}\left(A^{t} B^{2 t} A^{t}\right)\right) \leqslant \lambda_{1}\left(\wedge^{s}\left(\left(A B^{2} A\right)^{t}\right)\right)
$$

And as $\operatorname{det}\left(\left(A B^{2} A\right)^{t}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{t} B^{2 t} A^{t}\right)$, then for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$

$$
\lambda\left(A^{t} B^{2 t} A^{t}\right) \prec_{\log } \lambda\left(\left(A B^{2} A\right)^{t}\right)
$$

Assume that $A$ is positive definite matrix. For $t=p / 2$ and by Lemma 1 we get

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{p / 2} B^{p} A^{p / 2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+|B A|^{p}\right)
$$

Therefore we get the desired for $A$ positive semi-definite matrix by continuity argument.

With a similar proof using (7), we can get the following.
THEOREM 3. Let $A, B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then for all $p \geqslant 2$,

- $\operatorname{det}\left(A^{p}+|B A|^{p}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{p}+A^{p} B^{p}\right)$.
- $\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+|B A|^{p}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{p} B^{p}\right)$.

We can find a more general complement for (8) when $k=2$ and $p=2$ as the following theorem shows.

THEOREM 4. Let $A, B$ be two $n$-square hermitian matrices. Then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{4}+|A B|^{2}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{4}+A^{2} B^{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Again, assume that $A$ is an invertible matrix, the case of $A$ singular is true by continuity argument. It is well known, in [7, p. 352], that if a matrix $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & Y \\ Y^{*} & Z\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{M}_{n+n}(\mathbb{C})$ is positive semi-definite, then

$$
|\lambda(Y)| \prec_{w, l o g} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(X) \circ \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(Z)
$$

where $X \circ Y$ represents the Hadamard product of the two matrices $X$ and $Y$.
Replacing $X=B A^{-2} B, Y=B^{2} A^{-2}$ and $Z=A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}$, and by using Schur's complement we get $M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}B A^{-2} B & B^{2} A^{-2} \\ A^{-2} B^{2} & A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\end{array}\right) \geqslant 0$. Thus

$$
\left\|\left|B^{2} A^{-2}\| \|_{o p}^{2} \leqslant\left\|\left|B A^{-2} B\| \|_{o p} \cdot\left\|\mid A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right\| \|_{o p}\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

If $\left\|\mid B^{2} A^{-2}\right\| \|_{o p}=0$, then the desired determinantal inequality is true.

Noticing that $\left\|B^{2} A^{-2}\right\|\left\|_{o p}=\right\| B A^{-2} B\| \|_{o p}$, and dividing both sides by $\left\|\left|B^{2} A^{-2}\right|\right\|_{o p}>0$ gives

$$
\left\|\left|A^{-1} B^{2} A^{-1}\| \|_{o p}=\left\|\left|B^{2} A^{-2}\| \|_{o p} \leqslant\left\|\mid A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right\| \|_{o p}\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(A^{-1} B^{2} A^{-1}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{1}\left(A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\wedge^{s}\left(A^{-1} B^{2} A^{-1}\right)=\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{-1}\left(\wedge^{s} B\right)^{2}\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{-1}$,

$$
\wedge^{s}\left(A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right)=\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{-2}\left(\wedge^{s} B\right)\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{2}\left(\wedge^{s} B\right)\left(\wedge^{s} A\right)^{-2}
$$

and replacing $A$ with $\wedge^{s} A$ and $B$ with $\wedge^{s} B$ in (14) yields

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(\wedge^{s}\left(A^{-1} B^{2} A^{-1}\right)\right) \leqslant \lambda_{1}\left(\wedge^{s}\left(A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right)\right), \quad 1 \leqslant s \leqslant n-1
$$

Also as $\operatorname{det}\left(A^{-1} B^{2} A^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\lambda\left(A^{-1} B^{2} A^{-1}\right) \prec_{\log } \lambda\left(A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right)
$$

Using Lemma 1 gives

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{-1} B^{2} A^{-1}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A^{-2} B A^{2} B A^{-2}\right)
$$

Pre-post multiplying by $\operatorname{det}\left(A^{2}\right)$ both sides yields

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{4}+A^{2} B^{2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{4}+B A^{2} B\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{4}+|A B|^{2}\right)
$$

We may ask whether the following conjecture is true
Conjecture 2.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be two positive semi-definite matrices. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+A^{2} B^{2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{k}+(A B)^{2}\right) \text { for all } k \geqslant 1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (15) is true we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{k^{\prime}}+A^{2} B^{2}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{det}\left(A^{k^{\prime}}+|A B|^{2}\right) \text { for all } k^{\prime} \geqslant 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if (16) is true then (15) is true.
The inequality (15) is true for $k=1,3$

- When $k=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(A+(A B)^{2}\right) & =\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+B A B\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+A B^{2}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(A+A^{2} B^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- When $k=3$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{3}+(A B)^{2}\right) & =\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)^{4}+\left|A^{1 / 2} B\right|^{2}\right) \\
& \geqslant \operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)^{4}+\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} B^{2}\right) \quad \text { (using Theorem 4) } \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(A^{3}+A^{2} B^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality (15) is not valid for $k<1$ as the following example shows.
EXAMPLE 1. For $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}\right)$ and $k=1 / 2$, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{1 / 2}+A^{2} B^{2}\right)=60>\operatorname{det}\left(A^{1 / 2}+(A B)^{2}\right)=54
$$
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