MAXIMAL VALUES OF SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS IN DISTANCES BETWEEN POINTS

ARTŪRAS DUBICKAS

(Communicated by J. Jakšetić)

Abstract. In this note we find the maximal values of several symmetric functions in the variables which are the squares of distances $|z_i - z_j|^2$, $1 \le i < j \le d$, between some *d* complex points z_1, \ldots, z_d in the unit disc. We compute the maximums of σ_m , for m = 1, 2, 3, 4, explicitly and find the conditions on z_1, \ldots, z_d under which those maximal values are attained. This problem is motivated by an inequality of Cassels (1966) and a subsequent conjecture of Alexander.

1. Introduction

Throughout, let

 $\mathbb{U} := \{ |z| \leq 1, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \}$

be the unit disc, and let

 $\mathbb{T} := \{ |z| = 1, \ z \in \mathbb{C} \}$

be the unit circle. For any $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{U}$, where $d \ge 2$, let

$$Z := \{ |z_i - z_j|^2, 1 \le i < j \le d \}$$
(1)

be the list of squares of distances between the points z_i .

By Hadamard's inequality (see also [14]), the product of all d(d-1)/2 elements of Z does not exceed d^d , with equality iff z_1, \ldots, z_d are the vertices of a regular d-gon inscribed in the circle T. For $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in T$ one can write this well-known inequality in several equivalent forms:

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} |z_i - z_j|^2 = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} |z_i \overline{z_j} - 1|^2 = \prod_{i \neq j} |z_i - z_j| \leq d^d.$$

In [5], Cassels considered a very similar product

$$\begin{split} P(\rho, Z) &:= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} |\rho^2 z_i - z_j|^2 = \prod_{i \neq j} |\rho^2 z_i - z_j| = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} |\rho^2 z_i \overline{z_j} - 1|^2 \\ &= \rho^{d(d-1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \left((\rho - 1/\rho)^2 + |z_i - z_j|^2 \right) \end{split}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 52A40, 11R06.

Keywords and phrases: Unit circle, extremal configuration of points, maximal sums of distances.

This research was funded by the European Social Fund according to the activity "Improvement of researchers" qualification by implementing world-class R&D projects' of Measure No. 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-01-0037.



for $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and some fixed $\rho \ge 1$. The last expression shows that instead of the product of factors $|z_i - z_j|^2$, the product of the shifted factors $a + |z_i - z_j|^2$ is considered. His motivation was an application of such products to the estimates of the Mahler measure of a nonreciprocal algebraic number. (See also the subsequent papers of the author [6] and [7] on the same subject, where such products are quite useful.) Even without applications the evaluation of the maximum of the product $P(\rho, Z)$ itself seems to be a problem of interest.

Assuming that

$$\cos(\pi/d) \leqslant \frac{\rho^2}{\rho^4 - \rho^2 + 1}$$

Cassels showed that the above product $P(\rho, Z)$ also attains its maximum $(1 + \rho^2 + ... + \rho^{2d-2})^d$ iff $z_1, ..., z_d$ are the vertices of a regular *d*-gon inscribed in \mathbb{T} .

In [1], Alexander observed that the above condition can be slightly improved (to $\cos(\pi/d) \le 2\rho^2/(\rho^4 + 1)$) and still yields the same conclusion. Note that the range for ρ is very narrow, roughly, $1 \le \rho \le 1 + \pi/(2d)$ for d large, and there is a little chance that using similar methods one can get the same assertion for each $\rho \ge 1$. Nevertheless, in [1], Alexander conjectured that

CONJECTURE 1. For each $\rho \ge 1$ we have

$$P(\rho, Z) \leqslant (1 + \rho^2 + \ldots + \rho^{2d-2})^d$$

with equality attained iff z_1, \ldots, z_d are the vertices of a regular d-gon inscribed in \mathbb{T} .

Note that Z defined in (1) is a list of

$$L := \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$$

nonnegative numbers, say, x_1, \ldots, x_L . For each *m* in the range $1 \le m \le L$, let

$$\sigma_m = \sigma_m(Z) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_m \leq L} x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_m}$$

be the *m*th symmetric function in the variables x_i , and let

$$s_m = s_m(Z) := \sum_{j=1}^L x_j^m = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} |z_i - z_j|^{2m}.$$

The relation between σ_m and the power sums s_m, \ldots, s_1 is given by the following formula (see, e.g., [13]):

$$\sigma_m = \frac{1}{m!} \begin{vmatrix} s_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s_2 & s_1 & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{m-1} & s_{m-2} & s_{m-3} & \dots & m-1 \\ s_m & s_{m-1} & s_{m-2} & \dots & s_1 \end{vmatrix} .$$
(2)

Note that the expression for $P(\rho, Z)\rho^{-2L}$ can be written in the form

$$P(\rho, Z)\rho^{-2L} = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le d} (a + |z_i - z_j|^2) = a^L + \sum_{m=1}^L a^{L-m} \sigma_m(Z),$$

where $a = (\rho - 1/\rho)^2$. So, for any given *m* in the range $1 \le m \le L$, the investigation of the maximum of $\sigma_m(Z)$, where z_1, \ldots, z_d all belong to \mathbb{U} , seems to be a natural problem.

We remark that the maximum of the sum $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} |z_i - z_j|$, where $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{U}$, has been evaluated by Fejes Tóth in [8] (see also [9]), who showed that it is attained iff z_1, \ldots, z_d are the vertices of a regular *d*-gon inscribed in \mathbb{T} . There is a huge literature related to maximization (or minimization) of various functions in $|z_i - z_j|$ when z_1, \ldots, z_d lie in a higher dimensional sphere \mathbb{T}^d (energy-minimizing point configurations, so-called Thomson problem, best packing problems, etc.). One can find many references on this in the review paper [4], for instance. See also [2], [3], [11], [12], [15] for some other nice extremal problems when the points z_1, \ldots, z_d belong to \mathbb{T} or to a sphere. (On a sphere it is nontrivial already to place 5 points so that that the mutual distance sum between those points is maximal [10].)

In our context it seems likely that the following is true:

CONJECTURE 2. For any positive integers $d \ge 3$ and $m, 1 \le m \le L-1, L = d(d-1)/2$, and any Z as in (1) the maximum of $\sigma_m(Z)$ is attained iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^2 = \dots = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^{\min(m, \lfloor d/2 \rfloor)} = 0.$$
(3)

The case m = L is excluded, since we already know that

$$\sigma_L(Z) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} |z_i - z_j|^2$$

attains its maximum d^d iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and are the vertices of a regular d-gon. The case d = 2 is also excluded, because it is trivial. As the points $z_j = e^{2\pi i (j-1)/d}$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$, satisfy the condition (3), one can calculate the maximal value of $\sigma_m(Z)$ by inserting those points into (2) and using Lemma 2 below (where $s_1(Z), \ldots, s_m(Z)$ have been evaluated).

Note that Conjecture 2 immediately implies Conjecture 1, because the points $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ for which (3) holds for $m \ge \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ must be the vertices of a regular d-gon inscribed into \mathbb{T} . Indeed, for

$$f(z) := (z - z_1) \dots (z - z_d) = z^d + c_{d-1} z^{d-1} + \dots + c_0$$

with $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$c_0 z^d + c_1 z^{d-1} + \ldots + 1 = z^d f(1/z) = c_0 \overline{f}(z) = c_0 (z^d + \overline{c_{d-1}} z^{d-1} + \ldots + \overline{c_0})$$

so that $c_i = c_0 \overline{c_{d-i}}$ for i = 1, ..., d-1. Hence, (3) with $m = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ implies not only $c_1 = ... = c_{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} = 0$ but also $c_1 = ... = c_{d-1} = 0$.

In this note we shall prove Conjecture 2 for m = 1, 2, 3 and 4.

THEOREM 1. Let $d \ge 3$ and let Z be as in (1). Then, for each m in the range $1 \le m \le L = d(d-1)/2$ the maximum of $\sigma_m(Z)$ is attained for $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$. Furthermore,

- (i) $\sigma_1(Z) \leq d^2$ with equality iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^d z_j = 0$.
- (ii) $\sigma_2(Z) \leq (d^4 3d^2)/2$ with equality iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^2 = 0$$

(iii) $\sigma_3(Z) \leq (d^6 - 9d^4 + 20d^2)/6$ (for $d \geq 4$) with equality iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^3 = 0.$$

(iv) $\sigma_4(Z) \leq (d^8 - 18d^6 + 107d^4 - 210d^2)/24$ (for $d \geq 5$) with equality iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^3 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_j^4 = 0.$$
 (4)

For d = 3 in part (iii) the maximum of $\sigma_3(Z)$ is equal to $d^d = 27$ and is attained at the roots of $z^3 - \theta = 0$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$. For d = 4 in part (iv) the condition (4) cannot hold, and the maximum of $\sigma_4(Z)$ is different.

In the next section we shall prove two useful lemmas. Then, in Section 3 we will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Auxiliary results

LEMMA 1. Suppose f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are holomorphic functions in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and continuous up to the boundary of D. Then, the function $|f_1(z)| + \ldots + |f_\ell(z)|$ attains its maximum in \overline{D} on the boundary of D.

Proof. The result is evident if f_i , $i = 1, ..., \ell$, are all constants. Assume that at least one of the functions f_i is not a constant and that the sum $|f_1(z)| + ... + |f_\ell(z)|$ attains its maximum at the point $z_0 \in D$. Clearly, for each $j \in \{1, ..., \ell\}$ there exists $\zeta_j \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $f_j(z_0) = |f_j(z_0)| \zeta_j$. Consider the function

$$g(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_j(z) \overline{\zeta_j}.$$

It is holomorphic in D, continuous up to the boundary of D and not a constant. Furthermore, by our assumption and the definition of ζ_i and z_0 , one has

$$|g(z)| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_j(z)\overline{\zeta_j}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)||\overline{\zeta_j}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} |f_j(z_0)|$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_j(z_0)\overline{\zeta_j} = g(z_0) = |g(z_0)|.$$

This contradicts to the maximum modulus principle for the holomorphic function g, which is not a constant, and hence our initial assumption on z_0 were false.

LEMMA 2. Let $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \ge 2$ and $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,

$$s_k(Z) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} |z_i - z_j|^{2k} = \frac{d^2}{2} \binom{2k}{k} + \sum_{s=1}^k (-1)^s \binom{2k}{k-s} a_s,$$
(5)

where

$$a_s := \Big| \sum_{j=1}^d z_j^s \Big|^2 \tag{6}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. From $z_i, z_j \in \mathbb{T}$ it follows that

$$|z_i - z_j|^2 = (z_i - z_j)(\overline{z_i} - \overline{z_j}) = (z_i - z_j)\left(\frac{1}{z_i} - \frac{1}{z_j}\right) = -\frac{(z_i - z_j)^2}{z_i z_j}.$$

Therefore,

$$|z_i - z_j|^{2k} = (-1)^k \sum_{t=0}^{2k} (-1)^{2k-t} \binom{2k}{t} z_i^{t-k} z_j^{k-t},$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} |z_i - z_j|^{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d |z_i - z_j|^{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \sum_{t=0}^{2k} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{2k}{t} z_i^{t-k} z_j^{k-t}.$$

By changing the summation and taking into account (6), we find that the latter expression is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{2k}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2k}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{d}z_{i}^{t-k}\sum_{j=1}^{d}z_{j}^{k-t} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=0}^{2k}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2k}{t}a_{t-k}.$$
(7)

Since $a_0 = d^2$, the term corresponding to t = k gives the first summand

$$\frac{d^2}{2}\binom{2k}{k}$$

on the right hand side of (5). From (6) and $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ it follows that $a_{-s} = a_s$. Hence,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{2k}{t} a_{t-k} = \sum_{t=k+1}^{2k} (-1)^{k+2k-t} \binom{2k}{2k-t} a_{k-t}$$
$$= \sum_{t=k+1}^{2k} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{2k}{t} a_{t-k},$$

so the sum of all the other terms of the right hand side of (7) (corresponding to $t \neq k$) equals

$$\sum_{t=k+1}^{2k} (-1)^{k+t} \binom{2k}{t} a_{t-k} = \sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{2k}{k+s} a_s = \sum_{s=1}^{k} (-1)^s \binom{2k}{k-s} a_s,$$

which is the sum on the right hand side of (5). Thus, (7) equals the right hand side of (5), as claimed.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Fix *m* in the range $1 \le m \le L$ and $i, 1 \le i \le d$. Notice that the *m*th symmetric function $\sigma_m(Z)$ is of the form $|f_1(z_i)| + \ldots + |f_\ell(z_i)|$, where f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are polynomials in z_i . Hence, by Lemma 1, the maximum of $\sigma_m(Z)$ is attained when $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$. So, from now on, we will assume that $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$.

By (5) with k = 1, we obtain

$$\sigma_1(Z) = s_1(Z) = d^2 - a_1.$$
(8)

Here, $a_1 \ge 0$ by (6), and hence $\sigma_1(Z) \le d^2$ with equality iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and $a_1 = 0$. This proves (i).

Inserting k = 2 into (5) we find that

$$s_2(Z) = 3d^2 - 4a_1 + a_2 = 4s_1(Z) + a_2 - d^2.$$
(9)

So, by (8), (9) and (2) with m = 2,

$$2\sigma_2(Z) = s_1(Z)^2 - s_2(Z) = (d^2 - a_1)^2 - 3d^2 + 4a_1 - a_2$$

= $d^4 - 3d^2 - 2d^2a_1 + a_1^2 + 4a_1 - a_2$.

The inequality $\sigma_2(Z) \leqslant (d^4 - 3d^2)/2$ is equivalent to

$$-2d^2a_1 + a_1^2 + 4a_1 - a_2 \leqslant 0. \tag{10}$$

Clearly, $0 \leq a_1 \leq d^2$ by (6). So $a_1 < 2d^2 - 4$ and

$$-2d^{2}a_{1} + a_{1}^{2} + 4a_{1} = a_{1}(a_{1} - 2d^{2} + 4) \leq 0$$

with equality iff $a_1 = 0$. Trivially, $-a_2 \leq 0$ with equality iff $a_2 = 0$. This proves (10). Moreover, equality in (10) is attained iff $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. The proof of part (ii) is completed.

To prove part (iii) note that, by (5),

$$s_3(Z) = 10d^2 - 15a_1 + 6a_2 - a_3 = 15s_1(Z) + 6a_2 - a_3 - 5d^2.$$
(11)

Now, using (2) with m = 3, we obtain

$$6\sigma_3(Z) = s_1(Z)^3 - 3s_1(Z)s_2(Z) + 2s_3(Z),$$
(12)

which in view of (8), (9), (11) equals

$$(d^2 - a_1)^3 - 3(d^2 - a_1)(3d^2 - 4a_1 + a_2) + 20d^2 - 30a_1 + 12a_2 - 2a_3.$$

We need to show that the above expression, denoted by $F(d, a_1, a_2, a_3)$, does not exceed

$$F(d,0,0,0) = d^6 - 9d^4 + 20d^2$$

with equality iff $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$.

It is evident that $F(d, a_1, a_2, a_3) \leq F(d, a_1, a_2, 0)$, with equality iff $a_3 = 0$. Next, the coefficient for a_2 is $12 - 3d^2 + 3a_1$. Consider two cases: $a_1 < d^2 - 4$ and $a_1 \ge d^2 - 4$.

In the first case, $a_1 < d^2 - 4$, the coefficient for a_2 is negative. Consequently, $F(d, a_1, a_2, 0) \leq F(d, a_1, 0, 0)$ with equality iff $a_2 = 0$. It remains to show that

$$F(d, a_1, 0, 0) = (d^2 - a_1)^3 - 3(d^2 - a_1)(3d^2 - 4a_1) + 20d^2 - 30a_1$$

attains its maximum in $a_1 \in [0, d^2]$ at $a_1 = 0$. Indeed, the difference $F(d, a_1, 0, 0) - F(d, 0, 0, 0)$ is equal to

$$-a_1(a_1^2 - (3d^2 - 12)a_1 + 3d^4 - 21d^2 + 30).$$

Evidently, this is zero if $a_1 = 0$, whereas for $a_1 > 0$ the above expression is negative, because $-a_1 < 0$ and $a_1^2 - (3d^2 - 12) + 3d^4 - 21d^2 + 30 > 0$. The latter inequality is indeed true, since the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial is negative for $d \ge 4$:

$$(3d^2 - 12)^2 - 4(3d^4 - 21d^2 + 30) = -3((d^2 - 2)^2 - 12) < 0.$$

We now turn to the alternative case, namely, $a_1 \ge d^2 - 4$. Then, $0 \le d^2 - a_1 \le 4$, and hence $s_1(Z)^3 \le (d - a_1)^3 \le 64$. In view of $s_1(Z), s_2(Z), a_3 \ge 0$ and $0 \le a_2 \le d^2$, by (11) and (12), we get

$$6\sigma_3(Z) \leq s_1(Z)^3 + 2s_3(Z) \leq 64 + 30s_1(Z) + 12a_2 - 10d^2$$
$$\leq 61 + 120 + 12d^2 - 10d^2 = 181 + 2d^2.$$

It is easy to see that

$$181 + 2d^2 < F(d, 0, 0, 0) = d^6 - 9d^4 + 20d^2$$

for $d \ge 4$, so in the second case the value F(d,0,0,0) of the function $6\sigma_3(Z)$ is not attained. This completes the proof of (iii).

In part (iv), by (2) with m = 4, we obtain

$$24e_4(Z) = s_1(Z)^4 - 6s_1(Z)^2 s_2(Z) + 3s_2(Z)^2 + 8s_1(Z)s_3(Z) - 6s_4(Z).$$

By (5),

$$s_4(Z) = 35d^2 - 56a_1 + 28a_2 - 8a_3 + a_4$$

= 56s₁(Z) + 28a₂ - 8a₃ + a₄ - 21d².

Combining this with (9) and (11), we find that $24e_4(Z)$ equals

$$\Phi(y, a_2, a_3, a_4) = y^4 - 6y^2(4y + a_2 - d^2) + 3(4y + a_2 - d^2)^2$$
(13)
+ 8y(15y + 6a_2 - a_3 - 5d^2)
- 6(56y + 28a_2 - 8a_3 + a_4 - 21d^2),

where

$$y := s_1(Z) = d^2 - a_1$$

by (8).

In all what follows we will show that the maximum of the function $\Phi(y, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ in the range $0 \le y, a_2, a_3, a_4 \le d^2$ (plus some extra restrictions coming from the inequalities $s_2(Z), s_3(Z), s_4(Z) \ge 0$ which we will not specify) is attained at the unique point

$$(y, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (d^2, 0, 0, 0)$$

This would complete the proof of (iv), because

$$\Phi(d^2, 0, 0, 0) = d^8 - 18d^6 + 107d^4 - 210d^2$$

by (13).

Clearly, the maximum of $\Phi(y, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ is attained only if $a_4 = 0$, since the only term containing a_4 is $-6a_4$. The coefficient for a_3 is 48 - 8y = 8(6 - y). We will show that y > 6, and so in the maximum point a_3 must be zero too.

Indeed, in case $y \leq 6$ in view of $0 \leq a_2, a_3 \leq d^2$ and $s_2(Z), s_4(Z) \geq 0$ we deduce that

$$\Phi(y, a_2, a_3, 0) \leq y^4 + 3(4y + a_2 - d^2)^2 + 8y(15y + 6a_2 - a_3 - 5d^2).$$

From $0 \leq s_2(Z) = 4y + a_2 - d^2 \leq 4y$ and

$$0 \leq s_4(Z) = 15y + 6a_2 - a_3 - 5d^2 \leq 15y + d^2,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{split} \Phi(y,a_2,a_3,0) &\leqslant y^4 + 48y^2 + 8y(15y+d^2) \\ &\leqslant 6^4 + 48 \cdot 6^2 + 120 \cdot 6^2 + 48d^2 = 7344 + 48d^2, \end{split}$$

which is strictly less than $d^8 - 18d^6 + 107d^4 - 210d^2$ for each $d \ge 5$. Thus, y > 6 and so a_3 must be zero.

Inserting $a_3 = a_4 = 0$ into Φ defined in (13) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Phi(y,a_2,0,0) &= y^4 - 6y^2(4y+a_2-d^2) + 3(4y+a_2-d^2)^2 \\ &+ 8y(15y+6a_2-5d^2) - 6(56y+28a_2-21d^2). \end{split}$$

Here, the terms involving a_2 are

$$-6y^{2}a_{2} + 3a_{2}^{2} + 6a_{2}(4y - d^{2}) + 48ya_{2} - 168a_{2} = 3a_{2}(a_{2} - 2y^{2} + 24y - 2d^{2} - 56).$$

The factor $a_2 - 2y^2 + 24y - 2d^2 - 56$ is negative, because $d^2 - a_2 \ge 0$ and

$$2y^{2} - 24y + 2d^{2} + 56 - a_{2} \ge 2y^{2} - 24y + d^{2} + 56$$
$$= 2(y - 6)^{2} + d^{2} - 16 > 0$$

by $d \ge 5$. This shows that $\Phi(y, a_2, 0, 0)$ attains the maximum only if $a_2 = 0$. Inserting $a_2 = 0$ into Φ we find that

$$\begin{split} G(y) &:= \Phi(y,0,0,0) = y^4 - 6y^2(4y - d^2) + 3(4y - d^2)^2 \\ &+ 8y(15y - 5d^2) - 6(56y - 21d^2) \\ &= y^4 - 24y^3 + (6d^2 + 168)y^2 - (64d^2 + 336)y + 3d^4 + 126d^2. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$G'(y) = 4y^3 - 72y^2 + (12d^2 + 336)y - (64d^2 + 336)$$

and

$$G''(y) = 12y^2 - 144y + 12d^2 + 336 = 12((y-6)^2 + d^2 - 8) > 0.$$

Hence, G'(y) is increasing in \mathbb{R} . As G'(0) < 0, the only root y_d of G'(y) = 0 is positive. This means that G(y) is decreasing in $[0, y_d]$ and increasing in $[y_d, +\infty)$. Observe that

$$G'(d^2) = 4d^6 - 72d^4 + 12d^4 + 336d^2 - 64d^2 - 336$$
$$= 4d^6 - 60d^4 + 272d^2 - 336 > 0$$

for $d \ge 5$. As $G'(y) \le 0$ for $0 \le y \le y_d$, this yields $y_d < d^2$. Hence, the maximum of G(y) in the interval $[0, d^2]$ is attained at one of its endpoints y = 0 or $y = d^2$. The inequality

$$3d^4 + 126d^2 = G(0) < G(d^2) = d^8 - 18d^6 + 107d^4 - 210d^2$$

is equivalent to

$$d^6 - 18d^4 + 104d^2 - 336 > 0,$$

which clearly holds for $d \ge 5$. Therefore, the maximum of the function G in $[0, d^2]$ is attained at the right endpoint of the interval only, that is,

$$\max_{0 \leqslant y \leqslant d^2} G(y) = G(d^2) = \Phi(d^2, 0, 0, 0).$$

This concludes the proof of (iv). The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

Note that by the same method one can obtain similar results for linear forms in $\sigma_m(Z)$. For instance, by (8) and (9), we obtain the following identity:

$$2(\sigma_2(Z) - k\sigma_1(Z)) = s_1(Z)^2 - s_2(Z) - 2ks_1(Z)$$

= $(d^2 - a_1)^2 - (3d^2 - 4a_1 + a_2) - 2k(d^2 - a_1)$
= $d^4 - (2k+3)d^2 - a_1(2d^2 - 2k - 4 - a_1) - a_2$

From $0 \le a_1, a_2 \le d^2$, it is easy to see that its right hand side is less than or equal to $d^4 - (2k+3)d^2$ when $k \le d^2/2 - 2$ with equality attained iff $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. (The case $(a_1, a_2) = (d^2, 0)$ for $k = d^2/2 - 2$ is impossible, since $a_1 = d^2$ yields $a_2 = d^2$ by (6).) Thus, the following statement (more general than Theorem 1 (ii)) is true:

PROPOSITION 1. Let $d \ge 3$, $k \in (-\infty, d^2/2 - 2]$ and let Z be as in (1) with $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{U}$. Then,

$$\sigma_2(Z) - k\sigma_1(Z) \leqslant \frac{d^4 - (2k+3)d^2}{2}$$

with equality iff $z_1, \ldots, z_d \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^d z_j = \sum_{j=1}^d z_j^2 = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. ALEXANDER, On an inequality of J. W. S. Cassels, Amer. Math. Monthly, 79, (1972), 883-884.
- [2] R. ALEXANDER, On the sum of distances between n points on a sphere, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 23, (1972), 443–448.
- [3] G. AMBRUS, K. M. BALL AND T. ERDÉLYI, Chebyshev constants for the unit circle, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., 45, (2013), 236–248.
- [4] J. S. BRAUCHART AND P. J. GRABNER, Distributing many points on spheres: minimal energy and designs, J. Complexity, 31, (2015), 293–326.
- [5] J. W. S. CASSELS, On a problem of Schinzel and Zassenhaus, J. Math. Sciences, 1, (1966), 1-8.
- [6] A. DUBICKAS, *The maximal conjugate of a non-reciprocal algebraic integer*, Lith. Math. J., **37**, (1997), 129–133.
- [7] A. DUBICKAS, On the measure of a nonreciprocal algebraic number, Ramanujan J., 4, (2000), 291– 298.
- [8] L. FEJES TÓTH, On the sum of distances determined by a pointset, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 7, (1956), 397–401.
- [9] E. HORVAT, The sum of a power of distances, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math., 17, (1975), 125–129.
- [10] X. HOU AND J. SHAO, Spherical distribution of 5 points with maximal distance sum, Discrete Comput. Geom., 46, (2011), 156–174.

- [11] N. NIKOLOV AND R. RAFAILOV, On the sum of powered distances to certain sets of points on the circle, Pacific J. Math., 253, (2011), 157–168.
- [12] N. NIKOLOV AND R. RAFAILOV, On extremums of sums of powered distances to a finite set of points, Geom. Dedicata, 167, (2013), 69–89.
- [13] V. V. PRASOLOV, *Polynomials*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics 11, 2nd. ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [14] I. SCHUR, Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten, Math. Z., 1, (1918), 377–402.
- [15] K. STOLARSKY, The sum of the distances to certain pointsets on the unit circle, Pac. J. Math., 59, (1975), 241–251.

(Received February 7, 2019)

Artūras Dubickas Institute of Mathematics Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Vilnius University Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania e-mail: arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt