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#### Abstract

In this note we find the maximal values of several symmetric functions in the variables which are the squares of distances $\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}, 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d$, between some $d$ complex points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ in the unit disc. We compute the maximums of $\sigma_{m}$, for $m=1,2,3,4$, explicitly and find the conditions on $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ under which those maximal values are attained. This problem is motivated by an inequality of Cassels (1966) and a subsequent conjecture of Alexander.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout, let

$$
\mathbb{U}:=\{|z| \leqslant 1, z \in \mathbb{C}\}
$$

be the unit disc, and let

$$
\mathbb{T}:=\{|z|=1, z \in \mathbb{C}\}
$$

be the unit circle. For any $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{U}$, where $d \geqslant 2$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z:=\left\{\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}, 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the list of squares of distances between the points $z_{i}$.
By Hadamard's inequality (see also [14]), the product of all $d(d-1) / 2$ elements of $Z$ does not exceed $d^{d}$, with equality iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ are the vertices of a regular $d$-gon inscribed in the circle $\mathbb{T}$. For $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ one can write this well-known inequality in several equivalent forms:

$$
\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|z_{i} \overline{z_{j}}-1\right|^{2}=\prod_{i \neq j}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right| \leqslant d^{d} .
$$

In [5], Cassels considered a very similar product

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\rho, Z) & :=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|\rho^{2} z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}=\prod_{i \neq j}\left|\rho^{2} z_{i}-z_{j}\right|=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|\rho^{2} z_{i} \overline{z_{j}}-1\right|^{2} \\
& =\rho^{d(d-1)} \prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left((\rho-1 / \rho)^{2}+\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and some fixed $\rho \geqslant 1$. The last expression shows that instead of the product of factors $\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}$, the product of the shifted factors $a+\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}$ is considered. His motivation was an application of such products to the estimates of the Mahler measure of a nonreciprocal algebraic number. (See also the subsequent papers of the author [6] and [7] on the same subject, where such products are quite useful.) Even without applications the evaluation of the maximum of the product $P(\rho, Z)$ itself seems to be a problem of interest.

Assuming that

$$
\cos (\pi / d) \leqslant \frac{\rho^{2}}{\rho^{4}-\rho^{2}+1}
$$

Cassels showed that the above product $P(\rho, Z)$ also attains its maximum $\left(1+\rho^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\ldots+\rho^{2 d-2}\right)^{d}$ iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ are the vertices of a regular $d$-gon inscribed in $\mathbb{T}$.

In [1], Alexander observed that the above condition can be slightly improved (to $\left.\cos (\pi / d) \leqslant 2 \rho^{2} /\left(\rho^{4}+1\right)\right)$ and still yields the same conclusion. Note that the range for $\rho$ is very narrow, roughly, $1 \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1+\pi /(2 d)$ for $d$ large, and there is a little chance that using similar methods one can get the same assertion for each $\rho \geqslant 1$. Nevertheless, in [1], Alexander conjectured that

Conjecture 1. For each $\rho \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
P(\rho, Z) \leqslant\left(1+\rho^{2}+\ldots+\rho^{2 d-2}\right)^{d}
$$

with equality attained iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ are the vertices of a regular $d$-gon inscribed in $\mathbb{T}$.
Note that $Z$ defined in (1) is a list of

$$
L:=\frac{d(d-1)}{2}
$$

nonnegative numbers, say, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{L}$. For each $m$ in the range $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L$, let

$$
\sigma_{m}=\sigma_{m}(Z):=\sum_{1 \leqslant i_{1}<\ldots<i_{m} \leqslant L} x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{m}}
$$

be the $m$ th symmetric function in the variables $x_{i}$, and let

$$
s_{m}=s_{m}(Z):=\sum_{j=1}^{L} x_{j}^{m}=\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2 m}
$$

The relation between $\sigma_{m}$ and the power sums $s_{m}, \ldots, s_{1}$ is given by the following formula (see, e.g., [13]):

$$
\sigma_{m}=\frac{1}{m!}\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
s_{1} & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{2}\\
s_{2} & s_{1} & 2 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
s_{m-1} & s_{m-2} & s_{m-3} & \ldots & m-1 \\
s_{m} & s_{m-1} & s_{m-2} & \ldots & s_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Note that the expression for $P(\rho, Z) \rho^{-2 L}$ can be written in the form

$$
P(\rho, Z) \rho^{-2 L}=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left(a+\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}\right)=a^{L}+\sum_{m=1}^{L} a^{L-m} \sigma_{m}(Z),
$$

where $a=(\rho-1 / \rho)^{2}$. So, for any given $m$ in the range $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L$, the investigation of the maximum of $\sigma_{m}(Z)$, where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ all belong to $\mathbb{U}$, seems to be a natural problem.

We remark that the maximum of the sum $\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|$, where $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{U}$, has been evaluated by Fejes Tóth in [8] (see also [9]), who showed that it is attained iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ are the vertices of a regular $d$-gon inscribed in $\mathbb{T}$. There is a huge literature related to maximization (or minimization) of various functions in $\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|$ when $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ lie in a higher dimensional sphere $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ (energy-minimizing point configurations, so-called Thomson problem, best packing problems, etc.). One can find many references on this in the review paper [4], for instance. See also [2], [3], [11], [12], [15] for some other nice extremal problems when the points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d}$ belong to $\mathbb{T}$ or to a sphere. (On a sphere it is nontrivial already to place 5 points so that that the mutual distance sum between those points is maximal [10].)

In our context it seems likely that the following is true:
CONJECTURE 2. For any positive integers $d \geqslant 3$ and $m, 1 \leqslant m \leqslant L-1, L=$ $d(d-1) / 2$, and any $Z$ as in (1) the maximum of $\sigma_{m}(Z)$ is attained iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{2}=\ldots=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{\min (m,\lfloor d / 2\rfloor)}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $m=L$ is excluded, since we already know that

$$
\sigma_{L}(Z)=\prod_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}
$$

attains its maximum $d^{d}$ iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and are the vertices of a regular $d$-gon. The case $d=2$ is also excluded, because it is trivial. As the points $z_{j}=e^{2 \pi i(j-1) / d}$, $j=$ $1, \ldots, d$, satisfy the condition (3), one can calculate the maximal value of $\sigma_{m}(Z)$ by inserting those points into (2) and using Lemma 2 below (where $s_{1}(Z), \ldots, s_{m}(Z)$ have been evaluated).

Note that Conjecture 2 immediately implies Conjecture 1, because the points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ for which (3) holds for $m \geqslant\lfloor d / 2\rfloor$ must be the vertices of a regular $d$-gon inscribed into $\mathbb{T}$. Indeed, for

$$
f(z):=\left(z-z_{1}\right) \ldots\left(z-z_{d}\right)=z^{d}+c_{d-1} z^{d-1}+\ldots+c_{0}
$$

with $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ we have

$$
c_{0} z^{d}+c_{1} z^{d-1}+\ldots+1=z^{d} f(1 / z)=c_{0} \bar{f}(z)=c_{0}\left(z^{d}+\overline{c_{d-1}} z^{d-1}+\ldots+\overline{c_{0}}\right)
$$

so that $c_{i}=c_{0} \overline{c_{d-i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d-1$. Hence, (3) with $m=\lfloor d / 2\rfloor$ implies not only $c_{1}=\ldots=c_{\lfloor d / 2\rfloor}=0$ but also $c_{1}=\ldots=c_{d-1}=0$.

In this note we shall prove Conjecture 2 for $m=1,2,3$ and 4 .

THEOREM 1. Let $d \geqslant 3$ and let $Z$ be as in (1). Then, for each $m$ in the range $1 \leqslant$ $m \leqslant L=d(d-1) / 2$ the maximum of $\sigma_{m}(Z)$ is attained for $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$. Furthermore,
(i) $\sigma_{1}(Z) \leqslant d^{2}$ with equality iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}=0$.
(ii) $\sigma_{2}(Z) \leqslant\left(d^{4}-3 d^{2}\right) / 2$ with equality iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{2}=0
$$

(iii) $\sigma_{3}(Z) \leqslant\left(d^{6}-9 d^{4}+20 d^{2}\right) / 6$ (for $d \geqslant 4$ ) with equality iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{3}=0
$$

(iv) $\sigma_{4}(Z) \leqslant\left(d^{8}-18 d^{6}+107 d^{4}-210 d^{2}\right) / 24($ for $d \geqslant 5)$ with equality iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in$ $\mathbb{T}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{3}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{4}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $d=3$ in part (iii) the maximum of $\sigma_{3}(Z)$ is equal to $d^{d}=27$ and is attained at the roots of $z^{3}-\theta=0$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{T}$. For $d=4$ in part (iv) the condition (4) cannot hold, and the maximum of $\sigma_{4}(Z)$ is different.

In the next section we shall prove two useful lemmas. Then, in Section 3 we will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2. Auxiliary results

LEMMA 1. Suppose $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{\ell}$ are holomorphic functions in a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and continuous up to the boundary of $D$. Then, the function $\left|f_{1}(z)\right|+\ldots+\left|f_{\ell}(z)\right|$ attains its maximum in $\bar{D}$ on the boundary of $D$.

Proof. The result is evident if $f_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \ell$, are all constants. Assume that at least one of the functions $f_{i}$ is not a constant and that the sum $\left|f_{1}(z)\right|+\ldots+\left|f_{\ell}(z)\right|$ attains its maximum at the point $z_{0} \in D$. Clearly, for each $j \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ there exists $\zeta_{j} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $f_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)=\left|f_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \zeta_{j}$. Consider the function

$$
g(z):=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{j}(z) \overline{\zeta_{j}}
$$

It is holomorphic in $D$, continuous up to the boundary of $D$ and not a constant. Furthermore, by our assumption and the definition of $\zeta_{j}$ and $z_{0}$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g(z)| & =\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{j}(z) \overline{\zeta_{j}}\right| \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|f_{j}(z)\right|\left|\overline{\zeta_{j}}\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|f_{j}(z)\right| \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left|f_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_{j}\left(z_{0}\right) \overline{\zeta_{j}}=g\left(z_{0}\right)=\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts to the maximum modulus principle for the holomorphic function $g$, which is not a constant, and hence our initial assumption on $z_{0}$ were false.

Lemma 2. Let $k, d \in \mathbb{N}, d \geqslant 2$ and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{k}(Z)=\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2 k}=\frac{d^{2}}{2}\binom{2 k}{k}+\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s}\binom{2 k}{k-s} a_{s}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{s}:=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{s}\right|^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. From $z_{i}, z_{j} \in \mathbb{T}$ it follows that

$$
\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2}=\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right)\left(\overline{z_{i}}-\overline{z_{j}}\right)=\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right)\left(\frac{1}{z_{i}}-\frac{1}{z_{j}}\right)=-\frac{\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right)^{2}}{z_{i} z_{j}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2 k}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{t=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{2 k-t}\binom{2 k}{t} z_{i}^{t-k} z_{j}^{k-t}
$$

and consequently

$$
\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant d}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2 k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|^{2 k}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \sum_{t=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2 k}{t} z_{i}^{t-k} z_{j}^{k-t} .
$$

By changing the summation and taking into account (6), we find that the latter expression is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2 k}{t} \sum_{i=1}^{d} z_{i}^{t-k} \sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{k-t}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{2 k}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2 k}{t} a_{t-k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a_{0}=d^{2}$, the term corresponding to $t=k$ gives the first summand

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{2}\binom{2 k}{k}
$$

on the right hand side of (5). From (6) and $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ it follows that $a_{-s}=a_{s}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=0}^{k-1}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2 k}{t} a_{t-k} & =\sum_{t=k+1}^{2 k}(-1)^{k+2 k-t}\binom{2 k}{2 k-t} a_{k-t} \\
& =\sum_{t=k+1}^{2 k}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2 k}{t} a_{t-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

so the sum of all the other terms of the right hand side of (7) (corresponding to $t \neq k$ ) equals

$$
\sum_{t=k+1}^{2 k}(-1)^{k+t}\binom{2 k}{t} a_{t-k}=\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s}\binom{2 k}{k+s} a_{s}=\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^{s}\binom{2 k}{k-s} a_{s}
$$

which is the sum on the right hand side of (5). Thus, (7) equals the right hand side of (5), as claimed.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Fix $m$ in the range $1 \leqslant m \leqslant L$ and $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$. Notice that the $m$ th symmetric function $\sigma_{m}(Z)$ is of the form $\left|f_{1}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|+\ldots+\left|f_{\ell}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|$, where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{\ell}$ are polynomials in $z_{i}$. Hence, by Lemma 1 , the maximum of $\sigma_{m}(Z)$ is attained when $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$. So, from now on, we will assume that $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$.

By (5) with $k=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}(Z)=s_{1}(Z)=d^{2}-a_{1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $a_{1} \geqslant 0$ by (6), and hence $\sigma_{1}(Z) \leqslant d^{2}$ with equality iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $a_{1}=0$. This proves (i).

Inserting $k=2$ into (5) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{2}(Z)=3 d^{2}-4 a_{1}+a_{2}=4 s_{1}(Z)+a_{2}-d^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by (8), (9) and (2) with $m=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \sigma_{2}(Z) & =s_{1}(Z)^{2}-s_{2}(Z)=\left(d^{2}-a_{1}\right)^{2}-3 d^{2}+4 a_{1}-a_{2} \\
& =d^{4}-3 d^{2}-2 d^{2} a_{1}+a_{1}^{2}+4 a_{1}-a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality $\sigma_{2}(Z) \leqslant\left(d^{4}-3 d^{2}\right) / 2$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 d^{2} a_{1}+a_{1}^{2}+4 a_{1}-a_{2} \leqslant 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $0 \leqslant a_{1} \leqslant d^{2}$ by (6). So $a_{1}<2 d^{2}-4$ and

$$
-2 d^{2} a_{1}+a_{1}^{2}+4 a_{1}=a_{1}\left(a_{1}-2 d^{2}+4\right) \leqslant 0
$$

with equality iff $a_{1}=0$. Trivially, $-a_{2} \leqslant 0$ with equality iff $a_{2}=0$. This proves (10). Moreover, equality in (10) is attained iff $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$. The proof of part (ii) is completed.

To prove part (iii) note that, by (5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{3}(Z)=10 d^{2}-15 a_{1}+6 a_{2}-a_{3}=15 s_{1}(Z)+6 a_{2}-a_{3}-5 d^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (2) with $m=3$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \sigma_{3}(Z)=s_{1}(Z)^{3}-3 s_{1}(Z) s_{2}(Z)+2 s_{3}(Z) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in view of (8), (9), (11) equals

$$
\left(d^{2}-a_{1}\right)^{3}-3\left(d^{2}-a_{1}\right)\left(3 d^{2}-4 a_{1}+a_{2}\right)+20 d^{2}-30 a_{1}+12 a_{2}-2 a_{3}
$$

We need to show that the above expression, denoted by $F\left(d, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$, does not exceed

$$
F(d, 0,0,0)=d^{6}-9 d^{4}+20 d^{2}
$$

with equality iff $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$.
It is evident that $F\left(d, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \leqslant F\left(d, a_{1}, a_{2}, 0\right)$, with equality iff $a_{3}=0$. Next, the coefficient for $a_{2}$ is $12-3 d^{2}+3 a_{1}$. Consider two cases: $a_{1}<d^{2}-4$ and $a_{1} \geqslant$ $d^{2}-4$.

In the first case, $a_{1}<d^{2}-4$, the coefficient for $a_{2}$ is negative. Consequently, $F\left(d, a_{1}, a_{2}, 0\right) \leqslant F\left(d, a_{1}, 0,0\right)$ with equality iff $a_{2}=0$. It remains to show that

$$
F\left(d, a_{1}, 0,0\right)=\left(d^{2}-a_{1}\right)^{3}-3\left(d^{2}-a_{1}\right)\left(3 d^{2}-4 a_{1}\right)+20 d^{2}-30 a_{1}
$$

attains its maximum in $a_{1} \in\left[0, d^{2}\right]$ at $a_{1}=0$. Indeed, the difference $F\left(d, a_{1}, 0,0\right)-$ $F(d, 0,0,0)$ is equal to

$$
-a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2}-\left(3 d^{2}-12\right) a_{1}+3 d^{4}-21 d^{2}+30\right)
$$

Evidently, this is zero if $a_{1}=0$, whereas for $a_{1}>0$ the above expression is negative, because $-a_{1}<0$ and $a_{1}^{2}-\left(3 d^{2}-12\right)+3 d^{4}-21 d^{2}+30>0$. The latter inequality is indeed true, since the discriminant of the quadratic polynomial is negative for $d \geqslant 4$ :

$$
\left(3 d^{2}-12\right)^{2}-4\left(3 d^{4}-21 d^{2}+30\right)=-3\left(\left(d^{2}-2\right)^{2}-12\right)<0
$$

We now turn to the alternative case, namely, $a_{1} \geqslant d^{2}-4$. Then, $0 \leqslant d^{2}-a_{1} \leqslant 4$, and hence $s_{1}(Z)^{3} \leqslant\left(d-a_{1}\right)^{3} \leqslant 64$. In view of $s_{1}(Z), s_{2}(Z), a_{3} \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant a_{2} \leqslant d^{2}$, by (11) and (12), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 \sigma_{3}(Z) & \leqslant s_{1}(Z)^{3}+2 s_{3}(Z) \leqslant 64+30 s_{1}(Z)+12 a_{2}-10 d^{2} \\
& \leqslant 61+120+12 d^{2}-10 d^{2}=181+2 d^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
181+2 d^{2}<F(d, 0,0,0)=d^{6}-9 d^{4}+20 d^{2}
$$

for $d \geqslant 4$, so in the second case the value $F(d, 0,0,0)$ of the function $6 \sigma_{3}(Z)$ is not attained. This completes the proof of (iii).

In part (iv), by (2) with $m=4$, we obtain

$$
24 e_{4}(Z)=s_{1}(Z)^{4}-6 s_{1}(Z)^{2} s_{2}(Z)+3 s_{2}(Z)^{2}+8 s_{1}(Z) s_{3}(Z)-6 s_{4}(Z)
$$

By (5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{4}(Z) & =35 d^{2}-56 a_{1}+28 a_{2}-8 a_{3}+a_{4} \\
& =56 s_{1}(Z)+28 a_{2}-8 a_{3}+a_{4}-21 d^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this with (9) and (11), we find that $24 e_{4}(Z)$ equals

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi\left(y, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right) & =y^{4}-6 y^{2}\left(4 y+a_{2}-d^{2}\right)+3\left(4 y+a_{2}-d^{2}\right)^{2}  \tag{13}\\
& +8 y\left(15 y+6 a_{2}-a_{3}-5 d^{2}\right) \\
& -6\left(56 y+28 a_{2}-8 a_{3}+a_{4}-21 d^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
y:=s_{1}(Z)=d^{2}-a_{1}
$$

by (8).
In all what follows we will show that the maximum of the function $\Phi\left(y, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$ in the range $0 \leqslant y, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \leqslant d^{2}$ (plus some extra restrictions coming from the inequalities $s_{2}(Z), s_{3}(Z), s_{4}(Z) \geqslant 0$ which we will not specify) is attained at the unique point

$$
\left(y, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=\left(d^{2}, 0,0,0\right)
$$

This would complete the proof of (iv), because

$$
\Phi\left(d^{2}, 0,0,0\right)=d^{8}-18 d^{6}+107 d^{4}-210 d^{2}
$$

by (13).
Clearly, the maximum of $\Phi\left(y, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)$ is attained only if $a_{4}=0$, since the only term containing $a_{4}$ is $-6 a_{4}$. The coefficient for $a_{3}$ is $48-8 y=8(6-y)$. We will show that $y>6$, and so in the maximum point $a_{3}$ must be zero too.

Indeed, in case $y \leqslant 6$ in view of $0 \leqslant a_{2}, a_{3} \leqslant d^{2}$ and $s_{2}(Z), s_{4}(Z) \geqslant 0$ we deduce that

$$
\Phi\left(y, a_{2}, a_{3}, 0\right) \leqslant y^{4}+3\left(4 y+a_{2}-d^{2}\right)^{2}+8 y\left(15 y+6 a_{2}-a_{3}-5 d^{2}\right)
$$

From $0 \leqslant s_{2}(Z)=4 y+a_{2}-d^{2} \leqslant 4 y$ and

$$
0 \leqslant s_{4}(Z)=15 y+6 a_{2}-a_{3}-5 d^{2} \leqslant 15 y+d^{2}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(y, a_{2}, a_{3}, 0\right) & \leqslant y^{4}+48 y^{2}+8 y\left(15 y+d^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 6^{4}+48 \cdot 6^{2}+120 \cdot 6^{2}+48 d^{2}=7344+48 d^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is strictly less than $d^{8}-18 d^{6}+107 d^{4}-210 d^{2}$ for each $d \geqslant 5$. Thus, $y>6$ and so $a_{3}$ must be zero.

Inserting $a_{3}=a_{4}=0$ into $\Phi$ defined in (13) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi\left(y, a_{2}, 0,0\right) & =y^{4}-6 y^{2}\left(4 y+a_{2}-d^{2}\right)+3\left(4 y+a_{2}-d^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +8 y\left(15 y+6 a_{2}-5 d^{2}\right)-6\left(56 y+28 a_{2}-21 d^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the terms involving $a_{2}$ are

$$
-6 y^{2} a_{2}+3 a_{2}^{2}+6 a_{2}\left(4 y-d^{2}\right)+48 y a_{2}-168 a_{2}=3 a_{2}\left(a_{2}-2 y^{2}+24 y-2 d^{2}-56\right)
$$

The factor $a_{2}-2 y^{2}+24 y-2 d^{2}-56$ is negative, because $d^{2}-a_{2} \geqslant 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 y^{2}-24 y+2 d^{2}+56-a_{2} & \geqslant 2 y^{2}-24 y+d^{2}+56 \\
& =2(y-6)^{2}+d^{2}-16>0
\end{aligned}
$$

by $d \geqslant 5$. This shows that $\Phi\left(y, a_{2}, 0,0\right)$ attains the maximum only if $a_{2}=0$.
Inserting $a_{2}=0$ into $\Phi$ we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(y) & :=\Phi(y, 0,0,0)=y^{4}-6 y^{2}\left(4 y-d^{2}\right)+3\left(4 y-d^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +8 y\left(15 y-5 d^{2}\right)-6\left(56 y-21 d^{2}\right) \\
& =y^{4}-24 y^{3}+\left(6 d^{2}+168\right) y^{2}-\left(64 d^{2}+336\right) y+3 d^{4}+126 d^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
G^{\prime}(y)=4 y^{3}-72 y^{2}+\left(12 d^{2}+336\right) y-\left(64 d^{2}+336\right)
$$

and

$$
G^{\prime \prime}(y)=12 y^{2}-144 y+12 d^{2}+336=12\left((y-6)^{2}+d^{2}-8\right)>0 .
$$

Hence, $G^{\prime}(y)$ is increasing in $\mathbb{R}$. As $G^{\prime}(0)<0$, the only root $y_{d}$ of $G^{\prime}(y)=0$ is positive. This means that $G(y)$ is decreasing in $\left[0, y_{d}\right]$ and increasing in $\left[y_{d},+\infty\right)$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime}\left(d^{2}\right) & =4 d^{6}-72 d^{4}+12 d^{4}+336 d^{2}-64 d^{2}-336 \\
& =4 d^{6}-60 d^{4}+272 d^{2}-336>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $d \geqslant 5$. As $G^{\prime}(y) \leqslant 0$ for $0 \leqslant y \leqslant y_{d}$, this yields $y_{d}<d^{2}$. Hence, the maximum of $G(y)$ in the interval $\left[0, d^{2}\right]$ is attained at one of its endpoints $y=0$ or $y=d^{2}$. The inequality

$$
3 d^{4}+126 d^{2}=G(0)<G\left(d^{2}\right)=d^{8}-18 d^{6}+107 d^{4}-210 d^{2}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
d^{6}-18 d^{4}+104 d^{2}-336>0
$$

which clearly holds for $d \geqslant 5$. Therefore, the maximum of the function $G$ in $\left[0, d^{2}\right]$ is attained at the right endpoint of the interval only, that is,

$$
\max _{0 \leqslant y \leqslant d^{2}} G(y)=G\left(d^{2}\right)=\Phi\left(d^{2}, 0,0,0\right)
$$

This concludes the proof of (iv). The proof of Theorem 1 is completed.
Note that by the same method one can obtain similar results for linear forms in $\sigma_{m}(Z)$. For instance, by (8) and (9), we obtain the following identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left(\sigma_{2}(Z)-k \sigma_{1}(Z)\right) & =s_{1}(Z)^{2}-s_{2}(Z)-2 k s_{1}(Z) \\
& =\left(d^{2}-a_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(3 d^{2}-4 a_{1}+a_{2}\right)-2 k\left(d^{2}-a_{1}\right) \\
& =d^{4}-(2 k+3) d^{2}-a_{1}\left(2 d^{2}-2 k-4-a_{1}\right)-a_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From $0 \leqslant a_{1}, a_{2} \leqslant d^{2}$, it is easy to see that its right hand side is less than or equal to $d^{4}-(2 k+3) d^{2}$ when $k \leqslant d^{2} / 2-2$ with equality attained iff $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$. (The case $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=\left(d^{2}, 0\right)$ for $k=d^{2} / 2-2$ is impossible, since $a_{1}=d^{2}$ yields $a_{2}=d^{2}$ by (6).) Thus, the following statement (more general than Theorem 1 (ii)) is true:

Proposition 1. Let $d \geqslant 3, k \in\left(-\infty, d^{2} / 2-2\right]$ and let $Z$ be as in (1) with $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{U}$. Then,

$$
\sigma_{2}(Z)-k \sigma_{1}(Z) \leqslant \frac{d^{4}-(2 k+3) d^{2}}{2}
$$

with equality iff $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{d} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} z_{j}^{2}=0$.
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