

CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE OF FEJÉR MEANS OF TWO PARAMETER CONJUGATE WALSH TRANSFORMS

USHANGI GOGINAVA* AND SALEM BEN SAID

(Communicated by I. Perić)

Abstract. Weisz proved-among others – that for $f \in L\log L$ the Fejér means $\widetilde{\sigma}_{n,m}^{(t,u)}$ of conjugate transform of two-parameter Walsh-Fourier series a. e. converges to $f^{(t,u)}$. The main aim of this paper is to prove that for any Orlicz space, which is not a subspace of $L\log L$, the set of functions for which Walsh-Fejér Means of two parameter Conjugate Transforms converge in measure is of first Baire category.

1. Definitions and notations

We shall denote the set of all non-negative integers by \mathbb{N} , the set of all integers by \mathbb{Z} and the set of dyadic rational numbers in the unit interval $\mathbb{I} := [0,1)$ by \mathbb{Q} . In particular, each element of \mathbb{Q} has the form $\frac{p}{2^n}$ for some $p,n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \le p \le 2^n$.

Denote the dyadic expension of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{I}$ by

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j 2^j, \ n_j = 0, 1$$

and

$$x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_j}{2^{j+1}}, \ x_j = 0, 1.$$

In the case of $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ chose the expension which terminates in zeros. n_i, x_i are the *i*-th coordinates of n, x, respectively. Define the dyadic addition \dotplus as

$$x \dotplus y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k - y_k| 2^{-(k+1)}.$$

Denote by \oplus the dyadic (or logical) addition. That is,

$$k \oplus n := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |k_i - n_i| 2^i,$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 42C10.

Keywords and phrases: Double Walsh-Fourier series, conjugate transform, convergence in measure.

^{*} Corresponding author.

where k_i, n_i are the *i*th coordinate of natural numbers k, n with respect to number system based 2.

The sets $I_n(x):=\{y\in\mathbb{I}:y_0=x_0,\ldots,y_{n-1}=x_{n-1}\}$ for $x\in\mathbb{I},I_n:=I_n(0)$ for $0< n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $I_0(x):=\mathbb{I}$ are the dyadic intervals of \mathbb{I} . For $0< n\in\mathbb{N}$ denote by $|n|:=\max\{j\in\mathbb{N}:n_j\neq 0\}$, that is, $2^{|n|}\leqslant n<2^{|n|+1}$. Set $e_j:=1/2^{j+1}$, the i th coordinate of e_i is 1, the rest sre are zeros $(i\in\mathbb{N})$.

The Rademacher system is defined by

$$r_n(x) := (-1)^{x_n} \quad (x \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of the Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|} n_k x_k}, \ (x \in \mathbb{I}, \ n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The Walsh-Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x).$$

Recall that (see [12])

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in [0, 2^{-n}) \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in [2^{-n}, 1) \end{cases}, \tag{1}$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic intervals $\{I_n(x): x \in G\}$ is denoted by A^n , more precisely,

$$A^n := \sigma \left\{ I_n(x) : x \in G \right\}.$$

Denote by $f = (f_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ martingale with respect to $(A^n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [16, 17]). For a martingale

$$f \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f_n - f_{n-1}), \ f_{-1} = 0$$

the conjugate transforms are defined by

$$\widetilde{f}^{(t)} \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n(t) \left(f_n - f_{n-1} \right),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{I}$ is fixed.

Note that $\widetilde{f}^{(0)} = f$. As is well known, if f is an integrable function, then conjugate transforms $\widetilde{f}^{(t)}$ do exist almost everywhere, but they are not integrable in general.

Let

$$\rho_0(t) := r_0(t), \rho_k(t) := r_n(t) \text{ if } 2^{n-1} \le k < 2^n.$$

Then the nth partial sums of the conjugate transforms is given by

$$\widetilde{S}_{n}^{(t)}(x;f) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho_{k}(t) \, \widehat{f}(k) \, w_{k}(x) \quad (t \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The conjugate (C,1)-means of a martingale f are introduced by

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{n}^{(t)}(x;f) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{S}_{k}^{(t)}(x;f) \quad (t \in \mathbb{I}, n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

Set

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{n}^{(0)}(x;f) := \sigma_{n}(x;f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S_{k}(f) \quad (n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

We consider the double system $\{w_{n^1}(x^1) \times w_{n^2}(x^2) : n^1, n^2 \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on the unit square $\mathbb{I}^2 = [0,1) \times [0,1)$.

For a set $X \neq \emptyset$ let X^2 be its Cartesian product $X \times X$ taken with itself. The Cartesian product of two dyaduc intervals is said to be a dyadic rectangle. Clearrly, the dyadic rectangle of area $2^{-n^1} \times 2^{-n^2}$ containing $(x^1, x^2) \in \mathbb{I}^2$ is given by $I_{n^1}(x^1) \times I_{n^2}(x^2)$ The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n^1}(x^1) \times I_{n^2}(x^2) : x^1, x^2 \in \mathbb{I}\}$ will be denoted by $A^{n^1, n^2}(n^1, n^2 \in \mathbb{N})$. Let $(f_{n^1, n^2} : n^1, n^2 \in \mathbb{N})$ be two-parameter martingale with respect to $(A^{n^1, n^2} : n^1, n^2 \in \mathbb{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [16, 17]).

We denote by $L_0(\mathbb{I}^2)$ the Lebesgue space of functions that are measurable and finite almost everywhere on \mathbb{I}^2 . $\mu(A)$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set $A \subset \mathbb{I}^2$.

We denote by $L_p(\mathbb{I}^2)$ the class of all measurable functions f that are 1-periodic with respect to all variable and satisfy

$$||f||_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f|^p\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Let $L_Q = L_Q(\mathbb{I}^2)$ be the Orlicz space [13] generated by Young function Q, i.e. Q is convex continuous even function such that Q(0) = 0 and

$$\lim_{u \to +\infty} \frac{Q\left(u\right)}{u} = +\infty, \qquad \lim_{u \to 0} \frac{Q\left(u\right)}{u} = 0.$$

This space is endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_Q(\mathbb{I}^2)}=\inf\{k>0:\int\limits_{\mathbb{I}^2}Q(|f|/k)\leqslant 1\}.$$

In particular, if $Q(u) = u \log(1+u)$, u > 0, then the corresponding space will be denoted by $L \log L$.

For a martingale

$$f \sim \sum_{n^1, n^2 = 0}^{\infty} \left(f_{n^1, n^2} - f_{n^1 - 1, n^2} - f_{n^1, n^2 - 1} + f_{n^1 - 1, n^2 - 1} \right), \ f_{-1, n^2} = f_{n^1, -1} = f_{-1, -1} = 0$$

the conjugate transform is defined by the martingale

$$\widetilde{f}^{\left(t^{1},t^{2}\right)} \sim \sum_{n^{1},n^{2}=0}^{\infty} r_{n^{1}}\left(t^{1}\right) r_{n^{2}}\left(t^{2}\right) \left(f_{n^{1},n^{2}} - f_{n^{1}-1,n^{2}} - f_{n^{1},n^{2}-1} + f_{n^{1}-1,n^{2}-1}\right),$$

where $t^1, t^2 \in \mathbb{I}$ are fixed. Note that $\widetilde{f}^{(0,0)} = f$. As is well known, if $f \in L\log L(\mathbb{I}^2)$ then the conjugate transforms $\widetilde{f}^{(t^1,t^2)}$ do exists almost everywhere, but they are not integrable in general.

If $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$, then

$$\hat{f}(n^1, n^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(y^1, y^2) w_{n^1}(y^1) w_{n^2}(y^2) dy^1 dy^2$$

is the (n^1, n^2) -th Fourier coefficient of f.

The rectangular partial sums of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh system are defined by

$$S_{N^1,N^2}\left(x^1,x^2;f\right) = \sum_{n^1=0}^{N^1-1} \sum_{n^2=0}^{N^2-1} \hat{f}\left(n^1,n^2\right) w_{n^1}(x^1) w_{n^2}(x^2).$$

It is easy to see that the sequence $\left\{S_{2^{n^1},2^{n^2}}\left(f\right)=f_{n^1,n^2}:n^1,n^2\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$ is two-parameter martingale.

Then the (n^1, n^2) th partial sum of the conjugate transforms is given by

$$\widetilde{S}_{n^{1},n^{2}}^{\left(t^{1},t^{2}\right)}\left(x^{1},x^{2};f\right):=\sum_{\nu^{1}=0}^{n^{1}-1}\sum_{\nu^{2}=0}^{n^{2}-1}\rho_{\nu^{1}}\left(t^{1}\right)\rho_{\nu^{2}}\left(t^{2}\right)\widehat{f}\left(\nu^{1},\nu^{2}\right)w_{\nu^{1}}\left(x^{1}\right)w_{\nu^{2}}\left(x^{2}\right).$$

The conjugate (C, 1, 1) means of the function f are introduced by

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{n^1,n^2}^{\left(t^1,t^2\right)}\left(x^1,x^2;f\right) := \frac{1}{n^1n^2} \sum_{v^1-1}^{n^1} \sum_{v^2-1}^{n^2} \widetilde{S}_{v^1,v^2}^{\left(t^1,t^2\right)}\left(x^1,x^2;f\right).$$

The rectangular partial sums of the Walsh-Fourier series $S_{n^1,n^2}(f)$, of the function $f \in L_p\left(\mathbb{I}^2\right)$, $1 converge in <math>L^p$ norm to the function f as $n^1, n^2 \to \infty$, [11, 19]. In the case $L_1\left(\mathbb{I}^2\right)$ this result does not hold [6, 12]. But in the one-dimensional case the operators S_n are of weak type (1,1) [15], that is the analogue of the estimate of Kolmogorov for conjugate function [8]. This estimate implies the convergence of $S_n(f)$ in measure on \mathbb{I} to the function $f \in L_1\left(\mathbb{I}\right)$. However, for double Walsh-Fourier series this result [5, 14] fails to hold.

Classical regular summation methods often improve the convergence of Walsh-Fourier series. For instance, the Fejér means $\sigma_{n^1,n^2}(f) := \widetilde{\sigma}_{n^1,n^2}^{(0,0)}(f)$ of the Walsh-Fourier series of the function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$, converge in norm $L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$ to the function f, as $n^1, n^2 \to \infty$ [9, 19, 7].

In 1992 Móricz, Schipp and Wade [10] proved with respect to the Walsh-Paley system that

$$\sigma_{n^{1},n^{2}}\left(f\right) = \frac{1}{n^{1}n^{2}} \sum_{v^{1}=0}^{n^{1}-1} \sum_{v^{2}=0}^{n^{2}-1} S_{v^{1},v^{2}}(f) \to f$$

a.e. for each $f \in L\log^+L(\mathbb{I}^2)$, when $\min\left\{n^1,n^2\right\} \to \infty$. In 2000 Gát proved [4] that the theorem of Möricz, Schipp and Wade above can not be improved. Namely, let $\delta:[0,+\infty)\to[0,+\infty)$ be a measurable function with property $\lim_{t\to\infty}\delta(t)=0$. Gát proved [4] the existence of a function $f\in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$ such that $f\in L\log L\delta(L)$, and $\sigma_{n^1,n^2}(f)$ does not converge to f a.e. as $\min\left\{n^1,n^2\right\}\to\infty$. That is, the maximal convergence space for the (C,1,1) means of two-dimensional partial sums is $L\log L(\mathbb{I}^2)$. On the othar hand, the (C,1,1) means of two-dimensional partial sums of the function $f\in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$, converge in norm $L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$ to the function f, as $n^1,n^2\to\infty$ which imply converge in measure of the (C,1,1) means for all functions $f\in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$.

Almost everywhere convergence of conjugate (C,1,1) means of two-parameter Walsh-Fourier series was investigated by Weisz [18]. In particular, he proved the following theorem.

THEOREM W. Let t^1 , $t^2 \in \mathbb{I}$ and $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{I}^2)$. Then

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{n^1 n^2}^{\left(t^1, t^2\right)}\left(x^1, x^2; f\right) \to \widetilde{f}^{\left(t^1, t^2\right)}\left(x^1, x^2\right)$$

a. e. as $n^1, n^2 \rightarrow \infty$.

The main aim of this paper is to prove that when t^1, t^2 are dyadic irrational then the Walsh-Fejér Means of two parameter Conjugate Transforms does not improve the convergence in measure. In particular, we prove the following

THEOREM 1. Let t^1 , $t^2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$ be an Orlicz space such that

$$Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2) \nsubseteq L\log L(\mathbb{I}^2).$$

Then the set of function from the Orlicz space $Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$ with Fejér means of conjugate transform $\widetilde{\sigma}_{n^1,n^2}^{(t^1,t^2)}(f)$ of two-parameter Walsh-Fourier series converges in measure on \mathbb{I}^2 is of first Bairy category in $Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$.

COROLLARY 1. Let $t^1, t^2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function satisfying for $x \to \infty$, the condition

$$\varphi(x) = o(x \log x).$$

Then there exists a function $f \in L_1(\mathbb{I}^2)$ such that

$$a)\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \varphi\left(\left|f\left(x^1,x^2\right)\right|\right) dx^1 dx^2 < \infty;$$

b) Fejér means of conjugate transform of two-parameter Walsh-Fourier series of f diverge in measure on \mathbb{I}^2 .

2. Auxiliary results

THEOREM GGT. [3, 2] Let $\{T_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of linear continuous operators, acting from Orlicz space $Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$ in to the space $L_0(\mathbb{I}^2)$. Suppose that there exists a sequence of functions $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ from unit bull $S_Q(0,1)$ of space $Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$ and an increasing to infinity sequences $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\varepsilon_0 = \inf_{k} \mu\left\{\left(x^1, x^2\right) \in \mathbb{I}^2 : |T_{m_k} \xi_k\left(x^1, x^2\right)| > \lambda_k\right\} > 0.$$

Then the set of functions f from space $Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$, for which the sequence $\{T_m f\}$ converges in measure to an a. e. finite function is of first Baire category in space $Q(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$.

THEOREM GGT2. [3, 2] Let $\Phi(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$ be an Orlicz space and let $\varphi:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ be a measurable function with the condition $\varphi(x)=o(\Phi(x))$ as $x\to\infty$. Then there exists an Orlicz space $\omega(L)(\mathbb{I}^2)$ such that $\omega(x)=o(\Phi(x))$ as $x\to\infty$, and $\omega(x)\geqslant \varphi(x)$ for $x\geqslant c\geqslant 0$.

3. Proofs

Proof. Since t^1 and t^2 are dyadic irrational there exists two sequences of integers $\left\{a_i^{(k)}:i\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_i^{(k)}:i\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$, k=1,2 such that

$$0 \leqslant a_1^{(k)} \leqslant b_1^{(k)} < a_2^{(k)} \leqslant b_2^{(k)} < \dots < a_A^{(k)} \leqslant b_A^{(k)} < \dots$$

and

$$t_j^k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_i^{(k)} \leqslant j \leqslant b_i^{(k)} \\ 0, b_i^{(k)} < j < a_{i+1}^{(k)} \end{cases}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Set

$$\begin{split} \Delta_A^{(k)} &:= I_{b_A^{(k)}+1} \left(x_0^k, \dots, x_{a_1^{(k)}-1}^k, 0, x_{a_1^{(k)}+1}^k, \dots, x_{b_1^{(k)}-1}^k, 0, x_{b_1^{(k)}+1}^k, \dots, x_{b_1^{(k)}-1}^k, 0, x_{b_1^{(k)}+1}^k, \dots, x_{b_1^{(k)}-1}^k, 0 \right). \end{split}$$

Define the functions

$$h_A^{(k)}(x) := 2^{2A} \chi_{\Delta_A^{(k)}}(x), \ k = 1, 2$$

and

$$f_A(x^1, x^2) := h_A^{(1)}(x^1) h_A^{(2)}(x^2)$$

where χ_E is characteristic function of the set E.

Since

$$\widetilde{S}_{n^{1},n^{2}}^{\left(t^{1},t^{2}\right)}\left(x^{1},x^{2};f_{A}\right)=\widetilde{S}_{n^{1}}^{\left(t^{1}\right)}\left(x^{1},h_{A}^{\left(1\right)}\right)\widetilde{S}_{n^{2}}^{\left(t^{2}\right)}\left(x^{1},h_{A}^{\left(2\right)}\right)$$

we obtain

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1},2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{1},t^{2})}\left(x^{1},x^{2};f_{A}\right) = \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}}^{(t^{1})}\left(x^{1};h_{A}^{(1)}\right)\widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{2})}\left(x^{2};h_{A}^{(2)}\right). \tag{2}$$

Since for $2^{m-1} \le k < 2^m$ $(S_{2^{-1}}(f) = 0)$

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}_{k}^{(t)}\left(f\right) &= \rho_{0}\left(t\right)\widehat{f}\left(0\right)w_{0} + \sum_{l=1}^{m-1}r_{l}\left(t\right)\left(S_{2^{l}}\left(f\right) - S_{2^{l-1}}\left(f\right)\right) \\ &+ r_{m}\left(t\right)\left(S_{k}\left(f\right) - S_{2^{m-1}}\left(f\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{m-1}r_{l}\left(t\right)\left(S_{2^{l}}\left(f\right) - S_{2^{l-1}}\left(f\right)\right) + r_{m}\left(t\right)\left(S_{k}\left(f\right) - S_{2^{m-1}}\left(f\right)\right) \end{split}$$

we have

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}+1}}^{(t)}(f) = \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{2b_{A}+1} \sum_{k=2^{m-1}}^{2^{m-1}} \widetilde{S}_{k}^{(t)}(f)
= \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{2b_{A}+1} 2^{m-1} \widetilde{S}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t)}(f)
+ \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{2b_{A}+1} r_{m}(t) \left(2^{m} \sigma_{2^{m}}(f) - 2^{m-1} \sigma_{2^{m-1}}(f)\right)
- \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{2b_{A}+1} r_{m}(t) 2^{m-1} S_{2^{m-1}}(f).$$
(3)

Set

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{x}^1 \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{(1)} &:= I_{b_{\boldsymbol{A}}^{(k)}+1} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_0^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{a_1^{(1)}-1}^1, 0, \boldsymbol{x}_{a_1^{(1)}+1}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{b_1^{(1)}-1}^1, 0, \boldsymbol{x}_{b_1^{(1)}+1}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{a_A^{(1)}-1}^1, 1, \boldsymbol{x}_{a_A^{(1)}+1}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_{b_A^{(1)}-1}^1, 1 \right). \end{split}$$

Then from (1) we have

$$S_{2^{m-1}}\left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{I}} h_{A}^{(1)}(s) D_{2^{m-1}}\left(x^{1} + s\right) ds$$

$$= 2^{m-1} \int_{I_{m-1}(x^{1})} h_{A}^{(1)}(s) ds = 0$$

$$(4)$$

if $m > a_A^{(1)} + 1$. It is well known that (see [12])

$$\sigma_{2^{m-1}}\left(x^{1};h_{A}^{(1)}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}h_{A}^{(1)}\left(s\right)K_{2^{m-1}}\left(x^{1}\dotplus s\right)ds,$$

where

$$K_{2^{n}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(2^{-n} D_{2^{n}}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{n} 2^{j-n} D_{2^{n}}(x + e_{j}) \right).$$

Let $m > b_i^{(1)} + 2$. Then $h_A^{(1)}(s) \neq 0$ imply that there exists at least two coordinates in $x^1 \dotplus s = (y_0, y_1, \ldots)$ which are equal to 1. Consequently,

$$\sigma_{2^{m-1}}\left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)}\right) = 0 \tag{5}$$

when $m > b_i^{(1)} + 2$ and $x^1 \in \widetilde{\Delta}_i^{(1)}$.

Let $x^1 \in \widetilde{\Delta}_i^{(1)}$. Then Combining (3)–(5) we obtain

$$\left| \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}}^{(t^{1})} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right|$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}} \left| \sum_{m=b_{i}^{(1)}+3}^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1} 2^{m-1} \widetilde{S}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^{1})} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right|$$

$$- \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{b_{i}^{(1)}+2} 2^{m-1} \widetilde{S}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^{1})} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right|$$

$$- \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{b_{i}^{(1)}+2} \left(2^{m} \left| \sigma_{2^{m}} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right| + 2^{m-1} \left| \sigma_{2^{m-1}} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right|$$

$$- \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{b_{i}^{(1)}+2} 2^{m-1} \left| S_{2^{m-1}} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right| .$$

$$(6)$$

Since

$$\left| S_{2^{m-1}} \left(x^1; h_A^{(1)} \right) \right|, \left| \widetilde{S}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^1)} \left(x^1; h_A^{(1)} \right) \right|, \left| \sigma_{2^m} \left(x^1; h_A^{(1)} \right) \right| \leqslant 2^m$$

from (6) we have

$$\left| \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}}^{(t^{1})} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right|$$

$$\geqslant \left| \frac{1}{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}} \left| \sum_{m=b_{i}^{(1)}+3}^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1} 2^{m-1} \widetilde{S}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^{1})} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right| - c \right|.$$

$$(7)$$

Now, we estimate $\widetilde{S}_{2^m}^{\binom{t^1}{2}}\left(x^1;h_A^{(1)}\right)$ when $m\geqslant b_i^{(1)}+3$. It is easy to see that

$$\widetilde{S}_{2^{m}}^{(t^{1})}\left(x^{1};h_{A}^{(1)}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} h_{A}^{(1)}(s)\,\widetilde{D}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^{1})}\left(x^{1} \dotplus s\right)ds,\tag{8}$$

where

$$\widetilde{D}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^1)}(x) := \sum_{l=1}^{m} (-1)^{t_l} (D_{2^l}(x) - D_{2^{l-1}}(x)). \tag{9}$$

We can write

$$\widetilde{D}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^{1})}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{m} (1 - 2t_{l}) (D_{2^{l}}(x) - D_{2^{l-1}}(x))$$

$$= (1 - 2t_{m}) D_{2^{m}}(x) - 2 \sum_{l=0}^{m-2} (t_{l} - t_{l+1}) D_{2^{l}}(x).$$
(10)

Then from (1) and (8)–(10) we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\widetilde{S}_{2^{m-1}}^{(t^1)}\left(x^1;h_A^{(1)}\right) \\ &= -2\sum_{l=0}^{m-2}\left(t_l - t_{l+1}\right)S_{2^l}\left(x^1;h_A^{(1)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1}\left[2S_{2^{a_k^{(1)}-1}}\left(x^1;h_A^{(1)}\right) - 2S_{2^{b_k^{(1)}}}\left(x^1;h_A^{(1)}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + 2S_{2^{a_l^{(1)}-1}}\left(x^1;h_A^{(1)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1}\left[2^{a_k^{(1)}+2A}\mu\left(I_{a_k^{(1)}-1}\left(x^1\right)\cap\Delta_A^{(1)}\right) - 2^{b_k^{(1)}+1+2A}\mu\left(I_{b_k^{(1)}}\left(x^1\right)\cap\Delta_A^{(1)}\right)\right] \\ &\quad + 2^{a_i^{(1)}+2A}\mu\left(I_{a_i^{(1)}-1}\left(x^1\right)\cap\Delta_A^{(1)}\right). \end{split}$$

It is easy to calculate that

$$\mu\left(I_{a_k^{(1)}-1}\left(x^1\right)\cap\Delta_A^{(1)}\right) = \frac{2^{b_A^{(1)}-a_k^{(1)}+2-2(A-k+1)}}{2^{b_A^{(1)}+1}} = 2^{-a_k^{(1)}-2(A-k)-1}$$

and

$$\mu\left(I_{b_{k}^{(1)}}\left(x^{1}\right)\cap\Delta_{A}^{(1)}\right)=\frac{2^{b_{A}^{(1)}-\left(b_{k}^{(1)}-1\right)-\left[2(A-k)+1\right]}}{2^{b_{A}^{(1)}+1}}=2^{-b_{k}^{(1)}-2(A-k)-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} & \widetilde{S}_{2^{m-1}}^{\left(t^{1}\right)}\left(x^{1};h_{A}^{\left(1\right)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{i-1}\left[2^{a_{k}^{\left(1\right)}+2A}2^{-a_{k}^{\left(1\right)}-2(A-k)-1}-2^{b_{k}^{\left(1\right)}+1+2A}2^{-b_{k}^{\left(1\right)}-2(A-k)-1}\right] \\ & +2^{a_{i}^{\left(1\right)}+2A}2^{-a_{i}^{\left(1\right)}-2(A-i)-1} \\ &= \frac{2^{2i}+2}{3}, \end{split}$$

when

$$m \geqslant b_i^{(1)} + 3 \text{ and } x^1 \in \widetilde{\Delta}_i^{(1)}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, A.$$

Consequently, from (7) we get

$$\left| \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}}^{(t^{1})} \left(x^{1}; h_{A}^{(1)} \right) \right| \geqslant c_{1} 2^{2i}, \text{ when } x^{1} \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{i}^{(1)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, A.$$
 (11)

Analogously, we can prove

$$\left|\widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{2})}\left(x^{2};h_{A}^{(2)}\right)\right| \geqslant c_{1}2^{2j}, \text{ when } x^{1} \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{j}^{(2)}, \ j=1,2,\ldots,A.$$
 (12)

Combining (2), (11) and (12) we have

$$\left| \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1},2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{1},t^{2})} (x^{1},x^{2};f_{A}) \right| \geqslant c_{0}2^{2i+2j}$$

when $(x^1, x^2) \in \widetilde{\Delta}_i^{(1)} \times \widetilde{\Delta}_j^{(2)}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, A$.

$$\Omega_A := igcup_{i,j=1}^A \widetilde{\Delta}_i^{(1)} imes \widetilde{\Delta}_j^{(2)}.$$

Now, we prove that

$$\mu\left(\left\{\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{I}^{2} : \left|\widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{\left(t^{1}, t^{2}\right)}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}; f_{A}\right)\right| > 2^{2A}\right\}\right) \geqslant \frac{cA}{2^{2A}}.$$
(13)

Indeed.

$$\mu\left(\left\{ (x^{1}, x^{2}) \in \mathbb{I}^{2} : \left| \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{1}, t^{2})} (x^{1}, x^{2}; f_{A}) \right| > 2^{2A} \right\} \right)$$

$$\geqslant \mu\left(\left\{ (x^{1}, x^{2}) \in \Omega_{A} : \left| \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{1}, t^{2})} (x^{1}, x^{2}; f_{A}) \right| > 2^{2A} \right\} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{A} \mu\left(\left\{ (x^{1}, x^{2}) \in \widetilde{\Delta}_{i}^{(1)} \times \widetilde{\Delta}_{j}^{(2)} : \left| \widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{1}, t^{2})} (x^{1}, x^{2}; f_{A}) \right| > 2^{2A} \right\} \right)$$

$$\geqslant c \sum_{i=1}^{A} \sum_{j=A-i}^{A} \frac{1}{2^{2i+2j}} \geqslant \frac{c_{2}A}{2^{2A}}.$$

Hence (13) is proved.

Next, we prove that there exists $\left(y_1^1, y_1^2\right), \dots, \left(y_{p(A)}^1, y_{p(A)}^2\right) \in \mathbb{I}^2$, $p(A) := \left[2^{2A}/c_2A\right] + 1$, such that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{p(A)} \left(\Omega_A \dotplus \left(y_j^1, y_j^2\right)\right)\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$
(14)

Indeed.

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{p(A)} \left(\Omega_{A} \dotplus \left(y_{j}^{1}, y_{j}^{2}\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= 1 - \mu\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{p(A)} \left(\overline{\Omega_{A} \dotplus \left(y_{j}^{1}, y_{j}^{2}\right)}\right)\right)$$

$$= 1 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega}_{A}}\left(s^{1} \dotplus y_{1}^{1}, s^{2} \dotplus y_{1}^{2}\right) \cdots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega}_{A}}\left(s^{1} \dotplus y_{p(A)}^{1}, s^{2} \dotplus y_{p(A)}^{2}\right) ds^{1} ds^{2}.$$
(15)

Interpreting $\mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}\left(s^1 \dotplus y_1^1, s^2 \dotplus y_1^2\right) \cdots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_A}}\left(s^1 \dotplus y_{p(A)}^1, s^2 \dotplus y_{p(A)}^2\right)$ as a function of the 2p(A) + 2 variables $s^1, s^2, \left(y_1^1, y_1^2\right), \dots, \left(y_{p(A)}^1, y_{p(A)}^2\right)$ and integrating over all variables, each over \mathbb{I}^2 , we note that

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^2} \cdots \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega}_A} \left(s^1 \dotplus y_1^1, s^2 \dotplus y_1^2 \right) \cdots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega}_A} \left(s^1 \dotplus y_{p(A)}^1, s^2 \dotplus y_{p(A)}^2 \right) \\ &ds^1 ds^2 dy_1^1 dy_1^2 \cdots dy_{p(A)}^1 dy_{p(A)}^2 \\ &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega}_A} \left(s^1 \dotplus y_1^1, s^2 \dotplus y_1^2 \right) dy_1^1 dy_1^2 \right) \\ &\cdots \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega}_A} \left(s^1 \dotplus y_{p(A)}^1, s^2 \dotplus y_{p(A)}^2 \right) dy_{p(A)}^1 dy_{p(A)}^2 \right) ds^1 ds^2 \\ &= \left(\mu \left(\overline{\Omega}_A \right) \right)^{p(A)} = \left(1 - \mu \left(\Omega_A \right) \right)^{p(A)} \\ &\leqslant \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(A)} \right)^{p(A)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}. \end{split}$$

Consequently, there exists $\left(y_1^1,y_1^2\right),\ldots,\left(y_{p(A)}^1,y_{p(A)}^2\right)\in\mathbb{I}^2$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_{A}}} \left(s^{1} \dotplus y_{1}^{1}, s^{2} \dotplus y_{1}^{2} \right) \cdots \mathbb{I}_{\overline{\Omega_{A}}} \left(s^{1} \dotplus y_{p(A)}^{1}, s^{2} \dotplus y_{p(A)}^{2} \right) ds^{1} ds^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$
 (16)

Combining (15) and (16) we conclude that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{p(A)}\left(\Omega_A\dotplus\left(y_j^1,y_j^2\right)\right)\right)\geqslant 1-\frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence (14) is proved.

Set $(s := s^1 + s^2 \in \mathbb{I})$

$$F_{A}(x^{1}, x^{2}, s) := \frac{1}{p(A)} \sum_{j=1}^{p(A)} r_{j}(s^{1} + s^{2}) f_{A}(x^{1} + y_{j}^{1}, x^{2} + y_{j}^{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{p(A)} \sum_{j=1}^{p(A)} r_{j}(s) f_{A}(x^{1} + y_{j}^{1}, x^{2} + y_{j}^{2}).$$

Then it is proved in ([1], pp. 7–12) that there exists $s_0 \in \mathbb{I}$, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |F_A(x^1, x^2, s_0)| dx^1 dx^2 \le 1$$
(17)

and

$$\mu\left\{\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{I}^{2} : \left|\widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{2b_{A}^{(2)}+1}}^{\left(t^{1}, t^{2}\right)}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}; F_{A}\right)\right| > cA\right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{8}.\tag{18}$$

From the condition of the Theorem 1 we write

$$\liminf_{u \to \infty} \frac{Q(u)}{u \log u} = 0.$$

Consequently, there exists a sequence of integers $\{A_k : k \ge 1\}$ icreasing to infinity, such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{Q(2^{4A_k})}{2^{4A_k} A_k} = 0 \tag{19}$$

and

$$\frac{Q\left(2^{4A_k}\right)}{2^{4A_k}} \geqslant 1. \tag{20}$$

Set

$$\xi_k(x^1, x^2) := \frac{2^{4A_k - 1}}{Q(2^{4A_k})} F_{A_k}(x^1, x^2; s_0).$$

Now, we prove that

$$\|\xi_k\|_{O(L)} \leqslant 1. \tag{21}$$

Indeed, since

$$\|f_{A_k}\|_{\infty} \le 2^{4A_k},$$

$$Q(u) \le \frac{Q(u')}{u'}u \qquad (0 < u < u'),$$

$$\frac{2^{4A_k}}{Q(2^{4A_k})} \|F_k\|_{\infty} \leqslant 2^{4A_k}$$

and

$$\|\xi_{k}\|_{Q(L)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} Q(2|\xi_{k}(x^{1},x^{2})|) dx^{1} dx^{2} + 1 \right]$$

we can write

$$\|\xi_{k}\|_{Q(L)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} Q\left(\frac{2^{4A_{k}}}{Q(2^{4A_{k}})} \left| F_{A_{k}}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}; s_{0}\right) \right| \right) dx^{1} dx^{2} + 1 \right]$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^{2}} \frac{Q(2^{4A_{k}})}{2^{4A_{k}}} \frac{2^{4A_{k}}}{Q(2^{4A_{k}})} \left| F_{A_{k}}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}; s_{0}\right) \right| dx^{1} dx^{2} + 1 \right]$$

$$\leqslant 1.$$

Hence (21) is proved.

On the other hand, from (18) we have

$$\mu\left\{\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{I}^{2} : \left|\widetilde{\sigma}_{2^{2b_{A_{k}}^{(1)}+1}, 2^{2b_{A_{k}}^{(2)}+1}}^{(t^{1}, t^{2})}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}; \xi_{k}\right)\right| > \frac{cA_{k} 2^{4A_{k}}}{Q\left(2^{4A_{k}}\right)}\right\} \geqslant \frac{1}{8}.$$
 (22)

Combine (19), (21) and (22), from Theorem GGT we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

The validity of Corollary 1 follows immediately from Theorem 1 and Lemma GGT2.

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful United Arab Emirates University for financial support through the Start-up Grant No. G00002950.

REFERENCES

- A. GARSIA, Topics in almost everywhere convergence. Lectures in Advanced Mathematics, 4 Markham Publishing Co., Chicago, 1970.
- [2] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA AND G. TKEBUCHAVA, Convergence in measure of logarithmic means of double Walsh-Fourier series, Georgian Math. J. 12, 4 (2005), 607–618.
- [3] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA AND G. TKEBUCHAVA, Convergence in measure of logarithmic means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 323, 1 (2006), 535– 549.
- [4] G. GÁT, On the divergence of the (C,1) means of double Walsh-Fourier series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128, 6 (2000), 1711–1720.
- [5] R. GETSADZE, On the divergence in measure of multiple Fourier seties, Some problems of functions theory 4, 1 (1988), 84–117.
- [6] B. I. GOLUBOV, A. V. EFIMOV AND V. A. SKVORTSOV, Series and transformations of Walsh, Nauka, 1987 (Russian); English transl.: Kluwer Acad. publ, Moscow, 1991.
- [7] S. A. KONJAGIN, On subsequences of partial Fourier-Walsh series, Mat. Notes, 54, 4 (1993), 69-75.
- [8] A. N. KOLMOGOROV, Sur les functions harmoniques conjugees and les series de Fouries, Fund. Math. 7, 1 (1925), 23–28.

- [9] G. MORGENTHALLER, Walsh-Fourier series, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 84, 2 (1957), 472-507.
- [10] F. MÖRICZ, F. SCHIPP AND W. R. WADE, Cesàro summability of double Walsh-Fourier series, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 329, 1 (1992), 131–140.
- [11] A. PALEY, A remarkable series of orthogonal functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3, 4 (1932), 241–279.
- [12] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON AND J. PÁL, Walsh series: an introduction to dyadic harmonic analysis, Adam Hilger, Bristol and New York, 1990.
- [13] M. A. KRASNOSEL'SKII AND YA. B. RUTICKII, Convex functions and Orlicz space (English translation), P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1961.
- [14] G. TKEBUCHAVA, On multiple Fourier, Fourier-Walsh and Fourier-Haar series in nonreflexive separable Orlicz space, Bull. Georg. Acad. Sci. 149, 2 (1994), 1–3.
- [15] C. WATARY, On generalized Fourier-Walsh series, Tohoku Math. J. vol 10, 3 (1968), 211-241.
- [16] F. Weisz, Martingale Hardy spaces and their Applications in Fourier analysis, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1994.
- [17] F. Weisz, Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [18] F. WEISZ, The maximal (C, α, β) operator of two-parameter Walsh-Fourier series, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6, 4 (2000), 389–401.
- [19] L. V. ZHIZHIASHVILI, Some problems of multidimensional harmonic analysis, TGU, Tbilisi, 1996.

(Received February 13, 2020)

Ushangi Goginava Department of Mathematical Sciences United Arab Emirates University P.O. Box No. 15551, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, UAE e-mail: zazagoginava@gmail.com

Salem Ben Said

Department of Mathematical Sciences

United Arab Emirates University
P.O. Box No. 15551, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, UAE

e-mail: salem.bensaid@uaeu.ac.ae