# A SIMPLE COUNTEREXAMPLE FOR THE PERMANENT-ON-TOP CONJECTURE

HOANG ANH TRAN

(Communicated by S. Varošanec)

Abstract. The permanent-on-top conjecture (POT) was an important conjecture on the largest eigenvalue of the Schur power matrix of a positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix, formulated by Soules. The conjecture claimed that for any positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix H, per(H) is the largest eigenvalue of the Schur power matrix of the matrix H. After half a century, the POT conjecture has been proven false by the existence of counterexamples which are checked with the help of computer. It raises concerns about a counterexample that can be checked by hand (without the need of computers). A new simple counterexample for the permanent-on-top conjecture is presented which is a complex matrix of dimension 5 and rank 2.

### 1. Introduction and notations

The symbol  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group on n objects. The permanent of a square matrix is a vital function in linear algebra that is similar to the determinant. For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  with complex coefficients, its permanent is defined as  $per(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i,\sigma(i)}$ . By  $\mathscr{H}_n$  we mean the set of all  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrices. The Schur power matrix of a given  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , denoted by  $\pi(A)$ , is a  $n! \times n!$  matrix with the elements indexed by permutations  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ :

$$\pi_{\sigma\tau}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}.$$

CONJECTURE 1. The permanent-on-top conjecture (POT) [9]: Let H be an  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix, then per(H) is the largest eigenvalue of  $\pi(H)$ .

In 2016, Shchesnovich provided a 5-square, rank 2 counterexample to the permanent-on-top conjecture with the help of computer [8].

I wish to thank my supervisor E. Frenkel Péter for his assistance during the time I study the topic.



Mathematics subject classification (2020): 20G05, 15A15, 15A18, 15A03, 47A80.

*Keywords and phrases*: POT conjecture, positive semi-definite Hermitian matrices, representation theory, permanent.

DEFINITION 1. For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , let  $d_A$  be a function  $S_n \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$d_A(\sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)i}.$$

This function is also called the "diagonal product" function [1]. Then we can define  $det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{sign(\sigma)} d_A(\sigma)$  and  $per(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} d_A(\sigma)$ .

For any *n*-square matrix A and  $I, J \subset [n]$ , A[I,J] denotes the submatrix of A consisting of entries which are the intersections of *i*-th rows and *j*-th columns where  $i \in I, j \in J$ . We define  $A(I,J) = A[I^c, J^c]$ .

In this paper, we shall study the properties of the spectrum of the Schur power matrix by examining the spectra of the matrices  $\mathscr{C}_k(A)$  which are defined in the manner:

For any  $1 \le k \le n$ , the matrix  $\mathscr{C}_k(A)$  is a matrix of size  $\binom{n}{k} \times \binom{n}{k}$  with its (I,J) entry (I and J are k-element subsets of [n]) defined by  $per(A[I,J]) \cdot per(A[I^c,J^c])$ . There is another conjecture on these matrices  $\mathscr{C}_k(A)$  which states that:

CONJECTURE 2. *Pate's conjecture* [7]: Let A be an  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix and k be a positive integer number less than n, then the largest eigenvalue of  $\mathscr{C}_k(A)$  is per(A).

Pate's conjecture is weaker than the permanent-on-top conjecture POT because it is well-known that every eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is also an eigenvalue of the Schur power matrix. In the case k = 1, in [1], it was conjectured that per(A) is necessarily the largest eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{C}_1(A)$  if  $A \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . Stephen W. Drury has provided an 8-square matrix as a counterexample for this case in the paper [2]. Besides, Bapat and Sunder raise a question as follows:

CONJECTURE 3. *Bapat & Sunder conjecture*: Let A and  $B = (b_{ij})$  be  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrices, then

$$\operatorname{per}(A \circ B) \leq \operatorname{per}(A) \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii}$$

where  $A \circ B$  is the entrywise product (Hadamard product).

The Bapat & Sunder conjecture is weaker than the permanent-on-top conjecture and has been proved false by a counterexample which is a positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix of order 7 proposed by Drury [3]. In the present paper, a new simple counterexample for the permanent-on-top conjecture and Pate's conjecture is presented. It has size  $5 \times 5$  and rank 2.

CONJECTURE 4. The Lieb permanent dominance conjecture 1966 [4]: Let H be a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_n$  and let  $\chi$  be a character of degree m of H. Then

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{\sigma\in H}\chi(\sigma)\prod_{i=1}^{n}a_{i\sigma(i)}\leqslant \operatorname{per}(A)$$

holds for all  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix A.

The permanent dominance conjecture is weaker than the permanent-on-top conjecture and still open. The POT conjecture was proposed by Soules in 1966 as a strategy to prove the permanent dominance conjecture.

DEFINITION 2. The elementary symmetric polynomials in *n* variables  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  are  $e_k$  for k = 0, 1, ..., n. In this paper, we define  $e_k(x_i)$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n to be the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree k in n-1 variables obtained by erasing variable  $x_i$  from the set  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  and, for any subset  $I \subset [n]$ , the notation  $e_k[I]$  denote the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree k in |I| variables  $x_i$ 's,  $i \in I$ .

## 2. Associated matrices

We define the associated matrix of a matrix representation  $W : S_n \to GL_N(\mathbb{C})$  with respect to a  $n \times n$  matrix A by:

$$M_W(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} d_A(\sigma) W(\sigma).$$

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** The Schur power matrix of a given  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix A is the associated matrix of the left-regular representation with respect to A.

*Proof.* Take a look at the  $(\sigma, \tau)$  entry of  $M_L(A)$  which is

$$\sum_{\eta \in S_n, \ \eta \circ \tau = \sigma} d_A(\eta) = d_A(\sigma \circ \tau^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(i)\tau(i)}$$

the right side is the  $(\sigma, \tau)$  entry of  $\pi(A)$ .  $\Box$ 

Let us now consider two important matrices  $\mathscr{C}_1(A)$  and  $\mathscr{C}_2(A)$  that shall appear frequently from now on.

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\mathscr{N}_k : S_n \to GL_{\binom{n}{k}}(\mathbb{C})$  be the matrix representation given by the permutation action of  $S_n$  on  $\binom{[n]}{k}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. For any  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix A, the matrix  $\mathscr{C}_k(A)$  is the matrix  $M_{\mathscr{N}_k}(A)$ .

We obtain directly the statement that every eigenvalue of matrix  $M_{\mathcal{N}_k}(A)$  is an eigenvalue of the associated matrix of the left-regular representation which is the Schur power matrix. Consequently, Pate's conjecture is weaker than the permanent-on-top conjecture(POT).

## **3.** Several properties of the Schur power matrix and $\mathscr{C}_1(A)$ in rank 2 case

The main object of this section is  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrices of rank 2. We know that every matrix  $A \in \mathscr{H}_n$  of rank 2 can be written as the sum  $v_1v_1^* + v_2v_2^*$  where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are two column vectors of order n.

DEFINITION 4. A matrix  $A \in \mathscr{H}_n$  is called "formalizable" if A can be written in the form  $v_1v_1^* + v_2v_2^*$  and every element of  $v_1$  vector is non-zero.

DEFINITION 5. The formalized matrix A' of a given formalizable matrix A defined in the manner: if  $A = v_1v_1^* + v_2v_2^*$  and  $v_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T$ ,  $a_i \neq 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $v_2 = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^T$  then  $A' = v_3v_3^* + v_4v_4^*$  where  $v_3 = (1, \dots, 1)^T$  and  $v_4 = (\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \dots, \frac{b_n}{a_n})^T$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** Let  $A \in \mathscr{H}_n$  be a formalizable matrix, then

$$\pi(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \pi(A').$$

*Proof.* We compare the  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -th entries of two matrices.

$$\pi_{\sigma\tau}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_{\sigma(i)}\overline{a_{\tau(i)}} + b_{\sigma(i)}\overline{b_{\tau(i)}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |a_i|^2 \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{b_{\sigma(i)}}{a_{\sigma(i)}} \overline{\frac{b_{\tau(i)}}{a_{\tau(i)}}}\right)$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} |a_i|^2 \pi_{\sigma\tau}(A'). \quad \Box$$

REMARK 1. The same result will be obtained with the matrices  $\mathscr{C}_k(A)$  and  $\mathscr{C}_k(A')$ . It is obvious to see that if the matrix A is a counterexample for the permanent-on-top conjecture and Pate's conjecture then so is A'. Assume that we have an unformalizable matrix  $B \in \mathscr{H}$  of rank 2 that is a counterexample for the permanent-on-top conjecture and Pate's conjecture. That also implies that there is a column vector x such that the following inequality holds

$$\frac{x^*\pi(B)x}{\|x\|^2} > \operatorname{per}(B).$$

By continuity and  $B = vv^* + uu^*$ , we can change slightly the zero elements of the vector v such the the inequality remains. Therefore, if the permanent-on-top conjecture or Pate's conjecture is false for some positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix of rank 2 then so is the permanent-on-top conjecture and Pate's conjecture for some formalizable matrices. That draws our attention to the set of all formalizable matrices.

For any  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix *A* of rank 2 there exist two eigenvectors of *v* and *u* of *A* such that  $A = vv^* + uu^*$ . Let  $u_i, v_i$  be the *i*-th row elements of *v* and *u* respectively for  $i = \overline{1, n}$ . In the case *A* has a zero row then per(A) = 0 and the Schur power matrix and matrices  $\mathscr{C}_k(A)$  of *A* are all zero matrices, there is nothing to discuss. Otherwise, every row of *A* has a non-zero element (so does

every column since A is a Hermitian matrix) which means that for any  $i = \overline{1, n}$ , the inequalities  $|v_i|^2 + |u_i|^2 > 0$  hold. Besides, A can be rewritten in the form

$$(\sin(x)\nu + \cos(x)u)(\sin(x)\nu + \cos(x)u)^* + (\cos(x)\nu - \sin(x)u)(\cos(x)\nu - \sin(x)u)^*$$
$$\forall x \in [0, 2\pi]$$

and the system of *n* equations  $\sin(x)v_i + \cos(x)u_i = 0$ ,  $i = \overline{1,n}$  takes finite solutions in the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Therefore, there exists  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$  satisfying that  $(\sin(x)v + \cos(x)u)$  has every element different from 0. Hence, every rank 2 positive semi-definite Hermitian that has no zero-row is formalizable. Several properties about the formalized matrices are presented below.

Let  $H \in \mathscr{H}_n$  be a formalizable matrix of the form  $H = vv^* + uu^*$  where  $v = (1, ..., 1)^T$  and  $u = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ . We recall quickly the Kronecker product [10].

DEFINITION 6. The Kronecker product (also known as tensor product or direct product) of two matrices A and B of sizes  $m \times n$  and  $s \times t$ , respectively, is defined to be the  $(ms) \times (nt)$  matrix

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B \dots a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B \dots a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}B & a_{n2}B \dots a_{nn}B \end{pmatrix}$$

LEMMA 1. The upper bound of rank of the Schur power matrix of rank 2: If A is  $n \times n$  of rank 2 then rank of  $\pi(A)$  is not larger than  $2^n - n$ .

*Proof.* We observe that  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = 2$  implies that  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(A)) = 2$  and  $\dim(\operatorname{Ker}(A)) = n-2$ . Let  $\langle w,t \rangle$  be an orthonormal basis of the orthogonal complement of  $\operatorname{Ker}(A)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then denote v = Aw, u = At. Thus, A can be rewritten in the form  $vw^* + ut^*$  where  $v = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)^T$ ,  $u = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)^T$ . It is obvious that  $\operatorname{Im}(A) = \langle v, u \rangle$ . Let us denote the Kronecker product of n copies of the matrix A by  $\otimes^n A$ . The mixed-product property of Kronecker product implies that  $\operatorname{Im}(\otimes^n A) = \langle \{ \otimes_{i=1}^n t_i, t_i \in \{v, u\} \} \rangle$ . Furthermore, the Schur power matrix of A is a diagonal submatrix of  $\otimes^n A$  obtained by deleting all entries of  $\otimes^n A$  that are products of entries of A having two entries in the same row or column. Let define the function f in the manner that

$$f: \{ \otimes_{i=1}^n t_i, t_i \in \{v, u\} \} \to \widetilde{V}$$

and the  $\sigma$ -th element of  $f(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i)$  vector of order n! is  $\prod_{i=1}^{n} t_i(\sigma(i))$  where  $t_i(j)$  is the *j*-th row element of the column vector  $t_i$ . Let  $\mathscr{B} = \{f(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} t_i), t_i \in \{v, u\}\}$  then  $\mathscr{B}$ is a generator of  $\operatorname{Im}(\pi(A))$  since  $\pi(A)$  is a principal matrix of  $\bigotimes^{n} A$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(\bigotimes^{n} A) = \langle \{\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} t_i, t_i \in \{v, u\}\} \rangle$ . We partition  $\mathscr{B}$  into disjoint sets  $S_k$ 

 $k = 0, 1, ..., n, S_k = \{f(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n t_i), t_i \in \{v, u\}, v \text{ appears } k \text{ times in the Kronecker product}\}.$ 

Hence, for any k = 1, 2, ..., n the  $\sigma$ -th row element of the sum vector  $\sum_{w \in S_k} w$  is

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_n \leq n}} \prod_{j=1}^k a_{\sigma(i_j)} \prod_{t=k+1}^n b_{\sigma(i_t)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_n \leq n}} \prod_{j=1}^k a_{i_j} \prod_{t=k+1}^n b_{i_t}$$

and  $S_0 = \{(1, 1, ..., 1)^T\}$ . Therefore, for any k = 1, ..., n then  $S_0 \cup S_k$  is linearly dependent. Hence, by deleting an arbitrary element of each set  $S_k$ , k = 1, ..., n, then it still remains a generator of  $\text{Im}(\pi(H))$ . Thus

 $\operatorname{rank}(\pi(A)) = \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(\pi(A))) \leq |\mathscr{B}| - n = 2^n - n.$ 

LEMMA 2. The permanent of a formalized matrix [5]:

$$per(H) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k! (n-k)! |e_k|^2.$$

Proof. We show that

$$per(H) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i \overline{x_{\sigma(i)}})$$
$$= n! + \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k} \overline{x_{\sigma(i_1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_k)}}$$
$$= n! + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k} \overline{\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x_{\sigma(i_1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_k)}}$$
$$= n! + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} k! (n-k)! x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l} \overline{e_k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n k! (n-k)! |e_k|^2. \quad \Box$$

We use the elementary symmetric polynomials to examine entries of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  with the (i, j)-th entry defined by  $(1 + x_i \overline{x_j})$ . per(H(i|j)) and

$$per(H(i|j)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n; \ \sigma(i)=j} \prod_{l \neq i} (1 + x_l \overline{x_{\sigma(l)}})$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n; \ \sigma(i)=j} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n; \ i_m \neq i} \sum_{k=1, \dots, k} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k} \overline{x_{\sigma(i_1)} \dots x_{\sigma(i_k)}}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n, \ i_m \neq i} k! (n-1-k)! x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l} \overline{e_k(x_j)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k! (n-1-k)! e_k(x_i) \overline{e_k(x_j)}.$$

And notice that

$$e_k = x_i e_{k-1}(x_i) + e_k(x_i) \ \forall k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then

$$\frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n} k! (n-k)! |e_k|^2$$
  
=  $(n-1)! (|e_0|^2 + |e_n|^2)$   
+  $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k! (n-k)!}{n} (x_i e_{k-1}(x_i) + e_k(x_i)) \overline{(x_j e_{k-1}(x_j) + e_k(x_j))}.$ 

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+x_i\overline{x_j}) \cdot \operatorname{per}(H(i|j)) - \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( k!(n-1-k)! - \frac{k!(n-k)!}{n} \right) e_k(x_i) \overline{e_k(x_j)} \\ &+ \left( (k-1)!(n-k)! - \frac{k!(n-k)!}{n} \right) x_i e_{k-1}(x_i) \overline{x_j e_{k-1}(x_j)} \\ &- \frac{k!(n-k)!}{n} (x_i e_{k-1}(x_i) \overline{e_k(x_j)} + \overline{x_j e_{k-1}(x_j)} e_k(x_i)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!}{n} (ke_k(x_i) - (n-k)x_i e_{k-1}(x_i)) (k\overline{e_k(x_j)} - (n-k)\overline{x_j e_{k-1}(x_j)}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!}{n} (ne_k(x_i) - (n-k)e_k) (\overline{ne_k(x_j)} - (n-k)e_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** The matrix  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathscr{C}_{1}(H) = \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} vv^{*} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!}{n} v_{k}v_{k}^{*}$$

where  $v = (1,...,1)^T$  of order *n*, for k = 1,...,n-1,  $v_k = (..., \underbrace{ne_k(x_i) - (n-k)e_k}_{i-th \ element}, ...)^T$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. For any k = 1, ..., n - 1,  $\langle v, v_k \rangle = 0$ .

Proof.

$$\langle v, v_k \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n (ne_k(x_i) - (n-k)e_k)$$
$$= n \sum_{i=1}^n e_k(x_i) - n(n-k)e_k$$
$$= 0. \quad \Box$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. The rank of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  is the cardinality of the set  $\{x_i, i = \overline{1,n}\}$ . In formula, rank $(\mathscr{C}_1(H)) = |\{x_i, i = \overline{1,n}\}|$ .

*Proof.* For the *i*-th element of  $v_k$ , we have

$$ne_k(x_i) - (n-k)e_k = ke_k - nx_ie_{k-1}(x_i) = ke_k + n\sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j e_{k-j}x_i^j$$

which leads us to a conclusion that  $\langle v, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \rangle = \langle p_0, \dots, p_{n-1} \rangle$  where

$$p_j = (\dots, \underbrace{x_i^j}_{i-\text{th element}}, \dots)^T$$

which is equal to  $|\{x_i, i = \overline{1, n}\}|$  by the determinantal formula of Vandermonde matrices.  $\Box$ 

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** The determinant of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  is given by

$$\det(\mathscr{C}_1(H)) = \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} n(k-1)!(n-1-k)! \cdot \prod_{i< j} |x_i - x_j|^2.$$

*Proof. Case* 1: There are indices *i* and *j* such that  $x_i = x_j$  then  $rank(\mathscr{C}_1(H)) < n$  that is equivalent to  $det(\mathscr{C}_1(H)) = 0$ .

*Case* 2:  $x_i$ 's are distinct then  $\{v, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  makes a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Therefore,  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  is similar to the Gramian matrix of n vectors  $\left\{\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n}}v; \sqrt{\frac{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!}{n}}v_k, k=\overline{1,n-1}\right\}$ . Thus

$$det(\mathscr{C}_1(H)) = det\left(G\left(\sqrt{\frac{per(H)}{n}}v; \sqrt{\frac{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!}{n}}v_k, \ k = \overline{1, n-1}\right)\right)$$
$$= \frac{per(H)}{n}\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{(k-1)!(n-1-k)!}{n} \cdot det(G(v,v_1,\dots,v_{n-1})).$$

And from the proof of proposition 3.4, we obtain that

The matrix in the right side is the transition matrix given by

The 
$$(i, j)$$
-th entry = 
$$\begin{cases} (-1)^{i} n e_{j-i} & \text{if } i > 1\\ (j-1)e_{j-1} & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } j > 1\\ 1 & \text{if } (i, j) = (1, 1) \end{cases}$$

with convention that  $e_0 = 1$ ;  $e_t = 0$  if t < 0. Moreover, we observe that the transition matrix is an upper triangular matrix with the absolute value of diagonal entries equal to n except the (1, 1)-th entry equal to 1 and  $(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$  is a Vandermonde matrix. Hence

$$\det(\mathscr{C}_{1}(H)) = \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} n(k-1)!(n-1-k)! \cdot \det(G(p_{0}, p_{1}, \dots, p_{n-1}))$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} n(k-1)!(n-1-k)! \cdot |\det(p_{0}, p_{1}, \dots, p_{n-1})|^{2}$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} n(k-1)!(n-1-k)! \cdot \prod_{i < j} |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{2}.$$

The right side is also equal to 0 if there are indices  $i \neq j$  such that  $x_i = x_j$ . Hence the equality holds in both cases.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 2. From the proposition 3.5, we are able to calculate the determinant of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  of any positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix H of rank 2 in the way:

Let *A* be an  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix of rank 2 then *A* can be written in the form  $vv^* + uu^*$  with  $v_i, u_i$  are the *i*-th elements of *v* and *u* respectively. Then the following formula for the determinant of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  is achieved.

THEOREM 1. Let  $H = vv^* + uu^*$  be an  $n \times n$  positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix then:

$$\det(\mathscr{C}_1(H)) = \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} n(k-1)!(n-1-k)! \cdot \prod_{i< j} |v_i u_j - v_j u_i|^2$$

where  $v_i$  and  $u_i$  are *i*-th elements of the vector *v* and *u* respectively.

#### 4. A counterexample for the conjectures 1 and 2 in the case n = 5

Let us take the values of  $u_i$ 's and  $v_i$ 's,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$u_1 = ai, u_2 = -a, u_3 = -ai, u_4 = a, u_5 = 0, v_i = 1 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 5$$

then  $e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_5 = 0$ ,  $e_4 = -a^4$ .

For any matrix of the form, the spectrum of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  is determined clearly by the mentioned above properties and theorems.

By lemma 3.1, rank $(\pi(H)) \leq 2^5 - 5 = 27$  which means that there are at most 27 positive eigenvalues.

By lemma 3.2,

$$per(H) = 120 + 24|e_1|^2 + 12|e_2|^2 + 12|e_3|^2 + 24|e_4|^2 + 120|e_5|^2$$
$$= 120 + 24a^8$$

and the proposition 3.2 implies that

$$\mathscr{C}_1(H) = \frac{\operatorname{per}(H)}{5}vv^* + \frac{6}{5}v_1v_1^* + \frac{2}{5}v_2v_2^* + \frac{2}{5}v_3v_3^* + \frac{6}{5}v_4v_4^*$$

where

$$v_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -5ai\\ 5a\\ 5ai\\ -5a\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -5a^{2}\\ 5a^{2}\\ -5a^{2}\\ 5a^{2}\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 5a^{3}i\\ 5a^{3}\\ -5a^{3}i\\ -5a^{3}i\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{4}\\ a^{4}\\ a^{4}\\ -4a^{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that  $\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  is orthogonal, thus those vectors are eigenvectors of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  corresponding to the eigenvalues

$$per(H) = 120 + 24a^8, \quad \frac{6}{5} ||v_1||^2 = 120a^2, \quad \frac{2}{5} ||v_2||^2 = 40a^4,$$
$$\frac{2}{5} ||v_3||^2 = 40a^6, \quad \frac{6}{5} ||v_4||^2 = 24a^8.$$

We replace  $a^2 = c$ , then  $tr(\pi(H)) = 120(1+c)^4$ . The spectrum of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  is

$$\{120+24c^4, 120c, 40c^2, 40c^3, 24c^4\}.$$

Moreover, every eigenvalue of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  except per(*H*) is an eigenvalue of  $\pi(H)$  with multiplicity at least 4 and, every eigenvalue of  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$  except eigenvalues of  $\mathscr{C}_1(H)$  is an eigenvalue of  $\pi(H)$  with multiplicity at least 5. Therefore, if we can calculate the sum and the sum of squares of at most 2 unknown positive eigenvalues of  $\pi(H)$ , then the spectrum is determined. We compute the trace of  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$ . The (i, j)(i, j)-th diagonal entry of  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$  is given by

$$per(H[\{i, j\}, \{i, j\}]) \cdot per(H(\{i, j\}, \{i, j\}))$$

$$= (2 + |e_1[\{i, j\}]|^2 + 2|e_2[\{i, j\}]|^2)$$

$$\times (6 + 2|e_1[\{i, j\}^c])|^2 + 2|e_2[\{i, j\}^c]|^2 + 6|e_3[\{i, j\}^c]|^2)$$

Coordinates	Values
(1,2)(1,2)	$(2+2c+2c^2)(6+4c+2c^2)$
(1,3)(1,3)	$(2+2c^2)(6+2c^2)$
(1,4)(1,4)	$(2+2c+2c^2)(6+4c+2c^2)$
(1,5)(1,5)	$(2+c)(6+2c+2c^2+6c^3)$
(2,3)(2,3)	$(2+2c+2c^2)(6+4c+2c^2)$
(2,4)(2,4)	$(2+2c^2)(6+2c^2)$
(2,5)(2,5)	$(2+c)(6+2c+2c^2+6c^3)$
(3,4)(3,4)	$(2+2c+2c^2)(6+4c+2c^2)$
(4,5)(4,5)	$(2+c)(6+2c+2c^2+6c^3)$
$\operatorname{tr}(\mathscr{C}_2(H))$	$120 + 48c^4 + 104c^3 + 152c^2 + 120c$

Hence, we use the table to represent all the diagonal entries of  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$ .

Furthermore, we use the symmetric polynomials to calculate the sum of all squares of eigenvalues.

$$\operatorname{tr}(\pi(H)^2) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \sum_{\tau \in S_5} \left| \prod_{i=1}^5 (1 + u_{\sigma(i)} \overline{u_{\tau(i)}}) \right|^2$$
$$= 120 \sum_{\sigma \in S_5} \left| \prod_{i=1}^5 (1 + u_i \overline{u_{\sigma(i)}}) \right|^2$$

We know that  $u_5 = 0$ , and for k = 1, ..., 4 we have  $u_k = a \cdot i^k$  with  $a^2 = c$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(\pi(H)^{2}) \\ &= 120 \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{5} (1+u_{i}\overline{u_{\sigma(i)}}) \right|^{2} \\ &= 120 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \ \sigma(k)=5} \left| \prod_{j \neq k, 5} (1+u_{j}\overline{u_{\sigma(j)}}) \right|^{2} + \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \ \sigma(5)=5} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{4} (1+u_{i}\overline{u_{\sigma(i)}}) \right|^{2} \right) \\ &= 120 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \ \sigma(k)=5} \left| \prod_{j \neq k, 5} (1+c.i^{j-\sigma(j)}) \right|^{2} + \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \ \sigma(5)=5} \left| \prod_{j=1}^{4} (1+c.i^{j-\sigma(j)}) \right|^{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. By the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials and  $e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = e_5 = 0$  then every monomial symmetric polynomial in 5 variables of degree nondivisible by 4 takes  $(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5)$  as a root. The lemma 4.1 reduces the sums

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \sigma(k)=5} \left| \prod_{j \neq k, 5} (1+c \cdot i^{j-\sigma(j)}) \right|^{2} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \sigma(k)=5} (1+c^{2})^{3} + (1+c^{2})c \sum_{j \neq k, 5} 2\operatorname{Re}(i^{j-\sigma(j)}) \\ &+ (1+c^{2})c^{2} \sum_{i_{1} < i_{2} \neq k, 5} (i^{i_{1}-\sigma(i_{1})} + i^{\sigma(i_{1})-i_{1}})(i^{i_{2}-\sigma(i_{2})} + i^{\sigma(i_{2})-i_{2}}) \\ &+ c^{3} \prod_{j \neq k, 5} (i^{j-\sigma(j)} + i^{\sigma(j)-j}) \\ &= 96(1+c^{2})^{3} + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \sigma(k)=5} c^{2}(1+c^{2}) 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i_{1} < i_{2} \neq k, 5} i^{i_{1}-i_{2}+\sigma(i_{2})-\sigma(i_{1})}\right) \\ &= 96(1+c^{2})^{3} + \sum_{k=1}^{4} c^{2}(1+c^{2})\operatorname{Re}\left(\sum_{i_{1} \neq i_{2} \neq k, 5} e^{i_{1}-i_{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{5}, \sigma(k)=5} i^{\sigma(i_{2})-\sigma(i_{1})}\right) \end{split}$$

combine with

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_5, \sigma(k)=5} i^{\sigma(i_2)-\sigma(i_1)} = 2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 i^{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} i^{\beta} = -2.4 = -8.$$

We attain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_5, \sigma(k)=5} \left| \prod_{j \neq k, 5} (1 + c \cdot i^{j - \sigma(j)}) \right|^2$$
  
= 96(1 + c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>3</sup> - 8c<sup>2</sup>(1 + c<sup>2</sup>)  $\sum_{k=1}^{4} \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{i_1 \neq i_2 \neq k, 5} e^{i_1 - i_2} \right)$   
= 96(1 + c<sup>2</sup>)<sup>3</sup> + 64c<sup>2</sup>(1 + c<sup>2</sup>).

The lemma 4.1 also reduces the sum

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\sigma \in S_5, \ \sigma(5)=5} \left| \prod_{i=1}^4 (1+c \cdot i^{j-\sigma(j)}) \right|^2 = \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \left| \prod_{i=1}^4 (1+c \cdot i^{j-\sigma(j)}) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \left| 1+c^4+c^3 \sum_{i=1}^4 i^{\sigma(j)-j} + c \sum_{i=1}^4 i^{j-\sigma(j)} + c^2 \sum_{j_1 < j_2} i^{j_1+j_2-\sigma(j_1)-\sigma(j_2)} \right|^2 \\ &= 24(1+c^4)^2 + (c^6+c^2) \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \left| \sum_{i=1}^4 i^{j-\sigma(j)} \right|^2 + c^4 \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \left| \sum_{j_1 < j_2} i^{j_1+j_2-\sigma(j_1)-\sigma(j_2)} \right|^2. \end{split}$$

We compute each part separately by the lemma 4.1

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{4} i^{j-\sigma(j)} \right|^2 &= 24 \cdot 4 - 8 \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} i^{j_1 - j_2} = 96 + 32 = 128 \\ \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \left| \sum_{j_1 < j_2} i^{j_1 + j_2 - \sigma(j_1) - \sigma(j_2)} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \left( \binom{4}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}} i^{\sigma(i_1) + \sigma(i_2) - \sigma(i_3) - \sigma(i_4) + i_3 + i_4 - i_1 - i_2} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} i^{j_1 - j_2 + \sigma(j_2) - \sigma(j_1)} \right) \\ &= 144 + 2 \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)} i^{i_3 + i_4 - i_1 - i_2} - 16 \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} i^{j_1 - j_2} = 208 - 4 \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} i^{2j_1 + 2j_2} = 224. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain  $\mathrm{tr}(\pi(H)^2)=120(24(1+c^4)^2+128(c^6+c^2)+224c^4+96(1+c^2)^3+64c^2(1+c^2))$  .

Hence, the spectrum of  $\pi(H)$  is

- $per(H) = 120 + 24c^4$  of multiplicity 1
- $120c, 40c^2, 40c^3, 24c^4$  of multiplicity 4
- $64c^3$ ,  $112c^2$  of multiplicity 5
- 0 of multiplicity 93.

We observe that c = 2 is a solution of the inequality  $120 + 24c^4 - 64c^3 < 0$ . Therefore, the matrix  $H = vv^* + uu^*$  where  $v = (1, ..., 1)^T$ ,  $u = 2(i, -1, -i, 1, 0)^T$  is a counterexample to the permanent-on-top conjecture (POT).

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1-2i & -1 & 1+2i & 1\\ 1+2i & 3 & 1-2i & -1 & 1\\ -1 & 1+2i & 3 & 1-2i & 1\\ 1-2i & -1 & 1+2i & 3 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The spectrum of this counterexample is also given by above calculations:

- per(H) = 504 of multiplicity 1
- 240, 160, 320, 384 of multiplicity 4
- 512 and 448 of multiplicity 5
- 0 of multiplicity 93

Once, I have the counterexample, a shorter way to prove the matrix H is a counterexample for Pate's conjecture in the case n = 5 and k = 2 is available by Tensor product. For the purposes of this paper let us describe the tensor product of vector spaces in terms of bases:

DEFINITION 7. Let *V* and *W* be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$  with bases  $\{v_i\}$  and  $\{w_i\}$ , respectively. Then  $V \otimes W$  is the vector space spanned by  $\{v_i \otimes w_i\}$  subject to the rules:

$$(\alpha v + \alpha' v') \otimes w = \alpha(v \otimes w) + \alpha'(v' \otimes w)$$

$$v \otimes (\alpha w + \alpha' w') = \alpha (v \otimes w) + \alpha' (v \otimes w')$$

for all  $v, v' \in V$  and  $w, w' \in W$  and all scalars  $\alpha, \alpha'$ .

If  $\langle,\rangle$  is an inner product on V then we can define an inner product  $\langle,\rangle$  on  $V \otimes V$  in the manner:

$$\langle v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2}, v_{i_3} \otimes v_{i_4} \rangle = \langle v_{i_1}, v_{i_3} \rangle \langle v_{i_2}, v_{i_4} \rangle$$

for any  $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, v_{i_3}, v_{i_4}$  vectors.

On  $\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ , we consider the inner product, and the resulting Euclidean norm  $|\cdot|$ , such that monomials are orthogonal and  $|x^n y^k|^2 = n!k!$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** The permanent of the Gram matrix of any 1-forms  $f_j \in \mathbb{C}x \oplus \mathbb{C}y$  is  $|\prod f_j|^2$ .

*Proof.* We prove the generalization of the statement which states that if  $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ ,  $g_1, g_2, ..., g_n$  be 2n 1-forms and A be an  $n \times n$  matrix with (i, j)-th entry  $\langle f_i, g_j \rangle$ , then

$$\operatorname{per}(A) = \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i, \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_i \right\rangle$$

Let  $f_i = \alpha_i x + \beta_i y$ ,  $g_i = \alpha'_i x + \beta'_i y$  for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

We compute each side of the equality:

The left side is

$$per(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \langle f_i, g_{\sigma(i)} \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \langle \alpha_i x + \beta_i y, \alpha'_{\sigma(i)} x + \beta'_{\sigma(i)} y \rangle$$
  
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i \cdot \overline{\alpha_{\sigma(i)}} + \beta_i \cdot \overline{\beta'_{\sigma(i)}})$$
  
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n \\ 1 \le i_{k+1} < \dots < i_n \le n}} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \beta_{i_{k+1}} \dots \beta_{i_n} \overline{\alpha'_{\sigma(i_1)} \dots \alpha'_{\sigma i_k} \beta'_{\sigma(i_{k+1})} \dots \beta'_{\sigma(i_n)}}$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n} k! (n-k)! \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_n \leq n}} \alpha_{i_1} \dots \alpha_{i_k} \beta_{i_{k+1}} \dots \beta_{i_n} \right)$$
$$\times \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_n \leq n}} \overline{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_{i_k} \beta'_{i_{k+1}} \dots \beta'_{i_n}} \right)$$

and the right side is

$$\begin{split} &\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}, \prod_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} \right\rangle \\ = \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k} y^{n-k} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_{n} \leq n}} \alpha_{i_{1}} \dots \alpha_{i_{k}} \beta_{i_{k+1}} \dots \beta_{i_{n}}, \right. \\ &\left. \sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k} y^{n-k} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_{n} \leq n}} \alpha'_{i_{1}} \dots \alpha'_{i_{k}} \beta'_{i_{k+1}} \dots \beta'_{i_{n}} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} k! (n-k)! \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_{n} \leq n}} \alpha_{i_{1}} \dots \alpha_{i_{k}} \beta_{i_{k+1}} \dots \beta_{i_{n}} \right) \\ &\times \left( \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_{k+1} < \dots < i_{n} \leq n}} \overline{\alpha'_{1} \dots \alpha'_{i_{k}} \beta'_{i_{k+1}} \dots \beta'_{i_{n}}} \right). \quad \Box \end{split}$$

Let  $f_j = x + y^j \sqrt{2}$  (j = 1, 2, 3, 4) and  $f_5 = x$ . Their Gram matrix is the given matrix H with  $perH = |f_1f_2f_3f_4f_5|^2 = |x^5 - 4xy^4|^2 = 5! + 16 \cdot 4! = 504$  (according to the proposition 4.1). When  $\{p, q, r, s, t\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , define  $F_{p,q} = f_pf_q \otimes f_rf_sf_t$  and an inner product on  $\mathbb{C}[x, y] \otimes \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  as the definition 4.1. It is obvious that  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$  of H is the Gram matrix of the ten tensors  $F_{p,q}$  with  $\{p, q, r, s, t\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , p < q, and r < s < t. We observe that

$$(1+i)F_{41} + (-1+i)F_{12} + (-1-i)F_{23} + (1-i)F_{34} - 2iF_{51} + 2F_{52} + 2iF_{53} - 2F_{54}$$
  
=  $16\sqrt{2}x^2 \otimes y^3 - 32\sqrt{2}xy \otimes xy^2 + 16\sqrt{2}y^2 \otimes x^2y$ ,

whose norm squared is

$$2^9 \cdot 2!3! + 2^{11} \cdot 2! + 2^9 \cdot 2! \cdot 2! = 512 \cdot 24,$$

while the norm squared of the coefficient vector is

 $|1+i|^2 + |-1+i|^2 + |-1-i|^2 + |1-i|^2 + |-2i|^2 + 2^2 + |2i|^2 + |-2|^2 = 24.$ 

Therefore, a linear operator mapping eight orthonormal vectors to  $F_{12}$ ,  $F_{23}$ ,  $F_{34}$ ,  $F_{41}$ ,  $F_{51}$ ,  $F_{52}$ ,  $F_{53}$ ,  $F_{54}$  has norm at least  $\sqrt{512}$ , so the Gram matrix of these eight tensors, which is an 8-square diagonal submatrix of  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$ , has norm (=largest eigenvalue) at least 512, whence so does  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$  itself. In fact, the norm of  $\mathscr{C}_2(H)$  is 512.

#### REFERENCES

- R. B. BAPAT, V. S. SUNDER, An extremal property of the permanent and the determinant, Linear Algebra Appl. 76, (1986), 153–163.
- [2] STEPHEN DRURY, A counterexample to a question of Bapat & Sunder, Math. Inequal. Appl. 21, (2018), no. 2, 517–520.
- [3] STEPHEN DRURY, A counterexample to a question of Bapat & Sunder, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 31, (2016), 69–70.
- [4] E. H. LIEB, Proofs of some conjectures on permanents, J. Math. and Mech. 16, (1966), 127–134.
- [5] ADAM W. MARCUS, A determinantal identity for the permanent of a rank 2 matrix, August 30, 2016, https://www.epflepf.com/adam/papers/rank2perms.pdf.
- [6] MAXIM NAZAROV, J. TAYLOR, Representation theory of the symmetric group [Lecture notes], (2007).
- [7] THOMAS H. PATE, (1-ABRN), On permanental compounds, Linear Algebra Appl. 429, (2008), no. 5–6, 1093–1101.
- [8] V. S. SHCHESNOVICH, The permanent-on-top conjecture is false, Linear Algebra Appl. 490, (2016), 196–201.
- [9] G. SOULES, Matrix Functions and the Laplace expansion theorem, PhD Dissertation (University of California, Santa Barbara, 1966).
- [10] FUZHEN ZHANG, Matrix Theory, Basic Results and Techniques, 2nd Edition, Springer (2011), 117–120.
- [11] FUZHEN ZHANG, An update on a few permanent conjectures, Special Matrices 4, 2016, 305–316.

(Received May 10, 2021)

Hoang Anh Tran Budapest, 1081, Nepszinhaz 43, floor 1, door 11 e-mail: bongtran5399@gmail.com