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#### Abstract

We study approximation of periodic functions by arbitrary linear combinations of $n$ translates of a single function. We construct some linear methods of this approximation for univariate functions in the class induced by the convolution with a single function, and prove upper bounds of the $L^{p}$-approximation convergence rate by these methods, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. We also generalize these results to classes of multivariate functions defined as the convolution with the tensor product of a single function. In the case $p=2$, for this class, we also prove a lower bound of the quantity characterizing best approximation of by arbitrary linear combinations of $n$ translates of arbitrary function.


## 1. Introduction

The present paper continues investigating the problem of function approximation by arbitrary linear combinations of $n$ translates of a single function which has been studied in [1, 3]. In the last papers, some linear methods were constructed for approximation of periodic functions in a class induced by the convolution with a given function, and prove upper bounds of the $L^{p}$-approximation convergence rate by these methods, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, for the case $1<p<\infty$. The main technique of the proofs of the results is based on Fourier analysis, in particular, the multiplier theory. However, this technique cannot be extended to the two important cases $p=1$ and $p=\infty$. In the present paper, we aim at this approximation problem for the cases $p=1$ and $p=\infty$ by using a different technique. For convenience of presentation we will do this for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$.

We shall begin our discussion here by introducing notation used throughout the paper. In this regard, we merely follow closely the presentation in [1,3]. The $d$ dimensional torus denoted by $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ is the cross product of $d$ copies of the interval $[0,2 \pi]$ with the identification of the end points. When $d=1$, we merely denote the $d$-torus by $\mathbb{T}$. Functions on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ are identified with functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ which are $2 \pi$ periodic in each variable. Denote by $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, the space of integrable functions on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{p}:= \begin{cases}(2 \pi)^{-d / p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}|f(\mathbf{x})|^{p} d \mathbf{x}\right)^{1 / p}, & 1 \leqslant p<\infty \\ \operatorname{ess} \sup _{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^{d}}|f(\mathbf{x})|, & p=\infty\end{cases}
$$

[^0]We will consider only real valued functions on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$. However, all the results in this paper are true for the complex setting. Also, we will use Fourier series of a real valued function in complex form.

Here, we use the notation $\mathbb{N}_{m}$ for the set $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. For vectors $\mathbf{x}:=\left(x_{l}: l \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{N}_{d}\right)$ and $\mathbf{y}:=\left(y_{l}: l \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right)$ in $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ we use $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}):=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_{d}} x_{l} y_{l}$ for the inner product of $\mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{y}$. Also, for notational convenience we allow $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{0}$ to stand for the empty set. Given any integrable function $f$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ and any lattice vector $\mathbf{j}=\left(j_{l}: l \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, we let $\widehat{f}(\mathbf{j})$ denote the $\mathbf{j}$-th Fourier coefficient of $f$ defined by the equation

$$
\widehat{f}(\mathbf{j}):=(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} f(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{x})} d \mathbf{x}
$$

Frequently, we use the superscript notation $\mathbb{B}^{d}$ to denote the cross product of $d$ copies of a given set $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Let $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ be the space of distributions on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$. Every $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ can be identified with the formal Fourier series

$$
f=\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \widehat{f}(\mathbf{j}) e^{i(\mathbf{j}, .)}
$$

where the sequence $\left(\widehat{f}(\mathbf{j}): \quad \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)$ forms a tempered sequence.
Let $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ be a bounded function. With the univariate $\lambda$ we associate the multivariate tensor product function $\lambda_{d}$ given by

$$
\lambda_{d}(\mathbf{x}):=\prod_{l=1}^{d} \lambda\left(x_{l}\right), \quad \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{l}: l \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right)
$$

and introduce the function $\varphi_{\lambda, d}$, defined on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\lambda, d}(\mathbf{x}):=\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \lambda_{d}(\mathbf{j}) e^{i(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{x})} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, in the case that $d=1$ we merely write $\varphi_{\lambda}$ for the univariate function $\varphi_{\lambda, 1}$. We introduce a subspace of $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ defined as

$$
\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right):=\left\{f: f=\varphi_{\lambda, d} * g, g \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right\}
$$

with norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right):=\|g\|_{p}
$$

where $f_{1} * f_{2}$ is the convolution of two functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$.
As in $[1,3]$, we are concerned with the following concept. Let $\mathbb{W}$ be a prescribed subset of $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ and $\psi \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ be a given function. We are interested in the approximation in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$-norm of all functions $f \in \mathbb{W}$ by arbitrary linear combinations of $n$ translates of the function $\psi$, that is, by the functions in the set $\left\{\psi\left(\cdot-\mathbf{y}_{l}\right): \mathbf{y}_{l} \in \mathbb{T}^{d}\right.$, $\left.l \in \mathbb{N}_{n}\right\}$ and measure the error in terms of the quantity

$$
M_{n}(\mathbb{W}, \psi)_{p}:=\sup _{f \in \mathbb{W}} \inf \left\{\left\|f-\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_{n}} c_{l} \psi\left(\cdot-\mathbf{y}_{l}\right)\right\|_{p}: c_{l} \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{y}_{l} \in \mathbb{T}^{d}\right\}
$$

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the convergence rate, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, of $M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), \psi\right)_{p}$ for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, where

$$
U_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right):=\left\{f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right): \quad\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

is the unit ball in $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$. We shall also obtain a lower bound for the convergence rate as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the quantity

$$
M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right)_{2}:=\inf \left\{M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), \psi\right)_{2}: \psi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right\}
$$

which gives information about the best choice of $\psi$.
This paper is organized in the following manner. In Section 2, we give the necessary background from Fourier analysis and construct a method for approximation of functions in the univariate case. In Section 3, we extend the method of approximation developed in Section 2 to the multivariate case, in particular, prove upper bounds for the approximation error and convergence rate, we also prove a lower bound of $M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right)_{2}$.

## 2. Univariate approximation

In this section, we construct a linear method in the form of a linear combination of translates of a function $\varphi_{\beta}$ defined as in (1) for approximation of univariate functions in $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$. We give upper bounds of the approximation error for various $\lambda$ and $\beta$.

Let $\lambda, \beta, \vartheta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given 2-times continuously differentiable functions and $\vartheta$ be such that

$$
\vartheta(x):= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ 0, & \text { if } x \notin(-1,1)\end{cases}
$$

Corresponding to these functions we define the functions $\mathscr{G}$ and $H_{m}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{G}(x):=\frac{\lambda(x)}{\beta(x)}, \quad H_{m}(x):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \vartheta(k / m) \mathscr{G}(k) e^{i k x} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a function $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ represented as $f=\varphi_{\lambda} * g, g \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$, we define the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m, \beta}(f):=\frac{1}{2 m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 m} V_{m}(g)\left(\frac{2 \pi k}{2 m+1}\right) \varphi_{\beta}\left(\cdot-\frac{2 \pi k}{2 m+1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{m}(g):=H_{m} * g$. Finally, we define for a function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\sigma_{m}(h ; f)(x):=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h(k / m) \widehat{f}_{k} e^{i k x}
$$

Let us obtain upper estimates for the error of approximating a function $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ by the trigonometric polynomial $Q_{m, \beta}(f)$ a linear combination of $2 m+1$ translates of the function $\varphi_{\beta}$.

DEFINITION 1. A 2-times continuously differentiable function $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a function of monotone type if there exists a positive constant $c_{0}$ such that

$$
|\psi(x)| \geqslant c_{0}|\psi(y)|, \quad\left|\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \geqslant c_{0}\left|\psi^{\prime \prime}(y)\right| \quad \text { for all } 2|y| \geqslant|x| \geqslant|y| / 2
$$

We put

$$
\varepsilon_{m}:=J_{m}(\lambda)+\sup _{|x| \in[-m, m]}\left(|\mathscr{G}(x)|+m^{2} \sup _{|x| \in[-m, m]}\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) J_{m}(\beta),
$$

where for a 2-times continuously differentiable function $\psi$,

$$
J_{m}(\psi):=\int_{|x| \geqslant m}\left(\left|\frac{\psi(x)}{m}\right|+\left|x \psi^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) d x
$$

THEOREM 1. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Assume that the functions $\lambda, \beta$ are of monotone type. Then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that for all $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\|f-Q_{m, \beta}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c \varepsilon_{m}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})
$$

Before we give the proof of the above theorem, we recall a lemma proved in [6], [7].

Lemma 1. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be 2 -times continuously differentiable function, supported on $[-1,1]$. Then there exists a constant $c_{1}$ independent of $f, h, m$ such that

$$
\left\|\sigma_{m}(h ; f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{1}\left\|h^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\|f\|_{p}
$$

We also need a Landau's inequality for derivatives [4].
Lemma 2. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be 2 -times continuously differentiable function. Then

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \leqslant 4\|f\|_{\infty}\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

In particular,

$$
\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant\|f\|_{\infty}+\left\|f^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ be represented as $\varphi_{\lambda, d} * g$ for some $g \in$ $L^{p}(\mathbb{T})$. We define the kernel $P_{m}(x, t)$ for $x, t \in \mathbb{T}$ as

$$
P_{m}(x, t):=\frac{1}{2 m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2 m} \varphi_{\beta}\left(x-\frac{2 \pi k}{2 m+1}\right) H_{m}\left(\frac{2 \pi k}{2 m+1}-t\right)
$$

It is easy to obtain from the definition (3) that

$$
Q_{m, \beta}(f)(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{m}(x, t) g(t) d t
$$

We now use equation (1), the definition of the trigonometric polynomial $H_{m}$ given in equation (2) and the easily verified fact, for $k, s \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in[-m, m]$, that

$$
\frac{1}{2 m+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 m} e^{i k(t-(2 \pi \ell /(2 m+1)))} e^{i s((2 \pi \ell /(2 m+1))-t)}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \frac{k-s}{2 m+1} \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ e^{i\left(k-k_{m}\right) t}, & \text { if } \frac{k-s}{2 m+1} \in \mathbb{Z}\end{cases}
$$

to conclude that

$$
P_{m}(x, t)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} e^{-i k_{m} t}
$$

where $\gamma(k)=\vartheta\left(k_{m} / m\right) \mathscr{G}\left(k_{m}\right) \beta(k)$ and $k_{m} \in[-m, m]$ satisfy $\left(k-k_{m}\right) /(2 m+1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{m, \beta}(f)(x) & =\sum_{k>m} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right)+\sum_{k<-m} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right)+\sum_{k=-m}^{m} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right) \\
& =: \mathscr{A}_{m}(x)+\mathscr{B}_{m}(x)+\mathscr{C}_{m}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-Q_{m, \beta}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|\mathscr{A}_{m}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\mathscr{B}_{m}\right\|_{p}+\left\|f-\mathscr{C}_{m}\right\|_{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the functions $\Lambda_{j, m}(x), \mathscr{J}_{m}(x), \mathscr{K}_{j, m}(x), \mathscr{D}_{j, m}(x)$ and the set $I_{j, m}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{j, m}(x):=\beta(m x+j(2 m+1)), \quad \mathscr{J}_{m}(x):=\mathscr{G}(m x), \\
\mathscr{K}_{j, m}(x):=\Lambda_{j, m}(x) \vartheta(x) \mathscr{J}_{m}(x), \quad \mathscr{D}_{j, m}(x):=\sum_{k \in I_{j, m}} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right), \\
I_{j, m}:=\{k \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad(2 m+1) j-m \leqslant k \leqslant(2 m+1) j+m\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}_{m}(x)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in I_{j, m}} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{D}_{j, m}(x), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $k \in I_{j, m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma(k) & =\beta(k) \vartheta\left(k_{m} / m\right) \mathscr{G}\left(k_{m}\right)=\beta\left(j(2 m+1)+k_{m}\right) \vartheta\left(k_{m} / m\right) \mathscr{G}\left(k_{m}\right) \\
& =\Lambda_{j, m}\left(k_{m} / m\right) \vartheta\left(k_{m} / m\right) \mathscr{G}\left(k_{m}\right)=\Lambda_{j, m}\left(k_{m} / m\right) \vartheta\left(k_{m} / m\right) \mathscr{J}_{m}\left(k_{m} / m\right) \\
& =\mathscr{K}_{j, m}\left(k_{m} / m\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}_{j, m}(x) & =\sum_{k \in I_{j, m}} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right)=\sum_{k_{m} \in[-m, m]} \mathscr{K}_{j, m}\left(k_{m} / m\right) e^{i\left(j(2 m+1)+k_{m}\right) x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right) \\
& =e^{i j(2 m+1) x} \sum_{k_{m} \in[-m, m]} \mathscr{K}_{j, m}\left(k_{m} / m\right) e^{i k_{m} x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right)=e^{i j(2 m+1) x} \sigma_{m}\left(\mathscr{K}_{j, m} ; g\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1, there exists a constant $c_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathscr{D}_{j, m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{1}\left\|\left(\mathscr{K}_{j, m}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{p} .
$$

Then it follows from (5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{A}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\mathscr{D}_{j, m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(\mathscr{K}_{j, m}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{p} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $\mathscr{K}_{j, m}$, supp $\vartheta \subset[-1,1]$, and $\|\vartheta\|_{\infty} \leqslant 2\left\|\vartheta^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 4\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\mathscr{K}_{j, m}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant & 4\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{x \in[-1,1]}\left(\left|\Lambda_{j, m}(x) \mathscr{J}_{m}(x)\right|+\left|\left(\Lambda_{j, m} \mathscr{J}_{m}\right)^{\prime}(x)\right|+\left|\left(\Lambda_{j, m} \mathscr{J}_{m}\right)^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \\
\leqslant & 4\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\sup _{x \in I_{j, m}}\left(|\beta(x)|+m\left|\beta^{\prime}(x)\right|+m^{2}\left|\beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \sup _{x \in[-m, m]}|\mathscr{G}(x)|\right. \\
& +m \sup _{x \in I_{j, m}}\left(|\beta(x)|+m\left|\beta^{\prime}(x)\right|\right) \sup _{x \in[-m, m]}\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime}(x)\right| \\
& \left.+m^{2} \sup _{x \in I_{j, m}}|\beta(x)| \sup _{x \in[-m, m]}\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\mathscr{K}_{j, m}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 4\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{x \in I_{j, m}}(|\beta(x)| & \left.+m\left|\beta^{\prime}(x)\right|+m^{2}\left|\beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \times \\
& \times \sup _{x \in[-m, m]}\left(|\mathscr{G}(x)|+m\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime}(x)\right|+m^{2}\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, it follows from (6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathscr{A}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant 4 c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{x \in I_{j, m}}( & \left.|\beta(x)|+m\left|\beta^{\prime}(x)\right|+m^{2}\left|\beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \times \\
& \times \sup _{x \in[-m, m]}\left(|\mathscr{G}(x)|+m\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime}(x)\right|+m^{2}\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)\|g\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, by Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathscr{A}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant 16 c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{x \in I_{j, m}}(|\beta(x)|+ & \left.m^{2}\left|\beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \times \\
& \times \sup _{x \in[-m, m]}\left(|\mathscr{G}(x)|+m^{2}\left|\mathscr{G}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)\|g\|_{p} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the function $\alpha, \beta$ is of monotone type, there exists a positive constant $c_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha(x)| \geqslant c_{0}|\alpha(y)|,\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \geqslant c_{0}\left|\alpha^{\prime \prime}(y)\right|,|\beta(x)| \geqslant c_{0}|\beta(y)|,\left|\beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \geqslant c_{0}\left|\beta^{\prime \prime}(y)\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $4|y| \geqslant|x| \geqslant|y| / 4$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{|x| \in I_{j, m}}|\beta(x)| \leqslant \frac{c_{0}}{m} \int_{|x| \in I_{j, m}}|\beta(x)| d x, \\
\sup _{|x| \in I_{j, m}}\left|m^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leqslant c_{0} m \int_{|x| \in I_{j, m}}\left|\beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{|x| \in I_{j, m}}\left(|\beta(x)|+\left|m^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \leqslant c_{0} \int_{|x| \geqslant m}\left(\frac{|\beta(x)|}{m}+\left|m \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \leqslant c_{0} J_{m}(\beta) .
$$

Combining this with (7), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{A}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant 16 c_{0} c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \varepsilon_{m}\|g\|_{p} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{B}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant 16 c_{0} c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \varepsilon_{m}\|g\|_{p} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will estimate $\left\|f-\mathscr{C}_{m}\right\|_{p}$. Notice that $\gamma(k)=\vartheta(k / m) \mathscr{G}(k) \beta(k)=\vartheta(k / m) \lambda(k)$ for $k \in[-m, m]$, and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{m}(\vartheta ; f)(x) & =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \vartheta(k / m) \widehat{f}(k) e^{i k x}=\sum_{k=-m}^{m} \vartheta(k / m) \lambda(k) \widehat{g}(k) e^{i k x} \\
& =\sum_{k=-m}^{m} \gamma(k) \widehat{g}(k) e^{i k x}=\mathscr{C}_{m}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-\mathscr{C}_{m}\right\|_{p}=\left\|f-\sigma_{m}(\vartheta ; f)\right\|_{p} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the functions $S(x), \Phi_{j, m}(x)$ and $\Psi_{j, m}(x)$ as

$$
S(x):=\vartheta(x)-\vartheta(x / 2), \quad \Phi_{j, m}(x):=\lambda\left(2^{j} m x\right), \quad \Psi_{j, m}(x):=S(x) \Phi_{j, m}(x)
$$

Clearly, we have that

$$
\left(\vartheta\left(k /\left(2^{j+1} m\right)\right)-\vartheta\left(k /\left(2^{j} m\right)\right)\right) \lambda(k)=S\left(k /\left(2^{j} m\right)\right) \Phi_{j, m}\left(k /\left(2^{j} m\right)\right)=\Psi_{j, m}\left(k /\left(2^{j} m\right)\right)
$$

which together with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2^{j+1} m}(\vartheta ; f)-\sigma_{2^{j} m}(\vartheta ; f) & =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\vartheta\left(k /\left(2^{j+1} m\right)\right)-\vartheta\left(k /\left(2^{j} m\right)\right) \widehat{f}(k) e^{i k x}\right. \\
& =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\vartheta\left(k /\left(2^{j+1} m\right)\right)-\vartheta\left(k /\left(2^{j} m\right)\right)\right) \lambda(k) \widehat{g}(k) e^{i k x}
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that

$$
\sigma_{2^{j+1} m}(\vartheta ; f)-\sigma_{2 j_{m}}(\vartheta ; f)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi_{j, m}\left(k /\left(2^{j} m\right)\right) \widehat{g}(k) e^{i k x}=\sigma_{2 j_{m}}\left(\Psi_{j, m} ; g\right)
$$

Then by Lemma 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sigma_{2^{j+1} m}(\vartheta ; f)-\sigma_{2^{j_{m}}}(\vartheta ; f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c_{1}\left\|\Psi_{j, m}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{p} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from the definition of $\Psi_{j, m}, \operatorname{supp} S \subset[-2,-1 / 2] \cup[1 / 2,2]$, and $\|S\|_{\infty} \leqslant$ $2\left\|S^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 4\left\|S^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 8\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Psi_{j, m}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| & =\left|S^{\prime \prime}(x) \Phi_{j, m}(x)+2 S^{\prime}(x) \Phi_{j, m}^{\prime}(x)+S(x) \Phi_{j, m}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \\
& \leqslant 8\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in[1 / 2,2]}\left(\left|\Phi_{j, m}(x)\right|+\Phi_{j, m}^{\prime}(x)\left|+\left|\Phi_{j, m}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)\right. \\
& \leqslant 16\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in[1 / 2,2]}\left(\left|\Phi_{j, m}(x)\right|+\left|\Phi_{j, m}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \\
& =16\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left(|\lambda(x)|+\left(2^{j} m\right)^{2}\left|\lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \\
& \leqslant 64\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} 1_{\left.m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\right.}\left(|\lambda(x)|+\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this and (12), we deduce

$$
\left\|\sigma_{2^{j+1} m}(\vartheta ; f)-\sigma_{2^{j} m}(\vartheta ; f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant 64 c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left(|\lambda(x)|+\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)\|g\|_{p}
$$

Therefore, by (11) and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f-\sigma_{2 j_{m}}(\vartheta ; f)\right\|_{p}=0$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f-\mathscr{C}_{m}\right\|_{p} & \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\sigma_{2^{j+1} m}(\vartheta ; f)-\sigma_{2^{j_{m}}}(\vartheta ; f)\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant 64 c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j^{-1}}{ }_{\left.m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\right.}\left(|\lambda(x)|+\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)\|g\|_{p} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since (8),

$$
\sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}|\lambda(x)| \leqslant \frac{c_{0}}{2^{j} m} \int_{|x| \in\left[2^{j} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}|\lambda(x)| d x \leqslant \frac{c_{0}}{m} \int_{|x| \in\left[2^{j} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}|\lambda(x)| d x,
$$

and

$$
\sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leqslant 2 c_{0} \int_{|x| \in\left[2^{j} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left|x \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left(|\lambda(x)|+\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) & \leqslant 2 c_{0} \int_{|x| \geqslant m}\left(\frac{|\lambda(x)|}{m}+\left|x \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \\
& =2 c_{0} J_{m}(\lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (13), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-\mathscr{C}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant 128 c_{0} c_{1}\left\|\vartheta^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \varepsilon_{m}\|g\|_{p} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (9), (10) and (14) we have

$$
\left\|f-Q_{m, \beta}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c \varepsilon_{m}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})
$$

From the above theorem, by letting $\lambda=\beta$, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 1. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $\lambda$ be of monotone type. Then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that for all $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\|f-Q_{m, \lambda}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c J_{m}(\lambda)\|f\|_{\mathscr{H} \lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})
$$

Definition 2. Let $r, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$ if $f$ is an even, 2 times continuously differentiable such that for $t \geqslant 1$, $f(t)=|t|^{-r}(\log (|t|+1))^{-\kappa} F(\log |t|)$ for some $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left|F^{(k)}(t)\right| \leqslant a_{1}$ for all $t \geqslant 1, k=0,1,2$.

THEOREM 2. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1<r<\infty, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ and the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$. Then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that for all $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\|f-Q_{m, \lambda}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c m^{-r}(\log m)^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{\not}}^{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})
$$

Proof. Since the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$ and $r>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \geqslant m}\left|\frac{\lambda(x)}{m}\right| d x \leqslant a_{1} \int_{|x| \geqslant m} \frac{|x|^{-r}(\log (|x|+1))^{-\kappa}}{m} d x \leqslant a_{2} m^{-r}(\log (m+1))^{-\kappa} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|x| \geqslant m}\left|x \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x \\
\leqslant & \int_{|x| \geqslant m}|x|\left(\left(|x|^{-r}(\log (|x|+1))^{-\kappa}\right)^{\prime \prime}|F(\log |x|)|+\left(|x|^{-r}(\log (|x|+1))^{-\kappa}\right)^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left|F^{\prime}(\log |x|)\right| /|x|+\left(|x|^{-r}(\log (|x|+1))^{-\kappa}\right)\left|F^{\prime \prime}(\log |x|)-F^{\prime}(\log |x|)\right| / x^{2}\right) d x \\
\leqslant & a_{1} \int_{|x| \geqslant m}|x|\left(\left(|x|^{-r}(\log (|x|+1))^{-\kappa}\right)^{\prime \prime}+2\left(|x|^{-r}(\log (|x|+1))^{-\kappa}\right)^{\prime} /|x|\right. \\
& \left.+2\left(|x|^{-r}(\log (|x|+1))^{-\kappa}\right) / x^{2}\right) d x \leqslant a_{3} m^{-r}(\log (m+1))^{-\kappa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (15), we deduce

$$
J_{m}(\lambda) \leqslant a_{4} m^{-r}(\log (m+1))^{-\kappa}
$$

From this and Corollary 1, we complete the proof.

Corollary 2. For $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1<r<\infty$ and $\lambda(x)=\beta(x)=x^{-r}$ for $x \neq 0$, $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ becomes the Korobov space $K_{p}^{r}(\mathbb{T})$. Then we have the estimate as in [1]:

$$
M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T}), \kappa_{r}\right)_{p} \leqslant c m^{-r}
$$

where $\kappa_{r}$ is the Korobov function.
DEFINITION 3. A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a function of exponent type if $f$ is 2 times continuously differentiable and there exists a positive constant $s$ such that $f(t)=e^{-s|t|} F(|t|)$ for some decreasing function $F:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$.

THEOREM 3. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1<r<\infty, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $\lambda$ be a mash of type $(r, \kappa)$, the function $\beta$ of exponent type. Then there exists a positive constant $c$ such that for all $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left\|f-Q_{m, \beta}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant c m^{-r}(\log (m+1))^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})}
$$

Proof. We will use the notation in the proof of Theorem 1. For $k \in I_{j, m}$ we have $k_{m}=k-j(2 m+1)$ and then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\gamma(k)| & =\left|\beta\left(k_{m}+j(2 m+1)\right) \vartheta\left(k_{m} / m\right) \frac{\lambda\left(k_{m}\right)}{\beta\left(k_{m}\right)}\right| \\
& =e^{-s j(2 m+1))} \frac{\left|\lambda\left(k_{m}\right) F\left(k_{m}+j(2 m+1)\right)\right|}{\left|F\left(k_{m}\right)\right|} \leqslant b_{1} e^{-s j(2 m+1))}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\|\sum_{k \in I_{j, m}} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right)\right\|_{p} \leqslant 3 b_{1} m e^{-s j(2 m+1))}\|g\|_{p}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathscr{A}_{m}\right\|_{p} & =\left\|\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in I_{j, m}} \gamma(k) e^{i k x} \widehat{g}\left(k_{m}\right)\right\|_{p}  \tag{16}\\
& \leqslant 3 b_{1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} m e^{-s j(2 m+1))}\|g\|_{p} \leqslant b_{2} m^{-r}(\log (m+1))^{-\kappa}\|g\|_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{B}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant b_{2} m^{-r}(\log (m+1))^{-\kappa}\|g\|_{p} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also known that in the proof of Theorem 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f-\mathscr{C}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant b_{3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left(|\lambda(x)|+\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)\|g\|_{p} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that

$$
\sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}|\lambda(x)| \leqslant b_{4} \int_{|x| \in\left[2^{j} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]} \frac{|\lambda(x)|}{|x|} d x
$$

$$
\sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leqslant b_{4} \int_{|x| \in\left[2^{j} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left|x \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| d x .
$$

So,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sup _{|x| \in\left[2^{j-1} m, 2^{j+1} m\right]}\left(|\lambda(x)|+\left|x^{2} \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) \leqslant b_{4} \int_{|x| \geqslant m}\left(\frac{|\lambda(x)|}{|x|}+\left|x \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) d x
$$

Hence, by (18), we deduce that

$$
\left\|f-\mathscr{C}_{m}\right\|_{p} \leqslant b_{3} b_{4}\|g\|_{p} \int_{|x| \geqslant m}\left(\frac{|\lambda(x)|}{|x|}+\left|x \lambda^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right) d x \leqslant b_{5} m^{-r}(\log (m+1))^{-\kappa}\|g\|_{p}
$$

Combining this, (16), (17) and (4), we complete the proof.

## 3. Multivariate approximation

In this section, we make use of the univariate operators $Q_{m, \lambda}$ to construct multivariate operators on sparse Smolyak grids for approximation of functions from $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$. Based on this approxiation with certain restriction on the function $\lambda$ we prove an upper bound of $M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), \varphi_{\lambda, d}\right)_{p}$ for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ as well as a lower bound of $M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right)_{2}$. The results obtained in this section generalize some results in [1, 2].

### 3.1. Error estimates for functions in the space $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$

For $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^{d}$, let the multivariate operator $Q_{\mathbf{m}}$ in $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\mathbf{m}}:=\prod_{j=1}^{d} Q_{m_{j}, \lambda} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the univariate operator $Q_{m_{j}, \lambda}$ is applied to the univariate function $f$ by considering $f$ as a function of variable $x_{j}$ with the other variables held fixed, $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}:=\{\mathbf{k} \in$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}^{d}: k_{j} \geqslant 0, j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right\}$ and $k_{j}$ denotes the $j$ th coordinate of $\mathbf{k}$.

Set $\mathbb{Z}_{-1}^{d}:=\left\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}: k_{j} \geqslant-1, j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right\}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{-1}$, we define the univariate operator $T_{k}$ in $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T})$ by

$$
T_{k}:=\mathrm{I}-Q_{2^{k}, \lambda}, k \geqslant 0, \quad T_{-1}:=\mathrm{I}
$$

where I is the identity operator. If $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{-1}^{d}$, we define the mixed operator $T_{\mathbf{k}}$ in $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ in the manner of the definition of (19) as

$$
T_{\mathbf{k}}:=\prod_{i=1}^{d} T_{k_{i}}
$$

Set $|\mathbf{k}|:=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}}\left|k_{j}\right|$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{-1}^{d}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{-\kappa}=\prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(k_{j}+2\right)^{-\kappa}$.

Lemma 3. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1<r<\infty, 0 \leqslant \kappa<\infty$ and the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$. Then we have for any $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{-1}^{d}$,

$$
\left\|T_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant C \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{-\kappa} 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H} \lambda_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)}
$$

with some constant $C$ independent of $f$ and $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $d$. For $d=1$ it follows from Theorems 2. Assume the lemma is true for $d-1$. Set $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}:=\left\{x_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{d-1}\right\}$ and $\mathbf{x}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, x_{d}\right)$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. We temporarily denote by $\|f\|_{p, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}\right), \mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$ or $\|f\|_{p, x_{d}}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathscr{H} \ell_{, p}(\mathbb{T}), x_{d}}$ the norms applied to the function $f$ by considering $f$ as a function of variable $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ or $x_{d}$ with the other variable held fixed, respectively. For $\mathbf{k}=\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}, k_{d}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{-1}^{d}$, we get by Theorems 2 and the induction assumption

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\right\|_{p} & =\| \| T_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}} T_{k_{d}}(f)\left\|_{p, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}}\right\|_{p, x_{d}} \ll\left\|2^{-r\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right|} \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{\prime-\kappa}\right\| T_{k_{d}}(f)\left\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}\right), \mathbf{x}^{\prime}}\right\|_{p, x_{d}} \\
& =2^{-r\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right|} \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{\prime-\kappa}\| \| T_{k_{d}}(f)\left\|_{p, x_{d}}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}\right), \mathbf{x}^{\prime}} \\
& \ll 2^{-r\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{\prime \kappa}\left\|2^{-r k_{d}}\left(k_{d}+2\right)^{-\kappa}\right\| f\left\|_{\mathscr{C}_{\lambda, p}(\mathbb{T}), x_{d}}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d-1}\right), \mathbf{x}^{\prime}}} \\
& =2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(k_{j}+2\right)^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the univariate operator $q_{k}$ be defined for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, by

$$
q_{k}:=Q_{2^{k}, \lambda}-Q_{2^{k-1}, \lambda}, k>0, \quad q_{0}:=Q_{1, \lambda}
$$

and in the manner of the definition of (19), the multivariate operator $q_{\mathbf{k}}$ for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$, by

$$
q_{\mathbf{k}}:=\prod_{j=1}^{d} q_{k_{j}}
$$

For $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$, we write $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \infty$ if $k_{j} \rightarrow \infty$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}$.
THEOREM 4. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1<r<\infty, 0 \leqslant \kappa<\infty$ and the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$. Then every $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ can be represented as the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

converging in $L^{p}$-norm, and we have for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant C 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some constant $C$ independent of $f$ and $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$. In a way similar to the proof of Lemma 3, we can show that

$$
\left\|f-Q_{2^{\mathbf{k}}}(f)\right\|_{p} \ll \max _{j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}} 2^{-r k_{j}} k_{j}^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)
$$

and therefore,

$$
\left\|f-Q_{2^{\mathbf{k}}}(f)\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $2^{\mathbf{k}}=\left(2^{k_{j}}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right)$. On the other hand,

$$
Q_{2^{\mathbf{k}}}=\sum_{s_{j} \leqslant k_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}} q_{\mathbf{s}}(f) .
$$

This proves (20). To prove (21) we notice that from the definition it follows that

$$
q_{\mathbf{k}}=\sum_{e \subset \mathbb{N}_{d}}(-1)^{|e|} T_{\mathbf{k}^{e}}
$$

where $\mathbf{k}^{e}$ is defined by $k_{j}^{e}=k_{j}$ if $j \in e$, and $k_{j}^{e}=k_{j}-1$ if $j \notin e$. Hence, by Lemma 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\right\|_{p} & \leqslant \sum_{e \subset \mathbb{N}_{d}}\left\|T_{\mathbf{k}^{e}}(f)\right\|_{p} \ll \sum_{e \subset \mathbb{N}_{d}} 2^{-r\left|\mathbf{k}^{e}\right|}\left(\mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{e}\right)^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right) \\
& \ll 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For approximation of $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$, we introduce the linear operator $P_{m}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}(f):=\sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant m} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give an upper bound for the error of the approximation of functions $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ by the operator $P_{m}$ in the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1<r<\infty, 0 \leqslant \kappa<\infty$ and the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$. Then, we have for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$,

$$
\left\|f-P_{m}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant C 2^{-r m} m^{d-1-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H} \mathscr{H}_{, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)}
$$

with some constant $C$ independent of $f$ and $m$.

Proof. From Theorem 4 we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f-P_{m}(f)\right\|_{p} & =\left\|\sum_{|\mathbf{k}|>m} q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\right\|_{p} \leqslant \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|>m}\left\|q_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\right\|_{p} \\
& \ll \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|>m} 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right) \\
& \ll\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|>m} 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \mathbf{k}_{(2)}^{-\kappa} \\
& <2^{-r m} m^{d-1-\kappa}\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right) \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2. Convergence rate

We choose a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a lattice vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ with $|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant m$ and another lattice vector $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right) \in \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}} Z\left[2^{k_{j}+1}+1\right]$ to define the vector $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}=$ $\left(\frac{2 \pi s_{j}}{\text { as }^{k_{j}{ }^{k_{1}}+1}}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right)$. The Smolyak grid on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ consists of all such vectors and is given

$$
G^{d}(m):=\left\{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}}:|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant m, \mathbf{s} \in \otimes_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}} Z\left[2^{k_{j}+1}+1\right]\right\}
$$

A simple computation confirms, for $m \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$
\left|G^{d}(m)\right|=\sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leqslant m} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}}\left(2^{k_{j}+1}+1\right) \asymp 2^{d} m^{d-1}
$$

so, $G^{d}(m)$ is a sparse subset of a full grid of cardinality $2^{d m}$. Moreover, by the definition of the linear operator $P_{m}$ given in equation (22) we see that the range of $P_{m}$ is contained in the subspace

$$
\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{\lambda, d}(\cdot-\mathbf{y}): \mathbf{y} \in G^{d}(m)\right\}
$$

Other words, $P_{m}$ defines a multivariate method of approximation by translates of the function $\varphi_{\lambda, d}$ on the sparse Smolyak grid $G^{d}(m)$. An upper bound for the error of this approximation of functions from $\mathscr{H}_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ is given in Theorem 5.

Now, we are ready to prove the next theorem, thereby establishing an upper bound of $M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, p}, \varphi_{\lambda, d}\right)_{p}$.

THEOREM 6. If $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 1<r<\infty, 0 \leqslant \kappa<\infty$ and the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$, then

$$
M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), \varphi_{\lambda, d}\right)_{p} \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{(r+1)(d-1)-\kappa}
$$

Proof. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m$ is the largest positive integer such that $\left|G^{d}(m)\right| \leqslant n$, then $n \asymp 2^{m} m^{d-1}$ and by Theorem 5 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), \varphi_{\lambda, d}\right)_{p} & \leqslant \sup _{f \in U_{\lambda, p}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)}\left\|f-P_{m}(f)\right\|_{p} \\
& \ll 2^{-r m} m^{d-1-\kappa} \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{(r+1)(d-1)-\kappa}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $p=2$, we are able to establish a lower bound for $M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right), \varphi_{\lambda, d}\right)_{2}$. We prepare some auxiliary results. Let $\mathbb{P}_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ be the set of algebraic polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ of total degree at most $q$, and

$$
\mathbb{E}^{m}:=\left\{\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{m}\right):\left|t_{j}\right|=1, j \in \mathbb{N}_{m}\right\}
$$

We define the polynomial maifold

$$
\mathbb{M}_{m, l, q}:=\left\{\left(p_{j}(\mathbf{u}): j \in \mathbb{N}_{m}\right): p_{j} \in \mathbb{P}_{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), j \in \mathbb{N}_{m}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{l}\right\}
$$

Denote by $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}$ the Euclidean norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. The following lemma was proven in [5].

Lemma 4. Let $m, l, q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the inequality $l \log \left(\frac{4 e m q}{l}\right) \leqslant \frac{m}{4}$. Then there is a vector $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{E}^{m}$ and a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{\|\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{x}\|_{2}: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{M}_{m, l, q}\right\} \geqslant c m^{1 / 2}
$$

THEOREM 7. If $1<r<\infty, 0 \leqslant \kappa<\infty$ and the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$, then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{-r}(\log n)^{r(d-2)-d \kappa} \ll M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\right)_{2} \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{(r+1)(d-1)-\kappa} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The upper bound of (23) is in Theorem 6. Let us prove the lower bound by developing a technique used in the proofs of [5, Theorem 1.1] and [1, Theorem 4.4]. For a positive number $a$ we define a subset $\mathbb{H}(a)$ of lattice vectors by

$$
\mathbb{H}(a):=\left\{\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}: \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{d}}\left|k_{j}\right| \leqslant a\right\} .
$$

Notice that $|\mathbb{H}(a)| \asymp a(\log a)^{d-1}$ when $a \rightarrow \infty$. To apply Lemma 4, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $q=\left\lfloor n(\log n)^{-d+2}\right\rfloor+1, m=5(2 d+1)\lfloor n \log n\rfloor$ and $l=(2 d+1) n$. With these choices we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbb{H}(q)| \asymp m \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \asymp m(\log m)^{-d+1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, we have that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l}{m} \log \left(\frac{4 e m q}{l}\right)=\frac{1}{5},
$$

and therefore, the assumption of Lemma 4 is satisfied for $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Now, let us specify the polynomial manifold $\mathbb{M}_{m, l, q}$. To this end, we put $\zeta:=$ $q^{-r} m^{-1 / 2}(\log q)^{-d \kappa}$ and let $\mathbb{Y}$ be the set of trigonometric polynomials on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$, defined by

$$
\mathbb{Y}:=\left\{f=\zeta \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} a_{\mathbf{k}} t_{\mathbf{k}}: \mathbf{t}=\left(t_{\mathbf{k}}: \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)\right) \in \mathbb{E}^{|\mathbb{H}(q)|}\right\}
$$

If $f \in \mathbb{Y}$ and

$$
f=\zeta \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} a_{\mathbf{k}} t_{\mathbf{k}},
$$

then $f=\varphi_{\lambda, d} * g$ for some trigonometric polynomial $g$ such that

$$
\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)}^{2} \leqslant \zeta^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)}|\lambda(\mathbf{k})|^{-2}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)}|\lambda(\mathbf{k})|^{-2} & \leqslant \zeta^{2} q^{2 r} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)}\left|\log \prod_{j=1}^{d} k_{j}\right|^{2 \kappa} \\
& \leqslant \zeta^{2} q^{2 r} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log k_{j}\right|^{2 d \kappa} \\
& \leqslant \zeta^{2} q^{2 r}(\log q)^{2 d \kappa}|\mathbb{H}(q)|=m^{-1}|\mathbb{H}(q)|
\end{aligned}
$$

by (24) that there is a positive constant $c$ such that $\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} \leqslant c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we can either adjust functions in $\mathbb{Y}$ by dividing them by $c$, or we can assume without loss of generality that $c=1$, and obtain $\mathbb{Y} \subseteq U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$.

We are now ready to prove the lower bound for $M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right)_{2}$. We choose any $\varphi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)$ and let $v$ be any function formed as a linear combination of $n$ translates of the function $\varphi$ :

$$
v=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{n}} c_{j} \varphi\left(\cdot-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right)
$$

By the well-known Bessel inequality we have for a function

$$
f=\zeta \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} a_{\mathbf{k}} t_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Y}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-v\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)}^{2} \geqslant \zeta^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)}\left|t_{\mathbf{k}}-\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{n}} c_{j} e^{i\left(\mathbf{y}_{j}, \mathbf{k}\right)}\right|^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce a polynomial manifold so that we can use Lemma 4 to get a lower bound for the expressions on the left hand side of inequality (26). To this end, we define the vector $\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{n}$, let $\mathbf{z}_{j}=\left(z_{j, l}: l \in \mathbb{N}_{d}\right)$ be a vector in $\mathbb{C}^{d}$ and then concatenate these vectors to form the vector $\mathbf{z}=\left(\mathbf{z}_{j}: j \in \mathbb{N}_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n d}$. We employ the standard multivariate notation

$$
\mathbf{z}_{j}^{\mathbf{k}}=\prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_{d}} z_{j, l}^{k_{l}}
$$

and require vectors $\mathbf{w}=(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{\text {nd }}$ and $\mathbf{u}=(\mathbf{c}, \mathfrak{R} \mathbf{z}, \mathfrak{I} \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$ to be written in concatenate form. Now, we introduce for each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)$ the polynomial $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$ defined at $\mathbf{w}$ as

$$
\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{w}):=\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} c_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{j}}
$$

We only need to consider the real part of $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$, namely, $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k}}=\Re \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$ since we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\inf \left\{\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)}\left|t_{\mathbf{k}}-\frac{\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{n}} c_{j} e^{i\left(\mathbf{y}_{j}, \mathbf{k}\right)}\right|^{2}: c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{y}_{j} \in \mathbb{T}^{d}\right\} \\
\geqslant \inf \left\{\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)}\left|t_{\mathbf{k}}-p_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{u})\right|^{2}: \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{l}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4 and (25) we conclude there is a vector $\mathbf{t}^{0}=\left(t_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}: \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)\right) \in \mathbb{E}^{h_{q}}$ and the corresponding function

$$
f^{0}=\zeta \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} t_{\mathbf{k}}^{0} \chi_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Y}
$$

for which there is a positive constant $c$ such that for every $v$ of the form

$$
v=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{n}} c_{j} \varphi\left(\cdot-\mathbf{y}_{j}\right),
$$

we have that

$$
\left\|f^{0}-v\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)} \geqslant c \zeta m^{\frac{1}{2}}=q^{-r}(\log q)^{-d \kappa} \asymp n^{-r}(\log n)^{r(d-2)-d \kappa}
$$

which proves the lower bound of (23).
Similar to the proof of the above theorem, we can prove the following theorem for the case $-\infty<\kappa<0$.

THEOREM 8. If $1<r<\infty,-\infty<\kappa<0$ and the function $\lambda$ be a mask of type $(r, \kappa)$, then we have that

$$
n^{-r}(\log n)^{r(d-2)-\kappa} \ll M_{n}\left(U_{\lambda, 2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right)\right)_{2} \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{(r+1)(d-1)-d \kappa} .
$$
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