LOGARITHMICALLY COMPLETE MONOTONICITY OF A MATRIX-PARAMETRIZED ANALOGUE OF THE MULTINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

FRÉDÉRIC OUIMET* AND FENG QI

(Communicated by M. Praljak)

Abstract. In the paper, the authors introduce a matrix-parametrized generalization of the multinomial probability mass function that involves a ratio of several multivariate gamma functions. They show the logarithmically complete monotonicity of this generalization and derive several inequalities involving ratios of multivariate gamma functions.

1. Preliminaries

Recall from [9, Chapter XIII], [22, Chapter 1], and [23, Chapter IV], that an infinitely differentiable function f is said to be completely monotonic on an interval I if it has derivatives of all orders on I and satisfies $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$. Recall from [16, Definition 1] and [22, Definition 5.10] that an infinitely differentiable and positive function f is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval I if

$$(-1)^n \frac{\mathrm{d}^n}{\mathrm{d}x^n} \ln f(x) \ge 0$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$ and $x \in I$. The property of being logarithmically completely monotonic is stronger than being completely monotonic, see [3, Theorem 1.1], [16, Theorem 1], and [18, p. 627, (1.4)]. When $I = (0, \infty)$, Bernstein's theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 12b in [23, p. 161]) states that a function f is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} \,\mathrm{d}\,\sigma(s) \tag{1}$$

and the integral converges for all $x \in (0,\infty)$, where $\sigma(s)$ is nondecreasing on $(0,\infty)$. The integral representation (1) equivalently says that a function f is completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$ if and only if it is the Laplace transform of $\sigma(s)$ on $(0,\infty)$. This is one

* Corresponding author.



Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 26A48; Secondary 05A20, 11B57, 26A51, 33B15, 44A10, 60E05, 60E10, 62E15.

Keywords and phrases: Complete monotonicity, logarithmically complete monotonicity, ratio, multivariate gamma function, gamma function, polygamma function, multinomial distribution, matrix-variate Dirichlet distribution, positive definite matrix, inequality.

of many reasons why researchers have been investigating (logarithmically) completely monotonic functions.

The literature on this topic is far too extensive to cite here, but one specific kind of (logarithmically) completely monotonic functions, functions involving ratios of gamma functions, has attracted a lot of attention lately. Recent contributions for this type of completely monotonic functions include [2, 4, 6, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21, 19, 24].

Let $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let M be a matrix of order m. We use the notation $M \ge 0$ (or M > 0, respectively) to indicate that the matrix M is positive semidefinite (or positive definite, respectively). According to [5, Definition 6.2.1], the *r*-tuple of real symmetric matrices, (M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r) , is said to have the (type I) $(m \times m)$ -matrix-variate Dirichlet distribution with parameters $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r+1}) \in (0, \infty)^{r+1}$ if its density function is given by

$$\frac{\Gamma_m(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \Gamma_m(a_i)} \left| \boldsymbol{I}_m - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \boldsymbol{M}_i \right|^{a_{r+1}-(m+1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{r} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{a_i-(m+1)/2},$$

when $\mathbf{M}_i \ge 0$ for all $1 \le i \le r$ and $\mathbf{I}_m - \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{M}_i \ge 0$, and is equal to 0 otherwise, where

$$\Gamma_m(z) = \int_{\boldsymbol{S} \in \operatorname{Sym}_m(\mathbb{R}): \boldsymbol{S} > 0} |\boldsymbol{S}|^{z - (m+1)/2} \exp(-\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{S})) \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{S}$$
$$= \pi^{m(m-1)/4} \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma\left(z - \frac{j-1}{2}\right), \quad \Re(z) > \frac{m-1}{2}$$
(2)

denotes the multivariate gamma function, see [12, Section 35.3] and [10], and

$$\Gamma(z) = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \frac{\ell! \ell^z}{\prod_{k=0}^{\ell} (z+k)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \ldots\}$$

is the classical gamma function.

Given the ties between the Dirichlet and multinomial distributions, a function that naturally generalizes the multinomial probability mass function is the following matrixparametrized analogue

$$P_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_r}(\boldsymbol{M}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{M}_r) = \frac{\Gamma_m(n+\frac{m+1}{2})}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1}\Gamma_m(k_i+\frac{m+1}{2})} \left| \boldsymbol{I}_m - \sum_{i=1}^r \boldsymbol{M}_i \right|^{n-\|\boldsymbol{k}_r\|_1} \prod_{i=1}^r |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{k_i},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{k}_r = (k_1, k_2, ..., k_r) \in \mathbb{N}_0^r \cap (n \mathscr{S}_r)$, $k_{r+1} = n - \|\mathbf{k}_r\|_1$, the matrices \mathbf{M}_i , as well as $\mathbf{I}_m - \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{M}_i$, are assumed to be symmetric and positive definite, and

$$\mathscr{S}_r = \left\{ \mathbf{x}_r = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \in [0, 1]^r : \|\mathbf{x}_r\|_1 = \sum_{\ell=1}^r x_\ell \leqslant 1 \right\}$$

denotes the *r*-dimensional unit simplex.

In this paper, we will show that $x \mapsto P_{xn,xk_r}(\boldsymbol{M}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{M}_r)$ is a logarithmically completely monotonic function on $(0,\infty)$. Hereafter, in Section 4, we will derive some inequalities involving ratios of multivariate gamma functions.

2. A lemma

To reach our goal, we need to prove the following technical lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let \mathscr{S}_r° denote the interior of \mathscr{S}_r , $\boldsymbol{u}_r = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r) \in \mathscr{S}_r^{\circ}$, and $u_{r+1} = 1 - \|\boldsymbol{u}_r\|_1 > 0$. Then, for $\beta \ge 0$ and y > 1,

$$\mathscr{J}_{\beta,\boldsymbol{u}_{r}}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y}-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^{\beta/u_{i}}(\boldsymbol{y}^{1/u_{i}}-1)} > 0.$$
(3)

First proof. For y > 1, $\beta \ge 0$, and $u \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$H_{y,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{y^{\beta/u}(y^{1/u} - 1)}.$$
(4)

Then straightforward calculations yield

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}u^2} H_{y,\beta}(u) &= \frac{\mathrm{ln}y}{u^4 (y^{1/u} - 1)^3 y^{\beta/u}} \left\{ \left[\beta^2 \left(y^{1/u} - 1 \right)^2 + 2\beta \left(y^{1/u} - 1 \right) y^{1/u} \right. \\ &+ \left(y^{1/u} + 1 \right) y^{1/u} \right] \mathrm{ln}y - 2u \left(y^{1/u} - 1 \right) \left[\beta \left(y^{1/u} - 1 \right) + y^{1/u} \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{ln}y}{u^3 (y^{1/u} - 1)^3 y^{\beta/u}} \left\{ \left[\beta^2 (t - 1)^2 + 2\beta (t - 1)t + (t + 1)t \right] \mathrm{ln}t \right. \\ &- 2(t - 1) \left[\beta (t - 1) + t \right] \right\} \\ &\triangleq \frac{\mathrm{ln}y}{u^3 (y^{1/u} - 1)^3 y^{\beta/u}} h_\beta(t), \end{split}$$

where $t = y^{1/u} > 1$. Direct computations show us that

$$\begin{split} h_{\beta}'(t) &= \frac{(t-1)[\beta^2(t-1)-2\beta t-3t]}{t} + [2\beta^2(t-1)+\beta(4t-2)+2t+1]\ln t, \\ h_{\beta}''(t) &= \frac{(t-1)[\beta^2(3t+1)+2\beta t-t]}{t^2} + 2(\beta+1)^2\ln t, \\ h_{\beta}'''(t) &= \frac{2\beta^2(t^2+t+1)+2\beta t(2t+1)+t(2t-1)}{t^3} > 0, \end{split}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to 1^+} h''_{\beta}(t) = \lim_{t \to 1^+} h'_{\beta}(t) = \lim_{t \to 1^+} h_{\beta}(t) = 0.$$

Accordingly, for any fixed y > 1 and $\beta \ge 0$, the second derivative $H_{y,\beta}''(u)$ is positive on $(0,\infty)$. Hence, the function $u \mapsto H_{y,\beta}(u)$ is strictly convex on $(0,\infty)$ and the function $u \mapsto H_{y,\beta}(u) + H_{y,\beta}(1-u)$ is strictly convex on (0,1). From the limits

$$\lim_{u \to 0^+} H_{y,\beta}(u) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{u \to 1^-} H_{y,\beta}(u) = \frac{1}{y^{\beta}(y-1)},$$

we conclude that

$$H_{y,\beta}(u) + H_{y,\beta}(1-u) < \frac{1}{y^{\beta}(y-1)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{y^{\beta}(y-1)} - \frac{1}{y^{\beta/\|\boldsymbol{u}_r\|_1} \left(y^{1/\|\boldsymbol{u}_r\|_1} - 1\right)} - \frac{1}{y^{\beta/(1-\|\boldsymbol{u}_r\|_1)} \left[y^{1/(1-\|\boldsymbol{u}_r\|_1)} - 1\right]} > 0.$$
(5)

The general case will follow by induction. Indeed, assume that, for some integer $r \ge 2$, the inequality

$$\frac{1}{z^{\beta}(z-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{z^{\beta/\nu_i}(z^{1/\nu_i}-1)} > 0$$
(6)

is valid, where $\beta \ge 0$, z > 1, $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r-1}) \in \mathscr{S}_{r-1}^{\circ}$, and $v_r = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} v_i > 0$. On the other hand, we can rewrite the function $\mathscr{J}_{\beta, \boldsymbol{u}_r}(y)$ defined in (3) as

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{J}_{\beta,\boldsymbol{u}_{r}}(\boldsymbol{y}) &= \Bigg[\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^{\beta/\|\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\|_{1}}(\boldsymbol{y}^{1/\|\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\|_{1}}-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^{\beta/\boldsymbol{u}_{i}}(\boldsymbol{y}^{1/\boldsymbol{u}_{i}}-1)} \Bigg] \\ &+ \Bigg[\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{y}-1)} - \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^{\beta/\|\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\|_{1}}(\boldsymbol{y}^{1/\|\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\|_{1}}-1)} - \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{y}^{\beta/(1-\|\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\|_{1})}[\boldsymbol{y}^{1/(1-\|\boldsymbol{u}_{r}\|_{1})}-1]} \Bigg]. \end{split}$$

By the inequality (5), the quantity in the second bracket is positive. By the induction hypothesis (6) with $z = y^{1/\|\boldsymbol{u}_r\|_1}$ and $v_i = \frac{u_i}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_r\|_1}$, the quantity in the first bracket is positive. This ends the first proof of Lemma 1.

Second proof. A function $\varphi(x)$ is said to be super-additive on an interval *I* if the inequality $\varphi(x+y) \ge \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in I$ with $x+y \in I$. A function φ : $[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be star-shaped if $\varphi(vt) \le v\varphi(t)$ for $v \in [0,1]$ and $t \ge 0$. See [8, Chapter 16] and [11, Section 3.4]. Between convex functions, star-shaped functions, and super-additive functions, there are the following relations:

- 1. if φ is convex on $[0,\infty)$ with $\varphi(0) \leq 0$, then φ is star-shaped;
- 2. if $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is star-shaped, then φ is super-additive.

See [8, pp. 650–651, Section B.9]. Shortly speaking, if φ is convex on $[0,\infty)$ with $\varphi(0) \leq 0$, then φ is super-additive.

For y > 1, $\beta \ge 0$, and $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$, define

$$\varphi_{y,\beta}(u) = \begin{cases} 0, & u = 0; \\ \frac{1}{y^{\beta/u}(y^{1/u} - 1)}, & u \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

From the facts that the function $H_{y,\beta}(u)$ defined in (4) is strictly convex on $(0,\infty)$, that the limit

$$\lim_{u\to 0^+} H_{y,\beta}(u) = 0$$

is valid, and that $\varphi_{y,\beta}(u) = H_{y,\beta}(u)$ for u > 0, we conclude that the function $u \mapsto \varphi_{y,\beta}(u)$ is convex on $[0,\infty)$ with $\varphi_{y,\beta}(0) = 0$. This means that the function $u \in [0,\infty) \mapsto \varphi_{y,\beta}(u)$ is star-shaped and super-additive. Accordingly, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \varphi_{\mathbf{y},\boldsymbol{\beta}}(u_i) \leqslant \varphi_{\mathbf{y},\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} u_i\right) = \varphi_{\mathbf{y},\boldsymbol{\beta}}(1),$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{1}{y^{\beta/u_i}(y^{1/u_i}-1)} \leqslant \frac{1}{y^{\beta}(y-1)}$$

The inequality (3) is thus proved. The second proof of Lemma 1 is complete. \Box

Third proof (due to Gérard Letac). Since $u_i > 0$ for $1 \le i \le r+1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} u_i = 1$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{1}{y^{\beta/u_i}(y^{1/u_i}-1)} < \frac{u_i}{y^{\beta}(y-1)}, \quad 1 \le i \le r+1.$$

By writing

$$s_i = \frac{1}{u_i}$$
 and $g(s_i) = \frac{s_i}{y^{s_i\beta}(y^{s_i}-1)}$,

it is sufficient to show that

$$g(s) < g(1), \quad s > 1.$$

But this readily follows from

$$\frac{d}{ds}\ln g(s) = \left[\frac{1}{\ln(y^s)} - \frac{y^s}{y^s - 1} - \beta\right]\ln y = \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-x}} - \beta\right)\ln y < 0,$$

where we made the substitution $x = \ln(y^s) > 0$ for s, y > 1 and we used the inequality $e^{-x} > 1 - x$ for $x \in (0, \infty)$. The third proof of Lemma 1 is complete. \Box

3. Logarithmically complete monotonicity

Now we are in a position to state and prove our main result.

THEOREM 1. Let $m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_r = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_r) \in n\mathscr{S}_r^{\circ}$, $\alpha_{r+1} = n - \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_r\|_1 > 0$, and let \boldsymbol{M}_i for $0 \leq i \leq r$ be symmetric matrices of order m, satisfying $\boldsymbol{M}_i \geq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{M}_{r+1} = \boldsymbol{I}_m - \sum_{i=1}^r \boldsymbol{M}_i \geq 0$. Then the function

$$\mathscr{Q}(x) = \frac{\Gamma_m\left(xn + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1}\Gamma_m\left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{x\alpha_i}$$
(7)

is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $M_i > 0$ for all $0 \le i \le r+1$. By taking the logarithm on both sides of the equation (7), we have

$$\ln \mathscr{Q}(x) = \ln \Gamma_m \left(xn + \frac{m+1}{2} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \ln \Gamma_m \left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m+1}{2} \right) + x \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \ln |\boldsymbol{M}_i|.$$

Denote

$$\psi_m(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \ln \Gamma_m(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m \psi\left(z - \frac{j-1}{2}\right).$$

Then a direct differentiation gives

$$[\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]' = n\psi_m \left(xn + \frac{m+1}{2} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \psi_m \left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m+1}{2} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \ln |\mathbf{M}_i|$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m \left\{ n\psi \left(xn + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \psi \left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1 \right) \right\}$$
(8)
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \ln |\mathbf{M}_i|.$$

Using the special case $\ell = 1$ of the integral representation

$$\Psi^{(\ell)}(z) = (-1)^{\ell+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^\ell e^{-zt}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N},$$

listed in [1, p. 260, 6.4.1], we obtain

$$\begin{split} [\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]'' &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ n^2 \psi' \left(xn + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i^2 \psi' \left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1 \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ n^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \exp\left[- \left(xn + \frac{m-j}{2} \right) t \right] dt \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \exp\left[- \left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m-j}{2} \right) t \right] dt \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{se^{-xs}}{e^{s/n} - 1} \exp\left(- \frac{m-j}{2n}s \right) ds \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{se^{-xs}}{e^{s/\alpha_i} - 1} \exp\left(- \frac{m-j}{2\alpha_i}s \right) ds \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_0^\infty \mathscr{I}_{(m-j)/2, \mathbf{\alpha}_r/n} \left(e^{s/n} \right) se^{-xs} ds, \end{split}$$

where $\mathscr{J}_{\beta,\boldsymbol{u}_r}(y)$ is defined in (3). Applying Lemma 1 yields

$$(-1)^{n+1} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n+1}}{\mathrm{d}x^{n+1}} \ln \mathscr{Q}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathscr{J}_{(m-j)/2, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{r/n}}(\mathrm{e}^{s/n}) s^{n} \, \mathrm{e}^{-xs} \, \mathrm{d}s > 0 \tag{9}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and x > 0.

To prove the logarithmically complete monotonicity of $\mathscr{Q}(x)$ on $(0,\infty)$, it remains to verify that the positivity in (9) is also valid for n = 0. From the positivity of (9) for n = 1, it follows that the function $x \mapsto [-\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]'$ is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that $\lim_{x\to\infty} [-\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]' \ge 0$.

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a \ge 0$, the first result in [14, Lemma 2.4] reads as

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \left(x^{k+1} \left[\psi^{(k)}(x+a) - \psi^{(k)}(x) \right] \right) = (-1)^k k! a.$$
(10)

Hence, the case k = 0 and $a = \frac{1}{2}$ in (10) implies the asymptotic formula

$$\Psi\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)\sim\Psi(z)+\frac{1}{2z},\quad z\to\infty.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\psi\left(xn + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1\right) \sim \psi\left(xn + \frac{m-j+1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2n\left(x + \frac{m-j+1}{2n}\right)} \\ \sim \psi\left(xn + \frac{m-j}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2n\left(x + \frac{m-j}{2n}\right)} + \frac{1}{2n\left(x + \frac{m-j+1}{2n}\right)}$$

as $x \to \infty$. By induction, we obtain

$$\psi\left(xn + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1\right) \sim \psi(xn) + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-j+1} \frac{1}{x + \frac{\ell}{2n}}, \quad x \to \infty.$$
 (11)

Similarly, we derive

$$\psi\left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1\right) \sim \psi(x\alpha_i) + \frac{1}{2\alpha_i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-j+1} \frac{1}{x + \frac{\ell}{2\alpha_i}}, \quad x \to \infty.$$
(12)

Substituting (11) and (12) into (8) and simplifying lead to

$$[-\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]' \sim m \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \psi(x\alpha_i) - n\psi(xn) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \ln |\boldsymbol{M}_i|$$

+
$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-j+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{1}{x + \frac{\ell}{2\alpha_i}} - \frac{1}{x + \frac{\ell}{2n}} \right), \quad x \to \infty.$$

Using the asymptotic formula

$$\psi(z) \sim \ln z - \frac{1}{2z}, \quad z \to \infty,$$

derived from [1, p. 259, 6.3.18], we conclude that

$$[-\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]' \sim m \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \ln(x\alpha_i) - n \ln(xn) \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \ln|\boldsymbol{M}_i|$$
$$- \frac{mr}{2x} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-j+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{1}{x + \frac{\ell}{2\alpha_i}} - \frac{1}{x + \frac{\ell}{2n}} \right), \quad x \to \infty$$

Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} [-\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]' = mn \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{\alpha_i}{n} \ln \frac{\alpha_i}{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{\alpha_i}{n} \ln \left(|\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{1/m} \right) \right]$$
$$= -mn \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{\alpha_i}{n} \ln \left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{1/m}}{\alpha_i/n} \right)$$
$$\geq -mn \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{1/m} \right)$$
$$\geq -mn \ln \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \boldsymbol{M}_i \right|^{1/m} \right)$$
$$= -mn \ln \left(|\boldsymbol{I}_m|^{1/m} \right)$$
$$= 0,$$

where we used Jensen's inequality for the concave function $\ln x$ on $(0,\infty)$, see [9, Chapter I, p. 6], and Minkowski's determinant inequality for the symmetric positive semidefinite matrices M_i of order m, see [7] or [9, Chapter VIII, p. 214, Theorem 2]. This ends the proof of Theorem 1. \Box

A trivial modification at the end of the last proof yields the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. Let $m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_r = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r) \in n\mathscr{S}_r^\circ$, $\alpha_{r+1} = n - \|\boldsymbol{\alpha}_r\|_1$ > 0, and let $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r) \in \mathscr{S}_r$ with $p_{r+1} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r p_i$. Then the function

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{Q}}(x) = \frac{\Gamma_m\left(xn + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1}\Gamma_m\left(x\alpha_i + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)} \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} p_i^{x\alpha_i}$$
(14)

is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 1, replace $|\mathbf{M}_i|$ everywhere by p_i . On the third line of (13), simply use Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} p_i^{1/m} \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} p_i\right)^{1/m} = 1^{1/m} = 1,$$
(15)

to obtain the desired conclusion. \Box

4. Inequalities involving ratios of multivariate gamma functions

Considering the factor involving the gamma functions in (7) and making use of the formula (2), we denote and write

$$R(x) = \frac{\Gamma_m(xn + \frac{m+1}{2})}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1}\Gamma_m(x\alpha_i + \frac{m+1}{2})} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(xn + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1)}{\pi^{rm(m-1)/4}\prod_{i=1}^{r+1}\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(x\alpha_i + \frac{m-j}{2} + 1)}.$$

We are now ready to derive several inequalities for R(x) involving ratios of multivariate gamma functions Γ_m .

THEOREM 2. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\ell) \in (0, \infty)^\ell$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\ell \lambda_k = 1$.

1. For $(x_1, ..., x_\ell) \in (0, \infty)^\ell$, we have

$$R\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k x_k\right) \leqslant \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} [R(x_k)]^{\lambda_k},\tag{16}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_k$.

2. *For* $(x_1,...,x_\ell) \in (0,\infty)^{\ell}$, we have

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\ell} R(x_k) < R\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} x_k\right).$$
(17)

3. For $\ell = 3$ and $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in (0, \infty)^3$, if $x_1 \leq x_3$, then

$$R(x_1 + x_2)R(x_3) \leqslant R(x_1)R(x_2 + x_3), \tag{18}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_3$.

Proof. The logarithmically complete monotonicity in Theorem 1 implies that the function \mathcal{Q} is logarithmically convex on $(0,\infty)$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\mathscr{Q}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k x_k\right) = R\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k x_k\right) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{\alpha_i \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_k x_k}$$
$$\leqslant \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} [\mathscr{Q}(x_k)]^{\lambda_k} = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} [R(x_k)]^{\lambda_k} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{x_k \alpha_i}\right]^{\lambda_k}$$

which can be simplified as (16). Due to the logarithmic convexity, it is trivial to see that the equality in (16) holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_k$.

Lemma 3 in [2] states that, if $h: [0, \infty) \to (0, 1]$ is differentiable and the logarithmic derivative $\frac{h'(x)}{h(x)} = [\ln h(x)]'$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, then the strict inequality h(x)h(y) < h(x+y) is valid for $x, y \in (0, \infty)$. By the way, we notice that this lemma is a special case $\varphi(x) = \ln h(x)$ of the result concluded in the first paragraph in the second proof of Lemma 1 in this paper. From this, we can inductively derive

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} h(x_j) < h\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j\right).$$
(19)

The logarithmically complete monotonicity in Theorem 1 implies that $\mathscr{Q}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ and that the logarithmic derivative $\frac{\mathscr{Q}'(x)}{\mathscr{Q}(x)} = [\ln \mathscr{Q}(x)]'$ is strictly increasing on $(0,\infty)$. Since

$$\lim_{x \to 0^+} \mathscr{Q}(x) = \frac{\Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{r+1} \Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{\left[\Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\right]^r}$$

and

$$\Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2}\right) = \pi^{(m-1)m/4} \prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{m-j}{2}\right)$$
$$= \pi^{(m-1)m/4} \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{k}{2}\right)$$
$$\geqslant \pi^{(m-1)m/4} \geqslant 1$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, from the decreasing property of $\mathscr{Q}(x)$ on $(0,\infty)$, we deduce that

$$0 < \mathscr{Q}(0) \triangleq \lim_{x \to 0^+} \mathscr{Q}(x) \leqslant 1.$$

Consequently, by applying the inequality (19) to $\mathcal{Q}(x)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathscr{Q}(x_j) &= \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left[R(x_j) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{x_j \alpha_i} \right] \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} R(x_j) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{\alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j} \\ &< \mathscr{Q}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j \right) \\ &= R\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j \right) \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} |\boldsymbol{M}_i|^{\alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} x_j}, \end{split}$$

which can be reformulated as the inequality (17).

As done in the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [20], the inequality (18) and the equality case follow by using the logarithmically complete monotonicity of the function $\mathscr{Q}(x)$ in Theorem 1 and by adapting the proof of Corollary 3 in [2]. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \Box

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Gérard Letac (Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier, France; gerard.letac@math.univ-toulouse.fr) for providing the third proof of Lemma 1. The authors also thank Donald Richards for his comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

Funding. F. Ouimet was supported by postdoctoral fellowships from the NSERC (PDF) and the FRQNT (B3X supplement and B3XR).

REFERENCES

- MILTON ABRAMOWITZ & IRENE A. STEGUN, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 55. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] HORST ALZER, Complete monotonicity of a function related to the binomial probability, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 459 (1), 10–15, 2018.
- [3] CHRISTIAN BERG, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function, Mediterr. J. Math., 1 (4), 433–439, 2004.
- [4] CHRISTIAN BERG, ASENA ÇETINKAYA & DMITRII KARP, Completely monotonic ratios of basic and ordinary gamma functions, Aequ. Math., 95 (3), 569–588, 2021.
- [5] ARJUN K. GUPTA & DAYA K. NAGAR, *Matrix Variate Distributions*, Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 104, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 1999.
- [6] D. B. KARP & E. G. PRILEPKINA, Completely monotonic gamma ratio and infinitely divisible Hfunction of Fox, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 16 (1), 135–153, 2016.
- [7] MARVIN MARCUS & STEPHEN PIERCE, Extensions of the Minkowski inequality, Linear Algebra Appl., 1 (1), 13–27, 1968.
- [8] ALBERT W. MARSHALL, INGRAM OLKIN & BARRY C. ARNOLD, Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications, second edn. Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [9] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ & A. M. FINK, Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), vol. 61, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [10] DAYA K. NAGAR, ALEJANDRO ROLDÁN-CORREA & ARJUN K. GUPTA, Extended matrix variate gamma and beta functions, J. Multivariate Anal., 122, 53–69, 2013.
- [11] CONSTANTIN P. NICULESCU & LARS-ERIK PERSSON, Convex Functions and Their Applications: A Contemporary Approach, second edn. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [12] FRANK W. J. OLVER, DANIEL W. LOZIER, RONALD F. BOISVERT & CHARLES W. CLARK, (eds), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, DC; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [13] FRÉDÉRIC OUIMET, Complete monotonicity of multinomial probabilities and its application to Bernstein estimators on the simplex, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **466** (2), 1609–1617, 2018.
- [14] FENG QI, Lower bound of sectional curvature of Fisher-Rao manifold of beta distributions and complete monotonicity of functions involving polygamma functions, Results Math., 76 (4), paper no. 217, 16 pages, 2021.
- [15] FENG QI & RAVI P. AGARWAL, On complete monotonicity for several classes of functions related to ratios of gamma functions, J. Inequal. Appl., paper no. 36, 42 pages, 2019.
- [16] FENG QI & CHAO-PING CHEN, A complete monotonicity property of the gamma function, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 296 (2), 603–607, 2004.
- [17] FENG QI & BAI-NI GUO, From inequalities involving exponential functions and sums to logarithmically complete monotonicity of ratios of gamma functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 493 (1), paper no. 124478, 19 pages, 2021.
- [18] FENG QI & WEN-HUI LI, A logarithmically completely monotonic function involving the ratio of gamma functions, J. Appl. Anal. Comput., 5 (4), 626–634, 2015.
- [19] FENG QI & DONGKYU LIM, Monotonicity properties for a ratio of finite many gamma functions, Adv. Difference Equ., paper no. 193, 9 pages, 2020.
- [20] FENG QI, DA-WEI NIU, DONGKYU LIM & BAI-NI GUO, Some logarithmically completely monotonic functions and inequalities for multinomial coefficients and multivariate beta functions, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 14 (2), 512–527, 2020.
- [21] FENG QI, WEN-HUI LI, SHU-BIN YU, XIN-YU DU & BAI-NI GUO, A ratio of finitely many gamma functions and its properties with applications, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM, 115 (2), paper no. 39, 14 pages, 2021.
- [22] RENÉ L. SCHILLING, RENMING SONG & ZORAN VONDRAČEK, Bernstein Functions: Theory and Applications, second edn., De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 37, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012.

- [23] DAVID VERNON WIDDER, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 6. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J, 1941.
- [24] ZHEN-HANG YANG & JING-FENG TIAN, A class of completely mixed monotonic functions involving the gamma function with applications, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 146 (11), 4707–4721, 2018.

(Received June 15, 2021)

Frédéric Ouimet Department of Mathematics and Statistics McGill University Montreal, QC H3A 0B9, Canada and Division of Physics, Mathematics and Astronomy California Institute of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125, USA e-mail: frederic.ouimet2@mcgill.ca

Feng Qi

Institute of Mathematics Henan Polytechnic University Jiaozuo 454003, Henan, China and School of Mathematical Sciences Tiangong University Tianjin 300387, China e-mail: gifeng618@gmail.com