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Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to study a Markov type inequality for algebraic polynomials in $L^{p}$ norm on two-dimensional cuspidal domains.

## 1. Introduction

In the space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ we consider the Euclidean norm: $|\mathbf{x}|:=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{d}\right|^{2}}$, where $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$. For a nonempty compact set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and $h: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the $p$ th power of the absolute value is Lebesgue integrable, we put

$$
\|h\|_{L^{p}(E)}:=\left(\int_{E}|h(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

If two sequences $z_{n}$ and $w_{n}$ of real numbers have the property that $w_{n} \neq 0$ and the sequence $\left|z_{n}\right| /\left|w_{n}\right|$ has finite positive limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we write $z_{n} \sim w_{n}$. Throughout the paper, $\mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ denotes the space of real algebraic polynomials of $d$ variables and degree at most $n$ and $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ denotes the Jacobi polynomial of degree $n$ associated to parameters $\alpha, \beta$. Moreover, $\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.

DEFINITION 1. Let $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. We say that a compact set $\emptyset \neq E \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ satisfies $L^{p}$ Markov type inequality (or: is a $L^{p}$ Markov set) if there exist $\kappa, C>0$ such that, for each polynomial $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and each $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{j}}\right\|_{L^{p}(E)} \leqslant C n^{\kappa}\|P\|_{L^{p}(E)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $B(\mathbf{a}, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ the closed Euclidean ball with center a and radius $r$, and $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|\mathbf{x}|=1\right\}$ is the unit sphere. For any $r>0, \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ the cylinder $L_{\mathbf{a}}(r, \mathbf{u})$ with center $\mathbf{a}$, radius $r>0$, and axis $\mathbf{u}$ is given by

$$
L_{\mathbf{a}}(r, \mathbf{u}):=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}|^{2}<r^{2}+\langle\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{u}\rangle^{2}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, $l_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u})$ will denote the line in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ in direction $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ through point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Following Kroó [12], we introduce a graph domain with respect to the cylinder $L_{\mathbf{a}}(r, \mathbf{u})$ and a piecewise graph domain.
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DEFINITION 2. $K$ is called a graph domain with respect to the cylinder $L_{\mathbf{a}}(r, \mathbf{u})$ if for every $\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{a}, r)$ we have that $l_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u}) \cap K=\left[A_{1}(\mathbf{x}), A_{2}(\mathbf{x})\right]$ with $A_{i}(\mathbf{x}), i=1,2$ being continuous for $\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{a}, r)$ and

$$
\delta_{r}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{u}):=\inf _{\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{a}, r)}\left|A_{1}(\mathbf{x})-A_{2}(\mathbf{x})\right|>0
$$

Moreover, $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a piecewise graph domain if it can be covered by finite number of cylinders so that $K$ is a graph domain with respect to each of them.

Similarly to [12] $\omega_{K}(\cdot)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of the boundary of piecewise graph domain $K$ which is defined as the maximum of modula of continuity of all functions $A_{i}(\cdot)$ involved in the corresponding finite covering by cylinders. If $\varepsilon:=\varepsilon_{n}(K)$ is a solution of the equation

$$
2 n^{2} \omega_{K}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{n^{2}}\right)=1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

then the main result of the mentioned paper of Kroó is
THEOREM 1. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a cuspidal piecewise graph domain. Then there exists a positive constant $B$, depending on $K$ and on $p$, such that for $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla Q\|_{L^{p}(K)} \leqslant B \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\|Q\|_{L^{p}(K)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\nabla Q:=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant d}\left|\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_{j}}\right|$. In particular, if $K$ is Lip $\gamma, 0<\gamma<1$ then

$$
\|\nabla Q\|_{L^{p}(K)} \leqslant B n^{\frac{2}{\gamma}}\|Q\|_{L^{p}(K)}
$$

The above theorem is a particular result in the general problem of estimating the exponent of the growth rate (with respect to the degree $n$ ) of the best comparability constant of the semi-norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$ acting on the space $\mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ for a given compact set $\Omega$. More precisely, the Markov exponent in $L^{p}$-norm of a $L^{p}$ Markov set $K$ is defined as the infimum of $l$ as $l$ ranges over all positive numbers such that there exists a constant $C>0$, independent of $n$, with the property that $L^{p}$ Markov type inequality (1) holds (with $l$ and $C$ ), which we denote by $\mu_{p}(K)$.

The notion of Markov exponent (in the supremum norm) appears first in [5]. The Markov exponent has many interesting applications in approximation theory, constructive function theory and in analysis (for instance, to Sobolev inequalities or Whitneytype extension problems see [7], [16] and [17]). It is known that $\mu_{p}(K) \geqslant 2$ for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with nonempty interior such that $K=\overline{\operatorname{int} K}$. In [8] it is proved that if $K$ is a locally Lipschitz compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, then $\mu_{p}(K)=2$. See also [3], [10], [9] and [15]. In the case of cuspidal domains, see [11] and [13]. Markov's inequality and its various generalizations were studied in a large number of papers, it is beyond the scope of this paper to give a complete bibliography, an extensive survey of the results is given in [6], [14], [19] and [18].

Theorem 1 gives in general, the best possible Markov type upper bound in $L^{p}$, $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ norm for cuspidal graph domains. Indeed, we can show that it is attained for a large family of cuspidal $\operatorname{Lip} \gamma, 0<\gamma<1$ domains. If we assume that the domain is imbedded in an affine image of the $l_{\gamma}$ ball with one of its vertices being on the boundary $\partial K$ of $K$. Then we have $\mu_{p}(K)=\frac{2}{\gamma}$, see [12]. A natural question is whether the upper bound (2) is best possible for cuspidal graph domains that cannot be embedded in an affine image of the $l_{\gamma}$ ball. Some special cases of such domains are noteworthy. Below we give a list of examples.

- $\{(0,0)\} \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<x \leqslant a, \quad 0 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{k} \ln (-\ln x)\right\}$,
- $\{(0,0)\} \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<x \leqslant 1, \quad 0 \leqslant y \leqslant-x^{k} \ln x\right\}$,
- $\{(0,0)\} \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<x \leqslant 1, \quad 0 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{k}(-\ln x)^{c}\right\}$,
- $\{(0,0)\} \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<x \leqslant 1, \quad 0 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{k+1} \ln ^{*}(1 / x)\right\}$,
- $\{(0,0)\} \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<x \leqslant a, \quad 0 \leqslant y \leqslant x^{k} \exp \left((-\ln x)^{c}(\ln (-\ln x))^{1-c}\right)\right\}$.

Here $k>1,0<a \leqslant 1 / e, 0<c<1$ and

$$
\ln ^{*}(x):= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } x \leqslant 1 \\ 1+\ln ^{*}(\ln x), & \text { if } x>1\end{cases}
$$

We note that the domains above are related to the function classes that are frequently encountered in algorithm runtime analysis. One of the purposes of this note is to show that, if $d=2$, the factor $\frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ is best possible for larger class of domains (including the above domains) then $\operatorname{Lip} \gamma$. Another goal is to prove that for every sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$, satisfying certain properties, there exist a compact set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, a constant $M>0$ and a sequence of polynomials $P_{n}$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\|\nabla P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}}{\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}} \sim \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad\|\nabla Q\|_{L^{p}(D)} \leqslant M \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\|Q\|_{L^{p}(D)}
$$

for any real algebraic polynomial $Q$ of two variables and degree at most $n$. Moreover, for every $\imath \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ we give an example of connected compact subset $E_{l}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\mu_{p}\left(E_{l}\right)=2 \imath$ and the inequality (1) does not hold with the exponent $\mu_{p}\left(E_{l}\right)$.

## 2. Index of convexity

Let $\phi_{r}(t):=t^{1 / r}$, where $t, r>0$ throughout this section.
DEFINITION 3. Let $-\infty<a<b<\infty$. Let $f:(a, b) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a convex function such that there exists a positive constant $r$ so that $(f)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ is concave. The index of convexity of $f$ is defined by

$$
I_{\text {conv }}(f):=\inf \left\{r>0: \phi_{r} \circ f \text { is concave }\right\}
$$

The following remark shows why we do not consider convex functions with negative index of convexity.

REmARK 1. Let $f:(a, b) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a convex and increasing function. Assume further that $\lim _{x \rightarrow a^{+}} f(x)=0$. Then, for every $r>0,(f)^{-\frac{1}{r}}$ cannot be concave.

REMARK 2. Let $f:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $f((a, b)) \subseteq(c, d)$. It is known that the composite function $g \circ f$ is convex on $(a, b)$ when $f$ and $g$ are convex and $g$ is increasing. Hence, the index of convexity cannot be smaller then 1.

Example 1. Let $k>1,0<c<1$ and $b>0$. Let

- $f_{1}:(0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{1}(x):=x^{k} \ln (-\ln x)$,
- $f_{2}:(0, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{2}(x):=x^{k}(-\ln x)^{c}$.

Then, for sufficiently small $b$, we have $I_{\text {conv }}\left(f_{1}\right)=I_{\text {conv }}\left(f_{2}\right)=k$.
Proof. Fix $k>1$. It is now a tedious computation (or a task for a computer algebra package) to check that there exists $b>0$ such that

$$
\left(f_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\phi_{k+a} \circ f_{1}\right)^{\prime \prime} \leqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \quad(0, b)
$$

for every $a>0$. Hence $I_{\text {conv }}\left(f_{1}\right)=k$.
Now fix $0<c<1$ and consider $f_{2}$. Direct calculus computations lead to

$$
\left(\phi_{k+a} \circ f_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\left(\phi_{k+a} \circ f_{2}\right)(x) \frac{c(k-a) \ln \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)+c(a-c+k)+a k \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}{x^{2}(a+k)^{2} \ln ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)} .
$$

Therefore there exists $b>0$ such that $\left(\phi_{k+a} \circ f_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime} \leqslant 0$ on $(0, b)$ for all $a>0$. Thus $I_{\text {conv }}\left(f_{2}\right)=k$.

The following result will be useful for the proof of Theorem 2 in the next section.
Proposition 1. Let $f:(0, b) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a convex function. Suppose that $I_{\text {conv }}(f)=r$. If $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(x)=0$, then

$$
(r+a) f(x) \geqslant x f^{\prime}(x)
$$

for every $a>0$ and $0<x<b$.
Proof. First, we note that $(r+a)\left(\phi_{r+a} \circ f\right)^{\prime}=\left(\phi_{r+a-1} \circ f\right) f^{\prime}$. Since $I_{\text {conv }}(f)=r$, it is clear that $\phi_{r+a} \circ f$ is a concave function for every $a>0$. Therefore

$$
\left(\phi_{r+a} \circ f\right)(y) \leqslant\left(\phi_{r+a} \circ f\right)(x)+\left(\phi_{r+a-1} \circ f\right)(x) f^{\prime}(x) \frac{(y-x)}{r+a}
$$

Now the result follows from the continuity of $\phi_{r+a}$ and the following equality

$$
\frac{\phi_{r+a} \circ f}{\phi_{r+a-1} \circ f}=f
$$

Let us now return to the $L^{p}$ Markov type inequality to explain why we do not consider convex functions $f:(a, b) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ with the property that for each $r>0$ there exists $c \in(a, b)$ so that $(f)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ is convex on $(a, c)$.

Proposition 2. Let $E:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<y \leqslant e^{-\frac{1}{x}}, 0<x \leqslant 1\right\} \cup\{(0,0)\}$ (see [21]). Then, for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$, E does not satisfy $L^{p}$ Markov type inequality (1).

Proof. If we consider the polynomials $P_{k}(x, y)=y(1-x)^{k}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$, then

$$
\mathrm{e}_{k}:=\frac{\int_{E}\left|\frac{\partial P_{k}}{\partial y}(x, y)\right|^{p} d x d y}{\int_{E}\left|P_{k}(x, y)\right|^{p} d x d y}=\frac{\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\frac{1}{x}}(1-x)^{k p} d x}{\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-(p+1) \frac{1}{x}}(1-x)^{k p} d x}
$$

It is easy to derive that

$$
\max _{x \in[0,1]}\left\{e^{-(p+1) \frac{1}{x}}(1-x)^{k p}\right\}=e^{-(p+1) \frac{1}{a_{k}(p)}}\left(1-a_{k}(p)\right)^{k p}
$$

where $a_{k}(p)=\frac{\sqrt{1+p} \sqrt{1+p+4 k p}-p-1}{2 k p}$. Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\frac{1}{x}}(1-x)^{k p} d x \geqslant \int_{a_{k}(p)}^{1} e^{-\frac{1}{x}}(1-x)^{k p} d x \geqslant e^{-\frac{1}{a_{k}(p)}} \frac{\left(1-a_{k}(p)\right)^{k p+1}}{k p+1}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}_{k} \geqslant \frac{p+1}{k p+1} e^{\frac{p}{a_{k}(p)}}\left(1-a_{k}(p)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{k}(p) \sqrt{k}=\left(\frac{p}{p+1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3) and (4) leads to

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-r} \mathrm{e}_{k}=\infty
$$

for every $r>0$. Thus $E$ cannot be $L^{p}$ Markov set.

## 3. A lower bound in $L^{p}$ Markov type inequality

For a given point $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and a line $l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, S_{l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{a})$ stands for the point that is symmetric to the point a with respect to the line $l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u})$. The point $\pi_{l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the orthogonal projection of the point $\mathbf{a}$ onto the line $l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u})$ i.e., $\pi_{l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{a}):=l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u}) \cap l_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{w})$, where $\mathbf{w} \perp \mathbf{u}$.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a piecewise graph domain. Suppose that $\mathbf{z} \in K$ is one of the strongest cuspidal point of $K$ i.e., there exists a cylinder $L_{\mathbf{a}}(r, \mathbf{u})$ such that $K$ is a graph domain with respect to it, $\mathbf{z}=A_{2}(\mathbf{b})$ for some $\mathbf{b} \in B(\mathbf{a}, r)$ and for all sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\max \left\{\left|\mathbf{z}-A_{2}\left(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)\right|: \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}} \in B(\mathbf{a}, r),\left|\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{n}}\right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right\}=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}
$$

Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{S}^{1}, \mathbf{w} \perp \mathbf{u}$. We say that $\mathbf{z}$ is regular if there exist $\mathbf{o} \in l_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u})$, and a function $f:[\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{z}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $f(\mathbf{z})=\mathbf{z}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[f(\mathbf{x}), \pi_{l_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u})}(f(\mathbf{x}))\right] \subset K \cap l_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{w}) \subset\left[f(\mathbf{x}), S_{l_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u})}(f(\mathbf{x}))\right] \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{x} \in[\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{z}]} \\
& d(t):=\operatorname{dist}\left(l_{f((1-t) \mathbf{o}+t \mathbf{z})}(\mathbf{u}), l_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{u})\right) \quad \text { is convex on the interval }(0,1) \text { and } \\
& I_{\text {conv }}(d)=r \quad \text { for some } r .
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 2. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a piecewise graph domain. Suppose that one of the strongest cuspidal point of $K$ is regular. If $\varepsilon_{n}$ is a solution of the equation $2 n^{2} \omega_{K}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=$ $1, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exist $\Psi>0$ and a sequence of polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\nabla P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(K)} \geqslant \Psi \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(K)}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $K \subset[0,1] \times[-1,1], \mathbf{v}=$ $(1,0)$ is the strongest cuspidal point of $K$ and $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \eta \leqslant x \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant y \leqslant f(x)\right\} \subset$ $K \subset[0, \eta] \times[-1,1] \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \eta \leqslant x \leqslant 1,-f(x) \leqslant y \leqslant f(x)\right\}$ for some $0<\eta<1$ and a convex function $f:[\eta, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $f(1)=0$ and $I_{\text {conv }}(f)<\infty$. (This can be achieved by shifting the point $\mathbf{o}$ into the origin, rotating around the origin and dilating the space by a proper constant.) Let $x_{n}=f^{-1}\left(\varepsilon_{n} / n^{2}\right)$ for all sufficiently large $n$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\iint_{K}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y \leqslant & \int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x+\int_{\eta}^{x_{n}} \int_{-f(x)}^{f(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \\
& +\int_{x_{n}}^{1} \int_{-f(x)}^{f(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Our plan is to obtain the estimates of each integral on the right side. We start with
the last one. It is clear that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{n}}^{1} \int_{-f(x)}^{f(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y & =\frac{2}{p+1} \int_{x_{n}}^{1}(f(x))^{p+1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leqslant \frac{2}{p+1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{p+1} \int_{x_{n}}^{1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the change of variable $x=\cos \theta$ gives us

$$
\int_{x_{n}}^{1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x=\int_{0}^{u_{n}}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \theta)\right|^{p} \sin \theta d \theta
$$

Here $u_{n}=\arccos x_{n}$. Since $\mathbf{v}=(1,0)$ is the strongest cuspidal point of $K$, it follows that

$$
\omega_{K}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\max \left\{\left|\left(f^{-1}(y), y\right)-(1,0)\right|: 0 \leqslant y \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right\}
$$

The convexity of $f$ and the fact that $f(1)=0$ guarantee

$$
\omega_{K}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\left|\left(x_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)-(1,0)\right| .
$$

Hence, by $\omega_{K}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}$,

$$
x_{n}=1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4 n^{4}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{2}}{n^{4}}}
$$

By the fact that $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ there exists a natural number $n_{0}$ such that $1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} \leqslant x_{n} \leqslant 1-\frac{1}{4 n^{2}}$ for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$. Hence there exist a natural number $n_{1}$ and positive constants $a, b$ such that $\frac{a}{n} \leqslant u_{n} \leqslant \frac{b}{n}$ for all $n \geqslant n_{1}$. Applying certain properties of Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ verified in [8], (7.32.5), p. 169, we conclude that there exists a natural number $n_{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{u_{n}}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \theta)\right|^{p} \sin \theta d \theta \leqslant C n^{\alpha p} \int_{0}^{u_{n}} \theta d \theta \leqslant \frac{C b^{2}}{2} n^{\alpha p-2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geqslant n_{2}$ and appropriately adjusted constant $C$. Then by (6) and (7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{n}}^{1} \int_{-f(x)}^{f(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant \frac{C b^{2}}{p+1} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$.
Now select $\alpha>-1$ such that $\alpha p+p / 2-2>2 I_{\text {conv }}(f)(p+1)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\eta}^{x_{n}} \int_{-f(x)}^{f(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x=\frac{2}{p+1} \int_{\eta}^{x_{n}}(f(x))^{p+1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma=\arccos \eta$. Proceeding similarly as before, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{p+1} \int_{\eta}^{x_{n}}(f(x))^{p+1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leqslant \frac{2 \Lambda n^{-p / 2}}{(p+1)} \int_{u_{n}}^{\sigma}(f(\cos \theta))^{p+1} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2} \sin \theta d \theta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for appropriately adjusted constant $\Lambda$ and all sufficiently large $n$. Since $\sin x \leqslant x$ for $x \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{p+1} \int_{\eta}^{x_{n}}(f(x))^{p+1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leqslant \frac{2 \Lambda n^{-p / 2}}{(p+1)} \int_{u_{n}}^{\sigma}(f(\cos \theta))^{p+1} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+1} d \theta \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration by parts gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{u_{n}}^{\sigma}(f(\cos \theta))^{p+1} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+1} d \theta=\left[\frac{(f(\cos \theta))^{p+1} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+2}}{-\alpha p-p / 2+2}\right]_{u_{n}}^{\sigma} \\
&+\int_{u_{n}}^{\sigma} \frac{(p+1)(f(\cos \theta))^{p} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+2}}{-\alpha p-p / 2+2} f^{\prime}(\cos \theta) \sin \theta d \theta \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

If $-1 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$, then $\sqrt{1-x^{2}} \arccos x \leqslant 2(1-x)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda f^{\prime}(\cos \lambda) \sin \lambda \leqslant-2(1-\cos \lambda) f^{\prime}(\cos \lambda) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\lambda \in(0, \sigma]$. By Proposition 1 , for each $\delta>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta\right) f(x) \geqslant-f^{\prime}(x)(1-x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in[\eta, 1]$. Then, by (13) and (14),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{u_{n}}^{\sigma} \frac{(p+1)(f(\cos \theta))^{p} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+2}}{-\alpha p-p / 2+2} f^{\prime}(\cos \theta) \sin \theta d \theta \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{u_{n}}^{\sigma} \frac{2(p+1)\left(I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta\right)(f(\cos \theta))^{p+1} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+1}}{\alpha p+p / 2-2} d \theta \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (12) and (15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{u_{n}}^{\sigma}(f(\cos \theta))^{p+1} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+1} d \theta & \leqslant \frac{\left(f\left(\cos u_{n}\right)\right)^{p+1} u_{n}^{-\alpha p-p / 2+2}}{\alpha p+p / 2-2-2(p+1)\left(I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta\right)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} a^{-\alpha p-p / 2+2} n^{\alpha p+p / 2-2 p-4}}{\alpha p+p / 2-2-2(p+1)\left(I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $\alpha p+p / 2-2>2(p+1)\left(I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta\right)$. Together with (9), (10) and (11), this last estimate implies that for every $\alpha>-1$ such that $\alpha p+p / 2-2>2(p+1) I_{\text {conv }}(f)$ there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$, independent of $n$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\eta}^{x_{n}} \int_{-f(x)}^{f(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant C_{1} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It now remains to prove that there exists a positive constant $C_{2}$, independent of $n$, such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant C_{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4}
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x=\frac{2}{p+1} \int_{0}^{\eta}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x
$$

In a similar way as before, we can show that

$$
\int_{0}^{\eta}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leqslant \Lambda_{1} n^{-p / 2} \int_{\sigma}^{\pi / 2} \theta^{-\alpha p-p / 2+1} d \theta
$$

for appropriately adjusted constant $\Lambda_{1}$ and all sufficiently large $n$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant \frac{2 \Lambda_{1} n^{-p / 2} \sigma^{-\alpha p-p / 2+2}}{(\alpha p+p / 2-2)(p+1)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $f(\eta):=w$. For every $\delta>0$ define $h_{\delta}(x):=(1-x)^{I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta} \frac{w}{(1-\eta)^{I_{c o n v}(f)+\delta}}$. Then $f(\eta)=h_{\delta}(\eta)$ and $f(1)=h_{\delta}(1)$. By the definition of $I_{\text {conv }}(f),(f)^{\frac{1}{I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta}}$ is concave. Hence

$$
(f(x))^{\frac{1}{T_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta}} \geqslant w^{\frac{1}{I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta}} \frac{1-x}{1-\eta}=\left(h_{\delta}(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{T_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta}}
$$

for all $x \in[\eta, 1]$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}} & =f\left(x_{n}\right) \geqslant f\left(1-\frac{1}{4 n^{2}}\right) \geqslant h_{\delta}\left(1-\frac{1}{4 n^{2}}\right) \\
& \geqslant \frac{w}{(1-\eta)^{I_{\text {conv }}(f)+\delta}(2 n)^{2 I_{\text {conv }}(f)+2 \delta}} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. Now if $\alpha$ is selected so that $2 I_{\text {conv }}(f)(p+1)+2-p / 2<$ $\alpha p$, then, by (17) and (18), there exists $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\eta} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y \leqslant C_{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let $M=C b^{2} / 2+C_{1}+C_{2}$ and use the inequalities (5), (8), (16) and (19) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{K}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y \leqslant M \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our assumption on $K$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{K}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y \geqslant \int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1} \int_{0}^{f(x)}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x=\int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1} f(x)\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making the change of variable $x=1-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n^{2}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1} f(x)\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} z f\left(g_{n}(z)\right)\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(g_{n}(z)\right)\right|^{p} d z \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{n}(z)=1-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n^{2}}$. Again certain properties of Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ play a role. By the formula of Mehler-Heine type (see [20], Theorem 8.1.1.)

$$
\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(g_{n}(z)\right)\right|^{p} \geqslant \frac{n^{\alpha p}}{4^{p}}\left[4\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}(z)-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+2)}\right]^{p}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. Here $J_{\alpha}(z)$ is the Bessel functions of the first kind. Since

$$
\min _{z \in[0,1]}\left\{(z / 2)^{-\alpha} J_{\alpha}(z)\right\} \geqslant \min _{z \in[0,1]}\left\{\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}-\frac{z^{2}}{4 \Gamma(\alpha+2)}\right\}=\frac{4 \alpha+3}{4 \Gamma(\alpha+2)}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} z f\left(g_{n}(z)\right)\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(g_{n}(z)\right)\right|^{p} d z \geqslant\left(\frac{4 \alpha+2}{4 \Gamma(\alpha+2)}\right)^{p} n^{\alpha p-2} \int_{0}^{1} z f\left(g_{n}(z)\right) d z \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying integration by parts yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} z f\left(g_{n}(z)\right) d z=\left[\frac{1}{2} z^{2} f\left(g_{n}(z)\right)\right]_{0}^{1}+\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} z^{3} f^{\prime}\left(g_{n}(z)\right) d z \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the inequality (14), it follows that, for all $\delta>0$ and sufficiently large $n$, it must be that

$$
\frac{z^{2}}{2 n^{2}} f^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n^{2}}\right) \geqslant-(I+\delta) f\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n^{2}}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 n^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} z^{3} f^{\prime}\left(g_{n}(z)\right) d z \geqslant-(I+\delta) \int_{0}^{1} z f\left(g_{n}(z)\right) d z \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (24), (25), and by the fact that $f(1)=f\left(g_{n}(0)\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\text {conv }}(f)+1+\delta\right) \int_{0}^{1} z f\left(g_{n}(z)\right) d z \geqslant \frac{1}{2} f\left(g_{n}(1)\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is convex and nonnegative on $[\eta, 1]$ with the property that $f(1)=0$,

$$
\eta \leqslant x \leqslant y \leqslant 1 \Longrightarrow f(x) \geqslant f(y)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} f\left(g_{n}(1)\right)=f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} f\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{2 n^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. If $n$ is large enough, then by (21), (22), (23), (26) and (27) there exists a positive constant $\Upsilon$, independent of $n$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{K}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y \geqslant \Upsilon \varepsilon_{n} n^{\alpha p-4} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, using the inequalities (20) and (28), we obtain

$$
\iint_{K}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y \geqslant \frac{\Upsilon n^{2 p}}{M \varepsilon_{n}^{p}} \iint_{K}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y
$$

This completes the proof.

## 4. An application of Theorem 2

We will now focus on the application of the above theorem. Let $0<\eta<1$. Let $f:[\eta, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly convex function with the property that $f(1)=f^{\prime}(1)=0$ and $I_{\text {conv }}(f)=k$. Then $D:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \eta \leqslant x \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant y \leqslant f(x)\right\} \cup\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leqslant\right.$ $x<\eta, 0 \leqslant y \leqslant f(\eta)\}$ is a graph domain with respect to the cylinder $L_{\left(0, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\eta}{2},(1,0)\right)$. Moreover,

$$
l_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}((1,0)) \cap D=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: y=x_{2}, 0 \leqslant x \leqslant f^{-1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\}=\left[\left(0, x_{2}\right),\left(f^{-1}\left(x_{2}\right), x_{2}\right)\right]
$$

for every $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in B\left(\left(0, \frac{\eta}{2}\right), \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$. Hence, by the definition of $\omega_{D}(t)$,

$$
\omega_{D}(t)=\max \left\{\left|\left(f^{-1}\left(x_{2}\right), x_{2}\right)-\left(f^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right), y_{2}\right)\right|: 0 \leqslant x_{2}, y_{2} \leqslant \eta,\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| \leqslant t\right\}
$$

By the convexity of $f$ and the assumption that $f(1)=0$, we can write

$$
\omega_{D}(t)=\max \left\{\left|\left(f^{-1}(s), s\right)-(1,0)\right|: 0 \leqslant s \leqslant \min \{\eta, t\}\right\}
$$

Since $f$ is strictly convex, we conclude that

$$
\omega_{D}(t)=\max \left\{\sqrt{\left(f^{-1}(s)-1\right)^{2}+s^{2}}: s=\min \{\eta, t\}\right\}
$$

Since $f^{\prime}(1)=0$, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega_{D}(t)}{t}=+\infty
$$

Hence if $\omega_{D}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}$ then $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ when $n$ goes to $+\infty$. Therefore, for $n$ large enough

$$
\omega_{D}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\sqrt{\left(f^{-1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)-1\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Now assume that $\varepsilon_{n}$ is the solution of the equation $\omega_{D}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}$. Thus, for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}=f\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4 n^{4}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{2}}{4 n^{4}}}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $0<2 \varepsilon_{n}<1$. By the fact that $f$ is strictly convex and $f(0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4 n^{4}}-\frac{1}{16 n^{4}}}\right) \leqslant f\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4 n^{4}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{2}}{4 n^{4}}}\right) \leqslant f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $I_{\text {conv }}(f)=k$, the function $f^{\frac{1}{k+\delta}}$ is concave for every $\delta>0$. Hence if $0 \leqslant c \leqslant 1$ then

$$
f^{\frac{1}{k+\delta}}\left(1-\frac{c}{2 n^{2}}\right) \geqslant c f^{\frac{1}{k+\delta}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{4 n^{4}}-\frac{1}{16 n^{4}}}\right) \geqslant\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^{k+\delta} f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (29), (30) and Theorem 2, there exist $\Psi>0$ and a sequence of polynomials $Q_{n} \in$ $\mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\|\nabla Q_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)} \geqslant \frac{\Psi}{f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)}\left\|Q_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}
$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 1, (29) and (31), there exists a positive constant $B$ such that for $P \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\|\nabla P\|_{L^{p}(D)} \leqslant \frac{B}{f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)}\|P\|_{L^{p}(D)}
$$

EXAMPLE 2. Define a function $\varphi_{l}$ on the interval $[0,1]$ as follows:

$$
\varphi(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{t}{1+\ln (1 / t)}, & \text { if } t \in(0,1] \\ 0, & \text { for } t=0\end{cases}
$$

Let $E_{l}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant y \leqslant \varphi\left((1-x)^{l}\right)\right\}$. Then there exist a positive constant $B_{l}$ and a sequence of polynomials $P_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\nabla P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}}{\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}} \sim n^{2 \imath}\left(1+\imath \ln \left(2 n^{2}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\|\nabla Q\|_{L^{p}\left(E_{l}\right)} \leqslant B_{\imath} n^{2 l}\left(1+\imath \ln \left(2 n^{2}\right)\right)\|Q\|_{L^{p}\left(E_{l}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Using (32), one can see that $\mu_{p}\left(E_{\imath}\right)=2 \imath$ and $L^{p}$ Markov type inequality on $E_{l}$ does not hold with the exponent $\mu_{p}\left(E_{l}\right)=2 \imath$. This generalizes (to the $L^{p}$ norm) Proposition 2.6 of [4].

## 5. A growth rate

Now a similar proof to that of the last theorem gives the following proposition:
Proposition 3. Let $\alpha, \beta, p$ be positive real numbers and $0<v \leqslant 1$. Let $f$ be a bounded real-valued function defined on the interval $[0,1]$. Suppose that $f(1)=0$, $f\left(1-\frac{v}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}$ and there exists $0<\eta<1$ such that $\left.f\right|_{[\eta, 1]}$ is convex with the property that $I_{\text {conv }}\left(\left.f\right|_{[\eta, 1]}\right)<\infty$. If $\alpha p \geqslant 2 I_{\text {conv }}\left(\left.f\right|_{[\eta, 1]}\right)+2-p / 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}|f(x)|\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \sim \varepsilon_{n} n^{\alpha p-4} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth noting that the above result provides a refinement and generalization of Theorem 7.34. from [20].

We shall show that, with suitable hypotheses, there is something like the complement of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $0<\varepsilon_{n+1} \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}=0$ and there exist constants $I>0$ and $C_{n}$ with the property that (for all $n$ and m)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{m}}{m^{2}} \geqslant-C_{m} \frac{\varepsilon_{m}}{2}\left(1 / m^{2}-1 / n^{2}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{m}}{m^{2}}=-C_{m} \frac{\varepsilon_{m}}{2}\left(1 / m^{2}-1 / n^{2}\right) \Rightarrow C_{n} \varepsilon_{n}=C_{m} \varepsilon_{m}  \tag{35}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{-I}}{\varepsilon_{n}}=0, \quad \text { and } \quad \sup \left\{C_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exist a compact set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, a constant $M>0$ and a sequence of polynomials $P_{n} \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\frac{\left\|\nabla P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}}{\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}} \sim \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad\|\nabla Q\|_{L^{p}(D)} \leqslant M \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\|Q\|_{L^{p}(D)}
$$

for any $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
Before we provide proof, we will discuss the nature of conditions (34)-(36). If we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C:=\left\{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup\{1\} \\
& f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}, \quad f(1):=0 \\
& G: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad G\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)=-C_{n} \varepsilon_{n}, \quad G(1):=0
\end{aligned}
$$

then the conditions (34) and (35) coincide with condition ( $C$ ) and ( $C W^{1}$ ) taken from [1], respectively. Thus, by Theorem 1.10 of [1], $f$ has a convex, $C^{1}$ extension $F$ to $\mathbb{R}$, with $F^{\prime}=G$ on $C$, if and only if $(f, G)$ satisfies conditions (34) and (35). Let $M:=\sup \left\{C_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Then the conditions (34) and (36) guarantee that there exists $0<\eta<1$ such that, for every $x \in[\eta, 1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M F(x) \geqslant-F^{\prime}(x)(1-x) . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The details for accomplishing this will be provided later. The inequality (37) is similar to (14) used in the proof of Theorem 2. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{-I}}{\varepsilon_{n}}=0$, then there exists a positive constant $L$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{n^{I}} \leqslant L \varepsilon_{n} \quad(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

The above inequality can be treated as an equivalent of the inequality (18). Thus, for any sequence $\varepsilon_{n}$ satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3, there exists a $C^{1}$ convex function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varepsilon_{n}=n^{2} F\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)$.

On the other hand, if $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable and strictly convex with the property that $f(1)=f^{\prime}(1)=0$ then the sequence $\varepsilon_{n}:=n^{2} f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)$ is monotonically decreasing to zero and satisfies (34) and (35) with $C_{m}=\frac{-f^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)}{n^{2} f\left(1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)}$. This follows from the fact that a differentiable function of one variable is convex on an interval if and only if its graph lies above all of its tangents:

$$
f(x)-f(y) \geqslant f^{\prime}(y)(x-y)
$$

and the three chords inequality:

$$
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a} \leqslant \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leqslant \frac{f(b)-f(x)}{b-x}
$$

whenever $a<x<b$. If we assume, in addition, that $I_{\text {conv }}\left(f_{\mid(\eta, 1)}\right)=k$ for some $0<$ $\eta<1$ then, by Proposition 1,

$$
\sup \left\{C_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty .
$$

The property $I_{\text {conv }}\left(f_{\mid(\eta, 1)}\right)=k$ implies that there exists a positive constant $L$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{n^{I}} \leqslant L \varepsilon_{n}
$$

The proof is similar to that of (18). Thus, in order to give an example of a sequence $\varepsilon_{n}$ that satisfies the assumptions of the above theorem, it is enough to take $n^{2} f(1-$ $\left.\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}\right)=\varepsilon_{n}$ for any strictly convex function $f$ defined on $[0,1]$ having the properties $f^{\prime}(1)=f(1)=0$ and $I_{\text {conv }}(f)<\infty$.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 3.
Proof. In the first part of the proof, we will define the domain $D$ and derive the formula for the modulus of continuity of the boundary of $D$. Let $C, f, G$ and $M$ be as
above. Using Theorem 1.10 from [1], there exists a continuously differentiable function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F$ is convex and $F=f, F^{\prime}=G$ on $C$. Now define

$$
\tilde{f}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\varepsilon_{1} & \text { for } & x \in[0,1 / 2]  \tag{38}\\
F(x) & \text { for } & x \in(1 / 2,1]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $F$ is convex and $F(1)=0$ it follows that $\tilde{f}$ is strictly decreasing on the interval $[1 / 2,1]$.

If we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1,0 \leqslant y \leqslant \tilde{f}(x)\right\} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $D$ is a graph domain with respect to the cylinder $L_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\varepsilon_{1} / 2, \mathbf{u}\right)$, where $\mathbf{a}=\left(0, \varepsilon_{1} / 2\right)$ and $\mathbf{u}=(1,0)$. By the definition of $\omega_{D}(t)$,

$$
\omega_{D}(t)=\max \left\{\left|\left(F^{-1}\left(x_{2}\right), x_{2}\right)-\left(F^{-1}\left(y_{2}\right), y_{2}\right)\right|: 0 \leqslant x_{2}, y_{2} \leqslant \varepsilon_{1},\left|x_{2}-y_{2}\right| \leqslant t\right\}
$$

In order to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{D}(t)=\max \left\{\left|\left(F^{-1}(s), s\right)-(1,0)\right|: 0 \leqslant s \leqslant \min \left\{\varepsilon_{1}, t\right\}\right\} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

it suffices to prove that if $\tilde{f}(y)=\tilde{f}\left(x_{1}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(x_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tilde{f}(y)}{1-y} \leqslant \frac{\tilde{f}\left(x_{1}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(x_{2}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $1 / 2 \leqslant x_{1}<x_{2} \leqslant 1$ and $1 / 2 \leqslant y<1$. Since $\tilde{f}(y)=\tilde{f}\left(x_{1}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(x_{2}\right)$, we have $y \geqslant x_{1}$. If $x_{1}<x_{2} \leqslant y$, then, by the mean value theorem, there exist $\xi \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\eta \in(y, 1)$ such that

$$
\frac{-\tilde{f}(y)}{1-y}=\tilde{f}^{\prime}(\eta), \quad \frac{\tilde{f}\left(x_{2}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}=\tilde{f}^{\prime}(\xi)
$$

Hence, (using the fact that differentiable function of one variable is convex on an interval if and only if its derivative is monotonically non-decreasing on that interval)

$$
\frac{\tilde{f}(y)}{1-y}=-\tilde{f}^{\prime}(\eta) \leqslant-\tilde{f}^{\prime}(\xi)=\frac{\tilde{f}\left(x_{1}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(x_{2}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}
$$

For the case $x_{1} \leqslant y<x_{2}$, let

$$
S(t, r):=\frac{F(t)-F(r)}{t-r}
$$

It is known that $F$ is convex if and only if $S(t, r)$ is monotonically non-decreasing in $t$, for every fixed $r$. Therefore

$$
\frac{F\left(x_{1}\right)-F\left(x_{2}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{2}}=S\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right) \leqslant S\left(1, x_{1}\right)=S\left(x_{1}, 1\right) \leqslant S(y, 1)=\frac{F(y)-F(1)}{y-1}
$$

Since $F=\tilde{f}$ on the interval $[1 / 2,1]$, the inequality (41) holds when $x_{1} \leqslant y<x_{2}$.
From the formula (40) it follows that

$$
\omega_{D}(t)=\left|\left(F^{-1}(t), t\right)-(1,0)\right|=\sqrt{\left(1-F^{-1}(t)\right)^{2}+t^{2}}
$$

whenever $t \leqslant \varepsilon_{1}$. Hence, by $F^{\prime}(1)=F(1)=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\omega_{D}(t)}{t}=+\infty \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{D}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{4 n^{4}}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s_{n}$ be the solution of the equation $\omega_{D}\left(\frac{s_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}$. In this part of the proof, we shall show that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough, then there exist $m_{n} \in \mathbb{N}, 0<\xi<1$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}} \xi \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{m_{n}}}{m_{n}^{2}} \leqslant \frac{s_{n}}{n^{2}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish (44), select $i, \tau \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\eta:=\frac{\tau}{i}<1$ and $1-\frac{M}{2}+\frac{M}{2} \eta^{2}>0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $l_{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ be such that $n=l_{n} \tau+s_{n}$ for some $s_{n} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, \tau-1\}$. Define $m_{n}:=l_{n} i+n-l_{n} \tau$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{n}}{n}=\frac{1}{\eta}>1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose $n_{0}$ so large that,

$$
4 \varepsilon_{m_{n}}^{2}<\frac{m_{n}^{4}}{n^{4}}-1
$$

whenever $n \geqslant n_{0}$. Hence, by (43),

$$
\omega_{D}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{m_{n}}}{m_{n}^{2}}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{4 m_{n}^{4}}+\frac{\varepsilon_{m_{n}}^{2}}{m_{n}^{4}}}<\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}
$$

Thus if $\omega_{D}\left(\frac{s_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{m_{n}}}{m_{n}^{2}}<\frac{s_{n}}{n^{2}}<\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (34), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\left(1-\frac{C_{n}}{2}+\frac{C_{n}}{2} \frac{n^{2}}{m_{n}^{2}}\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{m_{n}}}{m_{n}^{2}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $\delta>0$ so that $\xi:=1-\frac{M}{2}+\frac{M}{2}\left(\eta^{2}-\delta\right)>0$, then there exists $n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}} \xi \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\left(1-\frac{C_{n}}{2}+\frac{C_{n}}{2}\left(\eta^{2}-\delta\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_{m_{n}}}{m_{n}^{2}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $n \geqslant n_{1}$. This follows from the crucial fact that $\sup \left\{C_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=M<\infty$, the limit (45) and (47). Thus, from (46) and (48), we conclude (44).

Since (42), the domain $D$ is a cuspidal piecewise graph domain. An application of Theorem 1 shows that there exists a constant $B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla Q\|_{L^{p}(D)} \leqslant B \frac{n^{2}}{s_{n}}\|Q\|_{L^{p}(D)} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $Q \in \mathscr{P}_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Hence, by (44),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla Q\|_{L^{p}(D)} \leqslant \frac{B}{\xi} \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\|Q\|_{L^{p}(D)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before we go on to show that the factor $\frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}$ is best possible, we will prove the inequality (37). Let $v:=\max \left\{n_{0}, n_{1}\right\}$. If $x \in\left[1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}, 1\right)$, then there exists $\varsigma \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
x \in\left[1-\frac{1}{2 \varsigma^{2}}, 1-\frac{1}{2(\varsigma+1)^{2}}\right] \subset\left[1-\frac{1}{2 \varsigma^{2}}, 1-\frac{1}{2 m_{\varsigma}^{2}}\right]
$$

Hence, by the fact that $F$ is strictly decreasing on the interval $[1 / 2,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C}{2 \xi} F(x) \geqslant \frac{C}{2 \xi} F\left(1-\frac{1}{2 m_{\varsigma}^{2}}\right)=\frac{C \varepsilon_{m_{\varsigma}}}{2 \xi m_{\varsigma}^{2}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by (48) and the definition of $C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C \varepsilon_{m_{\zeta}}}{2 \xi m_{\varsigma}^{2}} \geqslant \frac{C \varepsilon_{\varsigma}}{2 \varsigma^{2}} \geqslant \frac{C_{\zeta} \varepsilon_{\zeta}}{2 \varsigma^{2}}=-F^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \varsigma^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \varsigma^{2}} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $-F^{\prime}(x)(1-x)$ is nonincreasing on $(1 / 2,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{\zeta} \varepsilon_{\zeta}}{2 \varsigma^{2}}=-F^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 \varsigma^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \varsigma^{2}} \geqslant-F^{\prime}(x)(1-x) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C}{2 \xi} F(x) \geqslant-F^{\prime}(x)(1-x) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in\left[1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}, 1\right)$, which is (37) with $\eta=1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}$ and $M=\frac{C}{2 \xi}$.

In the last part we will consider the following family of polynomials

$$
P_{n}(x, y):=y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)
$$

A simple computation reveals that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}^{p}= & \int_{0}^{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x+\int_{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}}^{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \\
& +\int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n>v$. It is easy to conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant \frac{2}{p+1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{p+1} \int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1}\left|P_{n}^{\alpha}(x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

An argument similar to the one we gave for $\int_{x_{n}}^{1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x$ shows that there exists $\vartheta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \leqslant \vartheta n^{\alpha p-2} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$. Thus by (56) and (57),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant \frac{2 \vartheta}{p+1} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the methods similar to ones used in the proof of Theorem 2, applying (54) instead of (14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& v \varepsilon_{n} n^{\alpha p-4} \leqslant \int_{1-\frac{1}{2 n^{2}}}^{1} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x d y  \tag{59}\\
& \int_{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}}^{1-\frac{1}{22^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant \vartheta_{1} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\alpha p+p / 2-2>(p+1) \frac{C}{\xi}$, appropriately adjusted constants $v, \vartheta_{1}$ and all sufficiently large $n$. By the definition of $\tilde{f}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x=\frac{\varepsilon_{1}^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_{0}^{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u=\arccos \left(1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}\right)$. Using the change of variables $x=\cos \theta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d x=\int_{u}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \theta)\right|^{p} \sin \theta d \theta \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying certain properties of Jacobi polynomials $P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ verified in [20], (7.32.5), p. 169 , we conclude that there exists a natural number $n_{2}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left|P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\cos \theta)\right|^{p} \sin \theta d \theta \leqslant C n^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{u}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \theta^{-\alpha p-\frac{p}{2}+1} d \theta \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geqslant n_{2}$ and appropriately adjusted constant $C$. Hence, by (61)-(63),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x=0 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha p-2 p-4 \geqslant I(p+1)$, then by (36),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4}=\infty \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (64) and (65), for each $\alpha$ so that $\alpha p-2 p-4 \geqslant I(p+1)$, there exists a positive constant $\vartheta_{2}$ with the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1-\frac{1}{2 v^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\tilde{f}(x)}\left|y P_{n}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)\right|^{p} d y d x \leqslant \vartheta_{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{p+1} n^{\alpha p-2 p-4} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting together (55), (58), (59), (60) and (66), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\frac{\partial P_{n}}{\partial y}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}}{\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}} \geqslant \vartheta_{3} \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vartheta_{3}>0$ is a constant independent of $n$. Finally, (50) and (67) yield that

$$
\frac{\left\|\nabla P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}}{\left\|P_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}(D)}} \sim \frac{n^{2}}{\varepsilon_{n}}
$$
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