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#### Abstract

This paper considers the restricted shift operator associated with an infinite Blaschke product, expressing the closure of its numerical range as the intersection of the closures of the numerical ranges of a parametrized family of unitary dilations (or, equivalently, unitary perturbations of a modified restricted shift). The techniques used are based on interpolation and Clark measures. The results generalize known theorems for numerical ranges of matrices associated with finite-dimensional Blaschke products, which can be expressed geometrically in terms of the Poncelet property.


## 1. Introduction

A Blaschke product

$$
B(z)=z\left(\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a} z}\right)\left(\frac{z-b}{1-\bar{b} z}\right),
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are distinct nonzero points in the disc, is a three-to-one mapping. If we consider a point $\lambda$ on the unit circle and the three points mapped by $B$ to $\lambda$, that is, $B\left(z_{1}\right)=B\left(z_{2}\right)=B\left(z_{3}\right)=\lambda$, we may connect these points to obtain a triangle. If we do this for each point $\lambda$ on the unit circle, the intersection of all these triangles is an ellipse with foci at the nonzero zeroes of $B$ [4]. Gau and Wu showed (see [10, Thm. 5.1]) that a similar result holds for Blaschke products of degree $n$ (see also [14]). The key observation in the papers of Gau and Wu as well as that of Mirman is that the region we consider is the numerical range of a dilation of a matrix $A$ with eigenvalues at the nonzero zeroes of a finite Blaschke product. Thus, the numerical range of $A$ is a convex region bounded by a smooth curve $C$. Considering the points identified by the Blaschke product and connecting successive points, the results in [9, 10] and [14] show that the polygon obtained in this manner circumscribes the curve and each line segment in the polygon is tangent to the curve $C$ at a single point. Such curves are known as Poncelet curves because they have the Poncelet property: beginning at any point on the unit circle and drawing the line from the point tangent to the curve, another point on the circle will be obtained. Continuing to draw tangent lines in this
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manner will always produce a closed polygon, and it will do so in the same number of steps each time, a number that does not depend on the starting point on the unit circle. Using interpolation results obtained in [11] as well as Blaschke products that do the interpolation, new proofs of these results can be obtained along with some new connections between Blaschke products and these curves (see [5]). This phenomenon is illustrated in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Poncelet curves corresponding to a finite Blaschke product

This paper studies the connections between infinite Blaschke products and numerical ranges. While it may not make sense to study the value of the Blaschke product on the unit circle, if the radial limit exists at a point we will see that these points can be tied to the study of the numerical ranges of the Blaschke products. Thus, given a Blaschke product $B$ of the form

$$
B(z)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{a}_{j}}{\left|a_{j}\right|} \frac{a_{j}-z}{1-\overline{a_{j}} z}
$$

(the Blaschke factor being interpreted as $z$ if $a_{j}=0$ ), the corresponding results for such Blaschke products require a study of interpolation by points on the unit circle. In Section 2, by considering compressions and dilations of appropriate operators, along the lines of [10, Thm. 6.3], we obtain relations between the numerical ranges of the restricted shift associated with an infinite Blaschke product and those associated with its partial products. This allows us in Section 3 to obtain the appropriate generalization of the Poncelet property for the numerical range of the restricted shift associated with an infinite Blaschke product.

The main result, Theorem 2.1 of the paper, is the following (all necessary notation is explained below).

THEOREM. Suppose that $B=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}$ is an infinite Blaschke product and let $U_{\alpha}^{B}$ be the unitary rank-one perturbation of the restricted shift $S_{z B}$ associated with $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$
\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)}=\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}
$$

### 1.1. Notation and Background

We let $\theta \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ be an inner function, and $K_{\theta}=H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \theta H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$, the model space. Write $P_{K_{\theta}}: H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow K_{\theta}$ for the orthogonal projection.

Let $S: H^{2}(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the shift (multiplication by the independent variable) and define $S_{\theta} \in \mathscr{L}\left(K_{\theta}\right)$ the restricted shift by $S_{\theta}=P_{K_{\theta}} S_{\mid K_{\theta}}$. Note that $S_{\theta}^{*}=S_{\mid K_{\theta}}^{*}$. Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. For an operator $T \in \mathscr{L}(H)$, the numerical range $W(T)$ is defined by

$$
W(T)=\{\langle T x, x\rangle: x \in H,\|x\|=1\},
$$

and is a convex subset of $\mathbb{C}$. If $H$ is finite dimensional, then $W(T)$ is closed. In the case of a normal operator $T$, the set $\overline{W(T)}$ is the closed convex hull of the spectrum $\operatorname{spec}(T)$.

## 2. Dilations and numerical ranges

### 2.1. The link with rank-one perturbations

A dilation of a Hilbert-space operator $T \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ (in the sense of Halmos [12]) is an operator $\widetilde{T} \in \mathscr{L}(K)$, where $K$ is a Hilbert space containing $H$, such that $T=P_{H} \widetilde{T}_{\mid H}$. In an obvious matrix notation $\widetilde{T}=\binom{T *}{* *}$. We shall not require the more complicated Sz.-Nagy-Foias dilation [16] here, so when we talk of dilations we mean dilations in the above sense.

In [5], a main object of study is an $n \times n$ matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j}$, defined in terms of points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathbb{D}$ by

$$
a_{i j}= \begin{cases}a_{j} & \text { if } i=j \\ \left(\prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1}\left(-\bar{a}_{k}\right)\right) \sqrt{1-\left|a_{i}\right|^{2}} \sqrt{1-\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}} & \text { if } i<j \\ 0 & \text { if } i>j\end{cases}
$$

Note that the matrix $A$ corresponds to the matrix of the operator $S_{B}$, where $B$ is a Blaschke product with zeroes $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, with respect to an orthonormal basis constructed by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to the reproducing kernels $k_{j}(z)=$ $1 /\left(1-\bar{a}_{j} z\right)$ (taken in reverse order). Thus it makes sense to consider the operator $S_{\theta}$ where $\theta$ is a general inner function.

Let $\mathscr{D}_{1}$ denote the class of all unitary 1-dilations of $S_{\theta}$, by which we mean unitary dilations of $S_{\theta}$ defined on spaces of the form $K_{\theta} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. As we shall see it is natural to formulate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2.1.

$$
\overline{W\left(S_{\theta}\right)}=\bigcap_{\widetilde{T} \in \mathscr{D}_{1}} \overline{W(\widetilde{T})}
$$

Obviously we do have $\subseteq$ in general. We shall prove this conjecture in the special case when $\theta$ is a Blaschke product (see Theorem 2.1).

We can characterise all unitary 1-dilations of $S_{\theta}$, by means of two orthogonal decompositions of $K_{\theta}$. We refer here to [2], although the formulae can be found in many other places, e.g., [7].

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}_{1}=\mathbb{C}\left(S^{*} \theta\right)=\{x(\theta(z)-\theta(0)) / z: x \in \mathbb{C}\} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{N}_{1}=K_{\theta} \ominus \mathscr{M}_{1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{M}_{2}=\mathbb{C}(\theta \overline{\theta(0)}-1) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{N}_{2}=K_{\theta} \ominus \mathscr{M}_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
S_{\theta}\left(x S^{*} \theta+w\right)=x((\theta \overline{\theta(0)}-1) \theta(0)+S w
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w \in \mathscr{N}_{1}$.
Thus with respect to the two orthogonal decompositions of $K_{\theta}, S_{\theta}$ has the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & S\end{array}\right)$, where $|\lambda|<1$ and $S$ is a surjective isometry. Indeed, if $\theta(0)=0$ we have $\lambda=0$.

Thus the unitary 1-dilations must look like

$$
\widetilde{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & 0 & \alpha \sqrt{1-|\lambda|^{2}}  \tag{3}\\
0 & S & 0 \\
\beta \sqrt{1-|\lambda|^{2}} & 0 & -\alpha \beta \bar{\lambda}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the two orthogonal decompositions of $K_{\theta} \oplus \mathbb{C}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T}$. However, up to unitary equivalence, there is only one free parameter, namely, the value of $\alpha \beta$.

Note that, if $\theta$ is a finite Blaschke product of degree $n$, then $\operatorname{dim} K_{\theta}=n$ and $\operatorname{dim} K_{z \theta}=n+1$. This makes it plausible that there is a link between the unitary 1dilations of $S_{\theta}$ and the rank-1 unitary perturbations of $S_{z \theta}$, and this is in fact the case for general inner functions $\theta$. We now explain this in detail for the case $\theta(0)=0$. The general case can be found in [10, Thm. 6.3].

Such rank-1 perturbations are well-understood, thanks to the theory of Clark measures [3]. In particular, one may decompose $K_{z \theta}$ orthogonally as

$$
K_{z \theta}=\mathscr{M}_{1} \oplus \mathscr{N}_{1}=\mathbb{C} \theta \oplus(\mathbb{C} \theta)^{\perp}
$$

and

$$
K_{z \theta}=\mathscr{M}_{2} \oplus \mathscr{N}_{2}
$$

as in (1) and (2). With respect to these decompositions one has $S_{z \theta}=0 \oplus S$. It is then possible to define for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ a unitary operator $U_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{L}\left(K_{z \theta}\right)$ by $U_{\alpha}=\alpha 1 \oplus S$, where 1 denotes the constant function, which lies in $K_{z \theta}$. This is the complete set of rank-1 unitary perturbations of $S_{z \theta}$.

LEMMA 2.1. [10] In the case $\theta(0)=0$, all unitary 1-dilations of $S_{\theta}$ are equivalent to rank-1 perturbations of $S_{z \theta}$.

Proof. It is easily verified that $K_{z \theta}=K_{\theta} \oplus \mathbb{C} \theta$, and $K_{\theta}=\mathbb{C} 1 \oplus z K_{\theta / z}$, giving us two orthogonal decompositions

$$
K_{z \theta}=\mathbb{C} \theta / z \oplus K_{\theta / z} \oplus \mathbb{C} \theta=\mathbb{C} 1 \oplus z K_{\theta / z} \oplus \mathbb{C} \theta
$$

With respect to these two decompositions, the operator $S_{z \theta}$ has the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_{z} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, and its rank-1 unitary perturbation $U_{\alpha}$ is $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & M_{z} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, so that clearly $P_{K_{\theta}} U_{\alpha \mid K_{\theta}}=S_{\theta}$, i.e., $U_{\alpha}$ is unitarily equivalent to a unitary 1-dilation of $S_{\theta}$. But, by virtue of the expression

$$
\widetilde{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \alpha \\
0 & M_{z} & 0 \\
\beta & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which is derived from (3) in the case $\theta(0)=0$, with respect to the orthogonal decompositions $K_{\theta}=\mathbb{C} \theta / z \oplus K_{\theta / z}$ and $K_{\theta}=\mathbb{C} 1 \oplus z K_{\theta / z}$, we see that every unitary 1-dilation is equivalent to some $U_{\alpha}$.

Thus we may reformulate Conjecture 2.1 as asserting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{W\left(S_{\theta}\right)}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}\right)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A special case of this is proved below, Theorem 2.1.

### 2.2. Numerical ranges of restricted shifts

From [6, Thm. 9.3.4] we know that if $A_{n}=P_{X_{n}} A P_{X_{n}}$ is the compression of $A \in$ $\mathscr{L}(H)$ to $X_{n}$, and if $X_{n}$ is an increasing sequence of subspaces with dense union in $H$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{spec}\left(A_{n}\right) \subseteq \overline{W\left(A_{n}\right)} \subseteq \overline{W(A)}, \quad \overline{W(A)}=\overline{\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{W\left(A_{n}\right)}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and moreover $\overline{W\left(A_{n}\right)}$ is an increasing sequence of sets.
This enables us to express the numerical range of $S_{B}$ when $B$ is an infinite Blaschke product in terms of the more easily-analysed numerical ranges corresponding to finite Blaschke products.

Lemma 2.2. Let $B=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}$ be an infinite Blaschke product with elementary Blaschke factor $b_{k}$, and $B_{n}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}$ the partial product. Then

$$
\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}=\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right) .}
$$

Proof. Note that $B H^{2}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} H^{2}$, a decreasing intersection, and so $K_{B}=$ $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{B_{n}}}$ : for $K_{B}$ clearly contains the union, and if it is strictly bigger then there is a non-zero function in $K_{B}$ orthogonal to all the $K_{B_{n}}$, hence in $B_{n} H^{2}$ for each $n$, hence in $B H^{2}$, which is impossible.

Now $S_{B_{n}}$ is the compression of $S_{B}$ to $K_{B_{n}}$, so by (5) the result follows, noting that $W(T)$ is closed if $T$ is defined on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. Alternatively we may use adjoints, since $S_{B_{n}}^{*}=S_{B \mid K_{B_{n}}}^{*}$, and $W\left(T^{*}\right)=\{\bar{z}: z \in W(T)\}$ for any operator $T$.

Note that the result remains true when the $B_{n}$ are themselves infinite Blaschke products, in the form $\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}=\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)}}$.

We may apply the above result to describe $W\left(S_{\theta}\right)$ for $\theta$ an arbitrary inner function. To do this we use Frostman's theorem [8, p. 79] to approximate $\theta$ uniformly by Blaschke products, whose numerical range can be described by Lemma 2.2. Note that the orthogonal projection onto $K_{\theta}$ is given by

$$
P_{K_{\theta}} f=\theta P_{-}(\bar{\theta} f), \quad \text { for } \quad f \in H^{2}
$$

where $P_{-}: L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{T}) \ominus H^{2}$ is the orthogonal projection. Hence if $\left\|B_{n}-\theta\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ we also have $\left\|P_{K_{B_{n}}}-P_{K_{\theta}}\right\| \rightarrow 0$.

However, as in [6, Prob. 9.3.3], we know that if $\left\|A_{1}-A_{2}\right\|<\varepsilon$, then $W\left(A_{1}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{dist}\left(z, W\left(A_{2}\right)\right)<\varepsilon\right\}$.

Thus $W\left(S_{\theta}\right)$ is the limit of the sets $W\left(S_{n}\right)$ where $S_{n}$ is a restricted shift corresponding to a Blaschke product.

Let $B$ be an infinite Blaschke product. Then the zeroes of $B$ accumulate on a compact subset $Z$ of $\mathbb{T}$. In the case that $Z \neq \mathbb{T}$, for $0<\eta<\pi / 2$ we define the closed set $E_{\eta} \subset \mathbb{T}$ to consist of all points at an (angular) distance at least $\eta$ from $Z$. Observe that $B_{n} \rightarrow B$ uniformly on the set $K_{\eta}$ consisting of all points in $\mathbb{C}$ at a distance at most $\eta / 2$ from $E_{\eta}$.

We shall require a technical lemma.
LEMMA 2.3. For all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, satisfying also $0<\varepsilon<\eta / 2$, there is an integer $n$ such that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$, and $z \in E_{\eta}$ with $B(z)=\alpha$ one can find $w \in K_{\eta} \cap \mathbb{T}$ with $|w-z|<\varepsilon$ and $B_{n}(w)=\alpha$.

Proof. Write

$$
\delta(\varepsilon)=\inf _{z \in E_{\eta}} \inf _{z^{\prime} \in K_{\eta},\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|=\varepsilon}\left|B(z)-B\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

noting that for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small $\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ since otherwise there exist sequences $z_{n} \in E_{\eta}$ and $z_{n}^{\prime} \in K_{\eta}$ with $0<\left|z_{n}-z_{n}^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow 0$, such that $B\left(z_{n}\right)-B\left(z_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$ (since the infimum is attained in each case). Let $\zeta$ be any limit point of $\left(z_{n}\right)$; then $B^{\prime}(\zeta)=0$, by the argument principle, which is impossible since the zeroes of $B^{\prime}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ lie in the closed convex hull of the zeroes of $B$ [1, Thm. 2.1].

Now, $B_{n} \rightarrow B$ uniformly on $K_{\eta}$, and so for $n$ sufficiently large we have $\left|B_{n}-B\right|<$ $\delta(\varepsilon)$ on $K_{\eta}$; hence by Rouché's theorem, $B_{n}-\alpha$ has a zero $w$ in $\{\gamma:|\gamma-z|<\varepsilon\}$ whenever $B(z)=\alpha$. Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ and $B_{n}$ is inner, $w$ also lies on $\mathbb{T}$.

We are now ready to prove a special case of Conjecture 2.1.
THEOREM 2.1. Suppose that $B=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k}$ is an infinite Blaschke product and let $U_{\alpha}^{B}$ be the unitary rank-one perturbation of the restricted shift $S_{z B}$ associated with $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$
\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)}=\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we have $\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)} \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)}$.
It remains to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)} \subseteq \overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know by Lemma 2.2 that $\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}=\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)}$, where $B_{n}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}$ and $U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}$ is the unitary rank-one perturbation of the restricted shift $S_{z B_{n}}$, associated with $\alpha$.

Moreover, by [5,10] and Lemma 2.1, $W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)$. Therefore (6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We intend to prove first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)^{\circ} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)^{\circ} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To do this we need the following technical lemma.
LEmmA 2.4. Let $z \in W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)^{\circ}$. Then there exist $n(\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon(n(\alpha))>0$ such that $z \in W\left(U_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{B_{n}}\right)^{\circ}$, whenever $n \geqslant n(\alpha), \alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\left|\alpha-\alpha^{\prime}\right|<\varepsilon(n(\alpha))$.

Proof. Since $\overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)}$ is the closed convex hull of $\operatorname{spec}\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$, and since $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)^{\circ}$ is convex, for $z \in W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)^{\circ}$, there exist $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k} \in \operatorname{spec}\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$ such that $z=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j} \xi_{j}$, with $\lambda_{j} \geqslant 0$ for each $j$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j}=1$ and such that $z$ lies in the interior of the convex hull of $\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right\}$.

If $\xi_{j}$ is an accumulation point of the zeroes of $B$, and hence in $\operatorname{spec}\left(S_{B}\right)$, then $\xi_{j} \in \overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}$, and so by Lemma 2.2 we can find $n_{j}$ independent of $\alpha$ such that for any $n \geqslant n_{j}$ there is a point $\eta_{j}^{(n)} \in W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)$, such that for $n \geqslant n_{j}$ we can have $\left|\eta_{j}^{(n)}-\xi_{j}\right|$ as small as we wish.

If $\xi_{j}$ is not an accumulation point, then $B\left(\xi_{j}\right)=\alpha$ and, choosing $\eta$ sufficiently small, and applying Lemma 2.3 we may for $n \geqslant n_{j}$ find a solution $\eta_{j}$ to $B_{n}\left(\eta_{j}\right)=\alpha$ arbitrarily close to $\xi_{j}$.

Since $z$ is in the interior of the convex hull of $\left\{\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right\}$, it is also in the interior of the convex hull of $\left\{\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{k}\right\}$ if $\left|\xi_{j}-\eta_{j}\right|$ is sufficiently small for each $j$. Thus $z \in W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)^{\circ}$ for $n$ sufficiently large, say, $n \geqslant n(\alpha):=\max \left\{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right\}$.

Clearly there is a neighbourhood of $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{T}$, say $\left|\alpha^{\prime}-\alpha\right|<\varepsilon(n(\alpha))$, where we still have $z \in W\left(U_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{B_{n}}\right)^{\circ}$.

Continuing with the proof of Theorem 2.1, we use the compactness of $\mathbb{T}$ to find $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that every point of $\mathbb{T}$ is at a distance at most $\varepsilon\left(n\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\right)$ from one of the points $\alpha_{j}$.

We apply Lemma 2.4, taking $N=\max \left\{n\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, n\left(\alpha_{m}\right)\right\}$. It follows that (8) holds.

Observe that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)^{\circ}$ is nonempty. For if $B$ has at least three non-collinear zeroes, then these lie in the spectrum of $S_{B}$, and thus there is a nontrivial triangle in $W\left(S_{B}\right)$, and hence in every $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$. Otherwise, the zeroes of $B$ all lie on a line, and accumulate non-tangentially at either one or two points on $\mathbb{T}$. We then have a sequence of $\operatorname{arcs}\left(\xi_{0} e^{i \beta_{n}}, \xi_{0} e^{i \beta_{n-1}}\right)_{n}$ tending towards one of the accumulation points, say $\xi_{0} \in \mathbb{T}$, on which $B$ is analytic and attains all the values in $\mathbb{T}$. Note, however that for $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ one has $\xi \in W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$ whenever $B(\xi)=\alpha$ and $B$ is analytic at $\xi$. From these considerations and the convexity of $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$ we see that there are points lying in the interior of every $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$ simultaneously. Indeed, each numerical range contains a common triangle with one vertex at $\xi_{0}$ (see Figure 2).


Figure 2. The interior of $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$ is nonempty

Finally, if $z \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)}$, then taking $w \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)^{\circ}$, we see that every point of the line segment joining $z$ and $w$, with the possible exception of $z$ itself, lies in $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)^{\circ}$, and hence in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)^{\circ}$. We conclude that $z$ lies in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)\right)$, as required.

## 3. The Geometric Viewpoint

Suppose now that $B_{n}(0)=0$. We have seen that

$$
W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)
$$

Now the numerical range of $U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}$ is a polygon with $n$ vertices, each at the points $B_{n}$ maps to $\alpha$. When we interpolate two sets of points on the unit circle $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, z_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ to two distinct values, $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ on the unit circle with a Blaschke product $B$ of degree $n$ satisfying $B(0)=0$, then this Blaschke product is unique. As noted above, for finite Blaschke products we know that $W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)$ is the intersection of the $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)$. Geometrically, this means that if we take the intersection of all the closed sets bounded by the polygons that we get from the $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B_{n}}\right)$, the numerical range of $S_{B_{n}}$ will be this intersection. The previous result says that even in the case of an infinite Blaschke product, a similar result holds. Since $U_{\alpha}^{B}$ is unitary, $\overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)}$ is the closed convex hull of its spectrum, just as in the finite case. If the Blaschke product is discontinuous at a point $\gamma \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, then, an argument based on Rouché's theorem, similar to the one used in Lemma 2.3, implies that for $n$ large, $B_{n}$ will assume the value $\alpha$ close to $\gamma$, and so $W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)$ will contain points close to $\alpha$. We now consider the geometric picture in the case in which there is an isolated singularity. Geometrically, this is the most interesting case.

Thus, the setting is the following: We consider a Blaschke product $B$ with $B(0)=$ 0 and a singularity at the point $z=1$ (and only the point $z=1$ ). Ordering the points where $B^{*}(z)=\alpha$ in terms of their arguments, we may connect a point where $B^{*}(z)=$ $\alpha$ to the subsequent one. Consider two points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ on the unit circle that are successive points with the property that $B^{*}\left(z_{1}\right)=B^{*}\left(z_{2}\right)=\alpha$.

Apply Lemma 2.2 to conclude that $\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}=\overline{\bigcup_{n} W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)}$. For $n$ sufficiently large, we know that $B_{n}\left(z_{1, n}\right)=B_{n}\left(z_{2, n}\right)=\alpha$ for some points $z_{1, n}$ and $z_{2, n}$ on $\mathbb{T}$ with $\mid z_{1}$ $z_{1, n}\left|+\left|z_{2}-z_{2, n}\right|<\varepsilon(n)\right.$, where $\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$. Now by [4] and [13, p. 10], the line joining two points $z_{1, n}$ and $z_{2, n}$ identified by $B_{n}$ is tangent to $W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right)$ at the point $\zeta_{n}=\left(m_{1, n} z_{2, n}+m_{2, n} z_{1, n}\right) /\left(m_{1, n}+m_{2, n}\right)$ where $m_{j, n}=\frac{B_{n}\left(z_{j, n}\right)}{z_{j, n} B_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{j, n}\right)}$. It follows from [4] that $0<m_{j, n}<1$.

Thus, $z_{1, n} \rightarrow z_{1}$ and $z_{2, n} \rightarrow z_{2}$. Now, because $B$ has a singularity at the point $z=1$ only and we assume that neither $z_{1}$ nor $z_{2}$ are the point $z=1$, we know that $B$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $z_{1}$ and in a neighbourhood of $z_{2}$. Thus, $B_{n}$ and its derivative $B_{n}^{\prime}$ converge uniformly to $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, respectively, on these neighbourhoods. In particular, $B_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{j, n}\right)$ remains bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and is bounded away from 0 . Thus, the points $\zeta_{n} \in W\left(S_{B_{n}}\right) \subseteq W\left(S_{B}\right)$ converge to a point $\zeta=\left(m_{1} z_{2}+m_{2} z_{1}\right) /\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)$, and using the formula presented above for $m_{j}$, we see that $0<m_{j}<1$ for $j=1,2$. In particular, the line joining $z_{1}$ to $z_{2}$ intersects $\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}$. Note that our assumptions on the sequence allow us to find an explicit formula for $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$. In fact,

$$
m_{j}=\frac{B\left(z_{j}\right)}{z_{j} B^{\prime}\left(z_{j}\right)} \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2
$$

We claim that there are two possibilities for the line segment joining $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$; that is, we claim that it can either be a bounding line segment or it will cross $W\left(S_{B}\right)$ at exactly one point. To see why this is, suppose that the line segment joining $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ intersects $W\left(S_{B}\right)$ in more than one point and that the line segment is not contained in the boundary of $\overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)}$. Rotating, we may assume that the line joining $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$
is horizontal. Now we assume that this line segment intersects $W\left(S_{B}\right)$ in (at least) two points, $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$. But the convexity of $W\left(S_{B}\right)$ implies that the line segment joining these two points is contained in $W\left(S_{B}\right)$. Since it is not a bounding line segment, there must be a point of $W\left(S_{B}\right)$ on either side of this line segment. Let $w$ denote a point above this line segment. Thus, $w \in \overline{W\left(S_{B}\right)} \subseteq \bigcap_{\gamma \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W\left(U_{\gamma}^{B}\right)}$. In particular, $w \in \overline{W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)}$ and it lies above the line joining $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. Since $W\left(U_{\alpha}^{B}\right)$ is the closed convex hull of its spectrum, there must be a point of the spectrum of $U_{\alpha}^{B}$ lying above $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$. Since $B$ is analytic on the arc joining $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, this contradicts our assumption that there is no point between $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ at which $B$ assumes the value $\alpha$.

REMARK 3.1. As observed by one of the referees, another link between a restricted shift and its unitary perturbations $U_{\alpha}$ is given by the formula

$$
S_{\theta}=\int_{\mathbb{T}} U_{\alpha} \frac{|d \alpha|}{2 \pi}
$$

as in [15]. It is possible that this identity will lead to further results in the same direction.
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