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Abstract. Let $\mathbf{M}$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices and $\mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ denote the set of $n \times n$ matrices with trace 0 . For any $C \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$, there exists a maximal $v(C) \geqslant 0$ such that

$$
v(C) W_{D}(A) \subseteq\|D\|_{F} W_{C}(A)
$$

whenever $D \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ and $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}$. Here $W_{C}(A)$ denotes the $C$-numerical range of $A$ and $\|D\|_{F}$ denotes the Frobenius norm of $D$. Moreover $v(C)=0$ if and only if $C$ is essentially hermitian.

To prove the above result, we have obtained a new characterisation of essentially hermitian matrices.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{M}_{n}$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ denote the set of $n \times n$ matrices with trace 0 . Let $C \in \mathbf{M}_{n}$, the $C$-numerical range of $A$ and the $C$-numerical radius of $A$ for $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}$ are defined respectively by

$$
W_{C}(A)=\left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(C U^{*} A U\right): U \text { is unitary }\right\}
$$

and

$$
r_{C}(A)=\max \left\{|a|: a \in W_{C}(A)\right\}
$$

When $C=E_{11}$, the matrix with a 1 at the $(1,1)$-entry and 0 elsewhere, they become the classical numerical range $W(A)$ and the classical numerical radius $r(A)$.

While $W(A)$ is always convex for all $A$, it is not true for general $W_{C}(A)$ [1]. There are only three known cases that $W_{C}(A)$ is convex for all $A: C$ is essentially hermitian (i.e. a linear combination of the scalar matrix and a hermitian matrix) [8, 10]; $C$ is of rank one or $C \in \mathbf{M}_{2}$ [9]; $C$ is a block-shift matrix (i.e. $C$ is unitarily similar to $e^{i \theta} C$ for any $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ ) [7].

First introduced in [4], a survey on $C$-numerical range could be found in [6]. Some properties of $W_{C}(A)$ are listed below:
(i) $W_{C}(A)=W_{A}(C)$.
(ii) $W_{C}(a A+b I)=a W_{C}(A)+b \operatorname{tr} C$.
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(iii) $W_{C}(A)$ has empty interior only if both $A$ and $C$ are essentially hermitian or one of $A$ and $C$ is a scalar matrix.
(iv) If $C$ is not a scalar matrix and $\operatorname{tr} C \neq 0$ then $r_{C}$ is a norm on $\mathbf{M}_{n}$. If $\operatorname{tr} C=0$ then $r_{C}$ is not a norm as $r_{C}(I)=0$.

Althrough $W_{C}(A)$ fails to be convex in general, [3] confirms that $W_{C}(A)$ is always star-shaped. A key in [3] is the following set:

$$
S(C):=\left\{D \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}: W_{D}(A) \subseteq W_{C}(A) \text { for all } A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}\right\}
$$

A study of the set $S(C)$ could be found in [2]. Indeed [2] uses $S(C)$ to construct an alternative proof of Property (iii).

If $\operatorname{tr} C=0$ then $r_{C}$ fails to be a norm on $\mathbf{M}_{n}$. However, if $C \neq 0$ then $r_{C}$ is a norm on $\mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$. Let $0 \neq D \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$, then $r_{D}$ is another norm on $\mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$. Thus there exists a $v>0$ such that

$$
v r_{D}(A) \leqslant r_{C}(A)
$$

for all $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$. If $C$ is not essentially hermitian then we have a much stronger property, which is related to Property (iii). We will prove in this article that

THEOREM 1.1. If $C \in M_{n}^{0}$ is not essentially hermitian, then there exists $v>0$ such that

$$
v W_{D}(A) \subseteq\|D\|_{F} W_{C}(A)
$$

for all $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$, where $v$ depends on $C$ only and $\|D\|_{F}$ is the Frobenius norm of $D$.
By Property (iii) alone, we can deduce a similar result, except that $v>0$ may depend on $A$ and $D$ also. The set $S(C)$ is again a key to prove Theorem 1.1. Before we prove Theorem 1.1, we obtain a characterisation of essentially hermitian matrices in the next section.

## 2. A characterisation of essentially hermitian matrices

We have the following characterisation of essentially hermitian matrices.

## Theorem 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}$. Suppose

(P): for any orthonormal vectors $x, y$ satisfying $x^{*} A x=y^{*} A y=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} A$, we have $\left|x^{*} A y\right|=\left|y^{*} A x\right|$,
then $A$ is essentially hermitian.
To prove the statement, it suffices to consider the case when $\operatorname{tr} A=0$, i.e. $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$. We need to use the following trivial fact about essentially hermitian matrix:

LEMMA 2.2. Let $A=\left(a_{i j} e^{i \theta_{i j}}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ with zero diagonal, where $a_{i j} \geqslant 0$ and $-\pi<\theta_{i j} \leqslant \pi$. If
(1) $a_{12} \neq 0$;
(2) $a_{i j}=a_{j i}$ for all $i, j$;
(3) $\theta_{i j}+\theta_{j i}=\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}+2 m \pi$ for some integers $m$, whenever $a_{i j} \neq 0$.
then $A=e^{i\left(\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}\right) / 2} H$ where $H$ is an essentially hermitian matrix.
Note that a matrix is always unitarily similar to a matrix of equal diagonal entries [5, Theorem 1.3.4]. We prove Theorem 2.1 in four steps.

Case $n=2$.
If $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2}^{0}$ satisfies $(\mathrm{P})$, then $A$ is unitarily similar to a matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a e^{i \theta_{12}} \\
a e^{i \theta_{21}} & 0
\end{array}\right)=e^{i\left(\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}\right) / 2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a e^{i\left(\theta_{12}-\theta_{21}\right) / 2} \\
a e^{i\left(\theta_{21}-\theta_{12}\right) / 2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Case $n=3$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ satisfy $(\mathrm{P})$ and $\operatorname{tr} A=0$. If $A$ is singular, then every eigenvector corresponding to 0 is a normal eigenvector.

Proof. Let $v$ be a unit eigenvector of $A$ corresponding to 0 . Construct an unitary matrix $U=\left[v, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]$ such that $v$ as the first column and that $U^{*} A U$ has zero diagonal. $A v=0$ implies $v_{j}^{*} A v=0$ and, as $A$ satisfies $(\mathrm{P}), v^{*} A v_{j}=0$ for all $j$. Thus $v^{*} A=0$.

Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{3}^{0}$ satisfy (P). Without loss of generality,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & a_{12} e^{i \theta_{12}} & a_{13} e^{i \theta_{13}} \\
a_{12} e^{i \theta_{21}} & 0 & a_{23} e^{i \theta_{23}} \\
a_{13} e^{i \theta_{31}} & a_{23} e^{i \theta_{32}} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

for some $a_{12}>0, a_{13}, a_{23} \geqslant 0,-\pi<\theta_{i j} \leqslant \pi$.
Suppose $A$ is singular. By Lemma 2.3, $A$ is unitarily similar to $0 \oplus A_{1}$ where $A_{1}$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix satisfying (P). $A_{1}$ is essential hermitian, and so is $A$.

Suppose $A$ is nonsingular. In this case,
(i) $a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{23}$ are all nonzero and
(ii) $\theta_{12}-\theta_{13}-\theta_{21}+\theta_{23}+\theta_{31}-\theta_{32}$ is not a odd multiple of $\pi$.

Let $x=\left(0, \cos t, e^{i\left(\theta_{23}-\theta_{32}+\pi\right) / 2} \sin t\right)^{*}, y=(1,0,0)^{*} . x^{*} A x=y^{*} A y=0$ and $x^{*} y=0$. By (P), $\left|x^{*} A y\right|^{2}=\left|y^{*} A x\right|^{2}$ and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{12} e^{i \theta_{21}} \cos t+a_{13} e^{i\left(\theta_{31}+\theta_{23} / 2-\theta_{32} / 2+\pi / 2\right)} \sin t\right|^{2} \\
= & \left|a_{12} e^{i \theta_{12}} \cos t+a_{13} e^{i\left(\theta_{13}-\theta_{32} / 2+\theta_{23} / 2-\pi / 2\right)} \sin t\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Expand both sides and cancel like terms, we get

$$
\cos \left(\theta_{21}-\theta_{31}-\theta_{23} / 2+\theta_{32} / 2-\pi / 2\right)=\cos \left(\theta_{12}-\theta_{13}+\theta_{32} / 2-\theta_{23} / 2+\pi / 2\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\theta_{21}-\theta_{31}-\theta_{23} / 2+\theta_{32} / 2-\pi / 2=\theta_{12}-\theta_{13}+\theta_{32} / 2-\theta_{23} / 2+\pi / 2+2 k \pi
$$

or

$$
\theta_{21}-\theta_{31}-\theta_{23} / 2+\theta_{32} / 2-\pi / 2=2 k \pi-\left(\theta_{12}-\theta_{13}+\theta_{32} / 2-\theta_{23} / 2+\pi / 2\right)
$$

for some integers $k$. The first equality reduces to

$$
\theta_{12}-\theta_{13}-\theta_{21}+\theta_{23}+\theta_{31}-\theta_{32}=(2 k+1) \pi
$$

contradicting (ii). Hence the second equality holds and it is equivalent to

$$
\theta_{13}+\theta_{31}=\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}+2 k \pi .
$$

Similarly

$$
\theta_{23}+\theta_{32}=\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}+2 m \pi
$$

for some integers $m$. By Lemma 2.2, $A$ is essentially hermitian.
Case $n=4$.
Let $0 \neq A \in \mathbf{M}_{4}^{0}$ satisfy ( P ). Then $A$ is unitarily similar to a matrix $A^{\prime}$ with zero diagonals and the $(1,2)$ - and the $(2,1)$-entries are nonzero. If the $(1,2)$ - and the $(2,1)$ entries of $A^{\prime}$ are the only nonzero entries, then we are done. Otherwise, it is unitarily similar to a matrix $A^{\prime \prime}$ with zero diagonals, the $(1,2)$ - and $(2,1)$ - entries are nonzero and that at least one of $(1,3)-,(1,4)-,(2,3)-,(2,4)$ - entries is nonzero. We assume that $A=A^{\prime \prime}$.

Write $A=\left(a_{i j} e^{i \theta_{i j}}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ with zero diagonal, where $a_{i j}=a_{j i} \geqslant 0$ and $-\pi<\theta_{i j} \leqslant$ $\pi$.

Suppose $a_{13} \neq 0$. Consider the submatrix $A(1,2,3)$ which satisfies $(\mathrm{P})$ and thus it is essentially hermitian. By Lemma 2.2, $\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}=\theta_{13}+\theta_{31}+2 k \pi$ for some integers $k$. Similarly for the $(1,4)-,(2,3)$ - and $(2,4)$-entries.

Suppose $a_{34} \neq 0$. Note that at least one of $a_{13}, a_{23}, a_{14}, a_{24}$ is nonzero. Say, $a_{13} \neq 0$. By considering the submatrices $A(1,2,3)$ and $A(1,3,4)$, we have $\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}=$ $\theta_{13}+\theta_{31}+2 k \pi=\theta_{34}+\theta_{43}+2 m \pi$ for some integers $k, m$.

By Lemma 2.2, $A$ is essentially hermitian.

Case $n>4$.
Let $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ satisfy (P). Without loss of generality, assume that the diagonal entries of $A$ are zero and that the $(1,2)$-entry is nonzero. Write $A=\left(a_{i j} e^{i \theta_{i j}}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ with zero diagonal, where $a_{i j}=a_{j i} \geqslant 0$ and $-\pi<\theta_{i j} \leqslant \pi$.

If $a_{i j} \neq 0$, then consider a $4 \times 4$-submatrix $A(\alpha)$, where $1,2, i, j \in \alpha . A(\alpha)$ is essentially hermitian and thus $\theta_{12}+\theta_{21}=\theta_{i j}+\theta_{j i}+2 k \pi$ for some integers $k$.

By Lemma 2.2, $A$ is essentially hermitian.

## 3. Inclusion Relation of Numerical Ranges

We start with some old results.

Lemma 3.1. [2, Theorem 3.1.1] Let $C \in \mathbf{M}_{n}$ and $D \in \mathbf{M}_{p}$ then $S(C) \oplus S(D) \subseteq$ $S(C \oplus D)$ and $S(C) \otimes S(D) \subseteq S(C \otimes D)$, where the operations on sets are element-wise.

Lemma 3.2. [9] Let $C \in \mathbf{M}_{2}$, then $W_{C}(A)$ is convex for all $A \in \mathbf{M}_{2}$, equivalently $S(C)=\operatorname{conv}(\mathbf{U}(C))$, i.e. the convex hull of the unitarily orbit of $A$.

Lemma 3.3. [3] Suppose $D=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in S(C)$. Let $k$ be such that $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \varepsilon \in$ $[0,1]$, and $D^{\prime}=\left(d_{i j}^{\prime}\right)$ be defined by

$$
d_{i j}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\varepsilon d_{i j}, & \text { if exactly one of } i, j \text { equals } k \\ d_{i j}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(In other words, $D^{\prime}$ is obtained from $D$ by multiplying $\varepsilon$ to the entries on the $k$-th row and on the $k$-th column, except for the $(k, k)$ th entry, of $D$.) Then $D^{\prime} \in S(C)$.

Apply Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we have

Lemma 3.4. Let $C=\left(c_{i j}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ with zero diagonal, then

$$
\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathbf{U}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & c_{12} \\
c_{21} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right)\right) \oplus 0_{n-2} \subseteq S(C)
$$

If $C$ is a block-shift matrix, then $W_{C}(A)$ is a circular disc for any $C$ [7]. In particular, it is true for $A=E_{12}$, the matrix with a 1 at the $(1,2)$-entry and 0 elsewhere. Indeed, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.5. [2, Corollary 3.2.6] If $D \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ then $S(D) \subseteq \beta(n)\|D\|_{F} S\left(E_{12}\right)$ where $\beta(n)= \begin{cases}\frac{2(n-1) \sqrt{2 n}}{n} & \text { if } n \text { is even, } \\ \frac{2(n-1) \sqrt{2 n-1}}{n} & \text { if } n \text { is odd. }\end{cases}$

Let's restate Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose $C \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$ is not essentially hermitian. Then there exists $v>0$ such that for any $D \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$,

$$
v S(D) \subseteq\|D\|_{F} S(C)
$$

equivalently $v W_{D}(A) \subseteq\|D\|_{F} W_{C}(A)$ for any $A \in \mathbf{M}_{n}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, there exists unit vectors $x$ and $y$ such that $x^{*} C x=y^{*} C y=0$ and $x^{*} y=0$ but $\left|x^{*} C y\right| \neq\left|y^{*} C x\right|$. Therefore we can assume that $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ where $c_{i i}=0$ for all $i$ and $\left|c_{12}\right| \neq\left|c_{21}\right|$.

By Lemma 3.4, conv $\left(\mathbf{U}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & 0\end{array}\right)\right)\right) \oplus 0_{n-2} \subseteq S(C)$. Since $\left|c_{12}\right| \neq\left|c_{21}\right|$, there exists $\tau>0$ such that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \tau \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \oplus 0_{n-2}=\tau E_{12} \in S(C)$.

By Lemma 3.5, we have for any $D \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}, \frac{\tau}{\beta(n)} D \in\|D\|_{F} \tau S\left(E_{12}\right) \subseteq\|D\|_{F} S(C)$.
For any $C \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$, define

$$
v(C):=\max \left\{v \geqslant 0: v S(D) \subseteq\|D\|_{F} S(C) \text { for all } D \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}\right\}
$$

Corollary 3.7. Let $C \in \mathbf{M}_{n}^{0}$. Then $v(C) \geqslant 0$ and that $v(C)=0$ if and only if $C$ is essentially hermitian.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, if $C$ is not essentially hermitian then $v(C)>0$. If $C \neq 0$ is essentially hermitian, then $W(C)$ and $W(i C)$ are two line segments intersect at 0 only, hence $v i C \in\|i C\|_{F} S(C)$ only if $v=0$, and thus $v(C)=0$.
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