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#### Abstract

In this paper, we refine an inequality due to Bhatia and Kittaneh [Linear Algebra Appl. 308 (2000) 203-211], and generalize another inequality by Bhatia and Kittaneh [Lett. Math. Phys. 43 (1998) 225-231].


## 1. Introduction

Let $M_{n}$ be the space of $n \times n$ complex matrices. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote any unitarily invariant norm on $M_{n}$. We shall always denote the singular values of $A$ by $s_{1}(A) \geqslant$ $\cdots \geqslant s_{n}(A) \geqslant 0$. Let $M_{n}^{+}$be the set of positive semidefinite matrix on $M_{n}$.

Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be an element of $R^{n}$. Let $x^{\downarrow}$ be the vector obtained by rearranging the coordinates of $x$ in the decreasing order. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ belonging to $R^{n}$, if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{\downarrow} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}^{\downarrow}, \quad k=1, \cdots, n
$$

then we say that $x$ is weakly majorized by $y$, denoted $x \prec_{w} y$. If the components of $x$ and $y$ are nonnegative and

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{\downarrow} \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}^{\downarrow}, \quad k=1, \cdots, n,
$$

then we say that $x$ is weakly log-majorized by $y$, denoted $x \prec_{w \log } y$.
It is well known that $x \prec_{w \log } y$ implies $x \prec_{w} y$. For more information on majorization and matrix inequalities the reader is referred to [1-3].

Let $A$ and $B$ be positive semidefinite. Bhatia and Kittaneh [4, Theorem 1] (see also [2, p. 77]) obtained the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(A B) \prec_{w} s\left(\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Zhan [5, Theorem 2.2] proved that for any complex number $z$,

$$
s(A-|z| B) \prec_{w \log } s(A+z B) \prec_{w \log } s(A+|z| B) .
$$

This is a strengthening of the following inequality:

$$
s(A-|z| B) \prec_{w} s(A+z B) \prec_{w} s(A+|z| B),
$$

which is due to Bhatia and Kittaneh [6, Theorem 2.1]. These authors also proved [6, Theorem 2.2] that for any positive integer $m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(A^{m}+B^{m}\right) \prec_{w} s\left((A+B)^{m}\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Section 2, we shall refine (1.1) and generalize (1.2). Section 3 contains some remarks.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we first refine (1.1).
THEOREM 2.1. If $A, B \in M_{n}$ are positive semidefinite, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(A B) \prec_{w} s\left(\int_{0}^{1} A^{1 / 2+t} B^{3 / 2-t} d t\right) \prec_{w} s\left(\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The well-known arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for singular values due to Bhatia and Kittaneh [7] (see also [1, p. 262]) says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s_{j}\left(P Q^{*}\right) \leqslant s_{j}\left(P^{*} P+Q^{*} Q\right), \quad j=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $P, Q \in M_{n}$. Let

$$
P=A^{1 / 2}(A+B)^{1 / 2}, \quad Q=B^{1 / 2}(A+B)^{1 / 2} .
$$

By (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s_{j}\left(A^{1 / 2}(A+B) B^{1 / 2}\right) \leqslant s_{j}\left((A+B)^{2}\right), \quad j=1,2, \cdots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hiai and Kosaki [8, Corollary 2.3] proved that for all unitarily invariant norms

$$
\left\|A^{1 / 2} X B^{1 / 2}\right\| \leqslant\left\|\int_{0}^{1} A^{t} X B^{1-t} d t\right\| \leqslant\left\|\frac{A X+X B}{2}\right\|
$$

Putting

$$
X=A^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2}
$$

in this last inequality, gives

$$
\|A B\| \leqslant\left\|\int_{0}^{1} A^{1 / 2+t} B^{3 / 2-t} d t\right\| \leqslant\left\|\frac{A^{1 / 2}(A+B) B^{1 / 2}}{2}\right\| .
$$

By Fan's dominance principle, this is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(A B) \prec_{w} s\left(\int_{0}^{1} A^{1 / 2+t} B^{3 / 2-t} d t\right) \prec_{w} s\left(\frac{A^{1 / 2}(A+B) B^{1 / 2}}{2}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$
s(A B) \prec_{w} s\left(\int_{0}^{1} A^{1 / 2+t} B^{3 / 2-t} d t\right) \prec_{w} s\left(\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof.
Next, we shall generalize (1.2). To do this, we need the following result [9, Theorem 2.1].

LEMMA 2.1. Let $A, B \in M_{n}$ be normal and let $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be concave. Then, for all unitarily invariant norms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(|A+B|)\| \leqslant\|f(|A|)+f(|B|)\| \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $g(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} t^{k}$ be a polynomial vanishing at 0 and with nonnegative coefficients $a_{k}, k=1, \cdots, m$. Then for all normal matrices $A, B \in M_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(g(A)+g(B)) \prec_{w} s(g(|A+B|)) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
s\left(A^{m}+B^{m}\right) \prec_{w} s\left(|A+B|^{m}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $X, Y$ be any pair of normal matrices in $M_{n}$ and let $f(t)=g^{-1}(t)$ be the reciprocal function of $g(t)$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$. By (2.5), since $f$ is concave, we have

$$
s(f(|X+Y|)) \prec_{w} s(f(|X|)+f(|Y|)) .
$$

Since $g$ is convex and increasing on $[0, \infty)$, it preserves weak majorization on $M_{n}^{+}$, hence the above majorization yields

$$
s(|X+Y|) \prec_{w} s(g(f(|X|)+f(|Y|))) .
$$

Now, set $X=g(A)$ and $Y=g(B)$. We then have

$$
s(|g(A)+g(B)|) \prec_{w} s(g(f(|g(A)|)+f(|g(B)|))) .
$$

Since $|g(A)|=g(|A|),|g(B)|=g(|B|)$, and $f(g(t))=t$ on $[0, \infty)$, the last majorization completes the proof.

## 3. Remarks

REMARK 3.1. The inequality (2.3) has been obtained by Bhatia and Kittaneh [4, p. 206]. Here, we give a simple proof.

REMARK 3.2. Let $A, B \in M_{n}$ be positive semidefinite. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}(A B) \leqslant s_{j}\left(\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{2}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This was a question posed by Bhatia and Kittaneh [4](see also [10-11]), and settled in the affirmative by Drury in [12]. In view of (1.1), (2.1) and (3.1), we ask the following: Is it true that

$$
s_{j}(A B) \leqslant s_{j}\left(\int_{0}^{1} A^{1 / 2+t} B^{3 / 2-t} d t\right) \leqslant s_{j}\left(\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{2}\right), \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n ?
$$

This would be a strengthening of (2.1).
Remark 3.3. Let $A, B \in M_{n}$ be positive semidefinite. Tao [13, Theorem 3] proved that the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s_{j}\left(A^{1 / 2}(A+B)^{r} B^{1 / 2}\right) \leqslant s_{j}\left((A+B)^{r+1}\right), \quad j=1, \cdots, n \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any positive integer $r$. It is a generalization of (2.3). Bhatia and Kittaneh [10, p. 2186] proved that the inequality (3.2) holds for any positive real number $r$. Now, we give a simple proof of (3.2). In fact, for any $r>0$, let

$$
P=A^{1 / 2}(A+B)^{r / 2}, \quad Q=B^{1 / 2}(A+B)^{r / 2}
$$

we obtain the inequality (2.4) from the inequality (2.1).
Moreover, for any $r, r_{1}, r_{2}>0$, let

$$
P=A^{r_{1}}(A+B)^{r / 2}, \quad Q=B^{r_{2}}(A+B)^{r / 2}
$$

Then, for $j=1, \cdots, n$, we have

$$
2 s_{j}\left(A^{r_{1}}(A+B)^{r} B^{r_{2}}\right) \leqslant s_{j}\left((A+B)^{r / 2}\left(A^{2 r_{1}}+B^{2 r_{2}}\right)(A+B)^{r / 2}\right)
$$

This is a generalization of (3.2).
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