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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem of the Lamé system: $\Delta \mathbf{u}+\alpha \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{divu})=-\sigma \mathbf{u}$ on a bounded domain $\Omega$ in an $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\alpha$ is a nonnegative constant and $\mathbf{u}$ is a vector-valued function on $\Omega$. We establish a Levitin-Parnovski-type inequality for its eigenvalues, which gives an estimate for the upper bounds of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i+j}$ for any positive integer $j$. Moreover, we obtain some other universal inequalities for eigenvalues of this problem.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in an $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $\mathbf{u}=$ $\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{l}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$ be a vector-valued function on $\bar{\Omega}$. Denote by div the divergence operator and grad the gradient operator. The Dirichlet eigenvalue problem of the Lamé system is described by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta \mathbf{u}+\alpha \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u})=-\sigma \mathbf{u}, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
\left.\mathbf{u}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha$ is a nonnegative constant and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This problem has definite physical background. When $n=3$, it describes the behavior of an elastic medium. Its eigenvectors describe the deformation of vibrating elastic bodies with fixed boundaries (cf. [16, 12]). This problem has a real discrete spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sigma_{1} \leqslant \sigma_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \sigma_{l} \leqslant \cdots \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity.
Eigenvalues of problem (1.1) have been studied from different angles (see [7, 9, 10, 14]). In particular, some universal inequalities for its eigenvalues have been established. In 1990, Hook [6] proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}} \geqslant \frac{n^{2} k}{4(n+\alpha)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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In 2002, Levitin and Parnovski [11] derived

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{k} \leqslant \frac{\max \left\{4+\alpha^{2} ;(n+2) \alpha+8\right\}}{n+\alpha} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{i} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives an estimate for the gap of $\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{k}$ in terms of the first $k$ eigenvalues. In 2009, Cheng and Yang [5] obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right) \leqslant \frac{2 \sqrt{n+\alpha}}{n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{i}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{k+1} \leqslant\left[1+\frac{4(n+\alpha)}{n^{2}}\right] \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives an estimate for the upper bound of $\sigma_{k+1}$ in terms of the first $k$ eigenvalues. In 2012, Chen, Cheng, Wang and Xia [4] further strengthened (1.5) to

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{2} \leqslant B(n, \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right) \sigma_{i}
$$

where $B(n, \alpha)$ is a constant depended on $n$ and $\alpha$. Cheng and Yang [5] also gave the following estimate for the upper bound of the sum of consecutive eigenvalues:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i+1} \leqslant(n+4+4 \alpha) \sigma_{1} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to relate problem (1.1) with the fixed membrane problem which is described by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta u=-\lambda u, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.8}\\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. When $n=2$ (namely for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ ), Payne, Pólya and Weinberger [13] proved

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3} \leqslant 6 \lambda_{1} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It lead us to the famous Payne, Pólya and Weinberger conjecture (cf. [1]). In 1993, Ashbaugh and Benguria [2] derived

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1} \leqslant(n+4) \lambda_{1} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. On the one hand, (1.10) have been extended to bounded domains in some other Riemannian manifolds. In 2008, Sun, Cheng and Yang [15] obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+1} \leqslant n^{2}+(n+4) \lambda_{1} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

on a bounded domain in the unite sphere $S^{n}(1)$. It is optimal for the unite sphere since it becomes an equality when $\Omega=S^{n}(1)$. Chen and Cheng [3] proved that (1.10) also holds on bounded domains in complete Riemannian manifolds. On the other hand, Levitin and Parnovski [11] generalized (1.10) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i+j} \leqslant(n+4) \lambda_{j} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ is any positive integer. A remarkable point of (1.12) is that it gives some estimates for the upper bounds of $\lambda_{j+1}+\cdots+\lambda_{j+n}$ in terms of $\lambda_{j}$. Moreover, it covers (1.10) when $j=1$. This inequality will be referred to henceforth as the LevitinParnovski inequality. Observe that (1.7) also becomes the same as (1.10) when $\alpha=0$. It is natural to consider the following question: Whether can one obtain a Levitin-Parnovski-type inequality for problem (1.1)?

The purpose of this paper is to establish a Levitin-Parnovski-type inequality and some other universal inequalities for problem (1.1). In this paper, we obtain the following result:

THEOREM 1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Denote by $\sigma_{i}$ the $i$-th eigenvalue of problem (1.1). For any positive integer $j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i+j} \leqslant(n+C(n, \alpha)) \sigma_{j}-\alpha\left(\sigma_{j+1}-\sigma_{j}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant

$$
C(n, \alpha)= \begin{cases}(n+2) \alpha+8, & \text { when } 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{(n+2)^{2}+16}+n+2}{2} \\ 4+\alpha^{2}, & \text { when } \alpha \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{(n+2)^{2}+16}+n+2}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Hence, we answer the preceding question. Observe that (1.13) becomes

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i+j} \leqslant(n+8) \sigma_{j}
$$

when $\alpha=0$. Of course, it is also interesting to consider whether it is possible to establish a sharper inequality which becomes the same as (1.12) when $\alpha=0$.

Furthermore, we derive some other universal inequalities for problem (1.1).
THEOREM 2. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Denote by $\sigma_{i}$ the $i$-th eigenvalue of problem (1.1). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{2} \leqslant \frac{2 \sqrt{n+\alpha}}{n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{3}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \leqslant \frac{4(n+\alpha)}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{i} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK 1. In the proof of Theorem 2, we obtain inequality (2.32) by making use of an abstract inequality attributed to Ilias and Makhoul [8]. Besides (1.14) and (1.15), we can also get (1.5) and (1.6) of Cheng and Yang [5] by using (2.32). In fact, taking $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}$ and $g\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in (2.32), we can derive (1.5). Taking $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=g\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}$ in (2.32), we can get (1.6).

## 2. Proofs of the main results

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the observation that estimates in the proof of Corollary 2.7 of [11] can be sharpened. In the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following abstract formula established by Levitin and Parnovski [11].

Lemma 1. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space with a given inner product $\langle$,$\rangle . Let$ $H: \mathscr{D} \subset \mathscr{H} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}$ be a self-adjoint operator defined on a dense domain $\mathscr{D}$ which is semibounded beblow and has a discrete spectrum $\mu_{1} \leqslant \mu_{2} \leqslant \mu_{3} \leqslant \cdots$. Let $\left\{G_{l}\right.$ : $H(\mathscr{D}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}\}_{l=1}^{N}$ be a collection of symmetric operators which leave $\mathscr{D}$ invariant. Denote by $\left\{u_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the normalized eigenvectors of $H$ and $u_{i}$ corresponding to the $i$ th eigenvalue $\mu_{i}$. Moreover, this family of eigenvectors is further assumed to be an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}$. For any positive integer $j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[H, G_{l}\right] u_{j}, u_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\mu_{k}-\mu_{j}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left[\left[H, G_{l}\right], G_{l}\right] u_{j}, u_{j}\right\rangle, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[H, G_{l}\right]:=H G_{l}-G_{l} H$ is the commutator of $H$ and $G_{l}$.
Now we give the proof of Theorem 1.
Proof of Thereom 1. Denote by $\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,0, \cdots, 0), \cdots, \mathbf{e}_{n}=(0, \cdots, 1)$ the unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then we have $u_{l}=\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}$ for a vector-valued function $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{l}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)$ on $\Omega$. For the sake of convenience, we denote by

$$
L \mathbf{u}=-\Delta \mathbf{u}+\alpha M \mathbf{u}
$$

where $M \mathbf{u}=-\operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{divu})$. Let $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ be the orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to the $i$-th eigenvalues $\sigma_{i}$ of problem (1.1). That is to say, $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L \mathbf{u}_{i}=\sigma_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}, \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.2}\\
\left.\mathbf{u}_{i}\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 \\
\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{j}=\delta_{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We claim that we can choose the functions $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ as the standard coordinates functions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+k}\right\rangle=0, \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant k<l \leqslant n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, let $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ be the standard coordinate functions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider an $n \times n$ matrix $B$ defined by

$$
B:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left\langle\left[L, y_{1}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+1}\right\rangle\left\langle\left[L, y_{1}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+2}\right\rangle & \cdots\left\langle\left[L, y_{1}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+n}\right\rangle \\
\left\langle\left[L, y_{2}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+1}\right\rangle\left\langle\left[L, y_{2}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+2}\right\rangle & \cdots\left\langle\left[L, y_{2}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+n}\right\rangle \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right) .
$$

According to the QR-factorization theorem, we know that there is an orthogonal $n \times n$ matrix $Q=\left(q_{l r}\right)_{n \times n}$ such that $A=Q B$ is an upper triangle matrix. Namely, it holds

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} q_{l r}\left\langle\left[L, y_{r}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+k}\right\rangle=0, \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant k<l \leqslant n
$$

Putting $x_{l}=\sum_{r=1}^{n} q_{l r} y_{r}$, we know that our claim is true. Therefore, according to (2.3), we find that it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{j+k}-\sigma_{j}}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $H=L$ and $G_{l}=x_{l}$ in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left[\left[L, x_{l}\right], x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utilizing (2.4), we can get an inequality. In fact, rewriting the summation index, one can deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{j+l-1} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}}+\sum_{k=j+l}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}}  \tag{2.6}\\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}}+\sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j+k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{j+k}-\sigma_{j}}+\sum_{k=j+l}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, it follows from (1.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}} \leqslant 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}} & \leqslant \sum_{k=j+l}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, Parseval's identity implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}=\left\|\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2}}{\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{j}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}}\left\|\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.10) into (2.5) and taking sum on $l$ from 1 to $n$, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}\right)\left\langle\left[\left[L, x_{l}\right], x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle \leqslant \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate the terms in the both sides of (2.11). On the one hand, according to

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(x_{l} \mathbf{u}\right)=\operatorname{grad} x_{l} \cdot \mathbf{u}+x_{l} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}
$$

it yields (cf. Lemma 5 of [6])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\Delta, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}=-2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial x_{l}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[M, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}=-R_{l} \mathbf{u} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{l} \mathbf{u}=(\operatorname{divu}) \operatorname{grad} x_{l}+\operatorname{grad}\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)$. Hence, making use of (2.12) and (2.13), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left[\left[L, x_{l}\right], x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle= & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle\left[\left[\Delta, x_{l}\right], x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left\langle\left[\left[M, x_{l}\right], x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2} \alpha\left\langle\left[R_{l}, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\left(x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right)-x_{l} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right]  \tag{2.14}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \alpha \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot\left[\left(\operatorname{grad} x_{l} \cdot \mathbf{u}\right) \operatorname{grad} x_{l}+\left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right) \operatorname{grad} x_{l}\right] \\
= & \left\|\mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}+\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right) \operatorname{grad} x_{l} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{j} \\
= & 1+\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(4\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}+\alpha^{2}\left\|R_{l} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}+4 \alpha\left\langle\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}, R_{l} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Lemma 4.5 of [11], it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|R_{l} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}=(n+2) \int_{\Omega}\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}_{j} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}, R_{l} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\rangle=-2 \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \operatorname{grad}\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.16), (2.17) and

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{j}}{\partial x_{l}}\right\|^{2}=-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}_{j}
$$

into (2.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}=w_{j} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
w_{j}=-\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}_{j}-\left[(n+2) \alpha^{2}+8 \alpha\right] \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \operatorname{grad}\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right)
$$

When $\alpha \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left[n+2+\sqrt{(n+2)^{2}+16}\right]$, it yields $\alpha^{2}-(n+2) \alpha-4 \geqslant 0$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j}=\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right) \sigma_{j}-\left[\alpha^{2}-(n+2) \alpha-4\right] \int_{\Omega}\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{j}\right)^{2} \leqslant\left(4+\alpha^{2}\right) \sigma_{j} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left[n+2+\sqrt{(n+2)^{2}+16}\right]$, it yields $\alpha^{2}-(n+2) \alpha-4 \leqslant 0$. In this case, since

$$
-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}_{j} \geqslant 0
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{j} & =[(n+2) \alpha+8] \sigma_{j}-\left[\alpha^{2}-(n+2) \alpha-4\right] \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}_{j}  \tag{2.20}\\
& \leqslant[(n+2) \alpha+8] \sigma_{j}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2} \leqslant C(n, \alpha) \sigma_{j} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.14) and (2.21) into (2.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}\right)\left[1+\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)^{2}\right] \leqslant C(n, \alpha) \sigma_{j} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)^{2}=\left\|\mathbf{u}_{j}\right\|^{2}=1
$$

we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}\right)\left[1+\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)^{2}\right] & \geqslant \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}\right)+\alpha\left(\sigma_{j+1}-\sigma_{j}\right) \sum_{l=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}\right)+\alpha\left(\sigma_{j+1}-\sigma_{j}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, combining (2.22) and (2.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{j+l}-\sigma_{j}\right)+\alpha\left(\sigma_{j+1}-\sigma_{j}\right) \leqslant C(n, \alpha) \sigma_{j} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It yields (1.13). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
In the proof of Theorem 2, we use the following lemma of Ilias and Makhoul [8].
Lemma 2. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space with a given inner product $\langle$,$\rangle .$ The notations of $H, G_{l}, \mu_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ denote the same meanings as Lemma 1. Let $\left\{T_{l}\right.$ : $\mathscr{D} \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}\}_{l=1}^{N}$ be a collection of skew-symmetric operators which leave $\mathscr{D}$ invariant. A couple $(f, g)$ of functions defined on $] 0, \mu[$ belongs to $\mathfrak{J} \mu$ provided that $f$ and $g$ are positive functions which satisfy

$$
\left[\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y}\right]^{2}+\frac{g(x)-g(y)}{x-y}\left[\frac{f^{2}(x)}{g(x)(\mu-x)}+\frac{f^{2}(y)}{g(y)(\mu-y)}\right] \leqslant 0
$$

for any $x, y \in] 0, \mu[$ and $x \neq y$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f\left(\mu_{i}\right)\left\langle\left[T_{l}, G_{l}\right] u_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle\right]^{2} }  \tag{2.25}\\
\leqslant & 4\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} g\left(\mu_{i}\right)\left\langle\left[H, G_{l}\right] u_{i}, G_{l} u_{i}\right\rangle\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{f^{2}\left(\mu_{i}\right)}{g\left(\mu_{i}\right)\left(\mu_{k+1}-\mu_{i}\right)}\left\|T_{l} u_{i}\right\|^{2}\right],
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\|T_{l} u_{i}\right\|$ denotes the norm of $T_{l} u_{i}$.
Now we give the proof of Theorem 2.
Proof of Thereom 2. Taking $H=L, G_{l}=x_{l}$ and $T_{l}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}$ in (2.25), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\left\langle\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right]^{2} } \\
\leqslant & 4\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} g\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{i}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{f^{2}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)}{g\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\|^{2}\right] . \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we need to calculate the terms in the both side of (2.26). Since

$$
\left\langle\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{l}}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{l}}=-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{i}^{2}-\int_{\Omega} x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{l}}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{l}}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i}=-\frac{1}{2} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle R_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega} x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot\left[\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right) \operatorname{grad} x_{l}+\operatorname{grad}\left(\mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{\Omega} x_{l}\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right) \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\left[x_{l}\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right)+\mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right]  \tag{2.28}\\
& =-\int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, it follows from (2.12), (2.13), (2.27) and (2.28) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\left[L, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{i}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle & =\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\left(\left[-\Delta, x_{l}\right]+\alpha\left[M, x_{l}\right]\right) \mathbf{u}_{i}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =-2 \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{l}}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle-\alpha \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle R_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}, x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{2.29}\\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[1+\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{l}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =n+\alpha .
\end{align*}
$$

At the same time, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}, x_{l}\right] \mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}}\left(x_{l} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right)-x_{l} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{l}}\right]=\left\|\mathbf{u}_{i}\right\|^{2}=1 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha \geqslant 0$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l}} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right\|^{2}=-\int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}_{i} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}_{i}=\sigma_{i}-\alpha \int_{\Omega}\left(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_{i}\right)^{2} \leqslant \sigma_{i} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.29), (2.30) and (2.31) into (2.26), we can deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right]^{2} \leqslant \frac{4(n+\alpha)}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} g\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{f^{2}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)}{g\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)} \sigma_{i} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{2}$ and $g\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{3}$ in (2.32), we obtain (1.14). Taking $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=g\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\left(\sigma_{k+1}-\sigma_{i}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ in (2.32), we get (1.15). This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.
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