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#### Abstract

For positive integers $n$ and $k$, an operator $T$ is said to be $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal if $\left\|T^{1+n+k} x\right\|^{\frac{1}{1+n}}\left\|T^{k} x\right\|^{\frac{n}{1+n}} \geqslant\left\|T^{*} T^{k} x\right\|$ for all $x \in H$, which is a generalization of $*$-paranormal operator. In this paper, we prove that the spectrum is continuous on the class of all $k$-quasi- $*-n$ paranormal operators. Let $\lambda$ be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ and $E$ be the Riesz idempotent with respect to $\lambda$. We also prove that (1) if $\lambda \neq 0$, then $E$ is self-adjoint and $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)=$ $N(T-\lambda)^{*}$. (2) if $\lambda=0$, then $R(E)=N\left(T^{k+1}\right)$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $B(H)$ denote the $C^{*}$-algebra of all bounded linear operators on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space $H$. In paper [18] authors introduced the class of $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal operators defined as follows:

DEFINITION 1.1. For positive integers $n$ and $k$, an operator $T$ is said to be $k$ -quasi- $*-n$-paranormal if $\left\|T^{1+n+k} x\right\|^{\frac{1}{1+n}}\left\|T^{k} x\right\|^{\frac{n}{1+n}} \geqslant\left\|T^{*} T^{k} x\right\|$ for all $x \in H$.

A $k$-quasi- $*$ - $n$-paranormal operator for positive integers $n$ and $k$ is an extension of $*-n$-paranormal operator, i.e., $\left\|T^{1+n} x\right\|^{\frac{1}{1+n}} \geqslant\left\|T^{*} x\right\|$ for unit vector $x$ and $k$-quasi- $*$-paranormal operator, i.e., $\left\|T^{k+2} x\right\|\left\|T^{k} x\right\| \geqslant\left\|T^{*} T^{k} x\right\|^{2}$ for all $x \in H$. A $*-$ 1-paranormal operator is called a $*$-paranormal operator and a 1-quasi- $*$-paranormal operator is called a quasi-*-paranormal operator. It is known that quasi-*-paranormal operator is normaloid [10], i.e., $\left\|T^{n}\right\|=\|T\|^{n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (equivalently, $\|T\|=r(T)$, the spectral radius of $T$ ). *-paranormal operator and quasi-*-paranormal operator have been studied by many authors and it is known that they have many interesting properties similar to those of hyponormal operators (see [5, 9, 13]).

It is clear that

$$
*-n \text {-paranormal } \Rightarrow \text { quasi }-*-n \text {-paranormal } \Rightarrow \text { normaloid }
$$
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and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { quasi- } *-n \text {-paranormal } & \Rightarrow k \text {-quasi- } *-n \text {-paranormal } \\
& \Rightarrow(k+1) \text {-quasi- } *-n \text {-paranormal. }
\end{aligned}
$$

EXAMPLE 1.1. Given a bounded sequence of positive numbers $\alpha: \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots$ (called weights), the unilateral weighted shift $W_{\alpha}$ associated with $\alpha$ is the operator on $l_{2}$ defined by $W_{\alpha} e_{n}=\alpha_{n} e_{n+1}$ for all $n \geqslant 1$, where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the canonical orthogonal basis for $l_{2}$. Straightforward calculations show that $W_{\alpha}$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator if and only if

$$
W_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & \alpha_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{3} & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{4} & 0 & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left(\alpha_{i+1+n} \alpha_{i+n} \cdots \alpha_{i+2} \alpha_{i+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+n}} \geqslant \alpha_{i}(i=k, k+1, k+2, \cdots)
$$

Now it is natural to ask whether $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operators are normaloid or not. For $k>1$, an answer has been given: there exists a nilpotent operator which is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator. But it need not be normaloid.

In section 2 , we give a necessary and sufficient condition for $T$ to be a $k$-quasi-$*-n$-paranormal operator. In section 3 , we prove that the spectrum is continuous on the class of all $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal operators. In section 4, we study the Riesz idempotent of $k$-quasi- $-n$-paranormal operators.

## 2. $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal operators

In the sequel, we shall write $N(T)$ and $R(T)$ for the null space and range space of $T$, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. [18] $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator if and only if

$$
T^{* 1+n+k} T^{1+n+k}-(n+1) \lambda^{n} T^{* k} T T^{*} T^{k}+n \lambda^{1+n} T^{* k} T^{k} \geqslant 0 \text { for any } \lambda>0
$$

THEOREM 2.2. If $T$ does not have a dense range, then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator;
(2) $T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}T_{1} & T_{2} \\ 0 & T_{3}\end{array}\right)$ on $H=\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)$, where $T_{1}^{* 1+n} T_{1}^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n}\left(T_{1} T_{1}^{*}+\right.$ $\left.T_{2} T_{2}^{*}\right)+n \lambda^{1+n} \geqslant 0$ for all $\lambda>0$ and $T_{3}^{k}=0$. Furthermore, $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow \underline{(2) \text { Consider the matrix representation of } T \text { with respect to the }}$ decomposition $H=\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)$ :

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $P$ be the projection onto $\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)}$. Since $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator, we have

$$
P\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) P \geqslant 0 \text { for all } \lambda>0
$$

Therefore

$$
T_{1}^{* 1+n} T_{1}^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n}\left(T_{1} T_{1}^{*}+T_{2} T_{2}^{*}\right)+n \lambda^{1+n} \geqslant 0 \text { for all } \lambda>0
$$

On the other hand, for any $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in H$, we have

$$
\left(T_{3}^{k} x_{2}, x_{2}\right)=\left(T^{k}(I-P) x,(I-P) x\right)=\left((I-P) x, T^{* k}(I-P) x\right)=0
$$

which implies $T_{3}^{k}=0$.
Since $\sigma(T) \cup M=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup \sigma\left(T_{3}\right)$, where $M$ is the union of the holes in $\sigma(T)$ which happens to be subset of $\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \sigma\left(T_{3}\right)$ by Corollary 7 of [8], and $\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cap \sigma\left(T_{3}\right)$ has no interior point and $T_{3}$ is nilpotent, we have $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose that $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}T_{1} & T_{2} \\ 0 & T_{3}\end{array}\right)$ on $H=\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)$, where $T_{1}^{* 1+n} T_{1}^{1+n}-$ $(1+n) \lambda^{n}\left(T_{1} T_{1}^{*}+T_{2} T_{2}^{*}\right)+n \lambda^{1+n} \geqslant 0$ for all $\lambda>0$ and $T_{3}^{k}=0$. Since

$$
T^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{k} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{* k}\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) T^{k} \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{* k} \\
& \times\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{* 1+n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{k} \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}^{* k} \\
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D & C \\
C^{*} & F
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
T_{1}^{k} \\
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1}^{* k} D T_{1}^{k} & T_{1}^{* k} D \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} \\
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} D T_{1}^{k} & \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} D \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D=T_{1}^{* 1+n} T_{1}^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n}\left(T_{1} T_{1}^{*}+T_{2} T_{2}^{*}\right)+n \lambda^{1+n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{n-j}\right)^{*} \sum_{j=0}^{n} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{n-j}+T_{3}^{* 1+n} T_{3}^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T_{3} T_{3}^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}, \\
C= & T_{1}^{* 1+n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{n-j}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T_{2} T_{3}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

 $x \in \overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)}$ and $y \in N\left(T^{* k}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T^{* k}\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) T^{k} v, v\right) \\
& =\left(T_{1}^{* k} D T_{1}^{k} x, x\right)+\left(T_{1}^{* k} D y, x\right)+\left(\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} D T_{1}^{k} x, y\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j}\right)^{*} D \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} y, y\right) \\
& =\left(D\left(T_{1}^{k} x+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} y\right),\left(T_{1}^{k} x+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{1}^{j} T_{2} T_{3}^{k-1-j} y\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
D=T_{1}^{* 1+n} T_{1}^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n}\left(T_{1} T_{1}^{*}+T_{2} T_{2}^{*}\right)+n \lambda^{1+n} \geqslant 0 \text { for all } \lambda>0 \\
\left(T^{* k}\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) T^{k} v, v\right) \geqslant 0 \text { for all } v \in H
\end{gathered}
$$

hence

$$
T^{* k}\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) T^{k} \geqslant 0 \text { for all } \lambda>0
$$

Thus $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator.
Corollary 2.3. If $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator and $R\left(T^{k}\right)$ is not dense, then

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { on } H=\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)
$$

where $T_{1}$ is a $*$-n-paranormal operator on $\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)}$ and $T_{3}^{k}=0$.
COROLLARY 2.4. If $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator and $R\left(T^{k}\right)$ is dense, then $T$ is $a *$-n-paranormal operator.

Corollary 2.5. If $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator, $0 \neq \lambda \in \sigma_{p}(T)$ and $T$ is of the form $T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\lambda & A \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)$ on $H=N(T-\lambda) \oplus N(T-\lambda)^{\perp}$, then $A=0$.

Proof. Let $E$ be the orthogonal projection onto $N(T-\lambda)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\lambda=1$. Since $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal operator, $T$ satisfies

$$
T^{* k}\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) T^{k} \geqslant 0 \text { for all } \lambda>0
$$

Taking $\lambda=1$, we have

$$
E\left(T^{* 1+n+k} T^{1+n+k}-(1+n) T^{* k} T T^{*} T^{k}+n T^{* k} T^{k}\right) E \geqslant 0
$$

We remark

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(T^{* 1+n+k} T^{1+n+k}\right) E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& E\left(T^{* k} T T^{*} T^{k}\right) E=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+A A^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
E T^{* k} T^{k} E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-(n+1) A A^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

Hence $A=0$.

Corollary 2.6. If $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator and $\lambda \neq 0$, then $T x=\lambda x$ implies $T^{*} x=\bar{\lambda} x$.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.5.
The following example provides an operator $T$ which is a $k$-quasi- $-n$-paranormal operator, however, the relation $N(T) \subseteq N\left(T^{*}\right)$ does not hold.

Example 2.7. [13] Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ be operators on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and let $H_{n}=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ for all positive integers $n$. Consider the operator $T_{A, B}$ on $\oplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} H_{n}$ defined by

$$
T_{A, B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & B & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & B & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & B & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $T_{A, B}$ is a quasi-*-paranormal operator, hence $T_{A, B}$ is a $k$-quasi-*-paranormal operator, however for the vector $x=(0,0,1,-1,0,0, \cdots), T_{A, B}(x)=0$, but $T_{A, B}^{*}(x) \neq 0$. Therefore, the relation $N\left(T_{A, B}\right) \subseteq N\left(T_{A, B}^{*}\right)$ does not always hold.

## 3. The spectral continuity of $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal operators

For every $T \in B(H), \sigma(T)$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. The function $\sigma$ viewed as a function from $B(H)$ into the set of all compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, equipped with the Hausdorff metric, is well known to be upper semi-continuous, but fails to be continuous in general. Conway and Morrel [2] have carried out a detailed study of spectral continuity in $B(H)$. Recently, it has been proved that the spectrum is continuous in the set of normal operators and hyponormal operators in [7]. And this result has been extended to quasihyponormal operators by Djordjević in [3], to $p$-hyponormal operators by Hwang and Lee in [11], and to ( $p, k$ )-quasihyponormal, $M$-hyponormal, *-paranormal and paranormal operators by Duggal, Jeon and Kim in [4]. In this section we extend this result to $k$-quasi-*- $n$-paranormal operators.

Lemma 3.1. Let $T$ be a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) If $T$ is quasinilpotent, then $T^{k+1}=0$.
(2) For every non-zero $\lambda \in \sigma_{p}(T)$, the matrix representation of $T$ with respect to the decomposition $H=N(T-\lambda) \oplus(N(T-\lambda))^{\perp}$ is: $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)$ for some operator $B$ satisfying $\lambda \notin \sigma_{p}(B)$ and $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda\} \cup \sigma(B)$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal operator. If $R\left(T^{k}\right)$ is dense, then $T$ is a $*-n$-paranormal operator, which leads to that $T$ is normaloid, hence $T=0$. If $R\left(T^{k}\right)$ is not dense, then

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { on } H=\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)
$$

where $T_{1}$ is a $*$-n-paranormal operator, $T_{3}^{k}=0$ and $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$ by Theorem 2.2. Since $\sigma\left(T_{1}\right)=\{0\}, T_{1}=0$. Thus

$$
T^{k+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right)^{k+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}^{k} \\
0 & T_{3}^{k+1}
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

(2) If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_{p}(T)$, we have that $N(T-\lambda)$ reduces $T$ by Corollary 2.5. So we have that $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)$ on $H=N(T-\lambda) \oplus(N(T-\lambda))^{\perp}$ for some operator $B$ satisfying $\lambda \notin \sigma_{p}(B)$ and $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda\} \cup \sigma(B)$.

Lemma 3.2. [1] Let $H$ be a complex Hilbert space. Then there exists a Hilbert space $K$ such that $H \subset K$ and a map $\varphi: B(H) \rightarrow B(K)$ such that
(1) $\varphi$ is a faithful $*$-representation of the algebra $B(H)$ on $K$;
(2) $\varphi(A) \geqslant 0$ for any $A \geqslant 0$ in $B(H)$;
(3) $\sigma_{a}(T)=\sigma_{a}(\varphi(T))=\sigma_{p}(\varphi(T))$ for any $T \in B(H)$.

THEOREM 3.3. The spectrum $\sigma$ is continuous on the set of $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operators.

Proof. Suppose $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator. Let $\varphi: B(H) \rightarrow B(K)$ be Berberian's faithful $*$-representation of Lemma 3.2. In the following, we shall show that $\varphi(T)$ is also a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator. In fact, since $T$ is a $k$-quasi-*-$n$-paranormal operator, we have

$$
T^{* k}\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) T^{k} \geqslant 0 \text { for all } \lambda>0
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(T)^{* k}\left(\varphi(T)^{* 1+n} \varphi(T)^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} \varphi(T) \varphi(T)^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) \varphi(T)^{k} \\
= & \varphi\left(T^{* k}\left(T^{* 1+n} T^{1+n}-(1+n) \lambda^{n} T T^{*}+n \lambda^{1+n}\right) T^{k}\right) \text { by Lemma } 3.2 \\
\geqslant & 0 \text { by Lemma 3.2, }
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\varphi(T)$ is also a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator. By Lemma 3.1, we have $T$ belongs to the set $C(i)$ (see definition in [4]). Therefore, we have that the spectrum $\sigma$ is continuous on the set of $k$-quasi-*- $n$-paranormal operators by [4, Theorem 1.1].

## 4. Isolated point of spectrum of $k$-quasi- $-n-n$-paranormal operator

Let $\lambda$ be an isolated point of the spectrum of $T$. Then the Riesz idempotent $E$ of $T$ with respect to $\lambda$ is defined by

$$
E=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial D}(\mu-T)^{-1} d \mu
$$

where $D$ is a closed disk centered at $\lambda$ which contains no other points of the spectrum of $T$. Stampfli [14] showed that if $T$ is hyponormal, then $E$ is self-adjoint and $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)=N(T-\lambda)^{*}$. Recently, Tanahashi and Uchiyama [16] obtained Stampfli's result for $*$-paranormal operators. We shall show that for every $k$-quasi- $*-$ $n$-paranormal operator $T$ and each isolated point $\lambda$ of $\sigma(T)$, the Riesz idempotent $E$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(E)=N\left(T^{k+1}\right) \text { if } \lambda=0 \\
& R(E)=N(T-\lambda)=N(T-\lambda)^{*} \text { and } E \text { is self-adjoint if } \lambda \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $T$ be a*-n-paranormal operator, $\lambda$ be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ and $E$ be the Riesz idempotent with respect to $\lambda$. Then $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)$.

Proof. Recall from [12, Proposition 4.8] that if $T$ is a $*-n$-paranormal operator, then $T$ is a $(n+1)$-paranormal operator, i.e., $\left\|T^{2+n} x\right\|^{\frac{1}{2+n}} \geqslant\|T x\|$ for unit vector $x$. [16, Theorem 2] implies $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)$.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $T$ be a $k$-quasi-*-n-paranormal operator, $\lambda$ be an isolated point of $\sigma(T)$ and $E$ be the Riesz idempotent with respect to $\lambda$. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) if $\lambda \neq 0$, then $E$ is self-adjoint and $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)=N(T-\lambda)^{*}$.
(2) if $\lambda=0$, then $R(E)=N\left(T^{k+1}\right)$.

Proof. If $\lambda \neq 0$, assume that $R\left(T^{k}\right)$ is dense. Then $T$ is a $*-n$-paranormal operator and $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)$ by Lemma 4.1. So we may assume that $T^{k}$ does not have dense range. Then by Theorem 2.2 the operator $T$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{1} & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { on } H=\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)
$$

where $T_{1}$ is a $*-n$-paranormal operator on $\overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)}$. Now if $\lambda$ is a non-zero isolated point of $\sigma(T)$, then $\lambda \in$ iso $\sigma\left(T_{1}\right)$ because $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(T_{1}\right) \cup\{0\}$. Therefore $\lambda$ is a simple pole of the resolvent of $T_{1}$ and the $*-n$-paranormal operator $T_{1}$ can be written as follows:

$$
\left.T_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T_{11} & 0 \\
0 & T_{12}
\end{array}\right) \text { on } \overline{R\left(T^{k}\right)}\right)=N\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right) \oplus \overline{R\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)}
$$

where $\sigma\left(T_{11}\right)=\{\lambda\}$. Therefore

$$
T-\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & T_{21} \\
0 & T_{12}-\lambda & T_{22} \\
0 & 0 & T_{3}-\lambda
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & G \\
0 & M
\end{array}\right)
$$

on

$$
H=N\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right) \oplus \overline{R\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)
$$

where

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{12}-\lambda & T_{22} \\
0 & T_{3}-\lambda
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now, we claim that $M$ is an invertible operator on $\overline{R\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)} \oplus N\left(T^{* k}\right)$. First we verify that $T_{12}-\lambda$ is invertible. If not, then $\lambda$ will be an isolated point in $\sigma\left(T_{12}\right)$. Since $T_{12}$ is $*-n$-paranormal, $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $T_{12}$ and thus $T_{12} x=\lambda x$ for some non-zero vector $x$ in $\overline{R\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)}$. On the other hand, $T_{1} x=T_{12} x$ implying $x$ is in $N\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)$. Hence $x$ must be a zero vector. This contradiction shows that $T_{12}-\lambda$ is invertible. Since $T_{3}-\lambda$ is also invertible, it follows that $M$ is invertible. It is easy to show that $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)$.

We prove $N(T-\lambda)=N(T-\lambda)^{*}$. Since $N(T-\lambda) \subseteq N(T-\lambda)^{*}$ by Corollary 2.6 , it suffices to show that $N(T-\lambda)^{*} \subseteq N(T-\lambda)$. Let $x=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \in N(T-\lambda)^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =(T-\lambda)^{*} x=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)^{*} & 0 \\
T_{2}^{*} & \left(T_{3}-\lambda\right)^{*}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}} \\
& =\binom{\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)^{*} x_{1}}{T_{2}^{*} x_{1}+\left(T_{3}-\lambda\right)^{*} x_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $x_{1} \in N\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)^{*}=N\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)$. Since

$$
(T-\lambda)\binom{x_{1}}{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{1}-\lambda & T_{2} \\
0 & T_{3}-\lambda
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{1}}{0}=\binom{\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right) x_{1}}{0}=0
$$

we have

$$
0=(T-\lambda)^{*}\binom{x_{1}}{0}=\binom{\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right)^{*} x_{1}}{T_{2}^{*} x_{1}}
$$

by Corollary 2.6 , hence $T_{2}^{*} x_{1}=0$. This implies $\left(T_{3}-\lambda\right)^{*} x_{2}=0$ and $x_{2}=0$ because $T_{3}$ is nilpotent. Thus

$$
x=\binom{x_{1}}{0} \in N\left(T_{1}-\lambda\right) \oplus\{0\}=N(T-\lambda)
$$

Next, we show that $E$ is self-adjoint. Since $E$ is the Riesz idempotent of $T$ with respect to $\lambda$ and $T$ is a $k$-quasi- $*-n$-paranormal operator, $R(E)=N(T-\lambda)$ and $N(E)=R(T-\lambda)$. Since $N(T-\lambda) \subseteq N(T-\lambda)^{*}$ by Corollary 2.6 , then $N(T-\lambda)$ and $R(T-\lambda)$ are orthogonal. Hence $R(E)^{\perp}=N(E)$, and so $E$ is self-adjoint.

If $\lambda=0, \sigma\left(\left.T\right|_{R(E)}\right)=0$, then $\left(\left.T\right|_{R(E)}\right)^{k+1}=0$ by Lemma 3.1, hence $R(E)=$ $N\left(T^{k+1}\right)$.
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