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#### Abstract

Two new inequalities are proved for sector matrices. The first one complements a recent result in [Oper. Matrices, 8 (2014) 1143-1148]; the second one is an analogue of the AMGM inequality, where the geometric mean for two sector matrices was introduced in [Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015) 296-301]. As an application of the second inequality, we present similar inequalities for singular values or norms.


## 1. Introduction

By a sector, we mean a region on the complex plane

$$
S_{\alpha}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \Re z>0,|\Im z| \leqslant(\Re z) \tan \alpha\}, \quad \alpha \in[0, \pi / 2)
$$

The set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices is denoted by $\mathbb{M}_{n}$. Recall that the numerical range of an $n \times n$ matrix $M \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ is defined by

$$
W(M)=\left\{x^{*} M x: x \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, x^{*} x=1\right\} .
$$

Sector matrices is a class of matrices whose numerical ranges are contained in $S_{\alpha}$ (for some fixed $\alpha$ ), though the numerical range of a sector matrix may not be a sector. This class of matrices has been the subject of a number of recent papers $[3,4,5,6,8,9]$. We follow up the study by contributing some new inequalities.

Consider $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ partitioned as

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{11} & A_{12}  \tag{1}\\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right], \text { where } A_{22} \in \mathbb{M}_{q}, q \leqslant\lfloor n / 2\rfloor .
$$

Assume that $A_{11}$ is invertible, the Schur complement of $A_{11}$ in $A$ is defined as $A / A_{11}:=$ $A_{22}-A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}$. It is clear that $A$ is invertible whenever $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$. If $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$, then $W\left(A_{11}\right) \subset S_{\alpha}$, thus $A / A_{11}$ is well defined.

Our starting point is the following singular value inequality

[^0]THEOREM 1. [4, Theorem 1.1] Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ be partitioned as in (1) and $W(A) \subset$ $S_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j}\left(A / A_{11}\right) \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha) \sigma_{j}\left(A_{22}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, q \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{j}(\cdot)$ are the singular values, arranged in descending order.
For two Hermitian matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$, we write $A \geqslant B$ (or $B \leqslant A$ ) to mean that $A-B$ is positive semidefinite. The absolute value of $X$ is defined as $|X|=\left(X^{*} X\right)^{1 / 2}$. With this notation, (2) can be equivalently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A / A_{11}\right| \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha) U^{*}\left|A_{22}\right| U \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{M}_{q}$.

## 2. An inequality involving Schur complements

The real part (or the Hermitian part) of $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{R} A:=\frac{A+A^{*}}{2}$. We present the following result, which says that concerning the real parts of $A / A_{11}, A_{22}$, an analogue of (3) is valid without bringing in a unitary matrix.

THEOREM 2. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ be partitioned as in (1) and $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}\left(A / A_{11}\right) \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha) \Re A_{22} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22}\end{array}\right]$ is invertible, then we also partition $X^{-1}$ comformally as $X$ so that $\left(X^{-1}\right)_{22}$ means the $(2,2)$ block of $X^{-1}$. We need two simple lemmas. These lemmas should be well known to experts on matrix analysis, but I include proofs for the convenience of readers.

Lemma 1. If $X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22}\end{array}\right]$ is positive definite, then

$$
\left(X_{22}\right)^{-1} \leqslant\left(X^{-1}\right)_{22}
$$

Proof. Note that $\left(X^{-1}\right)_{22}=\left(X / X_{11}\right)^{-1}=\left(X_{22}-X_{21} X_{11}^{-1} X_{12}\right)^{-1} \geqslant\left(X_{22}\right)^{-1}$. A generalization of this lemma can be found in [7].

Lemma 2. If $X \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ has a positive definite real part, then

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(X^{-1}\right) \leqslant(\Re X)^{-1}
$$

Proof. Consider the Cartesian decomposition $X=Y+i Z$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(X^{-1}\right)=\left(Y+Z Y^{-1} Z\right)^{-1} \leqslant Y^{-1}=(\Re X)^{-1}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the Cartesian decomposition $A=B+i C$. The condition $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$ implies that $\pm C \leqslant \tan (\alpha) B$ and so

$$
\pm B^{-1 / 2} C B^{-1 / 2} \leqslant \tan (\alpha)
$$

This yields $\left(B^{-1 / 2} C B^{-1 / 2}\right)^{2} \leqslant \tan ^{2}(\alpha)$, i.e.,

$$
C B^{-1} C \leqslant \tan ^{2}(\alpha) B
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(C B^{-1} C\right)_{22} \leqslant \tan ^{2}(\alpha) B_{22} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\sec ^{2}(\alpha)=1+\tan ^{2}(\alpha)$, so (5) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2}(\alpha)\left(B+C B^{-1} C\right)_{22} \leqslant B_{22} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (6), we can find upper bounds for $\left(B_{22}\right)^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Re A_{22}\right)^{-1}=\left(B_{22}\right)^{-1} & \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha)\left(\left(B+C B^{-1} C\right)_{22}\right)^{-1} \\
& \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha)\left(\left(B+C B^{-1} C\right)^{-1}\right)_{22} \\
& =\sec ^{2}(\alpha)\left(\Re\left(A^{-1}\right)\right)_{22} \\
& =\sec ^{2}(\alpha) \Re\left(A^{-1}\right)_{22} \\
& =\sec ^{2}(\alpha) \Re\left(\left(A / A_{11}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha)\left(\Re\left(A / A_{11}\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

in which the second inequality is by Lemma 1 and the third inequality is by Lemma 2. Therefore, $\mathfrak{\Re}\left(A / A_{11}\right) \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha) \Re A_{22}$, as desired.

REmARK 1. Note that (4) can be written as

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(\tan ^{2}(\alpha) A_{22}+A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

On the other hand, if $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$, then $W\left(A A^{-1} A^{*}\right)=W\left(A^{*}\right) \subset S_{\alpha}$, which yields $W\left(A^{-1}\right) \subset S_{\alpha}$. As $\left(A / A_{11}\right)^{-1}$ is a principal submatrix of $A^{-1}$, we have $W\left(\left(A / A_{11}\right)^{-1}\right) \subset$ $S_{\alpha}$ and so $W\left(A / A_{11}\right) \subset S_{\alpha}$. In particular,

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(A_{22}-A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

However, under the assumption $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$, it is in general not true that

$$
\mathfrak{R}\left(A_{22}+A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{12}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

## 3. AM-GM inequalities

The geometric mean of two positive definite matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ is defined by

$$
A \sharp B:=B^{1 / 2}\left(B^{-1 / 2} A B^{-1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2} .
$$

It is easy to check that the geometric mean $A \sharp B$ is the unique positive definite solution to the Ricatti equation $X B^{-1} X=A$. For more information about matrix geometric mean, we refer to [1, Chapter 4].

Generalizing this, Drury [3] defined the geometric mean for two sector matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ via the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sharp B:=\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t A+t^{-1} B\right)^{-1} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we continue to use the standard notation $A \sharp B$ for the geometric mean.
Clearly, from (7), one observes that $A \sharp B=B \sharp A$ and that if $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$ and $W(B) \subset S_{\alpha}$, then $W(A \sharp B) \subset S_{\alpha}$. Though not obvious, one could verify that the geometric mean in (7) satisfies (see [3, Theorem 3.4])
(i) $A \sharp B=B^{1 / 2}\left(B^{-1 / 2} A B^{-1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} B^{1 / 2}$.
(ii) $A \sharp B$ is a solution to the Ricatti equation $X B^{-1} X=A$. Moreover, if a solution $X$ to the Ricatti equation $X B^{-1} X=A$ has positive definite real part, then $X=A \sharp B$ (see [3, Proposition 3.5]).

The following noncommutative AM-GM inequality is known for positive definite matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ (e.g. [1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sharp B \leqslant \frac{A+B}{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Is there an analogue for sector matrices? The first thought is whether it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}(A \sharp B) \leqslant \mathfrak{R} \frac{A+B}{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sector matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$. The answer is no as the following example shows
Example 1. Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
10 & 3+i \\
3+i & 2+4 i
\end{array}\right], B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2-4 i & -1-4 i \\
-1-4 i & 2-i
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is easy to verify that $A, B$ have positive definite real part. Using the Matlab, one computes that $\Re(A \sharp B)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}6.2830 & 2.0747 \\ 2.0747 & 3.2251\end{array}\right]$. However, in this case, $\operatorname{det}\left(\Re \frac{A+B}{2}-\Re(A \sharp B)\right)=$ $-0.8083<0$, violating (9).

The main result of this section is a correct extension of (8). We need a lemma, which can be regarded as a complement of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. If $X \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ with $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$, then

$$
\sec ^{2}(\alpha) \Re\left(X^{-1}\right) \geqslant(\Re X)^{-1}
$$

Proof. The inequality is implicit in the proof of [4, Theorem 3.1], we omit the details.

THEOREM 3. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ be such that $W(A), W(B) \subset S_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R}(A \sharp B) \leqslant \frac{\sec ^{2}(\alpha)}{2} \Re(A+B) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Re(A \sharp B) & =\Re\left(A^{-1} \sharp B^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\Re \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t A^{-1}+t^{-1} B^{-1}\right)^{-1} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Re\left(t A^{-1}+t^{-1} B^{-1}\right)^{-1} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t \Re A^{-1}+t^{-1} \Re B^{-1}\right)^{-1} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t(\Re A)^{-1}+t^{-1}(\Re B)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \frac{d t}{t} \\
& =\sec ^{2}(\alpha)\left((\Re A)^{-1} \sharp(\Re B)^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\sec ^{2}(\alpha)(\Re A) \sharp(\Re B) \\
& \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha)(\Re A+\Re B) \\
& =\sec ^{2}(\alpha) \Re(A+B),
\end{aligned}
$$

in which the first inequality is by Lemma 2 and the second inequality is by Lemma 3, respectively.

## 4. Applications

This section presents some implications of Theorem 3. For a Hermitian matrix $X \in \mathbb{M}_{n}, \lambda_{j}(X)$ means the $j$-th largest eigenvalue of $X$. We need an auxiliary result.

Lemma 4. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ be such that $W(A) \subset S_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{j}(\Re A) & \leqslant \sigma_{j}(A)  \tag{11}\\
& \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha) \lambda_{j}(\Re A), \quad j=1, \ldots, n \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The first inequality is due to Fan and Hoffman (see, [2, p. 73]), while the second one was recently proved in [4, Theorem 3.1].

THEOREM 4. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ be such that $W(A), W(B) \subset S_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j}(A \sharp B) \leqslant \frac{\sec ^{4}(\alpha)}{2} \sigma_{j}(A+B) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{j}(A \sharp B) & \leqslant \sec ^{2}(\alpha) \sigma_{j}(\Re(A \sharp B)) & \text { by (12) } \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sec ^{4}(\alpha)}{2} \sigma_{j}(\Re(A+B)) & \quad \text { by Theorem } 3 \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sec ^{4}(\alpha)}{2} \sigma_{j}(A+B), & \text { by }(11)
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
A norm on the algebra of $\mathbb{M}_{n}$ is unitarily invariant if $\|X\|=\|U X V\|$ for all unitaries $U$ and $V$ and all $X \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$.

THEOREM 5. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_{n}$ be such that $W(A), W(B) \subset S_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A \sharp B\| \leqslant \frac{\sec ^{3}(\alpha)}{2}\|A+B\| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any unitarily invariant norm.

Proof. The claimed result follows from the following chain of inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A \sharp B\| & \leqslant \sec (\alpha)\|\Re(A \sharp B)\| \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sec ^{3}(\alpha)}{2}\|\Re(A+B)\| \\
& \leqslant \frac{\sec ^{3}(\alpha)}{2}\|A+B\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The argument in each step is the same as in the proof of Theorem 4 except for the first inequality, where we used a result of Zhang [8, Eq.(6)].

We finish the paper by proposing the following open problem.
AN OPEN PROBLEM. What is the optimal $p$ in $\sec ^{p}(\alpha)$ that appears in (4), (10), (13) and (14), respectively?

Acknowledgements. The author thanks the referee for some comments that helped improve the presentation. The work was done when the author was a PIMS postdoctoral fellow at the University of Victoria.

## REFERENCES

[1] R. Bhatia, Positive Definite Matrices, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2007.
[2] R. Bhatia, Matrix Analysis, GTM 169, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
[3] S. DRURY, Principal powers of matrices with positive definite real part, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015) 296-301.
[4] S. Drury, M. Lin, Singular value inequalities for matrices with numerical ranges in a sector, Oper. Matrices, 8 (2014) 1143-1148.
[5] C.-K. Li, N. SZE, Determinantal and eigenvalue inequalities for matrices with numerical ranges in a sector, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 410 (2014) 487-491.
[6] M. Lin, Extension of a result of Haynsworth and Hartfiel, Arch. Math. 104 (2015) 93-100.
[7] X. ZHAN, Inequalities involving Hadamard products and unitarily invariant norms, Adv. in Math. (China) 27 (1998) 416-422.
[8] F. Zhang, A matrix decomposition and its applications, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015) 20332042.
[9] P. ZHANG, A further extension of Rotfel'd theorem, Linear Multilinear Algebra, 63 (2015), 2511-2517.
e-mail: m_lin@shu.edu.cn


[^0]:    Mathematics subject classification (2010): 15A45, 15A42, 47A30.
    Keywords and phrases: Inequality, singular value, unitarily invariant norm, geometric mean.

