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#### Abstract

The notion of Operator frame for the space $B(\mathscr{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ was introduced by Chun-Yan Li and Huai-Xin Cao [1] and the notion of $K-$ frame for an operator $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$ was introduced by L.Guvruta [10]. In this paper, we consider the fusion of the two concepts and introduce $K$-operator frame as a generalisation of both $K$ frame and operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ and obtain some results which are more general than the results proved in [1] and [10]. $K$-dual of a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ is also introduced. Further, we also study perturbation and stability for $K$-operator frames for $B(\mathscr{H})$.


## 1. Introduction

Frames for Hilbert spaces were formally introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer [5] who used frames as a tool in the study of non-harmonic Fourier series. Daubechies, Grossmann and Meyer [4] reintroduced frames and observed that frames can be used to find series expansions of functions in $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Frames are generalizations of orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces. Frames are more flexible tools to translate information than bases. Recall that a sequence $\left\{f_{k}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ is called a frame for $\mathscr{H}$ if there exists two positive constants $0<A \leqslant B<\infty$ such that

$$
A\|f\| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle f, f_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leqslant B\|f\|, \quad f \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

For more literature on frame theory, one may refer to [2]. Many generalization of frames for Hilbert spaces have been introduced and studied namely Wavelet Frames [2], Gabor Frames [2], $g$-frames [12], operator value frames [9], fusion frames [3] and operator frames [1]. The notions like $g$-frames, operator value frames, fusion frames and operator frames overlap with one another up to some extent. But their approach is independent in nature. Recently, $K$-frame in a Hilbert space is introduced by L. Gavruta [10] as a generalisation of the notion of frame in Hilbert spaces. $K$-frames were further studied in $[11,13,14]$. Operator frame for the space $B(\mathscr{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ was introduced by Chun-Yan Li and Huai-Xin Cao [1]. In this paper, we consider the fusion of the two concepts and introduce $K$-operator frame as a generalisation of operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. $K$-operator frames are more general than

[^0]operator frames in the sense that the lower frame bound holds only for the elements in the range of $K$, where $K$ is a bounded linear operator in a separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. We, also study perturbation and stability of $K$-operator frames for $B(\mathscr{H})$ and obtain a sufficient condition for the stability of $K$-operator frame under perturbation. Also, we consider finite sum of $K$-operator frames and obtained a sufficient condition for the finite sum to be a $K$-operator frame. Finally, we give a result related to the stability of the finite sum of $K$-operator frames.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of natural numbers, and $B(\mathscr{H})$ denotes the set of bounded linear operator on separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$.

Li and Cao [1] defined the notion of operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. They gave the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.1. A family of bounded linear operators $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ on Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ is said to be an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, if there exists positive constants $A, B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\|x\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\|x\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{H} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are called lower and upper bounds for the operator frame, respectively. An operator frame $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is said to be tight if $A=B$. It is called Parseval operator frame if $A=B=1$. If only upper inequality of (2.1) hold, then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is called an operator Bessel sequence for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

For a separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, define

$$
\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})=\left\{\left\{x_{i}\right\}: x_{i} \in \mathscr{H}, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}<\infty\right\} .
$$

Define an inner product on $\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})$ by

$$
\left\langle\left\{x_{i}\right\},\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right\rangle=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

Then $\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})$ is a Hilbert space with pointwise operations.
An operator $K$ defined on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ is said to be hyponormal if $\left\|K^{*} x\right\| \leqslant$ $\|K x\|$, for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$. Also, for two operator $S, K \in B(\mathscr{H})$, we say that $S$ majorizes $K$ if there exists $C>0$ such that $\|K x\| \leqslant C\|S x\|, x \in \mathscr{H}$.

The following terminology is given by Li and Cao [1].
Let $e$ be a unit vector in $\mathscr{H}$. For every $x \in \mathscr{H}$, define $T_{x}^{e} y=\langle y, x\rangle e$, for all $y \in \mathscr{H}$. Then $T_{x}^{e}$ is a bounded linear operator on $\mathscr{H}$ and $T_{x}^{e}$ is called operator response of $x$ with respect to $e$.

Next, we state a result by Douglas which is popularly known as Douglas' majorization theorem. This result will be used in the subsequent work.

Theorem 2.2. [6] Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $S, K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $R(K) \subseteq R(S)$.
2. $K K^{*} \leqslant \lambda^{2} S S^{*}$, for some $\lambda>0$.
3. $K=S Q$, for some $Q \in B(\mathscr{H})$.

The notion of $K$-frame for Hilbert spaces is introduced and studied by L. Gavruta [10] who gave the following definition.

Definition 2.3. [13] A sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ is called $K$-frame for $\mathscr{H}$, if there exist constants $A, B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left\|K^{*} x\right\| \leqslant \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left\langle x, x_{k}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leqslant B\|x\|^{2}, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $A, B$ as lower and upper frame bounds for the $K$-frame $\left\{x_{k}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$, respectively. If only the upper inequality of (2.2) is satisfied, then $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is called a Bessel sequence.

Gavruta [10] also proved the following results.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ and $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. Then following statements are equivalent:

1. $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ is an atomic system for $K$;
2. $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-frame for $\mathscr{H}$;
3. there exists a Bessel sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ such that

$$
K x=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x, g_{i}\right\rangle f_{i}, \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

We call the Bessel sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ as the $K$-dual frame of the $K$-frame $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$.

## 3. $K$-operator frames

We began this section with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. A family of bounded linear operators $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ on Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ is said to be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, if there exists positive constants $A, B>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\|x\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{H} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are called lower and upper bounds for the $K$-operator frame, respectively. A $K$-operator frame $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is said to be tight if there exists a constant $A>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}=A\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2}, \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{H} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is called Parseval $K$-operator frame if $A=1$ in (3.4). If only upper inequality of (3.3) holds, then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is called a $K$-operator Bessel sequence in $B(\mathscr{H})$. We call $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ an exact $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ if, it ceases to be a $K$-operator frame whenever any one of its element is removed. If $K=I$, then $K$-operator frame is an operator frame. Let $K, P \in B(\mathscr{H})$ such that $P K=I$. Then $P$ is called the left inverse of $K$ denoted by $K_{l}^{-1}$. If $K P=I$, then $P$ is called the right inverse of $K$ and we write $K_{r}^{-1}=P$. If $K P=P K=I$, then $K$ and $P$ are inverse of each other. We denote $F_{K}(\mathscr{H})$ for family of tight $K$-operator frames for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Define an operator $R: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})$ by

$$
R x=\left\{T_{i} x\right\}, \quad x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Then $R$ is a bounded linear operator called analysis operator of the $K$-operator frame $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$. The adjoint of the analysis operator $R, R^{*}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right): \ell^{2}(\mathscr{H}) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ is defined by

$$
R^{*}\left(\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_{i}^{*} x_{i}, \quad \forall\left\{x_{i}\right\} \in \ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})
$$

The operator $R^{*}$ is called the synthesis operator of $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$. By composing $R$ and $R^{*}$, the frame operator $S: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ for $K$-operator frame is given by

$$
S(x)=R^{*} R x=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_{i}^{*} T_{i} x
$$

Note that frame operator $S$, in general need not be invertible.
One may ask for the class of operators $K$ which can guarantee the existence of $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. The following two results answer this query.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bounds $A$ and $B$. Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ if $K$ is onto.

Proof. Since $K$ is onto, there exists $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\left\|K^{*} x\right\| \geqslant \gamma\|x\|, \quad x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Also, since $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, we have

$$
\gamma^{2} A\|x\|^{2} \leqslant A\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\|x\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bounds $\gamma^{2} A$ and $B$.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ and let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ if $K$ is hyponormal.

Proof. Straight forward.
The advantage of studying $K$-operator frames is that we can always construct a $K$ operator frame with the help of a sequence of operator which is not an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. This is evident from the following examples.

Example 3.4. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be an ONB for $\mathscr{H}$. Define $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ by

$$
T_{i} x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}, \text { if } i \text { is even } \\
\frac{1}{i}\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}, \text { if } i \text { is odd. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is not an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Let $K: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ be defined by $K x=$ $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x, e_{2 i}\right\rangle e_{2 i}, x \in \mathscr{H}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{2 i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{2 i}\right\rangle\right|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{(2 i-1)^{2}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{2 i-1}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\|x\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
Example 3.5. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be an ONB for $\mathscr{H}$. Define $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ by

$$
T_{i} x=\frac{1}{i}\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i} .
$$

Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is not an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Let $K: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ be defined by $K x=$ $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{i^{2}}\left\langle x, e_{2 i}\right\rangle e_{2 i}, x \in \mathscr{H}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{i^{4}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{2 i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& =\|x\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

Example 3.6. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be an ONB for $\mathscr{H}$. Define $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ by

$$
T_{i} x=\left\langle x, e_{i}+e_{i+1}\right\rangle\left(e_{i}+e_{i+1}\right), x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}=2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left\langle x, e_{i}+e_{i+1}\right\rangle\right|^{2}
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is an operator Bessel sequence in $B(\mathscr{H})$ but not an operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Let $K: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ be defined by $K x=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle\left(e_{i}+e_{i+1}\right), x \in \mathscr{H}$. Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

Now, we give an example of an operator Bessel sequence which is not a $K$-operator frame.

Example 3.7. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be an ONB for $\mathscr{H}$. Define $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ by

$$
T_{i} x=\frac{1}{i^{2}}\left\langle x, e_{2 i}\right\rangle e_{2 i}+\left\langle x, e_{2 i+1}\right\rangle e_{2 i+1}, \quad x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|x\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}, x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is an operator Bessel sequence in $B(\mathscr{H})$. Let $K: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ be defined by $K x=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x, e_{2 i}\right\rangle e_{2 i}, x \in \mathscr{H}$. Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is not a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

In the wake of the above examples, we have the following result.
THEOREM 3.8. For an operator Bessel sequence $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
2. There exists $A>0$ such that $S \geqslant A K K^{*}$, where $S$ is the frame operator for $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$.
3. $K=S^{1 / 2} Q$, for some $Q \in B(\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Note that $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bounds $A$ and $B$ and frame operator $S$ if and only if

$$
A\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\|x\|^{2}, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\left\langle A K K^{*} x, x\right\rangle \leqslant\langle S x, x\rangle \leqslant\langle B x, x\rangle, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Hence $S \geqslant A K K^{*}$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ Suppose there exists $A>0$ such that $A K K^{*} \leqslant S^{1 / 2} S^{1 / 2^{*}}$. This gives $\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant A^{-1}\left\|S^{1 / 2} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}$. Therefore $S^{1 / 2}$ majorizes $K^{*}$. Then, by Theorem 2.2, $K=S^{1 / 2} Q$, for some $Q \in B(\mathscr{H})$.
$(3) \Rightarrow$ (1) let $K=S^{1 / 2} Q$, for some $Q \in B(\mathscr{H})$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, $S^{1 / 2}$ majorizes $K^{*}$. Thus, there exists $A>0$ such that

$$
\left\|K^{*} x\right\| \leqslant A\left\|S^{1 / 2} x\right\|, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

This gives $K K^{*} \leqslant A^{2} S$. Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
Now, we take up the issue of construction of a $K_{1}$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ using a $K$-operator frame.

THEOREM 3.9. Let $Q \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Then $\left\{T_{i} Q\right\}$ is a $Q^{*} K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. Straight forward.

THEOREM 3.10. Let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ is a tight $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A_{1}$. Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a tight operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A_{2}$ if and only if $K_{r}^{-1}=\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} K^{*}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ be a $K$-tight operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A_{1}$. If $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a tight operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A_{2}$. Then

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}=A_{2}\|x\|^{2}, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

So, for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have $A_{1}\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2}=A_{2}\|x\|^{2}$. This gives

$$
\left\langle K K^{*} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}} x, x\right\rangle \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

Hence $K_{r}^{-1}=\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} K^{*}$. Conversely, suppose that $K_{r}^{-1}=\frac{A_{1}}{A_{2}} K^{*}$. Then $K K^{*}=\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}} I$. Thus

$$
\left\langle K K^{*} x, x\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}} x, x\right\rangle, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Since $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a tight $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, we have

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}=A_{2}\|x\|^{2}, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a tight operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

REMARK 3.11.

1. Let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. If $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-tight operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A$, then $\left\{T_{i}\left(K^{N}\right)^{*}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ is $K^{N+1}$-tight operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A$.
2. If $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a tight operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A$, then $\left\{T_{i} K^{*}\right\}$ is tight $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bound $A$.
3. Every operator $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$ has $K$-operator frame. Indeed, if $\left\{f_{k}\right\}$ is a frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with frame bounds $A$ and $B$, then $T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i}}$ is an operator frame. Define $T_{i}=T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i}} K^{*}$, then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bounds $A$ and B.

Next, we prove that if $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K_{1}$ as well as $K_{2}$-operator frame, then for scalars $\alpha$ and $\beta$, it is also a $\left(\alpha K_{1}+\beta K_{2}\right)$ and $K_{1} K_{2}$-operator frame.

THEOREM 3.12. Let $K_{1}, K_{2} \in B(\mathscr{H})$. If $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K_{1}$ as well as $K_{2}$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ and $\alpha, \beta$ are scalars, then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $\left(\alpha K_{1}+\beta K_{2}\right)$-operator frame and $K_{1} K_{2}$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K_{1}$ as well as $K_{2}$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Then there exists positive constants $0 \leqslant A_{p}<\infty$ and $0 \leqslant B_{p}<\infty(p=1,2)$ such that

$$
A_{p}\left\|K_{p}^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B_{p}\|x\|^{2}, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

This gives

$$
\frac{A_{1} A_{2}}{A_{2}|\alpha|^{2}+A_{1}|\beta|^{2}}\left\|\left(\alpha K_{1}+\beta K_{2}\right)^{*} f\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(\frac{B_{1}+B_{2}}{2}\right)\left\|x^{2}\right\|, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Therefore, $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $\left(\alpha K_{1}+\beta K_{2}\right)$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Also, for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(K_{1} K_{2}\right)^{*} x\right\|^{2}=\left\|K_{2}^{*} K_{1}^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|K_{2}^{*}\right\|^{2}\left\|K_{1}^{*} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Since $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K_{1}$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, we have

$$
\frac{A_{1}}{\left\|K_{2}^{*}\right\|^{2}}\left\|\left(K_{1} K_{2}\right)^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B_{1}\|x\|^{2}, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K_{1} K_{2}$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
Corollary 3.13. For any $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$, if a sequence of operators $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$ operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is an $\mathscr{A}$-operator frame for any operator $\mathscr{A}$ in the subalgebra generated by $K$.

Next, we show that $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$ is invariant under a linear homeomorphism, provided $K^{*}$ commutes with the inverse of a given homeomorphism. A relation between the best bounds of a given $K$-operator frame and the best bounds of $K$-operator frame obtained by the action of linear homeomorphism is given in the following theorem, which generalizes Corollary 1 in [7].

THEOREM 3.14. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with best frame bounds $A$ and B. If $Q: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ is a linear homeomorphism such that $Q^{-1}$ commutes with $K^{*}$, then $\left\{T_{i} Q\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with best frame bounds $C$ and $D$ satisfying the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{-2} \leqslant C \leqslant A\|Q\|^{2} ; \quad B\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{-2} \leqslant D \leqslant B\|Q\|^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $B$ is an upper bound for $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$, for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} Q x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\|Q\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}, \quad x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & =A\left\|K^{*} Q^{-1} Q x\right\|^{2} \\
& =A\left\|Q^{-1} K^{*} Q x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} Q x\right\|^{2}, \quad x \in \mathscr{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
A\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{-2}\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} Q x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\|Q\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Hence, $\left\{T_{i} Q\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with bounds $A\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{-2}$ and $B\|Q\|^{2}$.
Now let $C$ and $D$ be the best bounds of the $K$-operator frame $\left\{T_{i} Q\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{-2} \leqslant C \text { and } D \leqslant B\|Q\|^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $\left\{T_{i} Q\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bounds $C$ and $D$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & =\left\|Q Q^{-1} K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\|Q\|^{2}\left\|K^{*} Q^{-1} x\right\|^{2}, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\|Q\|^{-2}\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant C\left\|K^{*} Q^{-1} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} Q Q^{-1} x\right\|^{2}\left(=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant D\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{2}\|x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A$ and $B$ are the best bounds of $K$-operator frame $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\|Q\|^{-2} \leqslant A, \quad B \leqslant D\left\|Q^{-1}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the inequality (3.5) follows from (3.6) and (3.7).
The following result gives an interplay between a $K$-frame and $K$-operator frame. We omit the proof as it can worked out in few steps using the hypothesis.

THEOREM 3.15. Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence in $\mathscr{H}, K \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of standard unit vectors in $\mathscr{H}$. Then

1. $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-frame for $\mathscr{H}$ if and only if $\left\{T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i}}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
2. $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ is a tight $K$-frame for $\mathscr{H}$ if and only if $\left\{T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i}}\right\}$ is a tight $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

Motivating from Theorem 3.8 in [14], we define $K$-dual operator frame for $K$ operator frames.

DEFINITION 3.16. Let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$ and $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. An operator Bessel sequence $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ in $B(\mathscr{H})$ is called $K$-dual operator frame for $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ if

$$
K x=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_{i}^{*} R_{i} x, \forall x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

REMARK 3.17.

1. Every $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ has $K$-dual operator frame.
2. $K$-dual operator frame $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ is $K^{*}$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

THEOREM 3.18. Let $\left\{f_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H},\left\{\widetilde{f}_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of standard unit vectors in $\mathscr{H}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\left\{\tilde{f}_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-dual frame for $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$.
2. $\left\{T_{\tilde{f}_{i}}^{e_{i}}\right\}$ is a $K$-dual operator frame for $\left\{T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i}}\right\}$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. For any $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i} *} T_{\widetilde{f}_{i}}^{e_{i}} x & =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i} *}\left\langle x, \widetilde{f}_{i}\right\rangle e_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle\left\langle x, \widetilde{f}_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle f_{i} \\
& =K x
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i}}\right\}$ is a $K$-dual operator frame for $\left\{T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i}}\right\}$.
(2) $\Rightarrow(1)$. For any $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K x & =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i} *} T_{\widetilde{f}_{i}}^{e_{i}} x \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} T_{f_{i}}^{e_{i} *}\left\langle x, \widetilde{f}_{i}\right\rangle e_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle\left\langle x, \widetilde{f}_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle f_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x, \widetilde{f}_{i}\right\rangle f_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{\widetilde{f}_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-dual frame for $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$.

## 4. Perturbation of $K$-operator frames

The theory of perturbation is a very important tool in many area of applied mathematics. In this section, we consider perturbation of $K$-operator frames by non-zero operators. We begin with the following result that gives a sufficient condition for the perturbed sequence of type $\left\{T_{i}+c_{i} T_{0}\right\}$, where $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ is any sequence of scalars and $T_{0} \in B(\mathscr{H})$.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with bound $A$ and $B$. Let $T_{0} \neq 0$ be any element in $B(\mathscr{H})$ and $\left\{c_{i}\right\}$ be any sequence of scalars. Then, the perturbed sequence of operators $\left\{T_{i}+c_{i} T_{0}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ if

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}<\frac{A}{\left\|T_{0}\right\|}
$$

Proof. Let $R_{i}=T_{i}+c_{i} T_{0}, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x-R_{i} x\right\|^{2} & =\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|c_{i} T_{0} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}\left\|T_{0}\right\|^{2}\|x\|^{2} \\
& =R\|x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}\left\|T_{0}\right\|^{2}$. Therefore, $\left\{T_{i}+c_{i} T_{0}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ if $R<A$, that is, if

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}<\frac{A}{\left\|T_{0}\right\|^{2}}
$$

REMARK 4.2. The condition that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}<\frac{A}{\left\|T_{0}\right\|^{2}}$ in the Theorem 4.1 is not necessary. Indeed, let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of standard
unit vectors in $\mathscr{H}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define $T_{i} x=\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}, x \in \mathscr{H}$ and $K: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ by $K x=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}, x \in \mathscr{H}$. Then $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ is a tight $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$. Let $T_{0} x=\left\langle x, e_{1}\right\rangle e_{1}, c_{1}=2$ and $c_{i}=0, n \geqslant 2, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left\{T_{i}+c_{i} T_{0}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}=4$.

Next, we consider perturbation of the type $\left\{\alpha_{i} T_{i}-\beta_{i} R_{i}\right\}$, where $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ is a frame for $B(\mathscr{H}) ;\left\{R_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ is any sequence and $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\},\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}$ are two positively confined sequences and prove the following result in this direction.

THEOREM 4.3. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H}),\left\{R_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ be any sequence and let $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\},\left\{\beta_{i}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be any two positively confined sequences. If there exist constants $\lambda, \mu$ with $0 \leqslant \lambda, \mu<\frac{1}{2}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(\alpha_{i} T_{i}-\beta_{i} R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\mu \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

then $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
Proof. Suppose that for some constants $\lambda, \mu$ with $0 \leqslant \lambda, \mu<\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(\alpha_{i} T_{i}-\beta_{i} R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\mu \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

Then, for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant 2\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x-\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\mu \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
(1-2 \mu) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2(1+\lambda) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2}
$$

This gives

$$
(1-2 \mu)\left(\inf _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \beta_{i}\right)^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2(1+\lambda)\left(\sup _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \alpha_{i}\right)^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \frac{2(1+\lambda)\left(\sup _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \alpha_{i}\right)^{2}}{(1-2 \mu)\left(\inf _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \beta_{i}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}
$$

Also, for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant 2\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x-\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2\left(\lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\mu \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\beta_{i} R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right), \text { for all, } x \in \mathscr{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
(1-2 \lambda)\left(\inf _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \alpha_{i}\right)^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2(1+\mu)\left(\sup _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \beta_{i}\right)^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2} .
$$

This gives

$$
\frac{(1-2 \lambda)\left(\inf _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \alpha_{i}\right)^{2}}{2(1+\mu)\left(\sup _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \beta_{i}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \frac{2(1+\lambda)\left(\sup _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \alpha_{i}\right)^{2}}{(1-2 \mu)\left(\inf _{1 \leqslant i<\infty} \beta_{i}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}
$$

Hence, $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

## 5. Stability of $K$-operator frames

We begin this section with the following result.
THEOREM 5.1. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with frame bounds $A$ and B. Let $\left\{R_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ and $\alpha, R \geqslant 0$. If $0 \leqslant \alpha+\frac{R}{A}<1$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+R\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2}, \text { for all }, x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

Then $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame with frame bounds $A\left(1-\sqrt{\alpha+\frac{R}{A}}\right)^{2}$ and $B(1+$ $\sqrt{\left.\alpha+\frac{R\|K\|}{B}\right)^{2}}$.

Proof. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with frame bounds $A$ and $B$. Then for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\{T_{i} x\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})} & \leqslant\left\|\left\{\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})}+\left\|\left\{R_{i} x\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{\alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+R\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2}}+\sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{\alpha \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\frac{R}{A} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}}+\sqrt{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
A\left(1-\sqrt{\alpha+\frac{R}{A}}\right)^{2}\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left\{R_{i} x\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})} & \leqslant\left\|\left\{\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})}+\left\|\left\{T_{i} x\right\}\right\|_{\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{B}\left(\alpha+\frac{R\|K\|}{B}\right)\|x\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we get

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant B\left(1+\sqrt{\alpha+\frac{R\|K\|}{B}}\right)^{2}\|x\|^{2}
$$

Hence $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$.
Corollary 5.2. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $\mathscr{H}$ with frame bounds $A$ and $B$. Let $\left\{R_{i}\right\} \subset \mathscr{H}$. If there is an $R$ with $0<R<A$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant R\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2}, \text { for all }, x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Then $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame with frame bounds $A\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{R}{A}}\right)^{2}$ and $B\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{R}{B}}\|K\|\right)^{2}$.
Proof. Follows in view of Theorem 5.1 with $\alpha=0$.
Next, we give a sufficient condition for the stability of a $K$-operator frame.
THEOREM 5.3. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ with frame bounds $A_{1}$ and $B_{1}$. Then a sequence $\left\{R_{i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$ if there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant M \min \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right), x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

Proof. For each $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant 2\left(\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(M \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2(M+1) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant 2\left(\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2(M+1) B\|x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\frac{A}{2(M+1)}\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant 2(M+1) B\|x\|^{2}
$$

Hence $\left\{R_{i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

REMARK 5.4. Converse part of Theorem 5.3 is valid for any co-isometry $K \in$ $B(\mathscr{H})$. Indeed, for any $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant 2\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+B_{2}\|x\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\frac{B_{2}}{A_{1}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(1+\frac{B_{2}}{A_{1}}\right) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{B_{1}}{A_{2}}\right) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(T_{i}-R_{i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant M \min \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{i} x\right\|^{2}, \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|R_{i} x\right\|^{2}\right), \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

Next, we consider the sum of $K$-operator frames for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Let $\left\{T_{n, i}\right\}, n=$ $1,2, \ldots, k$ be $K$-operator frames for $B(\mathscr{H})$. Consider the sequence $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{n, i}\right\}$ obtained by taking the sum of these $K$-operator frames. We observe that this sequence $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{n, i}\right\}$ may not be a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$. In this direction, we give the following examples:

Example 5.5. Let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. Let $\left\{T_{n, i}\right\}, n=1,2, \ldots, k$ be $K$-operator frames for $B(\mathscr{H})$. If for some $1 \leqslant p \leqslant k$,

$$
T_{n, i} x=T_{p, i} x, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}, n=1,2, \ldots, k \text { and } i \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Then $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{n, i} x\right\}=\left\{k T_{p, i} x\right\}, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} T_{n, i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

EXAMPLE 5.6. Let $\left\{T_{1, i}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{2, i}\right\}$ be two $K$-operator frame such that

$$
T_{1, i} x=-T_{2, i} x, \text { for all } x \in \mathscr{H}, n=1,2, \ldots, k \text { and } i \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Let $K: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}$ be defined by $K x=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\langle x, e_{i}\right\rangle e_{i}$, for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$. Since $\left\|K^{*}\left(e_{1}\right)\right\|^{2}=$ 1 and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{2} T_{n, i} e_{i}\right\|^{2}=0,\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{2} T_{n, i}\right\}$ is not a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

In the view of the above examples, we give a sufficient condition for the finite sum of $K$-operator frame to be a $K$-operator frame.

THEOREM 5.7. Let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. For $n=1,2, \ldots, k$, let $\left\{T_{n, i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ be $K$ operator frames for $B(\mathscr{H})$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{k}$ be any scalars. Then $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_{n} T_{n, i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$, if there exists $\beta>0$ and some $p \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{p, i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_{n} T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each $1 \leqslant p \leqslant k$, let $A_{p}$ and $B_{p}$ be the bounds of the $K$-operator frame $\left\{T_{p, i}\right\}$. Let $\beta>0$ be a constant satisfying (*). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{p} \beta\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant \beta \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{p, i} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_{n} T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_{n} T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} k\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left\|\alpha_{i} T_{n, i}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant k\left(\max \left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant k\left(\max \left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} B_{i}\right)\|x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} \alpha_{n} T_{n, i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
Finally, we prove the following result related to finite sum of $K$-operator frames.

THEOREM 5.8. Let $K \in B(\mathscr{H})$. For each $n \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$, let $\left\{T_{n, i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ be $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H}),\left\{R_{n, i}\right\} \subset B(\mathscr{H})$ be any sequence. Let $Q: \ell^{2}(\mathscr{H}) \rightarrow$ $\ell^{2}(\mathscr{H})$ be a bounded linear operator such that $Q\left(\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n, i}(x)\right\}\right)=\left\{T_{p, i}(x)\right\}$, for some $p \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. If there exists a non-negative constant $\lambda$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(T_{n, i}-R_{n, i}\right) x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}, n=1,2, \ldots, k
$$

Then $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n, i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.

Proof. For any $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n, i} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant 2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left\|\left(T_{n, i}-R_{n, i}\right) x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{k}\left\|T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 k \sum_{n=1}^{k}\left(\lambda \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 k(1+\lambda)\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_{i}\right)\|x\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, for each $x \in \mathscr{H}$, we have

$$
\left\|Q\left(\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n, i} x\right\}\right)\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{p, i} x\right\|^{2}
$$

Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{p}\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} & \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|T_{p, i} x\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\|Q\|^{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{p}$ is a lower bound of the $K$-operator frame $\left\{T_{p, n}\right\}$. This gives

$$
\frac{A_{p}}{\|Q\|^{2}}\left\|K^{*} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n, i} x\right\|^{2}, x \in \mathscr{H}
$$

Hence $\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{k} R_{n, i}\right\}$ is a $K$-operator frame for $B(\mathscr{H})$.
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