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#### Abstract

In this paper we generalized two important results of B. P. Duggal [4, Theorem 2.1 and 2.6], and other results are also given. If $B(\mathscr{X})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Banach space $\mathscr{X}$ and $J(\mathscr{X})=\left\{x \in B(\mathscr{X}): x=x_{1}+i x_{2}\right.$, where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are hermitian $\}$, two results of orthogonality in the sense of Birkhoff are shown $\|a+b\| \leqslant\left\|a+b-\left[x^{*}, x\right]\right\|$ and $\|a b\| \leqslant\left\|a b-\left[x x^{*}, x^{*} x\right]\right\|$ for all $x \in J(\mathscr{X}) \cap \delta_{a, b}^{-1}(0)$. As application of our first result the William's theorem "Any hermitian element is finite element" is also established with a shorter and simpler proof.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a separable infinite dimensional complex Banach space, and $B(\mathscr{X})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{X}$. In general we define the generalized derivation on $B(\mathscr{X})$ by $\delta_{a, b} x=a x-x b$, the particular case $\delta_{a} x=\delta_{a, a} x=$ $a x-x a$ is the internal derivation induced by $a \in B(\mathscr{X})$, we define also the elementary operator $\Delta_{a, b} x=a x b-x$ for any $a, b$ and $x$ in $B(\mathscr{X})$.

Evidently if $a$ and $b$ are two elements in $B(\mathscr{X})$ such that $a=a_{1}+i a_{2}, b=$ $b_{1}+i b_{2}$ then $\delta_{a, b}=\delta_{a_{1}, b_{1}}+i \delta_{a_{2}, b_{2}}$.

One consider $J(\mathscr{X})$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators $x$ which has the complex representation $x=x_{1}+i x_{2}$, where $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ are hermitian, it's well to recal that $h \in B(\mathscr{X})$ is hermitian if the algebra numerical range

$$
V(B(\mathscr{X}), h)=\left\{f(h): f \in B(\mathscr{X})^{*}, f(I)=1=\|f\|\right\}
$$

is a subset of the set of reals [Bonsall 3, page 8].
It's easy to prove that each $x \in J(\mathscr{X})$ has a unique complex representation.
We may define also the continuous linear involution on $J(\mathscr{X})$ the mapping

$$
x \longrightarrow x^{*} \text { by } x^{*}=x_{1}-i x_{2}, \forall x \in J(\mathscr{X}) \text { where } x=x_{1}+i x_{2} .
$$

Our main results in this paper are two inequalities which give us the notion of orthogonality in sense of Birkhoff

$$
\|a+b\| \leqslant\left\|a+b-\left[x^{*}, x\right]\right\|
$$
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and

$$
\|a b\| \leqslant\left\|a b-\left[x x^{*}, x^{*} x\right]\right\|,
$$

forall $x \in J(\mathscr{X}) \cap \delta_{a, b}^{-1}(0)$, where $[x, y]=x y-y x, \forall x, y \in B(\mathscr{X})$.
Orthogonality in the sense of Birkhoff is defined as follows $x$ is orthogonal to $y$ in a complex Banach space $\mathscr{X}$ if for all complex $\lambda$ there holds

$$
\|x\| \leqslant\|x+\lambda y\|
$$

this definition has a natural geometric interpretation. Namely, $x$ is orthogonal to $y$ if and only if the complex line $\{x+\lambda y ; \lambda$ is a complex number $\}$ is disjoint with the open ball $K(0,\|x\|)$, i.e. if and only if this complex line is a tangent line to $K(0,\|x\|)$. Note that if $x$ is orthogonal to $y$, then $y$ need not be orthogonal to $x$. If $\mathscr{X}$ is a Hilbert space, then $\|x\| \leqslant\|x+\lambda y\|$, implies that $\langle x, y\rangle=0$, i.e. orthogonality in the usual sense.

A simple application of the first result gives us a very nice, simpler and shorter proof of the William's theorem "Any hermitian element in $B(\mathscr{X})$ is finite element", and in the theorem 3.5 we give a new invertibility criterion for the elements of the range of generalized derivation, which gives us a very good applications of our results.

## 2. Preliminaries

THEOREM 2.1. (12, Corollary 8) Let $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of commuting normal operators on a complex Banach space $\mathscr{X}$. Then

$$
\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} N\left(T_{k}\right)\right) \perp \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R\left(T_{k}\right)} .
$$

If the space $\mathscr{X}$ is reflexive, then

$$
\mathscr{X}=\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} N\left(T_{k}\right)\right) \oplus^{\perp} \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R\left(T_{k}\right)}
$$

where $N\left(T_{k}\right)$ and $R\left(T_{k}\right)$ are respectively the kernel and range of $T_{k}$.
THEOREM 2.2. (B. P. Duggal 4, Th 2.1) If $J(\mathscr{X})$ is an algebra and $\delta_{a}^{-1}(0) \subseteq$ $\delta_{a^{*}}^{-1}(0)$ for some $a \in J(\mathscr{X})$, then $\|a\| \leqslant\left\|a-\left[x^{*}, x\right]\right\|$, for all $x \in J(\mathscr{X}) \cap \delta_{a}^{-1}(0)$.

THEOREM 2.3. (B. P. Duggal 4, Th 2.6) Assume that $\triangle_{a}^{-1}(0) \subseteq \triangle_{a^{*}}^{-1}(0)$. If $a \in$ $B(H)\left(\right.$ resp. $a \in \mathscr{C}_{p}$ the Schatten $p$-classes), then $\|a\| \leqslant\left\|a-\left[|x|,\left|x^{*}\right|\right]\right\|$ for all $x \in$ $B(H) \cap \triangle_{a}^{-1}(0)\left(\right.$ resp. $\|a\|_{p} \leqslant\left\|a-\left[|x|,\left|x^{*}\right|\right]\right\|_{p}$ for all $\left.x \in \mathscr{C}_{p} \cap \triangle_{a}^{-1}(0)\right)$.

## 3. Main results

Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a complex Banach space, $B(\mathscr{X})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{X}, a, b \in B(\mathscr{X})$, and $\{a\}^{\prime},\{b\}^{\prime}$ the commutant of $a$, and $b$ respectively.

$$
\{a\}^{\prime}=\{x \in B(\mathscr{X}): a x=x a\}
$$

and

$$
\{b\}^{\prime}=\{x \in B(\mathscr{X}): b x=x b\} .
$$

THEOREM 3.1. If $J(\mathscr{X})$ is a sub algebra of $B(\mathscr{X})$ and if
(i) $\{a, b\} \subseteq J(\mathscr{X})$,
(ii) $\delta_{a, b}^{-1}(0) \subseteq \delta_{a^{*}, b^{*}}^{-1}(0)$,
(iii) $x \in J(\mathscr{X}) \cap \delta_{a, b}^{-1}(0) \cap \delta_{a}^{-1}(0) \cap \delta_{b}^{-1}(0)$,
then
(iv) $\|a+b\| \leqslant\left\|a+b-\left[x^{*}, x\right]\right\|$.

REMARK 3.2. The result (iv) also holds if the condition (iii) is replaced by (iii)' $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \delta_{(b-a),(a-b)}^{-1}(0)$, where $x=x_{1}+i x_{2}$.

Proof. Let $x \in J(\mathscr{X}) \cap \delta_{a, b}^{-1}(0)$, then $\delta_{a^{*}, b^{*}}(x)=\delta_{a, b}^{*}(x)=0$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{a, b}(x)=0 & \Longleftrightarrow(a+b) x-x(a+b)+x a-b x=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \delta_{x}(a+b)+\delta_{b, a}(x)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{x_{1}}(a+b)+i \delta_{x_{2}}(a+b)+\delta_{b, a}(x)=0  \tag{3.1}\\
& \delta_{a, b}^{*}(x)=0 \Longleftrightarrow a^{*} x-x b^{*}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a x^{*}-x^{*} b=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow-\left(b x^{*}-x^{*} a\right)=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \delta_{a, b}\left(x^{*}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

hence by (3.1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{a, b}^{*}(x)=0 & \Longleftrightarrow \delta_{x^{*}}(a+b)+\delta_{a, b}\left(x^{*}\right)=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \delta_{x_{1}}(a+b)-i \delta_{x_{2}}(a+b)+\delta_{a, b}\left(x^{*}\right)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

If $x \in \delta_{a}^{-1}(0) \cap \delta_{b}^{-1}(0)$ then $\delta_{b, a}(x)=\delta_{a, b}\left(x^{*}\right)=0$, hence (3.1) and (3.2) become

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta_{x_{1}}(a+b)+i \delta_{x_{2}}(a+b)=0 \\
\delta_{x_{1}}(a+b)-i \delta_{x_{2}}(a+b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

i.e. $\delta_{x_{1}}(a+b)=\delta_{x_{2}}(a+b)=0$.

It follows by [11, corollary 8] that

$$
\|a+b\| \leqslant \min \left\{\left\|a+b-\delta_{x_{1}}(y)\right\|,\left\|a+b-\delta_{x_{2}}(y)\right\|\right\}
$$

for all $y \in J(\mathscr{X})$. By choosing $y=2 i x_{2}$ in $\delta_{x_{1}}(y)$ we have $\delta_{x_{1}}(y)=\left[x^{*}, x\right]$, then

$$
\|a+b\| \leqslant\left\|a+b-\left[x^{*}, x\right]\right\| .
$$

If the condition (iii) is replaced by (iii)' i.e $x_{1}, x_{2} \in \delta_{(b-a),(a-b)}^{-1}(0)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{b, a}(x)+\delta_{a, b}\left(x^{*}\right) & =\delta_{b, a}\left(x_{1}\right)+\delta_{a, b}\left(x_{1}\right)+i\left[\delta_{b, a}\left(x_{2}\right)-\delta_{a, b}\left(x_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =b x_{1}-x_{1} a+a x_{1}-x_{1} b+i\left[b x_{2}-x_{2} a-a x_{2}+x_{2} b\right] \\
& =(a+b) x_{1}-x_{1}(a+b)+i\left[(b-a) x_{2}-x_{2}(a-b)\right] \\
& =-\delta_{x_{1}}(a+b)+i \delta_{(b-a),(a-b)}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& =-\delta_{x_{1}}(a+b),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{b, a}(x)-\delta_{a, b}\left(x^{*}\right) & =\delta_{(b-a),(a-b)}\left(x_{1}\right)-i \delta_{x_{2}}(a+b) \\
& =-i \delta_{x_{2}}(a+b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (1) + (2) and (1) - (2) give

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta_{x_{1}}(a+b)=0 \\
\delta_{x_{2}}(a+b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
\|a+b\| \leqslant\left\|a+b-\left[x^{*}, x\right]\right\| .
$$

COROLLARY 3.3. For $a=b=\frac{1}{2} e$ where $e$ is the identity we have

$$
\left\|\left[x^{*}, x\right]-e\right\| \geqslant 1 \text { for all } x \in J(\mathscr{X})
$$

and precisely for all $x, y \in J(\mathscr{X})\left(x=x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)$

$$
1 \leqslant \min \left\{\left\|e-\delta_{x_{1}}(y)\right\|,\left\|e-\delta_{x_{2}}(y)\right\|\right\} .
$$

It result that if $h$ is a hermitian element of $J(\mathscr{X})$, then

$$
\|[h, g]-e\| \geqslant 1
$$

for all $g \in J(\mathscr{X})$.
REMARK 3.4. The last corollary give a shorter and simpler proof of William's result: Any hermitian element is finite element in the William's sense.

Lemma 3.5. (Bonsall and Duncan [3]) If $E$ is a complex banach algebra, then $L \in B(E)$ is hermitian if and only if $\left\|e^{i t L}\right\| \leqslant 1$.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $a, b \in J(\mathscr{X})$, where $J(\mathscr{X})$ is a multiplicative sub algebra of $B(\mathscr{X})$, if $\triangle_{a, b}^{-1}(0) \subseteq \triangle_{a^{*}, b^{*}}^{-1}(0)$ then, for all $x \in \triangle_{a, b}^{-1}(0)$ such that $x$ commutes with $a$ and $b$, we have

$$
\|a b\| \leqslant \min \left\{\left\|a b-\left[x^{*} x, x x^{*}\right]\right\|,\left\|a b+\left[x^{*} x, x x^{*}\right]\right\|\right\} .
$$

Proof. Let $x \in \triangle_{a, b}^{-1}(0)$, then $a x b=x$ and $a^{*} x b^{*}=x$, i.e. $a x^{*} b=x^{*}$, hence

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{*} x=a^{*} x b^{*} a x b=a b x^{*} x a b \\
x x^{*}=a x b a^{*} x b^{*}=a b x x^{*} a b
\end{array}\right.
$$

i.e. $x^{*} x, x x^{*} \in \triangle_{a b}^{-1}(0)$, by appliying [4, theorem 2.6] we have

$$
\|a b\| \leqslant\left\|a b-\left[x^{*} x, x x^{*}\right]\right\|
$$

and

$$
\|a b\| \leqslant\left\|a b-\left[x x^{*}, x^{*} x\right]\right\|
$$

then

$$
\|a b\| \leqslant \min \left\{\left\|a b-\left[x^{*} x, x x^{*}\right]\right\|,\left\|a b+\left[x^{*} x, x x^{*}\right]\right\|\right\}
$$

If $\mathscr{X}$ be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, $G L(\mathscr{X})$ denote the set of all invertible elements in $B(\mathscr{X})$, we have the nice result.

THEOREM 3.7. Let $a, b \in B(\mathscr{X})$, then the following statements are equivalent
(i) The equation $a x-x b=e$, where $e$ is the identity of $B(\mathscr{X})$, admits a solution (i.e. $e \in R\left(\delta_{a, b}\right)$ ).
(ii) There exists an invertible operator $w$ in $R\left(\delta_{a, b}\right)$ commutes with $a$ or $b$.
(iii) $R\left(\delta_{a, b}\right) \supset G L(\mathscr{X}) \cap\left[\{a\}^{\prime} \cup\{b\}^{\prime}\right]$.

Proof. (iii) $\Longrightarrow(i i)$ is evident since $G L(\mathscr{X}) \cap\left[\{a\}^{\prime} \cup\{b\}^{\prime}\right] \neq \varnothing$, because $e \in$ $G L(\mathscr{X}) \cap\left[\{a\}^{\prime} \cup\{b\}^{\prime}\right]$.
$(i i) \Longrightarrow(i)$ Let $w \in G L(\mathscr{X}) \cap\left[\{a\}^{\prime} \cup\{b\}^{\prime}\right]$ and $x \in B(\mathscr{X})$ such that $a x-x b=w$. Suppose that $w \in\{a\}^{\prime}$ and let $y=w^{-1} x$, then

$$
a y-y b=a w^{-1} x-w^{-1} x b=w^{-1}(a x-x b)=w^{-1} w=e
$$

$(i) \Longrightarrow($ iii $)$ Let $x \in B(\mathscr{X})$ such that $a x-x b=e$ and let $w \in G L(\mathscr{X}) \cap\left[\{A\}^{\prime} \cup\{B\}^{\prime}\right]$, suppose that $w \in\{b\}^{\prime}$.

Let $y=x w$, then

$$
a y-y b=a x w-x w b=(a x-x b) w=w
$$

hence $w \in R\left(\delta_{a, b}\right)$.
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