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#### Abstract

We introduce S-modules, which generalizes the notion of Krein $C^{*}$-modules and where a fixed unitary replaces the symmetry of Krein $C^{*}$-modules. The representation theory on Smodules is explored and for a given $*$-automorphism $\alpha$ on a $C^{*}$-algebra the KSGNS construction for $\alpha$-completely positive maps is illustrated. An extention of this construction for $\tau$-maps is also achieved, when $\tau$ is an $\alpha$-completely positive map. We prove decomposition theorems for $\alpha$-CPD-kernels and $\mathfrak{K}$-families.


## 1. Introduction

A symmetry on a Hilbert space is a bounded operator $J$ such that $J=J^{*}=J^{-1}$. A Hilbert space along with a symmetry, forms a Krein space where the symmetry induces an indefinite inner-product on the space. Dirac and Pauli were among the pioneers to explore the quantum field theory using Krein spaces.

In quantum field theory one encounters Wightman functionals which are positive linear functionals on a Borchers algebra (cf. [8]). In the massless or the guage quantum field theory, Strocchi showed that, both the locality and the positivity cannot be assumed together in a model. The axiomatic field theory motivates theoretical physicists to keep the locality assumption and sacrifice the positivity by considering indefinite inner products (cf. [7]), and more specifically Krein spaces, in the gauge field theory. In this context Jakobczyk defined the $\alpha$-positivity, where $\alpha$ is a $*$-automorphism of a Borchers algebra, in [12] and derived a reconstruction theorem for Strocchi-Wightman states.

DEFINITION 1. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a $*$-subalgebra of a unital $*$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ containing the unit. Assume $P: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$ to be a conditional expectation (i.e. $P$ is a linear map preserving the unit and the involution, such that $P\left(b a b^{\prime}\right)=b P(a) b^{\prime}$ for each $a \in \mathscr{A}$; $b, b^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B}$ ). A Hermitian linear functional $\tau$ defined on $\mathscr{A}$ is called a $P$-functional (cf. [2]) if the following holds:
(i) $\tau \circ P=\tau$,
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(ii) $2 \tau\left(P(a)^{*} P(a)\right) \geqslant \tau\left(a^{*} a\right)$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$.

If we define a linear mapping $\alpha: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ by $\alpha(a)=2 P(a)-a$ for each $a \in \mathscr{A}$, then the $P$-functional $\tau$ satisfies

$$
\tau\left(\alpha(a) \alpha\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)=\tau\left(a a^{\prime}\right) \text { and } \tau\left(\alpha(a)^{*} a\right) \geqslant 0 \text { for all } a, a^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A} .
$$

Thus $P$-functionals generalize $\alpha$-positivity. The Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (GNS) construction for states, is a fundamental result in operator theory, which illustrates how using a state on a $C^{*}$-algebra we can obtain a cyclic representation of that $C^{*}$-algebra on a Hilbert space. Antoine and Ota [2] proved that using $P$-functionals one can obtain unbounded GNS representations of a $*$-algebra on a Krein space.

The completely positive maps are crucial to the study of the classification of $C^{*}$ algebras, the classification of $E_{0}$-semigroups, etc. The Stinespring theorem characterizes completely positive maps, and if we consider the special case where the completely positive maps are states, then for them the Stinespring theorem gives the GNS construction. Motivated by $\alpha$-positivity and $P$-functional, J. Heo et al. introduced the concept of $\alpha$-completely positive maps in [10], where $\alpha$ is a bounded Hermitian map from a $C^{*}$-algebra to itself such that $\alpha^{2}=i d$ (i.e., order of $\alpha$ is 2 ), and did a KSGNS type construction on certain module called the Krein $C^{*}$-module for any $\alpha$-completely positive map. U. C. Ji et al. did a KSGNS construction in [13] for $\alpha$-completely positive maps where $\alpha$ is a $*$-automorphism on a $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\alpha^{2}=i d$. We extend this study of $\alpha$-completely positive maps for any $*$-automorphism $\alpha$, not necessarily of order 2, and obtain a KSGNS type construction on a bigger class of modules called $S$-modules. To illustrate KSGNS construction we first need to recall some notions:

Definition 2. Let $E$ and $F$ be Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-modules over a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$. For a given map $S: E \rightarrow F$ if there exists a map $S^{\prime}: F \rightarrow E$ such that

$$
\langle S(x), y\rangle=\left\langle x, S^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle \text { for all } x \in E, y \in F
$$

then $S^{\prime}$ is unique for $S$, and we say $S$ is adjointable and denote $S^{\prime}$ by $S^{*}$. Every adjointable map $S: E \rightarrow F$ is right $\mathscr{A}$-linear, i.e., $S(x a)=S(x) a$ for all $x \in E, a \in \mathscr{A}$. The symbol $\mathscr{B}^{a}(E, F)$ denotes the collection of all adjointable maps from $E$ to $F$. We use $\mathscr{B}^{a}(E)$ for $\mathscr{B}^{a}(E, E)$. The strict topology on $\mathscr{B}^{a}(E)$ is the topology induced by the seminorms $a \mapsto\|a x\|, a \mapsto\left\|a^{*} y\right\|$ for each $x, y \in E$.

Kasparov obtained the following theorem, called Kasparov-Stinespring-Gelfand-Naimark-Segal (KSGNS) construction (cf. [14]), which is a dilation theorem for strictly continuous completely positive maps:

Theorem 1. Let $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ be $C^{*}$-algebras. Assume $E$ to be a Hilbert $\mathscr{C}$ module and $\tau: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}(E)$ to be a strictly continuous completely positive map. Then there is a Hilbert $\mathscr{C}$-module $F$ with a nondegenerate $*$-homomorphism $\pi: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow$ $\mathscr{B}^{a}(F)$ and $V \in \mathscr{B}^{a}(E, F)$ such that $\overline{\operatorname{span}} \pi(\mathscr{B}) V E=F$ and

$$
\tau(b)=V^{*} \pi(b) V \text { for all } b \in \mathscr{B}
$$

Szafraniec [25] obtained a dilation theorem, which extends the Sz-Nagy's principal theorem [19], for certain $C^{*}$-algebra valued positive definite functions defined on $*$-semigroups. The KSGNS construction is a special case of Szafraniec's dilation theorem.

Let $(E,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and let $J$ be a fundamental symmetry on $E$, i.e., $J$ is an invertible adjointable map on $E$ such that $J=J^{*}=J^{-1}$. Define an $\mathscr{A}$-valued indefinite inner product on $E$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y]:=\langle J x, y\rangle \text { for all } x, y \in E . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we say $(E, \mathscr{A}, J)$ is a Krein $\mathscr{A}$-module or Krein $C^{*}$-module over $\mathscr{A}$. If $\mathscr{A}=\mathbb{C}$, then $(E, \mathbb{C}, J)$ is a Krein space and in addition if $J$ is the identity operator, then it becomes a Hilbert space. In the definition of Krein spaces if we replace the symmetry $J$ by a unitary, then we get $S$-spaces. The two sided shift is unitary and therefore it is normal. Szafraniec introduced the notion of S-spaces in [24] and proved that the closure of the two-sided weighted shift is $S$-normal. Phillipp, Szafraniec and Trunk [18] investigated invariant subspaces of selfadjoint operators in Krein spaces by using results obtained through a detailed analysis of S-spaces. We introduce the notion of S-modules below:

Definition 3. Let $(E,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a Hilbert $C^{*}$-module over a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$ and let $U$ be a unitary on $E$, i.e., $U$ is an invertible adjointable map from $E$ to $E$ such that $U^{*}=U^{-1}$. Then we can define an $\mathscr{A}$-valued sesquilinear form by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y]:=\langle x, U y\rangle \text { for all } x, y \in E . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case we say $(E, \mathscr{A}, U)$ is an $S$-module.
If $U=I$, then $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ coincide for the S -module $(E, \mathscr{A}, U)$. In the case when $U=U^{*}$, the S -module $(E, \mathscr{A}, U)$ forms a Krein $\mathscr{A}$-module. The following is the definition of an $\alpha$-completely positive map which will play an important role in this article:

Definition 4. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a unital $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ be a $*$-automorphism, i.e., $\alpha$ is a unital bijective $*$-homomorphism. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $E$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{B}$-module. If $(E, \mathscr{B}, U)$ is an S-module, then a map $\tau: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}(E)$ is called $\alpha$-completely positive (or $\alpha-C P$ ) if it is a $*$-preserving map such that
(i) $\tau(\alpha(a))=U^{*} \tau(a) U=\tau(a)$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$;
(ii) $\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}\right) x_{j}\right\rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $n \geqslant 1 ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E$;
(iii) for any $a \in \mathscr{A}$, there is $M(a)>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*} a^{*}\right) a a_{j}\right) x_{j}\right\rangle \leqslant M(a) \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}\right) x_{j}\right\rangle
$$

for all $n \geqslant 1 ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathscr{A}$.

For an $\alpha$-CP map we define below certain maps associated to them:
Definition 5. Let $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras, $E$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module and $\alpha: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ be a $*$-automorphism. Let $\left(E_{1}, \mathscr{B}, U_{1}\right)$ and $\left(E_{2}, \mathscr{B}, U_{2}\right)$ be $S$-modules and $\tau: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}\right)$ be an $\alpha$-CP map. A map $T: E \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ is called a $(\alpha$ completely positive) $\tau$-map if

$$
T(x)^{*} T(y)=\tau(\langle x, y\rangle) \text { for all } x, y \in E .
$$

The dilation theory of $\tau$-maps, where $\tau$ is a CP map, has been explored in [5], [22], [23], [13], etc. In [13], for an order two $*$-automorphism $\alpha$ on a $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{A}$, the authors did a KSGNS type construction for $\tau$-maps where $\tau$ is an $\alpha$-CP map. This and the study done in [11] are motivations for the approach taken by us to study the representation theory of $\tau$-maps on $S$-modules in Section 2.

For any Hilbert spaces $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{K}$, let $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators from $\mathscr{H}$ to $\mathscr{K}$. Assume $E$ to be a Hilbert $\mathscr{B}$-module where $\mathscr{B}$ is a von Neumann algebra such that there exist a non-degenarate representation of $\mathscr{B}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$. The interior tensor product $E \otimes \mathscr{H}$ is a Hilbert space. For a fixed $x \in E$ we define a bounded linear operator $L_{x}: \mathscr{H} \rightarrow E \otimes \mathscr{H}$ by

$$
L_{x}(h):=x \otimes h \text { for } h \in \mathscr{H} .
$$

We have $L_{x_{1}}^{*} L_{x_{2}}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in E$. This allows us to identify each $x \in E$ with $L_{x}$ and thus $E$ is identified with a concrete submodule of $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}, E \otimes \mathscr{H})$. We say that $E$ is a von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-module or a von Neumann module over $\mathscr{B}$ if $E$ is strongly closed in $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}, E \otimes \mathscr{H}) \subset \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H} \oplus(E \otimes \mathscr{H}))$. This notion of von Neumann modules is due to Skeide (cf. [21]). In fact, $a \mapsto a \otimes i d_{\mathscr{H}}$ is a representation of $\mathscr{B}^{a}(E)$ on $E \otimes \mathscr{H}$, and therefore it is an isometry. Thus we are allowed to consider $\mathscr{B}^{a}(E) \subset \mathscr{B}(E \otimes \mathscr{H})$ and so $\mathscr{B}^{a}(E)$ is a von Neumann algebra acting nondegenerately on $E \otimes \mathscr{H}$. In [26], we proved a Stinespring type theorem for $\tau$-maps, when $\mathscr{B}$ is any von Neumann algebra and $F$ is any von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-module. As in [26], in this article too at certain places we work with von Neumann modules instead of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules because all von Neumann modules are self-dual (cf. [21]), and hence they are complemented in all Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules which contain them as submodules.
$C^{*}$-algebra valued positive definite kernels were defined by Murphy in [16]. In Section 3 we obtain a decomposition theorem for an $\alpha$-CPD-kernel (see Section 3 for definition), for any $*$-automorphism $\alpha$ on a $C^{*}$-algebra, with the help of reproducing kernel S-correspondences. An $\alpha$-CPD-kernel is a CPD kernel if $\alpha=i d$. We obtain a new proof for the factorization theorem for $\mathfrak{K}$-families where $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPDkernel. Accardi and Kozyrev, in [1], considered semigroups of CPD-kernels over the set $\Omega=\{0,1\}$. Barreto, Bhat, Liebscher and Skeide [4] studied several results regarding structure of type I product-systems of Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules based on the dilation theory of CPD-kernels over any set $\Omega$. Their approach was based on the Kolmogorov decomposition of a CPD-kernel. Ball, Biswas, Fang and ter Horst [3] introduced the notion of reproducing kernel $C^{*}$-correspondences and identified Hardy spaces studied
by Muhly-Solel [15] with a reproducing kernel $C^{*}$-correspondence for a CPD-kernel which is an analogue of the classical Szegö kernel.

## 2. KSGNS type construction for $\tau$-maps

Assume $\left(E_{1}, \mathscr{B}, U_{1}\right)$ and $\left(E_{2}, \mathscr{B}, U_{2}\right)$ to be $S$-modules. For each $T \in \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$, there exists an operator $T^{\natural} \in \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\langle T(x), U_{2} y\right\rangle=\left\langle x, U_{1} T^{\natural}(y)\right\rangle \text { for all } x \in E_{1}, y \in E_{2}
$$

In fact, $T^{\natural}=U_{1}^{*} T^{*} U_{2}$. Suppose $\mathscr{A}$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra and $(E, \mathscr{B}, U)$ be an S -module. An algebra homomorphism $\pi: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}(E)$ is called an $U$-representation of $\mathscr{A}$ on $(E, \mathscr{B}, U)$ if $\pi\left(a^{*}\right)=U^{*} \pi(a)^{*} U=\pi(a)^{\natural}$, i.e.,

$$
[\pi(a) x, y]=\left[x, \pi\left(a^{*}\right) y\right] \text { for all } x, y \in E .
$$

The theorems in this section are analogous to Theorem 3.2 of [11] and Theorem 4.4 of [10], and Theorem 2.6 of [13].

Theorem 2. Let $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras and $\alpha: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ be a *-automorphism. Suppose $\left(E_{1}, \mathscr{B}, U_{1}\right)$ is an S-module. If $\tau: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}\right)$ is an $\alpha-C P$ map, then there exist
(i) a Hilbert $\mathscr{B}$-module $E_{0}$ and a unitary $U_{0}$ such that $\left(E_{0}, \mathscr{B}, U_{0}\right)$ is an $S$-module,
(ii) a map $V \in \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{0}\right)$ such that $V^{\natural}=V^{*}$ and an $U_{0}$-representation $\pi_{0}$ of $\mathscr{A}$ on $\left(E_{0}, \mathscr{B}, U_{0}\right)$ satisfying

$$
V^{*} \pi_{0}(a)^{*} \pi_{0}(b) V=V^{*} \pi_{0}\left(\alpha(a)^{*} b\right) V \text { for each } a, b \in \mathscr{A},
$$

and

$$
\tau(a)=V^{*} \pi_{0}(a) V \text { for all } a \in \mathscr{A}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\text {alg }} E_{1}$ be the algebraic tensor product of $\mathscr{A}$ and $E_{1}$. Define a $\operatorname{map}\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle:\left(\mathscr{A} \otimes_{a l g} E_{1}\right) \times\left(\mathscr{A} \bigotimes_{a l g} E_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{B}$ by

$$
\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes y_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}^{\prime}\right) y_{j}\right\rangle
$$

for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} ; a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} \in E_{1}$. The condition (ii) of Definition 4 implies that $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is a positive definite semi-inner product. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for positive-definite sesquilinear forms we observe that $K$ is a submodule of $\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\text {alg }} E_{1}$ where

$$
K:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i} \in \mathscr{A} \otimes_{a l g} E_{1}: \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}\right) x_{j}\right\rangle=0\right\}
$$

Therefore $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ induces naturally on the quotient module $\left(\mathscr{A} \otimes_{a l g} E_{1}\right) / K$, a $\mathscr{B}$-valued inner product. We denote this induced inner-product also by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Assume that $E_{0}$ denote the Hilbert $\mathscr{B}$-module obtained by the completion of $\left(\mathscr{A} \otimes_{\text {alg }} E_{1}\right) / K$.

It is easy to check that $\left(E_{0}, \mathscr{B}, U_{0}\right)$ is an S -module, where the unitary $U_{0}$ is defined by

$$
U_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(a_{i}\right) \otimes U_{1} x_{i}+K \text { where } a \in \mathscr{A}, x \in E_{1}
$$

Indeed, $U_{0}$ is a unitary, because for all $a, a^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x, y \in E_{1}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle U_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right), U_{0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \otimes x_{j}+K\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle\alpha\left(a_{i}\right) \otimes U_{1} x_{i}+K, \alpha\left(a_{j}\right) \otimes U_{1} x_{j}+K\right\rangle=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle U_{1} x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}\right)^{*}\right) \alpha\left(a_{j}\right)\right) U_{1} x_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}\right) x_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K, \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \otimes x_{j}+K\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

and because $U_{0}$ is surjective. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle U_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right), \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes y_{j}+K\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle\alpha\left(a_{i}\right) \otimes U_{1} x_{i}+K, a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes y_{j}+K\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle U_{1} x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}\right)^{*}\right) a_{j}^{\prime}\right) y_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) \alpha^{-1}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) U_{1}^{*} y_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-1}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right) \otimes U_{1}^{*} y_{j}+K\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain $U_{0}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes y_{j}+K\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-1}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right) \otimes U_{1}^{*} y_{j}+K$. Define a map $V: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{0}$ by

$$
V x:=1 \otimes U_{1} x+K \text { where } x \in E_{1}
$$

For each $x \in E_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|V x\|^{2}=\|\langle V x, V x\rangle\| & =\left\|\left\langle 1 \otimes U_{1} x+K, 1 \otimes U_{1} x+K\right\rangle\right\|=\left\|\left\langle U_{1} x, \tau(1) U_{1} x\right\rangle\right\| \\
& \leqslant\|\tau(1)\|\|x\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $V$ is bounded. For each $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n} \in E_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle V x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes y_{i}+K\right\rangle & =\left\langle 1 \otimes U_{1} x+K, \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes y_{i}+K\right\rangle=\left\langle U_{1} x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau\left(\alpha(1) a_{i}\right) y_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{1}^{*} \tau\left(a_{i}\right) y_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle x, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau\left(a_{i}\right) U_{1}^{*} y_{i}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.8 of [10] there exists $M>0$ such that

$$
\left(\tau\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) \tau\left(a_{j}\right)\right) \leqslant M\left(\tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}\right)\right)
$$

Thus, for each $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots y_{n} \in E_{1}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau\left(a_{i}\right) U_{1}^{*} y_{i}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau\left(a_{i}\right) U_{1}^{*} y_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tau\left(a_{j}\right) U_{1}^{*} y_{j}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle U_{1}^{*} y_{i}, \tau\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) \tau\left(a_{j}\right) U_{1}^{*} y_{j}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& \leqslant M\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\langle U_{1}^{*} y_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}\right) U_{1}^{*} y_{j}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =M\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes y_{i}+K\right\|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore using Equations 3 and 4, we conclude that $V$ is an adjointable map with adjoint

$$
V^{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_{1}^{*} \tau\left(a_{i}\right) x_{i} \quad \text { where } a_{i} \in \mathscr{A} ; x_{i} \in E_{1} \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n
$$

For each $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathscr{A} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\natural}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right) & =U_{1}^{*} V^{*} U_{0}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right)=U_{1}^{*} V^{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(a_{i}\right) \otimes U_{1} x_{i}+K\right) \\
& =U_{1}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}\right)\right) U_{1}^{*} U_{1} x_{i}=U_{1}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}\right)\right) x_{i} \\
& =V^{*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $V^{\natural}=V^{*}$. Define the map $\pi_{0}^{\prime}: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{0}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{0}^{\prime}(a)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a b_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathscr{A} ; x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\pi_{0}^{\prime}(a)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} a a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} a a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K, \sum_{j=1}^{n} a a_{j} \otimes x_{j}+K\right\rangle\right\|=\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*} a^{*}\right) a a_{j}\right) x_{j}\right\rangle\right\|^{2} \\
\leqslant & M(a)\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) a_{j}\right) x_{j}\right\rangle\right\|=M(a)\left\|\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E_{1}$. Thus for each $a \in \mathscr{A}, \pi_{0}^{\prime}(a)$ is a welldefined bounded linear operator from $E_{0}$ to $E_{0}$. Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\pi_{0}^{\prime}(a)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K\right), \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes x_{j}^{\prime}+K\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} a a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K, \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes x_{j}^{\prime}+K\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*} a^{*}\right) a_{j}^{\prime}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\alpha\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) \alpha\left(a^{*}\right) a_{j}^{\prime}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
= & \left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \otimes x_{i}+K, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha\left(a^{*}\right) a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes x_{j}^{\prime}+K\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{0} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) U_{0}^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes x_{j}^{\prime}+K\right) & =U_{0} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-1}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right) \otimes U_{1}^{*} x_{j}^{\prime}+K\right) \\
& =U_{0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(a^{*} \alpha^{-1}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) \otimes U_{1}^{*} x_{j}^{\prime}+K\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha\left(a^{*}\right) a_{j}^{\prime} \otimes x_{j}^{\prime}+K
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{m}^{\prime} \in E_{1}$, it follows that $\pi_{0}^{\prime}$ : $\mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{0}\right)$ is a well-defined map. Indeed, $\pi_{0}^{\prime}: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{0}\right)$ is an $U_{0}$-representation. Define an $U_{0}$-representation $\pi_{0}: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{0}\right)$ by $\pi_{0}(a):=\pi_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha(a))$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Since $V^{\natural}=V^{*}$, for all $a \in \mathscr{A}, x \in E_{1}$ we obtain

$$
V^{\natural} \pi_{0}^{\prime}(a) V x=V^{*}\left(a \otimes U_{1} x+K\right)=U_{1}^{*} \tau(a) U_{1} x=\tau(a) x .
$$

Therefore $\tau(a)=\tau(\alpha(a))=V^{\natural} \pi_{0}(a) V$ for all $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Moreover, for each $x \in E_{1}$ and
$a, b \in \mathscr{A}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}(a)^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}(b) V x & =V^{*} U_{0} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) U_{0}^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}(b) V x=V^{*} U_{0} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right) U_{0}^{*}\left(b \otimes U_{1} x+K\right) \\
& =V^{*} U_{0} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right)\left(\alpha^{-1}(b) \otimes x+K\right) \\
& =V^{*} U_{0}\left(a^{*} \alpha^{-1}(b) \otimes x+K\right)=V^{*}\left(\alpha\left(a^{*} \alpha^{-1}(b)\right) \otimes U_{1} x+K\right) \\
& =U_{1}^{*} \tau\left(\alpha\left(a^{*} \alpha^{-1}(b)\right)\right) U_{1} x=\tau\left(\alpha(a)^{*} b\right) x=V^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha(a)^{*} b\right) V x .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equality, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{*} \pi_{0}(a)^{*} \pi_{0}(b) V & =V^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha(a))^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}(\alpha(b)) V=V^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha(\alpha(a))^{*} \alpha(b)\right) V \\
& =V^{*} \pi_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha\left(\alpha(a)^{*} b\right)\right) V=V^{*} \pi_{0}\left(\alpha(a)^{*} b\right) V
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $a, b \in \mathscr{A}$.
In the following theorem we extend the KSGNS construction for $\tau$-maps:
Theorem 3. Assume $\mathscr{A}$ to be a unital $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ be a *-automorphism. Suppose $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra for some Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ and $E$ is a Hilbert $\mathscr{A}$-module. Let $E_{1}$ be a Hilbert $\mathscr{B}$-module and $E_{2}$ be a von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-module, and $\left(E_{1}, \mathscr{B}, U_{1}\right)$ and $\left(E_{2}, \mathscr{B}, U_{2}=\mathrm{id}_{E_{2}}\right)$ be $S$-modules. If $\tau: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}\right)$ is an $\alpha$-CP map and $T: E \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ is a $\tau$-map, then there exist
(i) (a) a von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-module $E_{3}$ with a unitary $U_{3}$ such that $\left(E_{3}, \mathscr{B}, U_{3}\right)$ is an S-module,
(b) an $U_{3}$-representation $\pi$ of $\mathscr{A}$ on $\left(E_{3}, \mathscr{B}, U_{3}\right)$ with a map $V \in \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)$ such that $V^{\natural}=V^{*}$, and

$$
\tau(a)=V^{*} \pi(a) V \text { for all } a \in \mathscr{A}
$$

(ii) (a) a von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-module $E_{4}$ such that $\left(E_{4}, \mathscr{B}, U_{4}=i d_{E_{4}}\right)$ is an $S$-module and a map $\Psi: E \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{3}, E_{4}\right)$ which is a $\pi$-map,
(b) a coisometry $W$ from $E_{2}$ onto $E_{4}$ satisfying $W^{\natural}=W^{*}$,

$$
T(x)=W^{*} \Psi(x) V \text { for all } x \in E
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2 we obtain the triple $\left(\pi_{0}, V, E_{0}\right)$ associated to $\tau$ where $\left(E_{0}, \mathscr{B}, U_{0}\right)$ is an S-module. Here $V \in \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{0}\right)$, the Hilbert $\mathscr{B}$-module $E_{0}$ satisfies $\overline{\operatorname{span}} \pi_{0}(\mathscr{A}) V E_{1}=E_{0}$, and $\pi_{0}$ is an $U_{0}$-representation of $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
\tau(a)=V^{*} \pi_{0}(a) V \text { for all } a \in \mathscr{A}
$$

We obtain a von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-module $E_{3}$ by taking the strong operator topology closure of $E_{0}$ in $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}, E_{0} \otimes \mathscr{H}\right)$. Consider the element of $\mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)$ which gives the same value as $V$ when evaluated on the elements of $E_{1}$, because $E_{0}$ is canonically
embedded in $E_{3}$. We denote this element of $\mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)$ by $V$. Fix $\lim _{\alpha} x_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{3}$ with $x_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{0}$. It is easy to check that sot- $\lim _{\alpha} \pi_{0}(a) x_{\alpha}^{0}$ exists for each $a \in \mathscr{A}$. The $U_{0}{ }^{-}$ representation $\pi_{0}: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{0}\right)$ extends to a representation of $\mathscr{A}$ on $E_{3}$ as follows: For each $a \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x=\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\alpha} x_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{3}$ with $x_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{0}$, define

$$
\pi(a)(x):=\text { sot }-\lim _{\alpha} \pi_{0}(a) x_{\alpha}^{0}
$$

For each $a \in \mathscr{A}, x=\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\alpha} x_{\alpha}^{0}$ and $y=\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\beta} y_{\beta}^{0} \in E_{3}$ with $x_{\alpha}^{0}, y_{\beta}^{0} \in E_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\pi(a) x, y\rangle & =\text { sot- } \lim _{\beta}\left\langle\pi(a) x, y_{\beta}^{0}\right\rangle=\text { sot }-\lim _{\beta}\left(\text { sot- } \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle y_{\beta}^{0}, \pi_{0}(a) x_{\alpha}^{0}\right\rangle\right)^{*} \\
& =\text { sot- } \lim _{\beta}\left(\text { sot- } \lim _{\alpha}\left\langle\pi_{0}(a)^{*} y_{\beta}^{0}, x_{\alpha}^{0}\right\rangle\right)^{*}=\left\langle x, \pi(a)^{*} y\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\pi(a) \in \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{3}\right)$ for each $a \in \mathscr{A}$. Let $U_{3}: E_{3} \rightarrow E_{3}$ be a map defined by

$$
\left.U_{3}(x):=\text { sot- } \lim _{\alpha} U_{0}\left(x_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\right) \text { where } x=\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\alpha} x_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{3} \text { with } x_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{0} .
$$

It is easy to observe that $U_{3}$ is a unitary, $\left(E_{3}, \mathscr{B}, U_{3}\right)$ is an S-module and the triple $\left(\pi, V, E_{3}\right)$ satisfies all the conditions of the statement (i).

Let $E_{4}^{\prime}$ be the Hilbert $\mathscr{B}$-module $\overline{\text { span }} T(E) E_{1}$. For each $x \in E$, define a map $\Psi_{0}(x): E_{0} \rightarrow E_{4}^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0}(x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{0}\left(a_{i}\right) V x_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} T\left(x a_{i}\right) x_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in E_{1}$. Each $\Psi_{0}(x)$ is a bounded right $\mathscr{B}$-linear map from $E_{0}$ to $E_{4}^{\prime}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\Psi_{0}(x)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{0}\left(a_{i}\right) V x_{i}\right), \Psi_{0}(y)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{0}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right) V x_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} T\left(x a_{i}\right) x_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} T\left(y a_{j}^{\prime}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, T\left(x a_{i}\right)^{*} T\left(y a_{j}^{\prime}\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, \tau\left(\left\langle x a_{i}, y a_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, V^{*} \pi_{0}\left(a_{i}^{*}\langle x, y\rangle a_{j}^{\prime}\right) V x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\langle x_{i}, V^{*} \pi_{0}\left(a_{i}\right)^{*} \pi_{0}\left(\langle x, y\rangle a_{j}^{\prime}\right) V x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{0}\left(a_{i}\right) V x_{i}, \pi_{0}(\langle x, y\rangle) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{0}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right) V x_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E$; and $a_{i}, a_{j}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}$ and $x_{i}, x_{j}^{\prime} \in E_{1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$. We denote by $E_{4}$ the strong operator topology closure of $E_{4}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}, E_{4}^{\prime} \otimes \mathscr{H}\right)$. For each $x \in E$ and $z=$ sot- $\lim _{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{3}$ with $z_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{0}$, define a mapping $\Psi(x): E_{3} \rightarrow E_{4}$ by

$$
\Psi(x)(z):=\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\alpha} \Psi_{0}(x) z_{\alpha}^{0}
$$

Note that the limit sot- $\lim _{\alpha} \Psi_{0}(x) z_{\alpha}^{0}$ exists. For all $z=$ sot- $\lim _{\alpha} z_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{3}$ with $z_{\alpha}^{0} \in E_{0}$ and $x, y \in E$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\Psi(x) z, \Psi(y) z\rangle & =\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\alpha}\left\{\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\beta}\left\langle\Psi_{0}(y) z_{\alpha}^{0}, \Psi_{0}(x) z_{\beta}^{0}\right\rangle\right\}^{*} \\
& =\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\alpha}\left\{\operatorname{sot}-\lim _{\beta}\left\langle z_{\alpha}^{0}, \pi_{0}(\langle x, y\rangle) z_{\beta}^{0}\right\rangle\right\}^{*}=\langle z, \pi(\langle x, y\rangle) z\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E_{3}$ is a von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-module, we conclude that $\Psi: E \rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{a}\left(E_{3}, E_{4}\right)$ is a $\pi$-map. Because $E_{4}$ is a von Neumann $\mathscr{B}$-submodule of $E_{2}$, we get an orthogonal projection from $E_{2}$ onto $E_{4}$ (cf. Theorem 5.2 of [20]) which we denote by $W$. Therefore $W^{*}$ is the inclusion map from $E_{4}$ to $E_{2}$, and hence $W W^{*}=i d_{E_{4}}$, i.e., $W$ is a coisometry. Considering the $S$-module $\left(E_{4}, \mathscr{B}, U_{4}=i d_{E_{4}}\right)$ it is evident that $W^{\natural}(x)=U_{2}^{*} W^{*} U_{4}(x)=W^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in E_{2}$. Eventually

$$
W^{*} \Psi(x) V=\Psi(x) V=\Psi(x)(\pi(1) V)=T(x) \text { for all } x \in E
$$

## 3. Reproducing kernel $S$-correspondences

Suppose $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ are unital $C^{*}$-algebras. We denote the set of all bounded linear maps from $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$ by $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C})$. Let $\alpha$ be a $*$-automorphism on $\mathscr{B}$. For a set $\Omega$, a kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ over $\Omega$ from $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$ is called Hermitian if $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}\left(b^{*}\right)=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma^{\prime}, \sigma}(b)^{*}$ for all $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ and $b \in \mathscr{B}$. We say that a Hermitian kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ over $\Omega$ from $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$ is an $\alpha$-completely positive definite kernel or an $\alpha$-CPD-kernel over $\Omega$ from $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$ if for finite choices $\sigma_{i} \in \Omega, b_{i} \in \mathscr{B}, c_{i} \in \mathscr{C}$ we have
(i) $\sum_{i, j} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}\right)^{*} b_{j}\right) c_{j} \geqslant 0$,
(ii) $\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}(\alpha(b))=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathscr{B}$,
(iii) for each $b \in \mathscr{B}$ there exists $M(b)>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*} b^{*}\right) b b_{j}\right) c_{j}\right\| \leqslant M(b)\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*}\right) b_{j}\right) c_{j}\right\|
$$

In this section we discuss the decomposition of $\mathfrak{K}$-families for an $\alpha$-CPD-kernel in terms of a reproducing kernel S-correspondence which is defined as follows:

DEfinition 6. Let $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ be unital $C^{*}$-algebras. An S-module $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{B}, U)$ is called an $S$-correspondence over $\Omega$ from $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{B}$ if there exists a $U$-representation $\pi$ of $\mathscr{A}$ on $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{B}, U)$. We define

$$
a f:=\pi(a) f \text { for all } a \in \mathscr{A}, f \in \mathscr{F} .
$$

Let $\Omega$ be a set. If $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{B}, U)$ is an S-correspondence from $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{B}$, consisting of functions from $\Omega \times \mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{B}$, which forms a vector space with point-wise vector space operations, and for each $\sigma \in \Omega$ there exists an element $k_{\sigma}$ in $\mathscr{F}$ called the kernel element satisfying

$$
f(\sigma, a)=\left\langle k_{\sigma}, a f\right\rangle \text { for all } a \in \mathscr{A}, f \in \mathscr{F},
$$

then this S-correspondence is called a reproducing kernel $S$-correspondence over $\Omega$ from $\mathscr{A}$ to $\mathscr{B}$. The mapping $\mathfrak{K}: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B})$ defined by

$$
\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}(a)=k_{\sigma^{\prime}}(\sigma, a) \text { for all } a \in \mathscr{A}, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega
$$

is called the reproducing kernel for the reproducing kernel S-correspondence.
In Theorem 3.1 of [6], Bhattacharyya, Dritschel and Todd proved that a kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ is dominated by a CPD-kernel if and only if $\mathfrak{K}$ has a Kolmogorov decomposition in which the associated module forms a Krein $C^{*}$-correspondence. Skeide's factorization theorem for $\tau$-maps [22] is based on the Paschke's GNS construction (cf. Theorem 5.2 , [17]) for CP map $\tau$. Using the Kolmogorov decomposition we proved a factorization theorem for $\mathfrak{K}$-families in Theorem 2.2 of [9] when $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel. In Theorem 3.5 of [3], a characterization of a CPD-kernel in terms of reproducing kernel $C^{*}$-correspondences was obtained.

THEOREM 4. Let $\mathfrak{K}$ be a Hermitian kernel over a set $\Omega$ from a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{B}$ to a unital $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathscr{C}$. Assume $\alpha$ to be $a *$-automorphism on $\mathscr{B}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\mathfrak{K}$ is an $\alpha$-CPD-kernel.
(ii) $\mathfrak{K}$ is the reproducing kernel for an reproducing kernel $S$-correspondence $\mathscr{F}=$ $\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{K})$ over $\Omega$ from $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$, i.e., there is an $S$-correspondence $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{K})$ whose elements are $\mathscr{C}$-valued functions on $\Omega \times \mathscr{B}$ such that for any $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ the function $k_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ defined by

$$
k_{\sigma^{\prime}}(\sigma, b):=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}(b) \text { for all } \sigma \in \Omega ; b \in \mathscr{B}
$$

belongs to $\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{K})$ and has the reproducing property

$$
\left\langle k_{\sigma}, b f\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha\left(b^{*}\right) k_{\sigma}, f\right\rangle=f(\sigma, b) \text { for all } \sigma \in \Omega, f \in \mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{K}), b \in \mathscr{B}
$$

where $b k_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{F}$ is given by

$$
\left(b k_{\sigma}\right)\left(\sigma^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right):=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma^{\prime}, \sigma}\left(b^{\prime} b\right) \text { for all } b^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B} .
$$

Proof. Suppose (ii) holds. Thus from the reproducing property it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*}\right) b_{j}\right) c_{j} & =\sum_{i, j} c_{i}^{*} k_{\sigma_{j}}\left(\sigma_{i}, \alpha\left(b_{i}^{*}\right) b_{j}\right) c_{j}=\sum_{i, j} c_{i}^{*}\left\langle k_{\sigma_{i}}, \alpha\left(b_{i}^{*}\right) b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}}\right\rangle c_{j} \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}, \sum_{j} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}\right\rangle \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all finite choices of $\sigma_{i} \in \Omega, b_{i} \in \mathscr{B}, c_{i} \in \mathscr{C}$. Further, for all $b \in \mathscr{B}$ and $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}(\alpha(b)) & =k_{\sigma^{\prime}}(\sigma, \alpha(b))=\left\langle k_{\sigma}, \alpha(b) k_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle b^{*} k_{\sigma}, k_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left(\left\langle k_{\sigma^{\prime}}, b^{*} k_{\sigma}\right\rangle\right)^{*}=k_{\sigma}\left(\sigma^{\prime}, b^{*}\right)^{*}=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma^{\prime}, \sigma}\left(b^{*}\right)^{*}=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, for a fixed $b \in \mathscr{B}$ and each finite choices $\sigma_{i} \in \Omega, b_{i} \in \mathscr{B}, c_{i} \in \mathscr{C}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*} b^{*}\right) b b_{j}\right) c_{j}\right\|=\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*} k_{\sigma_{j}}\left(\sigma_{i}, \alpha\left(b_{i}^{*} b^{*}\right) b b_{j}\right) c_{j}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*}\left\langle k_{\sigma_{i}},\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*} b^{*}\right) b b_{j}\right) k_{\sigma_{j}}\right\rangle c_{j}\right\|=\left\|\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}, \alpha(b)^{*} b\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}\right)\right\rangle\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|\alpha(b)^{*} b\right\|\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|b\|^{2}\left\|\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\|b\|^{2}\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*}\right) b_{j}\right) c_{j}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the function $\mathfrak{K}$ is an $\alpha$-CPD-kernel, i.e., (i) holds.
Conversely, suppose (i) holds. For each $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ let $k_{\sigma^{\prime}}: \Omega \times \mathscr{B} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ be a map defined by $k_{\sigma^{\prime}}(\sigma, b):=\mathfrak{R}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}(b)$ where $\sigma \in \Omega, b \in \mathscr{B}$. Let us define the mapping $b k_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ by $\left(\sigma, b^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}\left(b^{\prime} b\right)=k_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\sigma, b^{\prime} b\right)$ where $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ and $b, b^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B}$. For fixed $c \in \mathscr{C}$ we define the function $k_{\sigma^{\prime}} c$ by $(\sigma, b) \mapsto \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}(b) c=k_{\sigma^{\prime}}(\sigma, b) c$ for all $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ and $b \in \mathscr{B}$. In a canonical way define $\left(b k_{\sigma}\right) c$ and $b\left(k_{\sigma} c\right)$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega, b \in \mathscr{B}$, and $c \in \mathscr{C}$. Let $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ be the right $\mathscr{C}$-module generated by the set $\left\{b k_{\sigma}: b \in \mathscr{B}, \sigma \in \Omega\right\}$ consisting of $\mathscr{C}$-valued functions on $\Omega \times \mathscr{B}$, i.e., $\mathscr{F}_{0}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}}\right) c_{j}: b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in \mathscr{B} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{C} ; \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m} \in \Omega ; m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Note that $\left(b k_{\sigma}\right) c=b\left(k_{\sigma} c\right)$ for all $\sigma \in \Omega, b \in \mathscr{B}$, and $c \in \mathscr{C}$ and hence we write $\mathscr{F}_{0}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}: b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m} \in \mathscr{B} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m} \in \mathscr{C} ; \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m} \in\right.$ $\Omega ; m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Define a map $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \mathscr{F}_{0} \times \mathscr{F}_{0} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle:=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} \mathfrak{K}_{j}^{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{j}\right)^{*} b_{i}^{\prime}\right) c_{i}^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}, g=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}} c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}_{0}$. With $f=\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}$ and $g=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}} c_{i}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{F}_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{*} g\left(\sigma_{j}, \alpha\left(b_{j}\right)^{*}\right) \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} b_{i}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{j}, \alpha\left(b_{j}\right)^{*}\right) c_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\sigma_{j}, \alpha\left(b_{j}\right)^{*} b_{i}^{\prime}\right) c_{i}^{\prime} \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} \mathfrak{K}_{j}^{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{j}\right)^{*} b_{i}^{\prime}\right) c_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} \mathfrak{K}_{j}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\left(b_{j}^{*} \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) c_{i}^{\prime} \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathfrak{K}_{i}^{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*} b_{j}\right)\right)^{*} c_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(k_{\sigma_{j}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*} b_{j}\right)\right)^{*} c_{i}^{\prime} \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j}^{*} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)\right)^{*} c_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right) c_{j}\right)^{*} c_{i}^{\prime} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(f\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)\right)^{*} c_{i}^{\prime} . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the function $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ defined above does not depend on the representations chosen for $f$ and $g$. Since $\mathfrak{K}$ is an $\alpha$-CPD-kernel,

$$
\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{j}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{j}\right)^{*} b_{i}\right) c_{i} \geqslant 0
$$

Therefore the map $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is positive definite. For $f:=\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j} \in \mathscr{F}_{0}, b \in \mathscr{B}, c \in \mathscr{C}$ and $\sigma \in \Omega$, Equations 8 and 9 , and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$
\|f(\sigma, b) c\|^{2}=\left\|\left\langle f, \alpha(b)^{*} k_{\sigma} c\right\rangle\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|\left\langle\alpha(b)^{*} k_{\sigma} c, \alpha(b)^{*} k_{\sigma} c\right\rangle\right\|\|\langle f, f\rangle\|
$$

So $f \in \mathscr{F}_{0}$ vanishes pointwise if $\langle f, f\rangle=0$. This implies that $\mathscr{F}_{0}$ is a right innerproduct $\mathscr{C}$-module with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the completion of $\mathscr{F}_{0}$. It is easy to observe that the linear map $f \mapsto\left((\sigma, b) \mapsto\left\langle\alpha\left(b^{*}\right) k_{\sigma}, f\right\rangle\right)$, from $\mathscr{F}$ to the set of all functions from $\Omega \times \mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$, is injective. Therefore we identify $\mathscr{F}$ as a subspace of the set of all functions from $\Omega \times \mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$.

If $\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime} c_{i}^{\prime}$ are elements of $\mathscr{F}_{0}$, then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime} c_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{j}\right)^{*} b_{i}^{\prime}\right) c_{i}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{j}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha\left(\alpha\left(b_{j}^{*}\right) b_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) c_{i}^{\prime} \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha\left(b_{j}\right) k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right) k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime} c_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we get an isometry $U: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(b_{i}\right) k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}$. Moreover, from Equation 10, it is easy to check that $U$ is a unitary with the adjoint $U^{*}$ : $\mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ defined by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{i}\right) k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}$. We define a sesquilinear form $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathscr{F} \times \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}$ as follows:

$$
\left[f, f^{\prime}\right]:=\left\langle f, U f^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

where $f, f^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}$, i.e., for $\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}} c_{i}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}$ we obtain

$$
\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}} c_{i}^{\prime}\right]=\left\langle\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha\left(b_{i}^{\prime}\right) k_{\sigma_{i}^{\prime}} c_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

For each $b \in \mathscr{B}$ define $\pi(b): \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ by

$$
\pi(b)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}\right):=\sum_{j=1}^{m} b b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j} \text { for all } b^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B}, \sigma \in \Omega, c \in \mathscr{C} .
$$

Therefore for $b, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in \mathscr{B} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathscr{C}$ and $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n} \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\pi(b)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}\right)\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} b b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} b b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} b b_{j} k_{\sigma_{j}} c_{j}\right\rangle\right\| \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*} b^{*}\right) b b_{j}\right) c_{j}\right\| \\
& \leqslant M(b)\left\|\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*}\right) b_{j}\right) c_{j}\right\|=M(b)\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that for each $b \in \mathscr{B}, \pi(b)$ is a well defined bounded linear operator from $\mathscr{F}$ to $\mathscr{F}$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\pi(b)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}\right), \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime} c_{j}^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} b b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime}}^{\prime}{ }_{j}\right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*} b^{*}\right) b_{j}^{\prime}\right) c_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{K}^{\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}}\left(\alpha\left(b_{i}^{*}\right) \alpha\left(b^{*}\right) b_{j}^{\prime}\right) c_{j}^{\prime} \\
= & \left\langle\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} k_{\sigma_{i}} c_{i}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha\left(b^{*}\right) b_{j}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime}} c_{j}^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
U \pi\left(b^{*}\right) U^{*}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime}} c_{j}^{\prime}\right) & =U \pi\left(b^{*}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{j}^{\prime}\right) k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime}} c_{j}^{\prime}\right)=U\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} b^{*} \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{j}^{\prime}\right) k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime}}^{\prime} c_{j}\right) \\
& \left.=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha\left(b^{*} \alpha^{-1}\left(b_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime}} c_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha\left(b^{*}\right) b_{j}^{\prime} k_{\sigma_{j}^{\prime}} c_{j}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $b, b_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, b_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B} ; c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{n}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C}$ and $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}^{\prime} \in \Omega$, it follows that $\pi$ is an $U$-representation from $\mathscr{B}$ to the S -module $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{C}, U)$ and $\mathscr{F}$ becomes an S -correspondence with left action induced by $\pi$. Using Equations 8 and 9 , we can realize elements $g$ of $\mathscr{F}$ as $\mathscr{C}$-valued functions on $\Omega \times \mathscr{B}$ which satisfy the following reproducing property:

$$
g(\sigma, b)=\left\langle k_{\sigma}, b g\right\rangle \text { for all } \sigma \in \Omega, b \in \mathscr{B}
$$

The " $(i i) \Longrightarrow(i)$ " part of the Theorem 4 gives a typical example of an $\alpha$-CPDkernel.

Motivated by the definition of $\tau$-map, we introduced the following notion of $\mathfrak{K}$ family in [9] which we recall below: Let $E$ and $F$ be Hilbert $C^{*}$-modules over $C^{*}$ algebras $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ respectively. Assume $\Omega$ to be a set and $\mathscr{K}: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C})$ to be a kernel. Let $\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}$ be a map from $E$ to $F$ for each $\sigma \in \Omega$. The family $\left\{\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma \in \Omega}$ is called $\mathfrak{K}$-family if

$$
\left\langle\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}(x), \mathscr{K}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) \text { for } x, x^{\prime} \in E ; \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega
$$

REMARK 1. The $U$-representation $\pi$ in Theorem 4 is not necessarily $*$-preserving, and $\pi\left(b^{*}\right)^{*}=\pi(\alpha(b))$ for all $b \in \mathscr{B}$.

Let us, in addition, assume $\alpha=i d_{\mathscr{B}}$ in Theorem 4 (i.e., $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel). Then $U$ is the identity map and $\pi$ is a $*$-preserving representation, and hence $\mathscr{F}$ becomes a $C^{*}$-correspondence. This yields a new proof of our earlier result from Section 2 of [9] on a factorization for $\mathfrak{K}$-families where $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel:

Corollary 1. Under the setting of Theorem 4, let $E$ and $F$ be Hilbert $C^{*}$ modules over $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ respectively, and let $\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}$ be a map from $E$ to $F$, for each $\sigma \in \Omega$. Then $\left\{\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma \in \Omega}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family where $\mathfrak{K}$ is a CPD-kernel if and only if $\mathfrak{K}$ is the reproducing kernel for an reproducing kernel S-correspondence $\mathscr{F}=\mathscr{F}(\mathfrak{K})$ over $\Omega$ from $\mathscr{B}$ to $\mathscr{C}$ with kernel elements $k_{\sigma} \in \mathscr{F}$ and there exists an isometry $v: E \otimes_{\mathscr{B}} \mathscr{F} \rightarrow$ $F$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(x \otimes b k_{\sigma} c\right)=\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}(x b) c \text { for all } x \in E, b \in \mathscr{B}, c \in \mathscr{C}, \sigma \in \Omega \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose the family $\left\{\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma \in \Omega}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family. For each $b, b^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B} ; c, c^{\prime} \in$ $\mathscr{C} ; x, x^{\prime} \in E ; \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}(x b) c, \mathscr{K}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right) c^{\prime}\right\rangle & =c^{*} \mathfrak{K} \\
& =\left\langle k_{\sigma} c, b^{*}\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle b^{\prime} k_{\sigma^{\prime}} c^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle b k_{\sigma} c,\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle b^{\prime} k_{\sigma^{\prime}} c^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Define a linear map $v$ from the interior tensor product $E \bigotimes_{\mathscr{B}} \mathscr{F}$ to $F$ by

$$
v\left(x \otimes b k_{\sigma} c\right):=\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}(x b) c \text { for all } x \in E, b \in \mathscr{B}, c \in \mathscr{C}, \sigma \in \Omega
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v\left(x \otimes b k_{\sigma} c\right), v\left(x^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime} k_{\sigma^{\prime}} c^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}(x b) c, \mathscr{K}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime} b^{\prime}\right) c^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle b k_{\sigma} c,\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle b^{\prime} k_{\sigma^{\prime}} c^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x \otimes b k_{\sigma} c, x^{\prime} \otimes b^{\prime} k_{\sigma^{\prime}} c^{\prime}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} \in E ; b, b^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B} ; c, c^{\prime} \in \mathscr{C} ; \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in S$. Hence $v$ is an isometry.
Conversely, assume that there exist an isometry $v: E \otimes_{\mathscr{B}} \mathscr{F} \rightarrow F$ defined by Equation 11. For each $x, x^{\prime} \in E ; \sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}(x), \mathscr{K}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =\left\langle v\left(x \otimes k_{\sigma}\right), v\left(x^{\prime} \otimes k_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x \otimes k_{\sigma}, x^{\prime} \otimes k_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle k_{\sigma},\left(\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) k_{\sigma^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =k_{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\sigma,\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)=\mathfrak{K}^{\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}}\left(\left\langle x, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left\{\mathscr{K}^{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma \in \Omega}$ is a $\mathfrak{K}$-family.
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