MODULE AND GENERALIZED MODULE LEFT (m, n)-DERIVATIONS

CHOONKIL PARK

(Communicated by H. Radjavi)

Abstract. Fošner [3, 4] defined a module left (m,n)-derivation and a generalized module left (m,n)-derivation and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of module left (m,n)-derivations and generalized module left (m,n)-derivations.

In this note, we investigate the properties of module left (m,n)-derivation and generalized module left (m,n)-derivation. Furthermore, we prove that every module left (m,n)-derivation in C^* -algebras is a zero mapping and that every generalized module left (m,n)-derivation in C^* -algebras is a zero mapping.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let *A* be an algebra and *M* be a left *A*-module. An additive mapping $d : A \to M$ is called a *module left derivation* if $d(xy) = x \cdot d(y) + y \cdot d(x)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

DEFINITION 1.1. [3] Let A be an algebra and M be a left A-module. An additive mapping $d: A \rightarrow M$ is called a *module left* (m,n)-*derivation* if

$$(m+n)d(xy) = 2mx \cdot d(y) + 2ny \cdot d(x) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Here *m* and *n* are nonnegative integers with $m + n \neq 0$.

When m = n in Definition 1.1, the additive mapping $d : A \rightarrow M$ is just a module left derivation.

Let *A* be an algebra and *M* be a left *A*-module. An additive mapping $g: A \to M$ is called a *generalized module left derivation* if there exists a module left derivation $d: A \to M$ such that $g(xy) = x \cdot g(y) + y \cdot d(x)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

DEFINITION 1.2. [4] Let A be an algebra and M be a left A-module. An additive mapping $g: A \to M$ is called a *generalized module left* (m,n)-*derivation* if there exists a module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \to M$ such that

$$(m+n)g(xy) = 2mx \cdot g(y) + 2ny \cdot d(x) \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Here *m* and *n* are nonnegative integers with $m + n \neq 0$.

Keywords and phrases: C^* -algebra, (unital) algebra, positive element, Banach left *A*-module, (generalized) module left (m, n)-derivation.



Mathematics subject classification (2010): 16W25, 16D60, 46L05.

When m = n in Definition 1.2, the additive mapping $d : A \rightarrow M$ is just a generalized module left derivation.

From now on, assume that $e \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in M$, where *e* is the unit in a unital algebra *A*.

PROPOSITION 1.3. [1] Let A be a unital algebra with unit e and M be a left A-module. Assume that m and n are nonnegative integers with $m + n \neq 0$ and $m \neq n$. Then each module left (m,n)-derivation $d : A \rightarrow M$ is a zero mapping.

Proof. Letting x = y = e in (1.1), we get (m+n)d(e) = 2(m+n)d(e) and so d(e) = 0.

Letting y = e in (1.1), we get

$$(m+n)d(x) = 2mx \cdot d(e) + 2nd(x) = 2nd(x)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Letting x = e and replacing y by x in (1.1), we get

$$(m+n)d(x) = 2m \cdot d(x) + 2nx \cdot d(e) = 2md(x)$$

for all $x \in A$. So 2nd(x) = 2md(x) for all $x \in A$. Since $m \neq n$, d(x) = 0 for all $x \in A$, as desired. \Box

PROPOSITION 1.4. [6] Let A be a unital algebra with unit e and M be a left A-module. Assume that m and n are nonnegative integers with $m + n \neq 0$ and $m \neq n$. Then each generalized module left (m,n)-derivation $g : A \to M$ is a zero mapping.

Proof. By Proposition 1.3, d(e) = 0.

Letting x = y = e in (1.2), we get (m+n)g(e) = 2mg(e) + 2nd(e) = 2mg(e) and so g(e) = 0, since $m \neq n$.

Letting y = e in (1.2), we get

$$(m+n)g(x) = 2mx \cdot g(e) + 2nd(x) = 2nd(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in A$, since d(x) = 0 and g(e) = 0. Since $m + n \neq 0$, g(x) = 0 for all $x \in A$, as desired. \Box

In the proofs of Propositions 1.3 and 1.4, we did not use the fact that m and n are nonnegative integers. Propositions 1.3 and 1.4 hold true when m and n are nonzero real numbers with $m + n \neq 0$ and $m \neq n$. From now on, assume that m and n are nonzero real numbers with $m + n \neq 0$ and $m \neq n$.

In Section 2, we investigate module left (m,n)-derivations and generalized module left (m,n)-derivations for (non-unital) algebras.

In Section 3, we investigate module left (m,n)-derivations and generalized module left (m,n)-derivations for (non-unital) C^* -algebras.

2. Generalized module left (m, n)-derivations

We investigate module left (m, n)-derivations for non-unital algebras.

THEOREM 2.1. Let A be an algebra and M be a left A-module. Then each module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \to M$ satisfies the following:

(1) $x^k \cdot d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 2$. (2) $x^k \cdot d(x^2) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 1$. (3) $d(x^k) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 3$.

Proof. (1) Letting $y = x^2$ in (1.1), we get

$$(m+n)d(x^{3}) = 2mx \cdot d(x^{2}) + 2nx^{2} \cdot d(x)$$
(2.1)
$$= 2mx \cdot \frac{2mx \cdot d(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x)}{m+n} + 2nx^{2} \cdot d(x)$$

$$= \frac{4m^{2}x^{2} + 4mnx^{2} + 2mnx^{2} + 2n^{2}x^{2}}{m+n} \cdot d(x)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Replacing x by x^2 and letting y = x in (1.1), we get

$$(m+n)d(x^{3}) = 2mx^{2} \cdot d(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x^{2})$$

$$= 2mx^{2} \cdot d(x) + 2nx \cdot \frac{2mx \cdot d(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x)}{m+n}$$

$$= \frac{2m^{2}x^{2} + 2mnx^{2} + 4mnx^{2} + 4n^{2}x^{2}}{m+n} \cdot d(x)$$
(2.2)

for all $x \in A$.

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that $2m^2x^2 \cdot d(x) = 2n^2x^2 \cdot d(x)$ for all $x \in A$. So

$$x^2 \cdot d(x) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus

$$x^{k} \cdot d(x) = x^{k-2} \cdot (x^{2} \cdot d(x)) = 0$$
(2.4)

for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 2$.

(2) It follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$(m+n)d(x^3) = 2mx \cdot d(x^2) = 2nx \cdot d(x^2)$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$x \cdot d(x^2) = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus $x^k \cdot d(x^2) = x^{k-1} \cdot (x \cdot d(x^2)) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 1$.

(3) It follows from (2.1), (2.3) and (2.5) that

$$(m+n)d(x^3) = 2mx \cdot d(x^2) + 2nx^2 \cdot d(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$d(x^3) = 0 (2.6)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Now we prove $d(x^k) =$ for all $k \ge 3$ by using the mathematical induction on k. (i) For k = 3, we proved $d(x^3) = 0$ in (2.6).

(ii) For k = l - 1, assume that $d(x^{l-1}) = 0$ holds for a fixed integer l with $l \ge 4$. Then for k = l, it follows from (2.3) and the inductive hypothesis that

$$(m+n)d(x^{l}) = 2mx^{l-1} \cdot d(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x^{l-1}) = 2mx^{l-3} \cdot (x^{2} \cdot d(x)) + 2nx \cdot d(x^{l-1}) = 0$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus $d(x^l) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. By the mathematical induction, we obtain that $d(x^k) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 3$. \Box

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let A be an algebra and M be a left A-module. Then $d(x^2) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ if and only if $x \cdot d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting y = x in (1.1), we get

$$(m+n)d(x^{2}) = 2mx \cdot d(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x) = 2(m+n)x \cdot d(x)$$
(2.7)

for all $x \in A$. So $d(x^2) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ if and only if $x \cdot d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. \Box

PROBLEM 2.3. Let A be a non-unital algebra and M be a left A-module.

(1) Does $d(x^2) = 0$ hold for all $x \in A$?

(2) Does d(x) = 0 hold for all $x \in A$?

PROBLEM 2.4. [1] Let A be a non-unital algebra and M be a left A-module. Assume that m and n are nonnegative integers with $m + n \neq 0$ and $m \neq n$.

- (1) Is there a non-trivial module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \rightarrow M$?
- (2) Construct a non-trival module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \rightarrow M$.

Now we investigate generalized module left (m,n)-derivations for non-unital algebras.

THEOREM 2.5. Let A be an algebra and M be a left A-module. Then each generalized module left (m,n)-derivation $g: A \to M$ satisfies the following:

(1) $x^k \cdot g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 2$. (2) $x^k \cdot g(x^2) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 1$. (3) $g(x^k) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 3$. *Proof.* (1) Letting $y = x^2$ in (1.2), we get

$$(m+n)g(x^{3}) = 2mx \cdot g(x^{2}) + 2nx^{2} \cdot d(x)$$

$$= 2mx \cdot \frac{2mx \cdot g(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x)}{m+n}$$

$$= \frac{4m^{2}}{m+n}x^{2} \cdot g(x)$$

$$(2.8)$$

for all $x \in A$ by Theorem 2.1.

Replacing x by x^2 and letting y = x in (1.1), we get

$$(m+n)g(x^3) = 2mx^2 \cdot g(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x^2) = 2mx^2 \cdot g(x)$$
(2.9)

for all $x \in A$ by Theorem 2.1.

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that $2m^2x^2 \cdot g(x) = 2mnx^2 \cdot g(x)$ for all $x \in A$. So

$$x^2 \cdot g(x) = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus

$$x^{k} \cdot g(x) = x^{k-2} \cdot (x^{2} \cdot g(x)) = 0$$
(2.11)

for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 2$.

(2) It follows from (2.8), (2.9), (2.10) and Theorem 2.1 that

$$(m+n)g(x^3) = 2mx \cdot g(x^2) = 2mx^2 \cdot g(x) = 0$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$x \cdot g(x^2) = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus $x^k \cdot g(x^2) = x^{k-1} \cdot (x \cdot g(x^2)) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 1$.

(3) It follows from (2.9) and (2.10) that

$$(m+n)g(x^3) = 2mx \cdot g(x^2) = 0$$

for all $x \in A$. So

$$g(x^3) = 0 (2.13)$$

for all $x \in A$.

Now we prove $g(x^k) =$ for all $k \ge 3$ by using the mathematical induction on k. (i) For k = 3, we proved $g(x^3) = 0$ in (2.13).

(ii) For k = l - 1, assume that $g(x^{l-1}) = 0$ holds for a fixed integer l with $l \ge 4$. Then for k = l, it follows from Theorem 2.1 and the inductive hypothesis that

$$(m+n)g(x^{l}) = 2mx \cdot g(x^{l-1}) + 2nx^{l-1} \cdot d(x) = 2mx \cdot g(x^{l-1}) + 2nx^{l-3} \cdot (x^{2} \cdot d(x)) = 0$$

for all $x \in A$. Thus $g(x^l) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. By the mathematical induction, we obtain that $g(x^k) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $k \ge 3$. \Box

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let A be an algebra and M be a left A-module. Assume that $d(x^2) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. Then $g(x^2) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ if and only if $x \cdot g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$.

Proof. Letting y = x in (1.2), we get

$$(m+n)g(x^2) = 2mx \cdot g(x) + 2nx \cdot d(x) = 2mx \cdot g(x)$$

for all $x \in A$ by Proposition 2.2. So $g(x^2) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ if and only if $x \cdot g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$. \Box

PROBLEM 2.7. Let A be a non-unital algebra and M be a left A-module. (1) Does $g(x^2) = 0$ hold for all $x \in A$? (2) Does g(x) = 0 hold for all $x \in A$?

PROBLEM 2.8. [6] Let A be a non-unital algebra and M be a left A-module. Assume that m and n are nonnegative integers with $m + n \neq 0$ and $m \neq n$.

(1) Is there a non-trivial generalized module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \rightarrow M$?

(2) Construct a non-trival generalized module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \rightarrow M$.

3. Generalized module left (m, n)-derivations in C^* -algebras

In this section, we investigate module left (m,n)-derivations and generalized module left (m,n)-derivations for C^* -algebras.

If an additive mapping $d: A \to M$ satisfies $d(\lambda x) = \lambda d(x)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then we say that d is \mathbb{C} -linear.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let *A* be a *C*^{*}-algebra and *M* be a left *A*-module. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $d : A \to M$ is called a *module left* (m,n)-*derivation* if

$$(m+n)d(xy) = 2mx \cdot d(y) + 2ny \cdot d(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let *A* be a *C*^{*}-algebra and *M* be a left *A*-module. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $g: A \to M$ is called a *generalized module left* (m,n)-*derivation* if there exists a module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \to M$ such that

$$(m+n)g(xy) = 2mx \cdot g(y) + 2ny \cdot d(x) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

DEFINITION 3.3. [2] Let A be a C^{*}-algebra and $x \in A$ a self-adjoint element, i.e., $x^* = x$. Then x is said to be *positive* if it is of the form yy^* for some $y \in A$.

The set of positive elements of A is denoted by A^+ .

Note that A^+ is a closed convex cone and each self-adjoint element $x = x^+ - x^$ for some positive elements x^+ and x^- (see [2]).

It is well-known that for a positive element x and a positive integer n there exists a unique positive element $y \in A^+$ such that $x = y^n$. We denote y by $x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ (see [5]). Now we investigate module left (m,n)-derivations for C^* -algebras.

THEOREM 3.4. Let A be a C^* -algebra and M be a left A-module. Then each module left (m,n)-derivation $d: A \to M$ is a zero mapping.

Proof. For each $x \in A$, $x = x_1 + ix_2$, where $x_1 = \frac{x+x^*}{2}$ and $x_2 = \frac{x-x^2}{2i}$ are self-adjoint elements. So $x_1 = x_1^+ - x_1^-$ and $x_2 = x_2^+ - x_2^-$, where $x_1^+, x_1^-, x_2^+, x_2^-$ are positive elements.

For each positive element $p \in A^+$, there exists a positive element $q \in A^+$ such that $p = q^3$. By Theorem 2.1, $d(p) = d(q^3) = 0$ for all $p \in A^+$. So

$$d(x) = d(x_1 + ix_2)$$

= $d(x_1^+ - x_1^- + ix_2^+ - ix_2^-)$
= $d(x_1^+) - d(x_1^-) + id(x_2^+) - id(x_2^-) = 0$

for all $x \in A$, as desired.

Finally, we investigate generalized module left (m, n)-derivations for C^{*}-algebras.

THEOREM 3.5. Let A be a C^* -algebra and M be a left A-module. Then each generalized module left (m,n)-derivation $g: A \to M$ is a zero mapping.

Proof. For each $x \in A$, $x = x_1 + ix_2$, where $x_1 = \frac{x+x^*}{2}$ and $x_2 = \frac{x-x^2}{2i}$ are self-adjoint elements. So $x_1 = x_1^+ - x_1^-$ and $x_2 = x_2^+ - x_2^-$, where $x_1^+, x_1^-, x_2^+, x_2^-$ are positive elements.

For each positive element $p \in A^+$, there exists a positive element $q \in A^+$ such that $p = q^3$. By Theorem 2.5, $g(p) = g(q^3) = 0$ for all $p \in A^+$. So

$$g(x) = g(x_1 + ix_2)$$

= $g(x_1^+ - x_1^- + ix_2^+ - ix_2^-)$
= $g(x_1^+) - g(x_1^-) + ig(x_2^+) - ig(x_2^-) = 0$

for all $x \in A$, as desired.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. CUI, D. SHIN, Module left (m,n)-derivations, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 24 (2017), 33–34.
- [2] J. DIXMIER, C*-Algebras, North-Holland Publ. Com., Amsterdam, New York and Oxford, 1977.
- [3] A. FOŠNER, On the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of module left (m,n)-derivations, Aequat. Math. 84 (2012), 91-98.

- [4] A. FOŠNER, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized module left (m,n)-derivations, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013 (2013), Art. ID 2013:208.
- [5] K. R. GOODEARL, Notes on Real and Complex C* -Algebras, Shiva Math. Series IV, Shiva Publ. Limited, Cheshire, England, 1982.
- [6] S. LEE, J. LEE, Generalized module left (m,n)-derivations, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 23 (2016), 385–387.

(Received October 14, 2016)

Choonkil Park Department of Mathematics Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University Seoul 04763, Republic of Korea e-mail: baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Operators and Matrices www.ele-math.com oam@ele-math.com