# COMPLEMENTARITY OF SUBSPACES OF $\ell_{\infty}$ REVISITED

RYOTARO TANAKA

(Communicated by T. S. S. R. K. Rao)

Abstract. We present a simple criterion for complementarity of subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  induced by certain bounded linear operators. As applications, it is shown that some typical and well-known subspaces such as mean or almost convergent sequence spaces are uncomplemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ . We also note that there exists a weak\* closed uncomplemented subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\ell_{\infty}$ , c and  $c_0$  denote the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent or null sequences, respectively. The first example of an uncomplemented subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$  is c(and  $c_0$ ). This folklore result was given by Phillips in his 1940 paper [14]. The original proof is based on a detailed study of representation of linear operators. Nearly a quarter century later, Whitley [16] drastically simplified the proof of Phillips' result by using an idea due to Nakamura and Kakutani [13]. Precisely, he showed that  $(\ell_{\infty}/c_0)^*$  has no countable total subset; and it suffices to conclude that  $c_0$  is not complemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ since the property that the dual space has a countable total subset is preserved under taking subspaces or by linear isomorphisms.

Complementarity of subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  had been deeply studied as a part of the main stream of the isomorphic theory. In 1967, Lindenstrauss [10] gave an important characterization of complemented subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  by showing that  $\ell_{\infty}$  is a prime Banach space, that is, an infinite dimensional complemented subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$  must be isomorphic to  $\ell_{\infty}$ . (The converse implication follows from the fact that  $\ell_{\infty}$  is an injective Banach space; see, for example, [1, Proposition 2.5.2].) It follows that, at least, there is no separable infinite dimensional complemented subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$ . At this point, Phillips' result was significantly improved by Lindenstrauss.

The theoretical development for the study of Banach space structure of complemented subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  has been mostly reached the stage of satisfaction (since such spaces are isomorphically "the same" as  $\ell_{\infty}$ ). However, this well-known characterization is not always effective in determining the complementarity of concrete nonseparable subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$ . To do this, we still have to investigate for case by case; because we do not know whether checking an infinite dimensional subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$  is

Keywords and phrases: Complemented subspace, matrix representation, bounded sequence, mean convergence, almost convergence.



Mathematics subject classification (2010): 46B03, 46B20, 46B45.

(not) isomorphic to  $\ell_{\infty}$  is easier than examining the complementarity of the subspace directly.

In this paper, we present a simple criterion for complementarity of subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  induced by bounded linear operators admitting matrix representations. The proof employs the above mentioned argument of Whitley, that is, we check whether the dual spaces of the quotient of  $\ell_{\infty}$  by such subspaces have countable total subsets. As an application, among other examples, we show that closed subspaces between  $c_0$  and the mean convergent sequence space are all uncomplemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ . From this and Lorentz's theorem [11], in particular, we conclude that the space of almost convergent sequences is also uncomplemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ . On the other hand, we provide an example of a weak\* closed subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$  then  $(\ell_{\infty}/M)^*$  always has a countable total subset. Consequently, we see that there is a limit to determining the complementarity of subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  by using Whitley's method.

### **2.** Subspaces of $\ell_{\infty}$ induced by matrices

Let  $B(\ell_{\infty})$  denote the Banach space of bounded linear operators on  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Suppose that  $T \in B(\ell_{\infty})$ . We consider the closed subspaces  $c(T) := T^{-1}(c)$  and  $c_0(T) := T^{-1}(c_0)$  of  $\ell_{\infty}$ , respectively. We note that c(I) = c and  $c_0(I) = c_0$  while  $c(0) = c_0(0) = \ell_{\infty}$ .

A linear operator T on  $\ell_{\infty}$  is said to *admits a matrix representation* if there exists an infinite matrix  $(t_{ij})$  of complex numbers such that  $(Ta)_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{nj}a_j$  for each  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$ . If  $T \in B(\ell_{\infty})$  admits a matrix representation, the spaces c(T) and  $c_0(T)$ are closely related to objects studied in the monograph [4]. In particular, c(T) is called the *bounded summability field* of T; see also [5, 7]. For further information of the "summability domains" of matrices in normed spaces and the matrix transformations, the readers are referred to [2]

Let *X* be a Banach space. A subset *F* of  $X^*$  is said to be *total* if f(x) = 0 for each  $f \in F$  implies that x = 0. Now suppose that *M* is a subspace of *X*, and that *Y* is a Banach space isomorphic to *X*. If  $X^*$  has a countable total subset then  $M^*$  and  $Y^*$  also have countable total subsets. Since  $\ell_{\infty}^*$  has a countable total subset consisting of coordinate functionals, it follows that each complemented subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$  must have such a set.

Now we present the main theorem. The proof is based on a combination of a *gliding hump argument* and Whitley's method [16].

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $T \in B(\ell_{\infty})$  with a matrix representation  $(t_{ij})$ . Suppose that  $c_0 \subset c_0(T) \subsetneq \ell_{\infty}$ . If M is a closed subspace with  $c_0 \subset M \subset c(T)$ , then  $(\ell_{\infty}/M)^*$  has no countable total subsets. Consequently, M is not complemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e_n = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where 1 is in the *n*-th position; and let  $e_n^* a = a_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$ . We note that  $t_{ij} = e_i^* T e_j$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\gamma_{ij}$  be a complex number such that  $|\gamma_{ij}| = 1$  and  $\gamma_{ij}t_{ij} = |t_{ij}|$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since *T* is bounded, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |t_{ij}| \leq ||T||$  for each *i*. Moreover, since  $T(c_0) \subset c_0$ , we have  $t_{ij} = e_i^* T e_j \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty$ . This also shows  $\sum_{j=1}^m |t_{ij}| \to 0$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $i \to \infty$ .

Take an arbitrary  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty} \setminus c_0(T)$ . Then there exists an increasing sequence  $(i_k)$  of natural numbers such that  $e_{i_k}^* Ta \to \alpha \neq 0$ . Removing finite number of elements from  $(i_k)$  if necessary, we have

$$|e_{i_k}^*Ta - \alpha| < |\alpha|/2$$

for each k. Since  $e_{i_k}^* Ta = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_{i_k j} a_j$ , it follows that

$$||a||_{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|t_{i_kj}| \ge \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}t_{i_kj}a_j\right| = |e_{i_k}^*Ta| > |\alpha|/2.$$

Hence, putting  $M = |\alpha|/(2||a||_{\infty}) > 0$  yields  $M < \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_{i_k j}| \leq ||T||$  for each k.

If we put  $n_1 = i_1$  then there exists an  $m_1$  such that  $\sum_{j=m_1+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_1j}| < M/4$ . In this case, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_1} |t_{n_1j}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_{n_1j}| - \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_1j}| > M/2.$$

Now we assume that there exist strictly increasing sequences  $(n_p)_{p=1}^q, (m_p)_{p=1}^q$  satisfying

- (i)  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_{p-1}} |t_{n_p j}| < M/2^{p+1};$
- (ii)  $\sum_{j=m_p+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_p j}| < M/2^{p+1}$ ; and
- (iii)  $\sum_{j=m_{p-1}+1}^{m_p} |t_{n_p j}| > (1-1/2^p)M$

for each p = 1, 2, ..., q, where  $m_0 = 0$ . Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_q} |t_{ij}| \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty$ , there exists an  $n_{q+1} \in (i_k)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_q} |t_{n_{q+1}j}| < M/2^{q+2}$ . For this  $n_{q+1}$ , there exists an  $m_{q+1} \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m_{q+1} > m_q$  such that  $\sum_{j=m_{q+1}+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_{q+1}j}| < M/2^{q+2}$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{j=m_q+1}^{m_{q+1}} |t_{n_{q+1}j}| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |t_{n_{q+1}j}| - \sum_{j=1}^{m_q} |t_{n_{q+1}j}| - \sum_{j=m_{q+1}+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_{q+1}j}|$$
  
>  $(1 - 1/2^{q+1})M.$ 

Thus, by an induction, we have infinite sequences  $(n_p)_{p=1}^{\infty}, (m_p)_{p=1}^{\infty}$  satisfying

- (i)  $\sum_{j=1}^{m_{p-1}} |t_{n_p j}| < M/2^{p+1};$
- (ii)  $\sum_{j=m_p+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_p j}| < M/2^{p+1}$ ; and

(iii) 
$$\sum_{j=m_{p-1}+1}^{m_p} |t_{n_p j}| > (1-1/2^p)M$$

for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $N_p = \{m_{p-1}+1, m_{p-1}+2, \dots, m_p\}$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $m_0 = 0$ .

It is known that there exists a family  $(A_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in I}$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  with the following properties:

- (i) The index set I is uncountable.
- (ii)  $A_{\lambda}$  is an infinite set for each  $\lambda \in I$ .
- (iii)  $A_{\lambda} \cap A_{\mu}$  is finite whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu$ .

See, for example, [12, Lemma 3.2.19]. For each  $\lambda \in I$ , define the bounded sequence  $a^{(\lambda)} = (a_n^{(\lambda)})$  by

$$a_n^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \gamma_{n_p,n} \ (n \in N_p, \ p \in A_\lambda) \\ 0 \ (n \in N_p, \ p \notin A_\lambda) \end{cases}$$

We show that  $a^{(\lambda)} \notin c(T)$ . Indeed, we have

$$e_{n_p}^*Ta^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_{p-1}} t_{n_p j} a_j^{(\lambda)} + \sum_{j=m_{p-1}+1}^{m_p} t_{n_p j} a_j^{(\lambda)} + \sum_{j=m_p+1}^{\infty} t_{n_p j} a_j^{(\lambda)},$$

and hence, if  $p \in A_{\lambda}$  then

$$|e_{n_p}^*Ta^{(\lambda)}| \ge \sum_{j=m_{p-1}+1}^{m_p} |t_{n_pj}| - \sum_{j=1}^{m_{p-1}} |t_{n_pj}| - \sum_{j=m_p+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_pj}| > (1 - 1/2^{p-1})M.$$

However, in the case of  $p \notin A_{\lambda}$ , one obtains

$$|e_{n_p}^*Ta^{(\lambda)}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_{p-1}} |t_{n_pj}| + \sum_{j=m_p+1}^{\infty} |t_{n_pj}| < M/2^p.$$

Since  $A_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \setminus A_{\lambda}$  are both infinite set, the sequence  $Ta^{(\lambda)}$  cannot converge.

Next, we shall see that  $a^{(\lambda)} - a^{(\mu)} \notin c(T)$  whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . If  $p \in A_{\lambda} \setminus A_{\mu}$ , as in the preceding paragraph, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}[e_{n_p}^*(Ta^{(\lambda)} - Ta^{(\mu)})] > (1 - 3/2^p)M,$$

while

$$\operatorname{Re}[e_{n_p}^*(Ta^{(\lambda)} - Ta^{(\mu)})] < -(1 - 3/2^p)M,$$

for the case of  $p \in A_{\mu} \setminus A_{\lambda}$ . Remark that, in either case, one has

$$|\operatorname{Im}[e_{n_{n}}^{*}(Ta^{(\lambda)}-Ta^{(\mu)})]| < M/2^{p-1}.$$

From these estimations, we deduce that the sequence  $Ta^{(\lambda)} - Ta^{(\mu)}$  is not Cauchy, and thus it does not converge.

We now consider the value of  $\|(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j a^{(\lambda_j)}) + c_0\|$ , where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are mutually distinct elements of *I*. Since  $A_{\lambda_i} \cap A_{\lambda_j}$  is finite whenever  $i \neq j$ , after removing finitely many coordinates, we can easily show that  $\|(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j a^{(\lambda_j)}) + c_0\| \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\alpha_j|$ .

Finally, let *M* be a closed subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$  with  $c_0 \subset M \subset c(T)$ . Then one has  $a^{(\lambda)}, a^{(\lambda)} - a^{(\mu)} \notin M$  whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu$ . Let  $\varphi \in (\ell_{\infty}/M)^*$ . For each  $\lambda \in I$ , there exists a  $\delta_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\delta_{\lambda}| = 1$  and  $\delta_{\lambda} \varphi(a^{(\lambda)} + M) = |\varphi(a^{(\lambda)} + M)|$ . Take an arbitrary finite subset *J* of *I*. Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\varphi\| \ge \|\varphi\| \left\| (\sum_{\lambda \in J} \delta_{\lambda} a^{(\lambda)}) + c_0 \right\| \ge \|\varphi\| \left\| (\sum_{\lambda \in J} \delta_{\lambda} a^{(\lambda)}) + M \right\| \\ \ge \left|\varphi\left( (\sum_{\lambda \in J} \delta_{\lambda} a^{(\lambda)}) + M \right) \right\| = \sum_{\lambda \in J} |\varphi(a^{(\lambda)} + M)|, \end{split}$$

which implies that  $I_{\varphi,n} = \{\lambda \in I : |\varphi(a^{(\lambda)} + M)| > 1/n\}$  is finite for each *n*. Hence  $I_{\varphi} = \{\lambda \in I : \varphi(a^{(\lambda)} + M) \neq 0\} = \bigcup_{n} I_{\varphi,n}$  is countable. Now suppose that  $\mathscr{C}$  is a countable subset of  $(\ell_{\infty}/M)^*$ . Then it follows that

$$\{\lambda \in I : \varphi(a^{(\lambda)} + M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } \varphi \in \mathscr{C}\} = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathscr{C}} I_{\varphi}$$

is countable, and therefore  $\mathscr C$  cannot be total. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 2.2. We remark that the preceding theorem is not true in general without the assumption on matrix representability. Indeed, there exists an operator  $T \in B(\ell_{\infty})$  which satisfies  $c_0 \subset c_0(T) \subsetneq \ell_{\infty}$ , but the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 does not hold. Indeed, let  $\varphi$  be a Banach limit on  $\ell_{\infty}$ , and let  $Ta = \varphi(a)\mathbf{1}$  for each  $a \in \ell_{\infty}$ . Then  $T(c_0) = \{0\} \subset c_0$  and  $T(\ell_{\infty}) = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1} \not\subset c_0$ . However the identity  $c(T) = \ell_{\infty}$  holds. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 fails for this T.

The rest of this section is devoted to presenting some applications of Theorem 2.1. Recall that a sequence  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$  is said to be *convergent in the sense of Cesáro mean of order* 1 to  $\alpha$  if the sequence  $(n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j)$  converges to  $\alpha$ , and *almost convergent* to the *almost limit*  $\alpha$  if  $\varphi(a) = \alpha$  for each Banach limit  $\varphi$  on  $\ell_{\infty}$ . It is well-known as Lorentz's theorem [11] that  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$  is almost convergent to  $\alpha$  if and only if

$$\lim_{m} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{n+j-1} - \alpha \right| = 0.$$

The spaces of all bounded sequences convergent in the sense of Cesáro mean of order 1 is denoted by  $\tilde{c}$ . In [15], a similar sequence space (containing unbounded ones) was investigated by using the same symbol. The Banach spaces consisting of all almost convergent or almost null sequences are denoted by f and  $f_0$ , respectively. We note that  $c_0 \subset f_0 \subset f \subset \tilde{c}$  holds.

COROLLARY 2.3. All the spaces  $\tilde{c}, f, f_0$  are closed and uncomplemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Moreover,  $f_0$  contains an isometric copy of  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{c}, f, f_0$  are not prime. *Proof.* For each  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$ , let

$$Ta = \left(a_1, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n}, \dots\right).$$

Then  $T \in B(\ell_{\infty})$  and admits a matrix representation  $(t_{ij})$ , where

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1/i \ (i \ge j) \\ 0 \quad (i < j) \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have  $T(1) = 1 \notin c_0$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.1, all closed subspaces M of  $\ell_{\infty}$  satisfying  $c_0 \subset M \subset c(T) = \tilde{c}$  are not complemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

For the fact that  $f_0$  contains an isometric copy of  $\ell_{\infty}$ , we refer the readers to Lorentz [11] (see also [3, Theorem 3.2]). The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.4. Let d and  $d_0$  be subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  given by

$$c(\Delta) = \{a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty} : (a_n - a_{n+1}) \text{ converges} \}$$
  
$$c_0(\Delta) = \{a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty} : (a_n - a_{n+1}) \text{ converges to } 0\}$$

Then  $c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta)$  are closed and uncomplemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Moreover,  $c_0(\Delta)$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Consequently,  $c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta)$  are not prime.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  be a bounded linear operator on  $\ell_{\infty}$  given by  $\Delta a = (a_n - a_{n+1})$  for each  $a = (a_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$ . Then  $\Delta$  admits a matrix representation  $(t_{ij})$ , where  $t_{ii} = 1$  and  $t_{i(i+1)} = -1$  for each *i*, and  $t_{ij} = 0$  for otherwise. We note that  $\Delta(c) \subset c_0$  since each convergent sequence is Cauchy. Moreover, one has

$$\Delta(1,0,1,0,\ldots) = (1,-1,1,-1,\ldots) \notin c.$$

Hence  $\Delta$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 2.1. Now, it follows from  $c_0 \subset c_0(\Delta) \subset c(\Delta)$  that  $c(\Delta)$  and  $c_0(\Delta)$  are closed and not complemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

We shall show that  $c_0(\Delta)$  has an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_{\infty}$  in it. Since  $\sum_n 1/n = \infty$ , we have an infinite sequence  $(m_k)$  such that  $1/2 \leq \sum_{j=m_{k-1}+1}^{m_k} 1/j \leq 1$ , where  $m_0 = 0$ . Put  $M_k = \sum_{j=m_{k-1}+1}^{m_k} 1/j$  for each k. Then there exists an  $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M_k/n_k \leq 1/m_k$ . Put  $q_0 = 0$ . Define  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  inductively by  $p_k = q_{k-1} + m_k - m_{k-1}$  and  $q_k = p_k + n_k$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $q_0 < p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < \cdots$ . Let  $I_k = \{q_{k-1} + 1, q_{k-1} + 2, \dots, p_k\}$  and  $J_k = \{p_k + 1, p_k + 2, \dots, q_k\}$  for each k. Then  $|I_k| = m_k - m_{k-1}$ ,  $|J_k| = n_k$  and

$$I_k \cup J_k = \{q_{k-1} + 1, q_{k-1} + 2, \dots, q_k\}$$

Let  $a = (a_n)$  be an element of  $\ell_{\infty}$  given by

$$a_{q_{k-1}+l} = \sum_{j=m_{k-1}+1}^{m_{k-1}+l} 1/j$$

for each  $1 \leq l \leq m_k - m_{k-1}$ , and

$$a_{p_k+l} = a_{p_k} - M_k l / n_k = (1 - l / n_k) M_k$$

for each  $1 \le l \le n_k$ . In particular, one has that  $a_{p_k} = M_k$  and  $a_{q_k} = 0$ . Moreover, if  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we note that  $a_{q_{k-1}+l} - a_{q_{k-1}+l+1} = 1/(m_{k-1}+l)$  for each  $1 \le l \le m_k - m_{k-1}$ , and  $a_{p_k+l} - a_{p_k+l+1} = M_k/n_k \le 1/m_k$  for each  $1 \le l \le n_k$ . One has  $a_{q_k} - a_{q_k+1} = -1/(m_k+1)$ . These show that

$$\max_{n \in I_k \cup J_k} |a_n - a_{n+1}| = 1/(m_{k-1} + 1)$$

for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now, for each  $b = (b_n) \in \ell_{\infty}$ , we define  $(\Phi b)_n = a_n b_k$  for each  $n \in I_k \cup J_k$ . By the preceding paragraph and the fact that  $a_{q_k+1} = 1/(m_k+1)$  for each k, we have  $\Phi b \in c_0(\Delta)$ . Moreover, since  $1/2 \leq a_{p_k} = M_k \leq 1$  and  $||a||_{\infty} \leq 1$ , it follows that

 $\|b\|_{\infty}/2 \leqslant \|\Phi b\|_{\infty} \leqslant \|b\|_{\infty}.$ 

This proves that  $\Phi(\ell_{\infty})$  is an isomorphic copy of  $\ell_{\infty}$  in  $c_0(\Delta)$ .  $\Box$ 

We remark that the symbols  $c(\Delta)$  and  $c_0(\Delta)$  are used in [8] to denoting the spaces of all (possibly unbounded) difference convergent or difference null sequences.

### 3. A weak\* closed subspace

In this section, we construct a weak<sup>\*</sup> closed uncomplemented subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$ . For this, we refer some results on projection constants; see König [9] and Foucart and Skrzypek [6]. Let *M* be a closed subspace of a Banach space *X*. Then the relative projection constant of *M* in *X* is given by

 $\lambda(M, X) := \inf\{||P|| : P \text{ is a bounded projection from } X \text{ onto } M\}.$ 

For each  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider the value

$$\lambda(m,N) := \max\{\lambda(M,\ell_{\infty}^{N}) : \dim M = m\}.$$

THEOREM 3.1. There exists an uncomplemented weak<sup>\*</sup> closed subspace W of  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Moreover, W contains an isometric copy of  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(p_m)$  be the increasing sequence of prime numbers with  $p_1 = 5$ . As in [9] (or [6]), for each m, there exists an  $p_m$ -dimensional subspace  $M_m$  of  $\ell_m^{p_m^2}$  such that  $\lim_m \lambda(M_m, \ell_\infty^{p_m^2})/\sqrt{m} = 1$ . Fix an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{e_1^{(m)}, e_2^{(m)}, \ldots, e_{p_m}^{(m)}\}$  be a basis for  $M_m$ . Then we have a basis  $\{e_1^{(m)}, e_2^{(m)}, \ldots, e_{p_m^2}^{(m)}\}$  for the whole space  $\ell_\infty^{p_m^2}$ . Let  $f_j^{(m)}(\sum_{i=1}^{N_m} a_i e_i^{(m)}) = a_{m+j}$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, p_m^2 - p_m$ . Then one has  $M_m = \bigcap_{j=1}^{p_m^2 - p_m} \ker f_j^{(m)}$ .

Now let  $A_1 = \{1, 2, ..., p_1^2\}$  and

$$A_m = \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} p_i^2 \right) + 1, \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} p_i^2 \right) + 2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m p_i^2 \right\}.$$

Put  $P_m(a) = a \cdot \chi_{A_m}$  for each m and for each  $a \in \ell_{\infty}$ . Then, for each m, there exists a natural identification  $Q_m : \ell_{\infty}^{p_m^2} \to P_m(\ell_{\infty})$ . Define a subspace W of  $\ell_{\infty}$  by the internal direct sum  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \oplus Q_m(M_m)$ . In other words,  $a \in W$  if and only if  $P_m a \in Q_m(M_m)$  for each m. It follows that the space W can be written as

$$W = \bigcap \{ \ker(\mathcal{Q}_m^{-1} P_m)^* f_j^{(m)} : m \in \mathbb{N}, \ 1 \leq j \leq p_m^2 - p_m \}.$$

Since each projection  $P_m$  is weak\*-to-norm continuous, all the functional of the form  $(Q_m^{-1}P_m)^* f_j^{(m)}$  are weakly\* continuous, which proves that W is weak\* closed.

Suppose that *P* is a bounded projection from  $\ell_{\infty}$  onto *W*. Then the operator  $Q_m^{-1}P_mPQ_m$  is a bounded projection from  $\ell_{\infty}^{p_m^2}$  onto  $M_m$ . Indeed, we have  $P_mPa \in Q_m(M_m) \subset W$  for each  $a \in \ell_{\infty}$ , which implies that  $(P_mP)^2 = P_mP$ . Hence one has

$$1 = \lim_{m} \frac{\lambda(M_m, \ell_{\infty}^{p_m^*})}{\sqrt{m}} \leq \limsup_{m} \frac{\|Q_m^{-1} P_m P Q_m\|}{\sqrt{m}} \leq \lim_{m} \frac{\|P\|}{\sqrt{m}} = 0.$$

a contradiction. Thus there is no bounded projection from  $\ell_{\infty}$  onto W, that is, W is uncomplemented in  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

Finally, take an arbitrary  $x_m \in S_{Q_m(M_m)}$  for each m. Define  $T : \ell_{\infty} \to W$  by  $T(a_n) = w^* - \lim_m \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$ . It is routine to check that T is well-defined and isometric. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

On the other hand, it is known that if M is a weak<sup>\*</sup> closed subspace of  $\ell_{\infty}$  then  $(\ell_{\infty}/M)^*$  always has a countable total subset. As a consequence, the property that  $(\ell_{\infty}/M)^*$  has a countable total subset is necessary but not sufficient for assuring the complementarity of M in  $\ell_{\infty}$ . Hence there exists a limit to determining the complementarity of subspaces of  $\ell_{\infty}$  by using Whitley's method while it still has interesting applications.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. ALBIAC AND N. J. KALTON, Topics in Banach space theory, Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] F. BAŞAR, Summability theory and its applications, Bentham Science Publishers, Ltd., Oak Park, IL, 2012.
- [3] F. BAŞAR AND M. KIRIŞÇI, Almost convergence and generalized difference matrix, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (3) (2011), 602–611.
- [4] J. BOOS, Classical and modern methods in summability, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [5] R. M. DEVOS AND F. W. HARTMANN, Sequences of bounded summability domains, Pacific J. Math. 74 (2) (1978) 333–338.
- [6] S. FOUCART AND L. SKRZYPEK, On maximal relative projection constants, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 447 (1) (2017) 309–328.
- [7] J. D. HILL AND W. T. SLEDD, Approximation in bounded summability fields, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968) 410–415.

- [8] H. KIZMAZ, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (2) (1981) 169–176.
- [9] H. KÖNIG, Spaces with large projection constants, Israel J. Math. 50 (3) (1985) 181–188.
- [10] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, On complemented subspaces of m, Israel J. Math. 5 (1967), 153–156.
- [11] G. G. LORENTZ, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math. 80 (1948) 167–190.
- [12] R. E. MEGGINSON, An Introduction to Banach Space Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [13] M. NAKAMURA AND S. KAKUTANI, Banach limits and the Čech compactification of a countable discrete set, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 19 (1943) 224–229.
- [14] R. S. PHILLIPS, On linear transformations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1940) 516–541.
- [15] M. ŞENGÖNÜ AND F. BAŞAR, Some new Cesáro sequence spaces of non-absolute type which include the spaces c<sub>0</sub> and c, Soochow J. Math. **31** (1) (2005) 107–119.
- [16] R. WHITLEY, Mathematical Notes: Projecting m onto c<sub>0</sub>, Amer. Math. Monthly 73 (3) (1966) 285–286.

(Received May 7, 2018)

Ryotaro Tanaka Faculty of Industrial Science and Technology Tokyo University of Science Oshamanbe, Hokkaido 049-3514, Japan e-mail: r-tanaka@rs.tus.ac.jp

Operators and Matrices www.ele-math.com oam@ele-math.com