LYAPUNOV PROPERTY OF POSITIVE C_0 -SEMIGROUPS ON NON-COMMUTATIVE L^p SPACES

T. PRAJAPATI*, K. B. SINHA AND S. SRIVASTAVA

(Communicated by T. S. S. R. K. Rao)

Abstract. That the growth bound of a positive C_0 -semigroup on classical L_p -space coincides with the spectral bound of its generator, is a well known result in classical semigroup theory. In this paper we study this result in the non-commutative setting.

1. Introduction

Let $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on a Banach space X with a generator A. Set $s(A) := \sup\{Re\lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ and $w(T) := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \exists M \geq 1 \text{ such that } \|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\lambda t} \forall t \geq 0\}$, where $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum of A. If dim $X < \infty$, then the spectral bound s(A) is equal to the growth bound w(T) and this implies that the solution $u(t) = T(t)x_0$ of the initial value problem in X:

$$u'(t) = Au(t), \quad u(0) = x_0$$

decays exponentially to zero if s(A) < 0. The equality between s(A) and w(T) is not true, in general, for C_0 -semigroups if dim $X = \infty$. However, the spectral mapping theorem implies that $s(A) \leq w(T)$ in general. It is also known that the said equality (we shall call it the *Lyapunov property* of the semigroup T) is true for every holomorphic semigroup and there are examples of violation of Lyapunov property for C_0 -semigroups even on Hilbert spaces (see [1, Section 5.1]).

On the other hand, the additional assumption of positivity, in situations where it makes sense, often verifies the Lyapunov property. For example, this is true for classical L^p -spaces, L-spaces, von-Neumann algebras, $C(\Omega)$ and $C_0(\Omega)$ [1, 11]. For positive C_0 -semigroups on classical L^p spaces, the fact that the Lyapunov property holds, was proven first (i) for p = 1 by Derdinger in 1980 [4], (ii) for p = 2 in 1983 by Greiner-Nagel [5] (iii) for all $1 \le p < \infty$, with some additional conditions, in [16] and [7] and finally (iv) for all positive C_0 semigroups on L^p , $1 \le p < \infty$ by Weis [17] in 1995.

* Corresponding author.



Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47D06, 47D03.

Keywords and phrases: C_0 -semigroup, Lyapunov property, non-commutative L^p space, Schatten class.

This research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST) - Science Education and Research Board (SERB)'s Fast Track Grant.

This article studies Lyapunov property for C_0 -semigroups defined on non commutative L^p spaces. We show directly, using Datko's theorem, that s(A) = w(T) for a positive C_0 -semigroup defined on non-commutative $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ or $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space, where \mathcal{M} is a von-Neumann algebra with a normal, semifinite, faithful trace τ . Moreover, following Voigt [16], where a similar result is proven in the commutative setting, we prove that the equality holds for C_0 -semigroups defined on non-commutative $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ spaces for $1 \leq p < \infty$, provided some additional conditions hold. We also show that the Lyapunov property holds for consistent families of positive C_0 -semigroups defined on a special class of non-commutative L^p spaces - the Schatten classes.

2. Preliminaries

We briefly recall the definition of non-commutative L^p -spaces, referring the reader to [3, 14] for details. Let \mathscr{M} be a von-Neumann algebra with a normal, semifinite, faithful trace τ . Let S_+ be the set of all positive $x \in \mathscr{M}$ such that $\tau(x) < \infty$ and S be linear span of S_+ . Then $L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$ is the completion of S with respect to the norm $||x||_p = \tau(|x|^p)^{1/p}$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. $L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$ can also be described as a space of unbounded operators x affiliated to \mathscr{M} in a certain sense such that $\tau(|x|^p) < \infty$. We set $L^{\infty}(\mathscr{M}, \tau) = \mathscr{M}$ equipped with the operator norm. The trace τ can be extended as continuous linear functional on $L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$ with $|\tau(x)| \leq ||x||_1$.

The usual Hölder inequality extends to the non-commutative setting. Let $1 \leq r$, $p, q \leq \infty$ be such that 1/r = 1/p + 1/q and $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $y \in L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $xy \in L^r(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and

$$\|xy\|_{r} \leq \|x\|_{p} \|y\|_{q}.$$
(2.1)

In particular, if r = 1, that is, 1/p + 1/q = 1, then for $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $y \in L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have that $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and

$$|\tau(xy)| \le ||xy||_1 \le ||x||_p ||y||_q.$$
(2.2)

This defines a natural duality between $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle = \tau(xy^*)$. Then for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, 1/p + 1/q = 1, we have

$$L^{p}(\mathcal{M},\tau)^{*} = L^{q}(\mathcal{M},\tau).$$
(2.3)

Thus $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the predual of \mathcal{M} and $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is reflexive for $1 . The space <math>L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product $(x, y) \hookrightarrow \langle x, y^* \rangle$. It is known that $\mathcal{M} \cap L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is dense in $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for 1 .

Throughout this article, we will assume that \mathcal{M} is a von-Neumann algebra with a normal, faithful, semifinite trace τ unless otherwise stated.

Consider a C_0 -semigroup $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$ with generator A. Setting $T'(t) = e^{-wt}T(t)$ for some $w \in \mathbb{R}$, it is clear that the generator A - w satisfies s(A - w) = s(A) - w and $||T'(t)|| \le Me^{(w(T)-w)t}$. If we can show that s(A) - w < 0 implies (w(T) - w) < 0, then $w(T) \le s(A)$, which combined with the earlier observation would imply the Lyapunov property. Since w is arbitrary, it suffices to prove that s(A) < 0 implies w(T) < 0.

The following useful criterion for w(T) < 0 is very well known.

THEOREM 2.1. [1, Datko's theorem]: The following are equivalent:

- (i) w(T) < 0,
- (ii) $\int_0^\infty ||T(t)x||_X^p dt < \infty$ for all $x \in X$, and some $p \in [1,\infty)$.

We note that each of the non-commutative L^p -spaces is a normal ordered Banach space [13], and if T is a semigroup of positive maps on X, then there is a simplification to the above theorem.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $X = L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 1 \leq p < \infty$ and $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on X. The following are equivalent,

- (i') w(T) < 0,
- (ii') $\int_{1}^{\infty} ||T(t)x||_{X}^{p} dt < \infty$ for all $x \in X_{+}$, the positive cone of X, and for some $p \in [1,\infty)$.

Proof. Only the implication $(ii') \Rightarrow (i')$ needs to be proven and for that it suffices to show that $(ii') \Rightarrow (ii)$ of Theorem because continuity of *T* implies that $||T(t)|| \leq M$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Let $x \in X$ be a self adjoint element, such that $x = x_+ - x_-$ and $x_+, x_- \in X_+$. Then by triangle inequality

$$||T_p(t)x||_p = ||T_p(t)x_+ - T_p(t)x_-||_p \leq ||T_p(t)x_+||_p + ||T_p(t)x_-||_p.$$

Thus by the Minkowski inequality, one has that

$$\left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p \, dt\right)^{1/p} \leqslant \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \|T_p(t)x_+\|_p^p \, dt\right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \|T_p(t)x_-\|_p^p \, dt\right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Now let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Then $x = x_1 + ix_2$, where x_1, x_2 are self adjoint elements of X. Again by using the triangle inequality, we get

$$||T_p(t)x||_p = ||T_p(t)x_1 + iT_p(t)x_2||_p \le ||T_p(t)x_1||_p + ||T_p(t)x_2||_p$$

and an identical reasoning gives the required result. \Box

We shall need the following technical result in the sequel.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $T_p := \{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a positive C_0 -semigroup on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ and $\alpha > \max\{0, w(T_p)\}$, set

$$G_{\alpha}(s,t) := \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha(t-s)}T_p(t)x & (0 \leq s \leq t) \\ 0 & (t < s). \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Then

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt \leqslant \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right)^p \tau\left(\int_0^{\infty} \left(\int_0^{\infty} G_\alpha(s,t) \, ds\right)^p dt\right).$$
(2.5)

Proof. For a fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t) \, ds = \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} T_p(t) x \, ds = \left(\int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} ds\right) T_p(t) x$$
$$= \left(\frac{1-e^{-\alpha t}}{\alpha}\right) T_p(t) x \in L^p(\mathcal{M},\tau)_+,$$

since $T_p(t)x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ due to positivity of $T_p(t)$. Thus $(\int_0^{\infty} G_{\alpha}(s, t) ds)^p \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ for all $t \ge 0$, so that,

$$0 \leqslant \tau \left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t) \, ds \right)^p < \infty$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t) ds \right)^p dt \right) &= \tau \left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-\alpha t}}{\alpha} \right)^p (T_p(t)x)^p dt \right) \\ &\geqslant \tau \left(\int_1^\infty \left(\frac{1-e^{-\alpha t}}{\alpha} \right)^p (T_p(t)x)^p dt \right) \\ &\geqslant \left(\frac{1-e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^p \tau \left(\int_1^\infty (T_p(t)x)^p dt \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1-e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^p \int_1^\infty \tau (T_p(t)x)^p dt \\ &= \left(\frac{1-e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^p \int_1^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \|T_{p}(t)x\|_{p}^{p} dt \leqslant \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\right)^{p} \tau\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} G_{\alpha}(s,t)ds\right)^{p} dt\right). \quad \Box$$

Throughout the rest of this article, we will assume that \mathcal{M} is a von-Neumann algebra with a normal faithful semifinite trace τ unless otherwise stated.

3. The case when p = 1, 2

In the next theorem, we give a direct proof of the fact that the Lyapunov property holds for all C_0 -semigroups on $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. This result may also be deduced indirectly, from the facts that for such spaces, the norm is additive on the positive cone, these spaces are normal, ordered Banach spaces [14], and some spectral bounds of the generator of C_0 -semigroups defined on such spaces coincide (see [1, Section 5.3]).

THEOREM 3.1. Let $T_1 := \{T_1(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$ be a positive C_0 -semigroup on $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, with generator A_1 . Then

$$s(A_1) = w(T_1).$$

Proof. Let $\{T_1(t)\}$ and A_1 be as above and suppose that $s(A_1) < 0$. In view of Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.1 and the discussion preceeding it, it suffices to show that $\int_1^\infty ||T_1(t)x||_1 dt < \infty$, for all $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$. Let $\alpha > \max\{0, w(T_1)\}, x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ and G_α be as in Lemma 2.3. Due to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, it is enough to show that

$$\tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t)ds\right)dt\right) < \infty \text{ for all } x \in L^1(\mathcal{M},\tau)_+.$$
(3.1)

Note that the positivity of $T_1(t)$ implies that $e^{-\alpha(t-s)}T_1(t)x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Changing the order of integration in the expression on the left hand side of (3.1), we get

$$\tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t)\,ds\right)dt\right) = \tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)}T_1(t)x\,dt\right)ds\right),\quad(3.2)$$

and on setting t - s = u in the expression on RHS of 3.2, we have

$$\tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)}T_1(t)x\,dt\right)\,ds\right) = \tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u}T_1(s+u)x\,du\right)\,ds\right)$$
$$= \tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u}T_1(s)T_1(u)x\,du\right)\,ds\right)$$
$$= \tau\left(\int_0^\infty T_1(s)\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u}T_1(u)x\,du\right)\,ds\right)$$
$$= \tau\left(\int_0^\infty T_1(s)\left(\phi_\alpha(x)\right)\,ds\right) = \tau\left(R(0,A_1)\phi_\alpha(x)\right)\,ds$$

where $\phi_{\alpha}(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u} T_1(u) x \, du \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ since $\alpha > w(T_1)$. Therefore, for all $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$, we have

$$\tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty G(s,t)\ ds\right)dt\right) = \tau\left(R(0,A_1)\phi_\alpha(x)\right) \leqslant \|R(0,A_1)\|\|\phi_\alpha(x)\|_1 < \infty.$$

Therefore one has $\int_1^{\infty} ||T_1(t)x||_1 dt < \infty$, which implies the same conclusion for $\int_0^{\infty} ||T_1(t)x||_1 dt < \infty$ and hence by Lemma 2.2, the result follows. \Box

THEOREM 3.2. Let $T_2 := \{T_2(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$ be a positive C_0 -semigroup on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, which is symmetric, that is, $\langle T_2(t)x, y \rangle = \tau((T_2(t)x)y^*) = \langle x, T_2(t)y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and for all $t \ge 0$, with generator A_2 . Then

$$s(A_2) = w(T_2).$$

Proof. Suppose $s(A_2) < 0$. Let $\alpha > \max\{0, w(T_2)\}, x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ and G_α be as in Lemma 2.3. It is sufficient to show in view of Lemma 2.3, that

$$\tau\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t)ds\right)^2 dt\right) < \infty.$$

We note that $G_{\alpha}(.,t):[0,1] \longrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{M},\tau)$ is continuous and hence

$$\left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t)\,ds\right)^2 = \left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t)\,ds\right) \left(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s',t)\,ds'\right) = \iint_{I_1\cup I_2} G_\alpha(s,t)G_\alpha(s',t)\,ds\,ds',$$

where $I_1 := \{(s,s') \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : 0 \leq s \leq s' \leq t\}$ and $I_2 := \{(s,s') \in \mathbb{R}^2_+ : 0 \leq s' \leq s \leq t\}$. Also

$$\iint_{I_1 \cup I_2} G_{\alpha}(s,t) G_{\alpha}(s',t) \, ds \, ds' = \iint_{I_1 \cup I_2} e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 \, ds \, ds'.$$

Now using symmetry in (s, s'), we get

$$\begin{split} \iint_{I_1 \cup I_2} e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds ds' &= 2 \iint_{I_2} e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds ds' \\ &= 2 \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_0^s e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds' \right) ds \right) \\ &= 2 \left(\int_0^t e^{-\alpha(2t-s)} (T_2(t)x)^2 \left(\int_0^s e^{\alpha s'} ds' \right) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\alpha(2t-s)} (T_2(t)x)^2 (e^{\alpha s} - 1) ds \right) \\ &\leqslant \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_0^t e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds \right). \end{split}$$

Thus on evaluating the trace, we get that

$$\tau\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} G(s,t) ds\right)^{2} dt\right) \leqslant \tau\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} (T_{2}(t)x)^{2} ds\right)\right) dt\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} \tau (T_{2}(t)x)^{2} ds\right) dt\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{[0,t]}(s) e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} \tau (T_{2}(t)x)^{2} ds dt$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{[s,\infty]}(t) e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} \tau (T_{2}(t)x)^{2} dt ds$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{s}^{\infty} e^{-2\alpha u} \tau (T_{2}(s)T_{2}(u)x)^{2} du\right) ds\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\alpha u} \tau (T_{2}(s)T_{2}(u)x)^{2} du\right) ds\right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\alpha u} K(s,u) du\right) ds\right), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$K(s,u) := \langle T_2(s)T_2(u)x, T_2(s)T_2(u)x \rangle = \langle T_2(u)x, T_2(2s)T_2(u)x \rangle$$

due to the symmetry and semigroup property of $\{T_2(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$.

Hence, by a change of order of integration, which is justified by the positivity of the integrand, we have that the expression in (3.3) above

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \left\langle T_2(u)x, \left(\int_0^\infty (T_2(2s)ds) T_2(u)x \right\rangle du \right). \right.$$

Since $s(A_2) < 0$, 0 is in $\rho(A_2)$ and in such a case $R(0,A_2)$ is self adjoint and one has that the above expression

$$=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \langle T_2(u)x, R(0,A_2)T_2(u)x\rangle \, du\right) \leqslant \frac{\|R(0,A_2)\|}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \|T_2(u)x\|^2 \, du,$$

which is finite since $\alpha > w(T_2)$. \Box

REMARK 3.3. We note that $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a Hilbert space which is also an ordered Banach space with normal cone [13]. Therefore, for positive C_0 -semigroups on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the Lyapunov property holds in view of [1, Theorem 5.3.1 and Theorem 5.2.1]. In Theorem 3.2 above, we give a different and direct proof of the fact that the Lyapunov property holds for positive symmetric semigroups defined on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

4. Lyapunov property for consistent families of C₀ - semigroups

In this section we show that the Lyapunov property holds for consistent families of positive C_0 -semigroups under certain conditions.

By a **consistent family** of C_0 -semigroups defined on the non-commutative L^p spaces we shall mean a family $\{T_p : 1 \le p < \infty\}$ of semigroups such that for each $p, T_p := \{T_p(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$ is a C_0 -semigroup defined on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and for all $t \ge 0, p, q \in [1, \infty)$,

$$T_p(t)x = T_q(t)x, \quad \text{for all } x \in L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau) \cap L^q(\mathscr{M}, \tau).$$
 (4.1)

REMARK 4.1. It has been shown in [3] that every C_0 -semigroup $\{T_2(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, defined on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ which is symmetric and Markov (that is, $0 \leq T_2(t)x \leq 1$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$), extends to a consistent family of C_0 -semigroups on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 1 \leq p < \infty$.

We recall that the Schatten classes form a major example of non-commutative L^p spaces. Given a Hilbert space $H, 1 \leq p < \infty$, the Schatten class $S^p(H)$ is defined as

$$S^{p}(H) := \{ A \in \mathscr{B}(H) : Tr(|A|^{p}) < \infty \},$$

$$(4.2)$$

where $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$ and Tr is the usual operator trace.

The following result is the key to proving the Lyapunov property for consistent families of C_0 -semigroups on these spaces.

LEMMA 4.2. [10, Lemma 1.1] For $x \in S^{p}(H) \cap S^{q}(H), 1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|x\|_p \leqslant \|x\|_q.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 we are able to establish the following relation between spectral bounds of the generators of consistent C_0 -semigroups.

THEOREM 4.3. Let $T := \{T_r : 1 \le r < \infty\}$ be a consistent family of positive C_0 semigroups on the non commutative spaces $S^r(H)$ and suppose that $s(A_q) < 0$ for some $1 \le q < \infty$. Then $s(A_p) < 0$ and $R(0,A_q)x = R(0,A_p)x$ for all $p \ge q$ and for all $x \in S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$.

Proof. Let $q and <math>x \in S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$. Since *T* represents a consistent family, therefore $T_p(t)x = T_q(t)x$. Moreover, since $s(A_q) < 0$, it follows that $R(0,A_q)$ exists as a bounded operator on $S^q(H)$ and from [1, Theorem 5.3.1] we have that

$$R(0,A_q)x = \int_0^\infty T_q(t)x \, dt, \quad \forall x \in S^q(H).$$

$$(4.3)$$

Since $\int_0^\infty T_q(t)x \, dt$ exists in $S^q(H)$, Lemma 4.2, $\int_0^\infty T_p(s)x \, ds$ exists in $S^p(H)$. Moreover,

$$\int_0^\infty T_p(s)x \, ds = \int_0^\infty T_q(s)x \, ds = R(0, A_q)x.$$
(4.4)

Denseness of $S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$ in $S^p(H)$ now implies that the map $y \mapsto \int_0^\infty T_p(s)y ds$ exists as a bounded linear operator on $S^p(H)$ and hence coincides with $R(0,A_p)$. Thus, $s(A_p) < 0$. That the resolvents agree on $S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$ is just the equation (4.4). \Box

THEOREM 4.4. Suppose $\{T_p : 1 \le p < \infty\}$ is a consistent family of positive C_0 -semigroups on $S^p(H)$. Then $s(A_q) = w(T_q)$ for all $q \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. Fix $q \in (1,2)$. Suppose $s(A_q) < 0$. Then by Theorem 4.3, $s(A_2) < 0$. But the Lyapunov property holds for positive semigroups on Hilbert spaces which are also normal ordered Banach spaces, and hence also for $S^2(H)$ (see Remark 3.3). Thus $s(A_2) = w(T_2) < 0$. Again, using Lemma 4.2 we have that for p : 1/p + 1/q = 1,

$$||T_p(t)x|| \leq ||T_2(t)x||$$
 for all $t \ge 0$

and for all $x \in S^2(H) \cap S^p(H)$ as p > 2. Hence $w(T_p) \leq w(T_2) < 0$. Due to duality, $||T_q(t)|| = ||(T_q(t))^*|| = ||T_p(t)||$ for all $t \ge 0$ whence $w(T_q) < 0$. Thus $s(A_q) = w(T_q)$ for all $q \in (1,2)$ and by duality for all $q \in (1,2) \cup (2,\infty)$. Combining this with Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3, we have our result. \Box

It is well known that the non-commutative L^p spaces associated with a semifinite von-Nuemann algebra form an interpolation scale both with respect to the complex and real interpolation methods [14]:

$$L^{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (L^{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L^{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))_{\theta} \text{ (with equal norms)},$$
(4.5)

$$L^{p}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (L^{p_{0}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L^{p_{1}}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))_{\theta, p} \text{ (with equivalent norms)},$$
(4.6)

where $1 \le p_0$, $p_1 \le \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ and where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta,p}$ denote respectively the complex and real interpolation methods. Non-commutative version of the Reisz Thorin interpolation Theorem [14] also holds. In the following, we use these facts to obtain some relations between spectral and growth bounds of consistent families of semigroups on the non commutative L^p spaces.

THEOREM 4.5. Let $T := \{T_r : 1 \le r < \infty\}$ be a consistent family of C_0 -semigroups on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 1 \le p < \infty$. Suppose that $\int_0^\infty ||T_1(t)x||_1 dt < \infty$, for all $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and also that $\int_0^\infty ||T_2(t)x||_2^2 dt < \infty$, for all $x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then for each $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\int_0^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p \, dt < \infty, \quad \text{for all } x \in L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau).$$
(4.7)

Equivalently,

$$w(T_i) < 0, i = 1, 2$$
 implies that $w(T_p) < 0$, for all $p \in [1, \infty)$

Proof. Define a map $\mathscr{T}_1: L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau) \longrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau))$ as $x \hookrightarrow \mathscr{T}_1 x$ such that $(\mathscr{T}_1 x)(t) = T_1(t)x$. Then \mathscr{T}_1 is a linear map. We claim that \mathscr{T}_1 is a closed map. Let $x_n \longrightarrow x$ in $L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$ such that $\mathscr{T}_1 x_n \longrightarrow y$ for some $y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau))$. Therefore, $\int_0^\infty ||T_1(t)x_n - y(t)||_1 dt \longrightarrow 0$, which in turn implies that $T(t)x_{n_k} \longrightarrow y(t)$ almost everywhere for some subsequence (x_{n_k}) of (x_n) . On the other hand, boundedness of $T_1(t)$ implies that $T_1(t)x_n \longrightarrow T(t)x$. Thus y(t) = T(t)x for almost all t. Since $y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau))$, this implies that $\mathscr{T}_1 x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau))$. Therefore \mathscr{T}_1 is a closed map defined on $L^1(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$. Now the closed graph theorem implies that \mathscr{T}_1 is a bounded linear map.

Similarly, $\mathscr{T}_2: L^2(\mathscr{M}, \tau) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\mathscr{M}, \tau))$ defined by $(\mathscr{T}_2 x)(t) = T_2(t)x$ is a bounded linear map.

By interpolation, we have that for $1 \le p \le 2$, the linear operator

$$\mathscr{T}_p: L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau))$$

as $x \hookrightarrow \mathscr{T}_p x$ such that $(\mathscr{T}_p x)(t) = T_p(t)x$, is bounded with $\|\mathscr{T}_p x\|_{p,p} \leq C_p \|x\|_p$ for all $x \in L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$ and for some $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$\|\mathscr{T}_p x\|_{p,p}^p = \int_0^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p \, dt, \quad \text{for all } x \in L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau).$$

Hence (4.7) holds. Datko's theorem 2.1 gives the equivalent form of the statement of the theorem for $1 . For <math>2 , the conclusions follow by a duality argument. <math>\Box$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 we have the following result.

COROLLARY 4.6. Let $T := \{T_r : 1 \leq r < \infty\}$ be a consistent family of C_0 -semigroups on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 1 \leq p < \infty$ with A_p the generator of the semigroup $\{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. If $s(A_1) < 0$ and $s(A_2) < 0$, then $s(A_p) < 0$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$. *Proof.* Fix $p \in (1,\infty)$. From Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3 respectively we have that $w(T_1) < 0$ and $w(T_2) < 0$. Theorem 4.5 now gives $w(T_p) < 0$. Hence $s(A_p) \leq w(T_p) < 0$. \Box

Under the additional assumption of independence of the growth bound of the C_0 -semigroup $\{T_p(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$, of the parameter p the Lyapunov property can be shown to hold for consistent family of positive C_0 -semigroups on the non-commutative L^p spaces. For the classical case this has been proven by Voigt [16], and we shall adapt that proof to our setting. Recall that for a C_0 -semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ with generator A the *uniform spectral bound* $s_0(A)$ is defined as

$$s_0(A) := \inf\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : H_\alpha \subset \rho(A) \text{ and } \sup_{\lambda \in H_\alpha} \|R(\lambda, A)\| < \infty\},$$
(4.8)

where $H_{\alpha} := \{\lambda : Re \lambda > \alpha\}.$

For the generator A of a positive C_0 -semigroup defined on an ordered Banach space with normal cone it is known that (see [1, Theorem 5.3.1]) $s(A) = s_0(A)$.

THEOREM 4.7. Let $T_p := \{T_p(t)\}_{t \ge 0}$ be a C_0 -semigroup on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with generator A_p , for $p \in [p_0, p_1]$, $p_0 < p_1$, and $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty)$. Assume

$$T_p(t) = T_q(t) \quad \forall x \in L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau) \cap L^q(\mathscr{M}, \tau),$$
(4.9)

and for all $p, q \in [p_0, p_1]$, $t \ge 0$. Then for $r \in [0, 1]$, if p(r) is given by $1/p(r) := (1 - r)/p_0 + r/p_1$, then we have

$$s_0(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1-r)s_0(A_{p_0}) + rs_0(A_{p_1}).$$

Proof. We assume, without loss of generality that $s_0(A_{p_0}) \leq s_0(A_{p_1})$. By hypothesis, for all $x \in \mathscr{Y} := L^{p_0}(\mathscr{M}, \tau) \cap L^{p_1}(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$ we have for sufficiently large z:

$$R(z,A_p)x = R(z,A_0)x = R(z,A_1)x.$$

Now we shall show that $s_0(A_p) \leq s_0(A_{p_1})$. For this it is sufficient to show that if $s_0(A_{p_1}) < \delta$ then $s_0(A_p) < \delta$. Suppose $s_0(A_{p_1}) < \delta$. Then, $s_0(A_{p_0}) \leq s_0(A_{p_1}) < \delta$, implies that

$$H_{\delta} \subset \rho(A_{p_0}) \text{ and } \sup_{\lambda \in H_{\delta}} \|R(\lambda, A_{p_0})\|_{p_0} < \infty,$$
(4.10)

$$H_{\delta} \subset \rho(A_{p_1}) \text{ and } \sup_{\lambda \in H_{\delta}} \|R(\lambda, A_{p_1})\|_{p_1} < \infty.$$
(4.11)

Now for $\xi \in H_{\delta}$, $R(\xi, A_{p_0})$ is a bounded linear map on $L^{p_0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and so is $R(\xi, A_{p_1})$ on $L^{p_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and the bounded operators agree on \mathscr{Y} . Thus,

$$\begin{split} & R(\xi, A_{p_0}) : \mathscr{Y} \longrightarrow L^{p_0}(\mathscr{M}, \tau), \\ & R(\xi, A_{p_1}) : \mathscr{Y} \longrightarrow L^{p_1}(\mathscr{M}, \tau), \end{split}$$

with $||R(\xi, A_{p_0})||_{p_0} \leq M_0$, and $||R(\xi, A_{p_1})||_{p_1} \leq M_1$. Complex interpolation now yields, for $\theta \in [0, 1]$, and $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$, that

$$R(\xi, A_p) : \mathscr{Y} \longrightarrow L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$$

and $||R(\xi, A_p)||_p \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^{\theta}$. Because of denseness of \mathscr{Y} in $L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$, we can extend $R(\xi, A_p)$ to all of $L^p(\mathscr{M}, \tau)$. Thus we get that $R(\xi, A_p)$ is a bounded linear map and $\sup_{\xi \in H_{\delta}} ||R(\xi, A_p)||_p < \infty$, for all $\xi \in H_{\delta}$. Therefore $s_0(A_p) < \delta$. We also have

that

$$R(z, A_{p_0})x = R(z, A_p)x = R(z, A_{p_1})x,$$
(4.12)

for all z with $\operatorname{Re} z > s_0(A_{p_1})$ and for all $x \in \mathscr{Y}$.

Now we shall show that $s_0(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1-r)s_0(A_{p_0}) + rs_0(A_{p_1})$. It is sufficient to show that if $\hat{r} \in (0,1)$, $\alpha_j > s_0(A_{p_i})$, (j = 0,1), $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$, then

 $s_0(A_{p(\hat{r})}) \leq (1-\hat{r})\alpha_0 + \hat{r}\alpha_1.$

Define $F(z)x := (z - A_{p_0})^{-1}x$, for $x \in \mathscr{Y}$, and for $\alpha_0 \leq \operatorname{Rez} \leq \alpha_1$. Then *F* is analytic on $\alpha_0 < \operatorname{Rez} < \alpha_1$ and continuous on its boundary $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Rez} = \alpha_0 \text{ or } \operatorname{Rez} = \alpha_1\}$. From (4.12), we have $F(z) := (z - A_{p_1})^{-1}x$ for all $x \in \mathscr{Y}$ and for $\operatorname{Rez} = \alpha_1$, and by definition of $s_0(T_p)$, we have that

$$\max\left(\sup_{\operatorname{Re} z=\alpha_0}\|F(z)\|_{p_0},\sup_{\operatorname{Re} z=\alpha_1}\|F(z)\|_{p_1}\right)<\infty.$$

Let

$$M := \max\left(\sup_{\operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_0} \|F(z)\|_{p_0}, \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_1} \|F(z)\|_{p_1}\right).$$

In view of (4.5) and [9, Theorem 2.7] we have that

 $||F((1-r)\alpha_0+r\alpha_1)+iy)||_{p(r)} \leq M,$

for all $r \in [0,1]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, for $\hat{r} \leq r \leq 1$, we have

$$||F((1-r)\alpha_0+r\alpha_1)+iy)||_{p(\hat{r})} \leq M.$$

Therefore,

 $||F(z)||_{p(\hat{r})} \leqslant M,$

for all z with $(1-\hat{r})\alpha_0 + \hat{r}\alpha_1 \leq \text{Re}z \leq \alpha_1$. Thus $R(z, A_{p(\hat{r})})$ can be extended as a bounded holomorphic function to the strip $\alpha_0 < \text{Re}z < \alpha_1$. Now by using (4.12), for $\text{Re}z > s_0(T_{p(\hat{r})})$, we have that $s_0(A_{p(\hat{r})}) \leq (1-\hat{r})\alpha_0 + \hat{r}\alpha_1$. Hence the result. \Box

COROLLARY 4.8. Suppose that $\{T_p(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a positive C_0 -semigroup on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for all $p \in [p_0, p_1]$, satisfying (4.9).

(i) Then for all $r \in [0,1]$, we have

$$s(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1-r)s(A_{p_0}) + rs(A_{p_1}).$$

(ii) Assume that p₀ < 2 < p₁, and that w(T_p) is independent of p ∈ [p₀, p₁]. Then for all p ∈ [p₀, p₁], we have

$$s(A_p) = w(T_P)$$

Proof.

(i) Since $\{T_p(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a positive C_0 -semigroup on $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ which is an ordered Banach space with normal cone, $s_0(A_p) = s(A_p)$. Hence by Theorem 4.7, we have

$$s(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1-r)s(A_{p_0}) + rs(A_{p_1}).$$

(ii) Let $w_0 := w(T_q)$ for all $q \in [p_0, p_1]$. Suppose $w_0 > s(A_p)$ for some $p \in [p_0, 2)$. Then there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that p(r) = 2, where $1/p(r) := (1 - r)/p + r/p_1$. Thus part (i) applied to $[p, p_1]$ implies that $s(A_2) \leq (1 - r)s(A_p) + rs(A_{p_1})$. Hence, using Remark 3.3 we have that

$$w_0 = s(A_2) \leq (1 - r)s(A_p) + rs(A_{p_1}) < w_0,$$

which is a contradiction. The case when $w_0 > s(A_p)$ for some $p \in (2, p_1)$ can be dealt with similarly. \Box

REFERENCES

- ARENDT, W., BATTY, C. J. K., HIEBER, M. AND NEUBRANDER, F., Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems, Second Edition, Monographs in mathematics Vol. 96, Birkhäuser, 2011.
- [2] BERGH, J. AND LÖFSTRÖM, J., Interpolation spaces: An introduction, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1976.
- [3] DAVIES, E. B. AND LINDSAY, M., Non-commutative symmetric Markov semigroup, Math. Z. 210, 379–411, 1992.
- [4] DERNDINGER, R., Über das spektrum positive generatoren, Math. Z. 172, 281–193, 1980.
- [5] GREINER, G. AND NAGEL, R., On the stability of strongly continuous semigroups of positive operators on L₂(μ), P. 257–262, 1983.
- [6] JUNGE, M., MERDY C. AND XU, Q., H^{∞} functional calculus and square functions on noncommutative L^p spaces, Astérisque 305, Paris: Société mathématique de France, VI+138 p. 2006.
- [7] KAASHOEK, M. AND LUNEL, S. M., An integrability condition on the resolvent for hyperbolicity of the semigroup, Journal of Differential Equations, 112, 374–406(1996).
- [8] KRIEGLER, C., Analyticity angle for non-commutative diffusion semigroup, London Mathematical Society.
- [9] LUNARDI, A., Interpolation theory, Vol.-16, Edizioni Della Normale, 2009.
- [10] MCCARTHY, C. A., cp, Israel J. Math. Vol. 5, pp. 249–271, 1967.
- [11] NAGEL, R., One-parameter semigroups of positive operators, Lecture Notes in Math. 1184, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [12] NELSON, E., Notes on non-commutative integration, Journal of Functional Analysis 15, 103–116, 1974.

- [13] PAGTER, B., Non-commutative Banach function spaces, Positivity-Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland, 197–227, 2007.
- [14] PISIER, G. AND XU, Q., Non-commutative L^p spaces, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1459–1517, 2003.
- [15] SINHA, K. B. AND SRIVASTAVA, S., *Theory of semigroups and applications*, Text and Readings in Mathematics, 74, Hindustan Book Agency, 2017.
- [16] VOIGT, J., Interpolation for (positive) C₀-semigroups on L^p-spaces, Math. Z. 118, 283–286, 1985.
- [17] WEIS, L., The stability of positive semigroups on L_p spaces, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 123, Number 10, October, 1995.
- [18] WEIS, L., A short proof for the stability theorem for positive semigroups on $L_p(\mu)$, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 126, Number 11, 3253–3256 November, 1998.

(Received February 18, 2019)

T. Prajapati Department of Mathematics University of Delhi Delhi, India e-mail: tara4chand@gmail.com

K. B. Sinha Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research Bengaluru, India e-mail: kbs@jncasr.ac.in

> S. Srivastava Department of Mathematics University of Delhi Delhi, India e-mail: sachi_srivastava@yahoo.com

Operators and Matrices www.ele-math.com oam@ele-math.com