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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a class of operators whose matrix representations comprise 4-banded matrices, i.e., sparse matrices whose non-zero entries are confined to four diagonals. In particular, we focus on the hyponormality and weak subnormality when each diagonal forms a hyponormal weighted shift.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{K}$ be complex Hilbert spaces and $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{K})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators from $\mathscr{H}$ to $\mathscr{K}$, and write $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}) \equiv \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H})$. For $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$, the self-commutator of $T$ is defined by

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right]:=T^{*} T-T T^{*} .
$$

An operator $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is said to be normal if $\left[T^{*}, T\right]=0$, hyponormal if $\left[T^{*}, T\right] \geqslant 0$, and subnormal if $T$ has a normal extension, i.e., $T=\left.N\right|_{\mathscr{H}}$, where $N$ is a normal operator on some Hilbert space $\mathscr{K} \supseteq \mathscr{H}$ such that $\mathscr{H}$ is invariant for $N$. Thus the operator $T$ is subnormal if and only if there exist operators $A$ and $B$ such that $\widehat{T}:=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}T & A \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)$ is normal, i.e.,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[T^{*}, T\right]=A A^{*}}  \tag{1}\\
A^{*} T=B A^{*} \\
{\left[B^{*}, B\right]+A^{*} A=0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

An operator $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is said to be weakly subnormal if there exist operators $A \in$ $\mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\prime}, \mathscr{H}\right)$ and $B \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\prime}\right)$ such that the first two conditions in (1) hold, or equivalently, there is an extension $\widehat{T}$ of $T$ such that

$$
\widehat{T}^{*} \widehat{T} h=\widehat{T} \widehat{T}^{*} h \quad \text { for all } h \in \mathscr{H} .
$$
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The operator $\widehat{T}$ is said to be a partially normal extension of $T$. Clearly,

$$
\text { subnormal } \Longrightarrow \text { weakly subnormal } \Longrightarrow \text { hyponormal. }
$$

The class of weakly subnormal operators has been studied in an attempt to bridge the gap between subnormality and hyponormality ([2], [3], [4]).

Let $\left\{e_{n}: n=0,1,2, \cdots\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathscr{H}$ and let $S$ be a weighted shift with positive weight sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$, that is,

$$
S e_{n}=w_{n} e_{n+1} \quad \text { for } n \geqslant 0
$$

Then for $k \geqslant 1, S^{* k} S^{k}$ and $S^{k} S^{* k}$ are both diagonal operators such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S^{* k} S^{k} e_{n}=w_{n}^{2} \cdots w_{n+k-1}^{2} e_{n} & \text { for } n \geqslant 0 \\
S^{k} S^{* k} e_{n}=0 & \text { for } 0 \leqslant n<k  \tag{2}\\
S^{k} S^{* k} e_{n}=w_{n-k}^{2} \cdots w_{n-1}^{2} e_{n} & \text { for } n \geqslant k
\end{array}
$$

Observe that $S$ is hyponormal if and only if $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is increasing. Let $S$ be a weighted shift and let $M$ and $N$ be positive integers. Write

$$
T \equiv a S^{M}+b S^{N}+\bar{c} S^{* M}+\bar{d} S^{* N}
$$

where $a, b, c, d$ are nonzero complex numbers such that $a \bar{b}=c \bar{d}$. In this case, the matrix of $T$ forms a 4-banded matrix. The hyponormality of this type of operators has been studied in [5], [6], [7]. Since $a \bar{b}=c \bar{d}$, a direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\left(|a|^{2}-|c|^{2}\right)\left[S^{* M}, S^{M}\right]-\left(|d|^{2}-|b|^{2}\right)\left[S^{* N}, S^{N}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $T$ is normal if and only if $|a|=|c|$. From this viewpoint, we will assume that $|a| \neq|c|$, to avoid the triviality of our argument. In this note, we consider a class of operators whose matrix representations comprise 4-banded matrices. In particular, we focus on the hyponormality and weak subnormality when each diagonal forms a hyponormal weighted shift.

## 2. The main results

We first observe:

THEOREM 2.1. (Propagation phenomenon) Suppose $S$ is a hyponormal weighted shift and $N>M$. Let

$$
T:=a S^{M}+b S^{N}+\bar{c} S^{* M}+\bar{d} S^{* N} \quad(a \bar{b}=c \bar{d} \text { with }|a|>|c|)
$$

If $T$ is hyponormal, then $S$ has no $2 M$-consecutive equal weights. In particular, if $M=1$, then the weight sequence of $S$ is strictly increasing.

Proof. Let $S$ be a hyponormal weighted shift with positive weight sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$. Then it follows from (3) that

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\left(|a|^{2}-|c|^{2}\right)\left[S^{* M}, S^{M}\right]-\left(|d|^{2}-|b|^{2}\right)\left[S^{* N}, S^{N}\right]
$$

Put

$$
\alpha:=|a|^{2}-|c|^{2} \text { and } \beta:=|d|^{2}-|b|^{2}
$$

Then it follows from (2) that $\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is a diagonal operator whose diagonal entries $\mu_{n}$ are given by
$\mu_{n}= \begin{cases}\alpha \prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\beta \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant n \leqslant M-1 \\ \alpha\left(\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}\right)-\beta \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2} & \text { if } M \leqslant n \leqslant N-1 \\ \alpha\left(\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}\right)-\beta\left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n-N+k}^{2}\right) & \text { if } N \leqslant n .\end{cases}$
Hence $\left[T^{*}, T\right] \geqslant 0$ if and only if $\mu_{n} \geqslant 0$ for all $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. Suppose that $n_{0}$ is the smallest integer such that $\omega_{n_{0}}=\omega_{n_{0}+1}=\cdots=\omega_{n_{0}+2 M-1}$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1: If $0 \leqslant n_{0} \leqslant N-M-1$, then it follows from (4) that

$$
-\beta \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} w_{n_{0}+M+k}^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

Thus we have $\beta=0$, so that $|a|=|c|$, a contradiction.
Case 2: If $N-M \leqslant n_{0}$, then it follows from (4) that

$$
-\beta\left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+M+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+M-N+k}^{2}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

But since $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ is increasing and $\beta \neq 0$, it follows that $\omega_{n_{0}+M-N}=\omega_{n_{0}+M-N+1}=$ $\cdots=\omega_{n_{0}+M+N-1}$, a contradiction. The second assertion follows at once from the first assertion. This completes the proof.

REMARK 2.2. The condition " $|a|>|c|$ " is essential in Theorem 2.1. For example, if $|a|<|c|$, then we may have a hyponormal operator $T$ for a flat-subnormal shift $S$. Indeed, let $S$ be a unilateral shift and

$$
T:=S^{M}+2 S^{N}+2 S^{* M}+S^{* N} \quad(M<N) .
$$

Then it follows from (3) that

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right]=3\left(\left[S^{* N}, S^{N}\right]-\left[S^{* M}, S^{M}\right]\right)
$$

Thus $T$ is hyponormal. Observe that

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\bigvee\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \cdots, e_{M-1}, e_{N}, e_{N+1}, \cdots\right\}
$$

where $\bigvee$ denotes the closed linear span. Thus $T\left(\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]\right)$ is not contained in $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$. But since $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is always invariant for every weakly subnormal operator $T$ (cf. [4]), we see that $T$ is not weakly subnormal.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $S$ be a weighted shift and

$$
T:=a S^{M}+b S^{N}+\bar{c} S^{* M}+\bar{d} S^{* N} \quad(a \bar{b}=c \bar{d} \text { and } M<N)
$$

If $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is invariant for $T$, then $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ reduces $T$.

Proof. Suppose $S$ is a weighted shift with weight sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is an invariant subspace for $T$. If $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\{0\}$, this is trivial. Let $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right] \neq\{0\}$. Note that $\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is a diagonal operator with respect to the standard bases $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$. Write

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right] \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \cdots\right)
$$

Then it suffices to show that

$$
\mu_{n_{0}} \neq 0 \Rightarrow T e_{n_{0}} \in \operatorname{ran}\left[T^{*}, T\right]
$$

Let $\mu_{n_{0}} \neq 0$. If $n_{0} \geqslant N$, then

$$
T e_{n_{0}}=a e_{n_{0}+M}+b e_{n_{0}+N}+\bar{c} e_{n_{0}-M}+\bar{d} e_{n_{0}-N}
$$

Suppose $T e_{n_{0}} \notin \operatorname{ran}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$. Then at least one of the following is zero:

$$
\mu_{n_{0}+M}, \mu_{n_{0}+N}, \mu_{n_{0}-M}, \mu_{n_{0}-N}
$$

If $\mu_{n_{0}+M}=0$, then $e_{n_{0}+M} \in \operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$, so that $T e_{n_{0}+M} \in \operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$. Thus $e_{n_{0}} \in$ $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$, and hence $\mu_{n_{0}}=0$, a contradiction. Similarly, we can prove the rest of the cases. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $S$ be a weighted shift with strictly increasing weight sequence. Put

$$
T:=a S^{M}+b S^{N}+\bar{c} S^{* M}+\bar{d} S^{* N} \quad(a \bar{b}=c \bar{d} \text { and } M<N)
$$

If $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is invariant for $T$, then $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose $S$ is a weighted shift with strictly increasing weight sequence $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$. Put

$$
\alpha:=|a|^{2}-|c|^{2} \text { and } \beta:=|d|^{2}-|b|^{2}
$$

Then by the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \cdots\right)
$$

where the $\mu_{n}$ are given by the equation (4). Suppose that $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is invariant for $T$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right] \neq\{0\}$. Then there exists $0 \leqslant n_{0} \leqslant M-1$ such that $\mu_{n_{0}}=0$. Since $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is invariant for $T$, we have $\mu_{n_{0}+N}=0$. It thus follows from (4) that

$$
\frac{\alpha}{\beta}=\prod_{k=M}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+k}^{2}=\frac{\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+k}^{2}}{\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N-M+k}^{2}},
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\prod_{k=M}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+k}^{2}\left(\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N-M+k}^{2}+\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+k}^{2}\right)=\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N+k}^{2}
$$

But since $M<N$ and $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ is strictly increasing, it follows that

$$
\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+k}^{2}<\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N-M+k}^{2}
$$

We thus have that

$$
\prod_{k=M}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+k}^{2}\left(\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N+k}^{2}\right)>\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n_{0}+N+k}^{2},
$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof.
We would like to ask the following questions.

QUESTION 2.5. For which hyponormal weighted shift $S$, is

$$
T:=a S^{M}+b S^{N}+\bar{c} S^{* M}+\bar{d} S^{* N} \quad(a \bar{b}=c \bar{d} \neq 0 \text { and } M<N)
$$

weakly subnormal?
For Question 2.5, a good candidate for $S$ is the Cowen and Long's shift [1], i.e., the weight sequence $\left\{w_{k}\right\}$ of $S$ is given by

$$
w_{k}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \gamma^{2 j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad(k=0,1,2, \cdots ; 0<\gamma<1)
$$

Lemma 2.6. ([3, Lemma 2.1]) If $T \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is weakly subnormal then $T$ has a partially normal extension $\widehat{T}$ on $\mathscr{K}$ of the form

$$
\widehat{T}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
T\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
0
\end{array} \quad B \quad \text { on } \quad \mathscr{K}:=\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H} .\right.
$$

We are ready for:

ThEOREM 2.7. Let $S$ be the weighted shift with weight sequence

$$
w_{k}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \gamma^{2 j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad(k=0,1,2, \cdots ; 0<\gamma<1)
$$

Let

$$
T:=a S^{M}+b S^{N}+\bar{c} S^{* M}+\bar{d} S^{* N} \quad(a \bar{b}=c \bar{d} \neq 0 \text { and } M<N) .
$$

Then $T$ is weakly subnormal if and only if $T$ is hyponormal and $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\{0\}$.

Proof. Put

$$
\alpha:=|a|^{2}-|c|^{2} \text { and } \beta:=|d|^{2}-|b|^{2}
$$

Then by the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\operatorname{dia}\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \cdots\right)
$$

where the $\mu_{n}$ are given by the equation (4). Suppose that $T$ is a hyponormal with $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\{0\}$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.6 that $T$ is weakly subnormal if and only if $T$ has a partially normal extension $\widehat{T}$ on $\mathscr{K}$ of the form

$$
\widehat{T}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
T\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
0
\end{array} \quad B \begin{array}{l}
\text { on } \quad \mathscr{K}:=\mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{H} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It thus follows that $T$ is weakly subnormal if and only if there exist $B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ such that

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T=B\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Since $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\{0\}$, it follows that

$$
\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T=B\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \Longleftrightarrow B=\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Thus $T$ is weakly subnormal if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text { is bouned. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{\mu_{n+1}}}{\sqrt{\mu_{n}}}=\gamma \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4) that for $n \geqslant N$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{n}= & \frac{\alpha}{\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)^{M}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n+k)}\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n-M+k)}\right)\right) \\
& -\frac{\beta}{\left(1-\gamma^{2}\right)^{N}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n+k)}\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n-N+k)}\right)\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
f(x):=\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(x+k)}\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(x-M+k)}\right) .
$$

Then we have that

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=2 \gamma^{2 x} \log \gamma\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M} \gamma^{2(k-M)} \cdot \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(x-M+i)}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{M} \gamma^{2 k} \cdot \prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(x+i)}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x+1)}{f(x)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^{\prime}(x+1)}{f^{\prime}(x)}=\gamma^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n+k+1)}\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n-M+k+1)}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n+k)}\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n-M+k)}\right)}=\gamma^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n+k+1)}\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n-N+k+1)}\right)}{\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n+k)}\right)-\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(1-\gamma^{2(n-N+k)}\right)}=\gamma^{2} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It thus follows from (7), (8) and (9) that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{\mu_{n}}=\gamma^{2}
$$

which proves (6). Thus, by (6), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} T\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \leqslant & |a|\left\|\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} S^{M}\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|+|b|\left\|\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} S^{N}\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \\
& +|c|\left\|\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} S^{* M}\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|+|d|\left\|\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} S^{* N}\left[T^{*}, T\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\| \\
& <\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives (5). Thus $T$ is weakly subnormal. For the converse, suppose that $T$ is weakly subnormal. Then $T$ is hyponormal and $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]$ is invariant for $T$. But since $\left\{\omega_{n}\right\}$ is strictly increasing, it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\{0\}$. This completes the proof.

We now have:

Corollary 2.8. Let $S$ be the weighted shift with weight sequence

$$
w_{k}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} \gamma^{2 j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad(k=0,1,2, \cdots ; 0<\gamma<1) .
$$

Let

$$
T:=\lambda S^{M}+S^{N}+S^{* M}+\bar{\lambda} S^{* N} \quad(\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } M<N) .
$$

Then the following are equivalent:
(a) $T$ is hyponormal;
(b) $T$ is weakly subnormal;
(c) $|\lambda| \leqslant 1$.

Proof. (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c): Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\left(|\lambda|^{2}-1\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{0}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \cdots\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\delta_{n}$ are given by

$$
\delta_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant n \leqslant M-1 \\
\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2} & \text { if } M \leqslant n \leqslant N-1 \\
\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}+\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n-N+k}^{2} & \text { if } N \leqslant n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus for $0 \leqslant n \leqslant N-1$,

$$
\delta_{n} \leqslant \prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}<0,
$$

and for $n \geqslant N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{n} & =\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n-N+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}+\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2} \\
& <\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}\left(\prod_{k=M}^{N-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}-1\right)-\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}\left(\prod_{k=M}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}-1\right) \\
& <\prod_{k=0}^{M-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}\left(\prod_{k=M}^{N-1} \omega_{n-M+k}^{2}-\prod_{k=M}^{N-1} \omega_{n+k}^{2}\right) \\
& <0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it follows from (10) that $T$ is hyponormal if and only if $|\lambda| \leqslant 1$.
(c) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Suppose that $|\lambda| \leqslant 1$. If $|\lambda|=1$ then by $(10),\left[T^{*}, T\right]=0$, so that $T$ is normal, and hence weakly subnormal. Let $|\lambda|<1$. Then it follows from (10) that $T$ is hyponormal and $\operatorname{ker}\left[T^{*}, T\right]=\{0\}$. Thus by Theorem 2.7, we have that $T$ is weakly subnormal.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Clear.
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