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Abstract. Let $A, B$ be invertible positive operators on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ and $X$ be an operator on $\mathscr{H}$ associated with a norm ideal corresponding to a unitarily invariant norm $|||\cdot|||$. We shall prove that

$$
\|\|\Gamma(A) X-X \Gamma(B)\|\| \leqslant c(m, M)\|A X-X B\| \|
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot \mid\|$, where $c(m, M)$ is a function of $m=\min \{\|A\|,\|B\|\}$ and $M=\max \{| | A\|\| B \|$,$\} , and \Gamma$ denotes the Gamma function. Further if $f$ is a Bernstein function, we shall prove that

$$
\|\|f(A) X-X f(B)\|\| \leqslant f^{\prime}(m)\|A X-X B\| \|
$$

This inequality supplements and unify all the results proved by a number of authors for operator monotone functions.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space $(\mathscr{H},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$. An operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is called self-adjoint if $A^{*}=A$. A self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is called positive if $\langle A x, x\rangle \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in \mathscr{H}$ and is called strictly positive if $\langle A x, x\rangle>0$ for all nonzero $x \in \mathscr{H}$. The set of all selfadjoint operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is denoted by $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{s}$, the set of all positive operators shall be denoted by $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}$and the set of all strictly positive operators shall be denoted by $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}$. For $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{s}, A \geqslant B(A>B)$ means $A-B$ is positive (strictly positive). A norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|$ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ is called unitarily invariant or symmetric if

$$
\|\|U A V|\|=\|| \mid A\|\|
$$

for all $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ and for all unitary operators $U, V \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$. The most basic unitarily invariant norms are the Ky -Fan norms and Schatten $p$-norms defined respectively as

$$
\|A\|_{(k)}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{j}(A) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

[^0]and
$$
\|A\|_{p}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\sigma_{j}(A)\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \quad(1 \leqslant p<\infty)
$$
where $\sigma_{1}(A) \geqslant \sigma_{2}(A) \geqslant \ldots$ are the singular values of $A$. We shall consider a norm ideal $(\mathscr{I},\| \| \cdot\| \|)$ of $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ with respect to a unitarily invariant norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|$. For convenience we shall write $(\mathscr{I},\| \| \cdot\| \|)$ as $\mathscr{I}$. By $I$ we mean identity operator in $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$. For $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, we shall denote by $m=\min \{\|A\|,\|B\|\}$ and by $M=\max \{\|A\|,\|B\|\}$ throughout. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm on $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$.

A nonnegative and infinitely differentiable function $f$ on $(0, \infty)$ is called completely monotone if $(-1)^{k} f^{(k)}(x) \geqslant 0$ and is called Bernstein function if $(-1)^{k-1} f^{(k)}(x)$ $\geqslant 0$ for all $x \in(0, \infty), k=1,2, \cdots$, see $[11,13]$. Here $f^{(k)}, k=1,2, \cdots$ denotes the $k$ th derivative of $f$. One should note that a completely monotone function is decreasing and convex whereas a Bernstein function is increasing and concave.

Every $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{s}$ admits spectral decomposition

$$
A=\int \lambda d E_{\lambda}
$$

where $E_{\lambda}$ is a spectral measure. Let $f$ be a real valued function defined on an interval $J$ and let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{s}$ has its spectrum in $J$. Then $f(A)$ is defined by

$$
f(A)=\int f(\lambda) d E_{\lambda}
$$

The function $f$ is called operator monotone if $A \geqslant B$ implies $f(A) \geqslant f(B)$ for $A, B \in$ $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{s}$ with spectrum in $J$.

When Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ is finite dimensional, van Hemmen and Ando [8] proved that if $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}$are such that $A+B \geqslant c I$ for some $c>0$ and $f$ is a nonnegative operator monotone function on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$
\left\|\left|\left|f(A)-f(B)\| \| \leqslant\left(\frac{f(c / 2)-f(0)}{c / 2}\right)\||A-B|\|\right.\right.\right.
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|$. Kittaneh and Kosaki [9] generalized this result to its commutator version by proving that, if $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}$are such that $A \geqslant a I, B \geqslant$ $b I$ for some $a, b>0$ and $X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$, then for every nonnegative operator monotone function $f$ on $(0, \infty)$

$$
\|f(A) X-X f(B)\|_{p} \leqslant c(a, b)\|A X-X B\|_{p}
$$

where $c(a, b)=\frac{f(a)-f(b)}{a-b}$ if $a \neq b$ and $c(a, b)=f^{\prime}(a)$ if $a=b$. Bhatia [6] proved the above inequality for all unitarily invariant norms when $X=I$ and $b=a$, using Fréchet differential calculus. If the function $f$ is completely monotone on $(0, \infty)$, it is proved in [4] that

$$
\|\|f(A) X-X f(B)\|\| \leqslant\left|f^{\prime}(m)\right|\|A X-X B|\||
$$

for all operators $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}, X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ and for all unitarily invariant norms $|\|\cdot \mid\|$. In [7], it is proved that if $f$ is nonegative operator monotone function on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$
\|\|f(A) X-X f(B)\|\| \leqslant \max \left\{\left\|f^{\prime}(A)\right\|,\left\|f^{\prime}(B)\right\|\right\}\| \| A X-X B\| \|
$$

Similar type of inequalities for the functions $e^{x}$ and $x^{\alpha}$ can also be found in [12]. Our aim in this article is to prove that for $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}$and $X \in \mathscr{I}$,

$$
\||\Gamma(A) X-X \Gamma(B)\| \| \leqslant c(m, M)|\| A X-X B \mid \|
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|$, where $\Gamma$ denotes the Gamma function and $c(m, M)$ is a constant depending upon $A, B$. Further for a Bernstein function $f$, we shall prove that

$$
\left\|\left\|f(A) X-X f(B)\left|\left\|\leqslant f^{\prime}(m)\right\|\right| A X-X B \mid\right\|\right.
$$

At the end, as a remark, we shall demonstrate, how the above inequality includes a number of inequalities proved by several authors.

## 2. Main results

We begin this section by proving a norm inequality for the Gamma function. For this we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{s}$ and $X \in \mathscr{I}$. Then

$$
\left\|\left|a^{A} X-X a^{B}\right|\right\| \leqslant|\log a| \max \left\{\left\|a^{A}\right\|,\left\|a^{B}\right\|\right\}\|A X-X B \mid\|
$$

where $a>0$, for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|$.
Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{s}$ and $X \in \mathscr{I}$, then we have [1,10]

$$
\left\|\left\|e^{A} X-X e^{B}\left|\left\|\left|\leqslant \frac{1}{2}\right|\right\| e^{A}(A X-X B)+(A X-X B) e^{B}\| \|\right.\right.\right.
$$

On replacing $A$ with $\log a^{A}$ and $B$ with $\log a^{B}$ in the above inequality, we get $\left.\left\|\left|e^{\log a^{A}} X-X e^{\log a^{B}}\right|\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \right\rvert\,\left\|e^{\log a^{A}}\left(\log a^{A} X-X \log a^{B}\right)+\left(\log a^{A} X-X \log a^{B}\right) e^{\log a^{B}}\right\| \|$, i.e.,

$$
\left\|\left|a^{A} X-X a^{B}\right|\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\|\log a\left(a^{A}(A X-X B)+(A X-X B) a^{B}\right) \mid\right\|\right.
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|a^{A} X-X a^{B} \mid\right\| & \leqslant \frac{1}{2}|\log a|\left(\left|\left\|a ^ { A } ( A X - X B ) \left|\left\|\left|+\|\left|(A X-X B) a^{B}\right|\right| \mid\right)\right.\right.\right.\right. \\
& \leqslant \frac{|\log a|}{2}\left(\left\|a^{A}\right\|\left|\|A X-X B|\|+\|||A X-X B|\| \| a^{B}\right| \mid\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{|\log a|}{2} 2\left(\max \left\{\left\|a^{A}\right\|, \| a^{B}| |\right\}\right)|\|A X-X B \mid\| \\
& =|\log a| \max \left\{\left\|a^{A}\right\|,\left\|a^{B}\right\|\right\}|\|A X-X B \mid\|
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality in the above inequalities follows from the triangle inequality for norms and the second follows from the well known inequality

$$
\|A B C \mid\| \leqslant\|A\|\| \| B\| \|\|C\|
$$

for all $A, B, C \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$. This completes the proof of the proposition.
Theorem 2.2. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}$and $X \in \mathscr{I}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mid \Gamma(A) X-X \Gamma(B)\|\|\leqslant c(m, M)\|\|A X-X B\| \| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $|||\cdot|||$, where

$$
c(m, M)=\int_{1}^{\infty} \log t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t-\int_{0}^{1} \log t e^{-t} t^{m-1} d t
$$

Proof. For inequality (2.1) to be valid, $c(m, M)$ must be finite. We claim that the integrals

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \log t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{1}(-\log t) e^{-t} t^{m-1} d t
$$

are finite. The Gamma function is defined by

$$
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{x-1} d t, x>0, t>0
$$

For the first integral in $c(m, M)$, note that $\log t<t$ for all $t>0$. Therefore

$$
\log t e^{-t} t^{M-1} \leqslant t e^{-t} t^{M-1}
$$

for $1<t<\infty$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \int_{1}^{\infty} \log t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t \leqslant \int_{1}^{\infty} t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{1}^{\infty} t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t & =\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{M} d t \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{M} d t=\Gamma(M+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

So inequality (2.2) implies that

$$
\int_{1}^{\infty} \log t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t
$$

is finite. For the second integral, we have $e^{-t}<1$ for $t>0$. Therefore

$$
(-\log t) e^{-t} t^{m-1} \leqslant(-\log t) t^{m-1}
$$

for $0<t<1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}(-\log t) e^{-t} t^{m-1} d t \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}(-\log t) t^{m-1} d t \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(-\log t) t^{m-1} d t
$$

by parts, it turns out to be equal to $\frac{1}{m^{2}}$. So from inequality (2.3) we conclude that

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(-\log t) e^{-t} t^{m-1} d t
$$

is finite. This establishes our claim and hence $c(m, M)$ is finite. Now we proceed to prove inequality (2.1). Note that

$$
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} f_{t}(x) d t
$$

where $f_{t}(x)=t^{x}$. We shall first prove the inequality (2.1) for all functions $f_{t}, t>0$. By Proposition 2.1 with $a=t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left|t^{A} X-X t^{B}\right|\right\| & \leqslant|\log t| \max \left\{\left\|t^{A}\right\|,\left\|t^{B}\right\|\right\}\| \| A X-X B|\|| \\
& =|\log t|\|A X-X B\| \|\left\{\begin{array}{l}
t^{M}, \text { if } t \geqslant 1 \\
t^{m}, \text { if } 0<t<1
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mid \Gamma(A) X-X \Gamma(B)\| \| & =\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} f_{t}(A) d t X-X \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} f_{t}(B) d t\right\| \\
& \left.=\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t}\left(f_{t}(A) X-X f_{t}(B)\right) d t\right\| \right\rvert\, \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{\infty}\| \| \frac{e^{-t}}{t}\left(f_{t}(A) X-X f_{t}(B)\right)\|\mid\| d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t}\left|\left\|f_{t}(A) X-X f_{t}(B)|\|| t\right.\right. \\
& \leqslant\|A X-X B\| \|\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{e^{-t}}{t}|\log t| t^{m} d t+\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t}|\log t| t^{M} d t\right) \\
& =\|A X-X B\| \|\left(\int_{0}^{1}|\log t| e^{-t} t^{m-1} d t+\int_{1}^{\infty} \log t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t\right) \\
& =\|\mid A X-X B\| \|\left(\int_{1}^{\infty} \log t e^{-t} t^{M-1} d t-\int_{0}^{1} \log t e^{-t} t^{m-1} d t\right) \\
& =c(m, M)|\|A X-X B\|| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.3. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+} \cap \mathscr{I}$. Then

$$
\||\Gamma(A) \Gamma(B)-\Gamma(B) \Gamma(A)|\| \leqslant c^{2}(m, M)|\|A B-B A \mid\|
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms ||| ||||.
Proof. Taking $B=A$ and $X=\Gamma(B)$ in Theorem 2.2., we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mid \Gamma(A) \Gamma(B)-\Gamma(B) \Gamma(A)\| \| & \leqslant c(m, M)\||A \Gamma(B)-\Gamma(B) A|\| \\
& =c(m, M)\|\mid\|(B) A-A \Gamma(B)\| \| \\
& \leqslant c^{2}(m, M)\|\mid\| A-A B\| \| \\
& =c^{2}(m, M)\|A B-B A \mid\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality is obtained by taking $A=B$ and $X=A$ in Theorem 2.2. This completes the proof of the corollary.

Next we state and outline a proof for a similar norm inequality for the Bernstein function.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $f$ be a Bernstein function. Then

$$
\|\|f(A) X-X f(B)\|\| \leqslant f^{\prime}(m)\| \| A X-X B\| \|
$$

for all $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}, X \in \mathscr{I}$ and all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|$.
Proof. It is known that the Bernstein function admits the integral representation

$$
f(x)=\alpha+\beta x+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{-t x}\right) d \mu(t), \quad x, t>0
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ and $\alpha, \beta \geqslant 0$ (see [11]). Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\mid f(A) X-X f(B)\| \| & =\left\|\beta(A X-X B)+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(X e^{-t B}-e^{-t A} X\right) d \mu(t)\right\| \\
& \leqslant \beta\| \|(A X-X B)\| \|+\int_{0}^{\infty}\| \| e^{-t A} X-X e^{-t B} \mid \| d \mu(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows by taking $a=e^{-t}$ in Proposition 2.1 that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e^{-t A} X-X e^{-t B} \mid\right\| & \leqslant\left|\log e^{-t}\right| \max \left\{\left\|e^{-t A}\right\|,\left\|e^{-t B}\right\|\right\} \mid\|A X-X B\| \| \\
& =|-t| \max \left\{\left\|e^{-t A}\right\|, \| e^{-t B}| |\right\}|\|A X-X B \mid\| \\
& =\max \left\{\left\|t e^{-t A}\right\|, \| t e^{-t B}| |\right\} \mid\|A X-X B\| \| \\
& =t e^{-m t}|\|A X-X B \mid\| . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.5) in (2.4) we get the desired inequality.
We state the following corollary the proof for which is similar to the proof of Corollary 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. Let $f$ be a Bernstein function and $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+} \cap \mathscr{I}$. Then

$$
\left\|\|f(A) f(B)-f(B) f(A) \mid\| \leqslant\left(f^{\prime}(m)\right)^{2}\right\|\|A B-B A\| \|
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot \mid\|$.
One may observe that a function may not be Bernstein function but the inverse (if exists) of the function may be a Bernstein function. Examples of such functions include $x^{r}, r \geqslant 1, e^{x}-1$.

THEOREM 2.6. Let a function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be such that its inverse function $f^{-1}$ (if exists) is Bernstein function. Then for all $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}$and $X \in \mathscr{I}$,

$$
f^{\prime}(m)|\|A X-X B|\|\leqslant\|| f(A) X-X f(B)\| \|
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $|||\cdot|||$.

Proof. Since $f^{-1}$ is Bernstein function, we have from Theorem 2.4,

$$
\left\|\left\|f^{-1}(A) X-X f^{-1}(B)\left|\left\|\leqslant\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(m)\right\| A X-X B\right|\right\| .\right.
$$

On replacing $A$ by $f(A)$ and $B$ by $f(B)$, one gets

$$
\|\mid A X-X B\|\left\|\leqslant\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(f(m))\right\|\|f(A) X-X f(B)\| \|
$$

That $\left(f^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(f(m))$ is equal to $\left(f^{\prime}(m)\right)^{-1}$ follows from $\left(f^{-1} \circ f\right)(x)=x$ for all $x$.

Corollary 2.7. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}$and $X \in \mathscr{I}$. Then

$$
r m^{r-1}\left|\left\|A X-X B\left|\|\leqslant\| A^{r} X-X B^{r}\right|\right\|, \quad r \geqslant 1\right.
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot \mid\|$.

Proof. The inverse function $x^{\frac{1}{r}}$ of $x^{r}, r \geqslant 1$ is operator monotone and hence is Bernstein function. Therefore, Theorem 2.6 gives the desired inequality.

In case when $\mathscr{H}$ is finite dimensional, a weaker version of the following corollary $(m=0)$ is proved in [12].

Corollary 2.8. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})_{+}^{+}$and $X \in \mathscr{I}$. Then

$$
e^{m}\| \| A X-X B \mid\|\leqslant\| e^{A} X-X e^{B}\| \|
$$

for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\|\cdot\|\|$.

Proof. The inverse function $\log (x+1)$ of $e^{x}-1$ is operator monotone and hence is a Bernstein function. Therefore, Theorem 2.6 gives the desired inequality.

REMARK. From the definition of completely monotone function and the Bernstein function given in Section 1, it follows that a function $f$ is a Bernstein function if its derivative is completely monotone. If $f$ is nonnegative operator monotone function on $(0, \infty)$ then $f$ admits the integral representation

$$
f(x)=\alpha+\beta x+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{t}{t^{2}+1}-\frac{1}{x+t}\right) d \mu(t)
$$

where $\alpha$ is a real number, $\beta \geqslant 0$ and $\mu$ is a positive measure on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{2}+1} d \mu(t)<\infty
$$

(see [5]). From this integral representation, it follows that the derivative of an operator monotone function is completely monotone and hence it is a Bernstein function. Consequently, we see that Theorem 2.4. supplements and unifies the results proved by van Hemmen and Ando [8], Kittaneh and Kosaki [9], Bhatia [6] and A. G. Ghazanfari [7]. It is further remarked that the inequalities for log-convex functions are also studied in [2, 3].
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