LOG-MAJORIZATION OF GAN-LIU-TAM TYPE

JIAN SHI* AND YING DAI

(Communicated by F. Hansen)

Abstract. In this paper, we shall obtain several extensions of log-majorization of Gan-Liu-Tam type.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, a capital letter, such as *T*, means an $n \times n$ matrix. We denote $T \ge 0$ if *T* is a positive semidefinite matrix and T > 0 if *T* is positive definite, respectively. For A > 0, $B \ge 0$, $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, F. Kubo and T. Ando, in [7], introduce the α -power mean of *A* and *B* as follows,

$$A \sharp_{\alpha} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha} A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Usually, $A \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} B$ is denoted by $A \sharp B$. There are many beautiful properties of the α -power mean. For example, if $0 \leq A \leq C$, $0 \leq B \leq D$, then $A \sharp_t B \leq C \sharp_t D$ holds for $t \in [0,1]$. If $A, B \geq 0$, T. Ando and F. Hiai, in [1], introduce the following relationship, which is called log-majorization, denoted by $A \succ B$, if

$$\prod_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i(A) \ge \prod_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i(B) \quad (k = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1)$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(B) \quad (i.e. \quad detA = detB)$$

hold, where $\lambda_1(A) \ge \lambda_2(A) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(A)$ and $\lambda_1(B) \ge \lambda_2(B) \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n(B)$ are the eigenvalues of *A* and *B* respectively arranged in decreasing order. There are many perfect log-majorizations, see [2, 6, 9] for details.

Very recently, in [4], L. Gan, X. Liu and T.-Y. Tam obtained the following logmajorization.

* Corresponding author.



Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47A63.

Keywords and phrases: log-majorization, α -power mean, Furuta lemma, Tanahashi inequality, Grand Furuta inequality.

THEOREM 1.1. ([4], Log-majorization of Gan-Liu-Tam type) If A, B > 0 and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$A\sharp_t B_{(\log)} \prec (A^{-1}\sharp B)^t A (A^{-1}\sharp B)^t.$$

In this paper, we shall extend the above result in several cases. In order to prove our results, we list some lemmas first.

LEMMA 1.1. ([5, 8], Löwner-Heinz inequality) If $A \ge B \ge 0$, then

$$A^p \ge B^p$$

holds for all $0 \leq p \leq 1$.

LEMMA 1.2. ([9, 10, 11], Tanahashi inequality) If $A \ge B \ge 0$ and A > 0, we have

 $\begin{aligned} (I) \ A^{-t} &\ge \left(A^{-\frac{t}{2}}B^{p}A^{-\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{\frac{-t}{p-t}}, for \ all \ 0 \leqslant p < t \leqslant 1, \ p \leqslant \frac{1}{2}; \\ (II) \ A^{2p-1-t} &\ge \left(A^{-\frac{t}{2}}B^{p}A^{-\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2p-1-t}{p-t}}, for \ all \ \frac{1}{2} \leqslant p < t \leqslant 1. \end{aligned}$

LEMMA 1.3. ([3], Furuta lemma) If A > 0 and B is invertible, then

$$(BAB^*)^s = BA^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^*BA^{\frac{1}{2}})^{s-1}A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^*$$

holds for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

LEMMA 1.4. ([3], Grand Furuta inequality) If $A \ge B \ge 0$ and A > 0, then

$$A^{1-t+r} \ge \{A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^{p} A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{s} A^{\frac{r}{2}}\}^{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)s+r}}$$

holds for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $p \geq 1$, $s \geq 1$ and $r \geq t$.

LEMMA 1.5. Let f(A,B) and g(A,B) be positive operator-valued functions for A, B > 0 satisfying the homogeneity f(aI, bI) = g(aI, bI) for a, b > 0. Then $||f(A,B)|| \le ||g(A,B)||$ if and only if $g(A,B) \le I$ implies $f(A,B) \le I$.

2. Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization in the case of $0 < t \le \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < t \le 1$

In this section, we first shall show several extensions of Gan-Liu-Tam type logmajorization in the case of $0 < t \le \frac{1}{2}$.

THEOREM 2.1. (Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization in the case of $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{2}$) *If* $A, B > 0, \ 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \ 0 \leq t \leq 1, \ 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}, \ s \geq 1, \ p \geq 1, \ r \geq t, \ h = \frac{(1-t+r)ps\theta}{(p-t)s+r}$, then

$$A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \underset{(\log)}{\overset{(\log)}{\leftarrow}} \{(A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} A (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} \}^{h}$$

$$(2.1)$$

holds and is equivalent to grand Furuta inequality.

Proof. First, we proof that (2.1) can be derived from grand Furuta inequality.

Notice that $\alpha = 0$ holds obviously, we only need to prove that under the condition of $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. The following identity holds

$$det \left(A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{ A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \right)$$

= $det \left(\{ (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} A (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} \}^{h} \right)$

because

$$det\left(\left\{(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}A(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}\right\}^{h}\right)$$

= $\left(det(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{2\alpha}(detA)\right)^{h}$
= $\left((detA)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(detB)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2\alpha h}(detA)^{h}$
= $(detA)^{(1-\alpha)h}(detB)^{\alpha h}$

and

$$\begin{split} det \left(A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \right) \\ &= (detA)^{(1-t+r)\theta} \{ (detA)^{-r} [(detA)^{t} ((detA)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (detB)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2\alpha p}]^{s} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} \\ &= (detA)^{\frac{(1-\alpha)(1-t+r)ps\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} (detB)^{\frac{(1-t+r)\alpha ps\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} \\ &= (detA)^{(1-\alpha)h} (detB)^{\alpha h}. \end{split}$$

Notice that

$$\{((xA)^{-1}\sharp(yB))^{\alpha}(xA)((xA)^{-1}\sharp(yB))^{\alpha}\}^{h} = x^{(1-\alpha)h}y^{\alpha h}\{(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}A(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}\}^{h}$$

and

$$(xA)^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{ (xA)^{-\frac{r}{2}} [(xA)^{\frac{t}{2}} ((xA)^{-1} \sharp (yB))^{2\alpha p} (xA)^{\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} (xA)^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} (xA)^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \\ = x^{(1-\alpha)h} y^{\alpha h} \{ A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{ A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \}$$

hold for x, y > 0, that is, $A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}]^s A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}}$ and $\{(A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} A (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} \}^h$ have the same order of homogeneity for A, B.

Next, by Lemma 1.5, we shall prove that

$$\{(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}A(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}\}^h \leqslant I$$
(2.2)

ensures

$$A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \leqslant I.$$
(2.3)

Notice that (2.2) is equivalent to $A^{-1} \ge (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha}$. Let $A_1 = A^{-1}$ and $B_1 = (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha}$. Applying grand Furuta inequality to A_1 and B_1 , then

$$\{A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}}(A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}}B_{1}^{p}A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{s}A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}}\}^{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)s+r}} \leqslant A_{1}^{1-t+r}$$
(2.4)

holds for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $s \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq t$.

Applying Löwner-Heinz inequality to (2.4) for $0 \le \theta \le 1$, we have

$$\{A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}}(A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}}B_{1}^{p}A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{s}A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}}\}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} \leqslant A_{1}^{(1-t+r)\theta},$$
(2.5)

which is equivalent to

$$A_{1}^{-\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}} (A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}} B_{1}^{p} A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{s} A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{(p-t)s+r}} A_{1}^{-\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \leqslant I,$$
(2.6)

(2.6) is just (2.3), if A_1 and B_1 are replaced by A^{-1} and $(A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha}$, respectively.

Next, we shall show that grand Furuta inequality can be derived from (2.1).

Let $\theta = 1$ in (2.1), we have

$$\{(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}A(A^{-1}\sharp B)^{\alpha}\}^{\frac{(1-t+r)ps}{(p-t)s+r}} \leqslant I$$
(2.7)

ensures that

$$A^{\frac{1-t+r}{2}} \{ A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{2\alpha p} A^{\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)s+r}} A^{\frac{1-t+r}{2}} \leqslant I.$$
(2.8)

Notice that (2.7) is equivalent to $A^{-1} \ge (A^{-1} \ddagger B)^{2\alpha}$. Let $A_1 = A^{-1}$ and $B_1 = (A^{-1} \ddagger B)^{2\alpha}$, then $A = A_1^{-1}$, $B = B_1^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} A_1^{-1} B_1^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ and (2.7) is just that $A_1 \ge B_1$. Replacing A by A_1^{-1} and B by $B_1^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} A_1^{-1} B_1^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}$ in (2.8), we have

$$A_{1}^{-\frac{1-t+r}{2}} \{A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}} [A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}} (A_{1} \sharp (B_{1}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}} A_{1}^{-1} B_{1}^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}}))^{2\alpha p} A_{1}^{-\frac{t}{2}}]^{s} A_{1}^{\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)s+r}} A_{1}^{-\frac{1-t+r}{2}} \leqslant I, \qquad (2.9)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\{A_1^{\frac{r}{2}}(A_1^{-\frac{t}{2}}B_1^p A_1^{-\frac{t}{2}})^s A_1^{\frac{r}{2}}\}^{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)s+r}} \leqslant A_1^{1-t+r}.$$
(2.10)

(2.10) holds from $A_1 \ge B_1$, $0 \le t \le 1$, $s \ge 1$, $p \ge 1$ and $r \ge t$, which is just grand Furuta inequality.

Hence the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. \Box

If we put $p = \frac{1}{2\alpha}$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary. COROLLARY 2.1. If A, B > 0,

$$A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \{A^{-\frac{r}{2}} [A^{\frac{t-1}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{t-1}{2}}]^{s} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{2(1-t+r)\alpha\theta}{(1-2\alpha t)s+2\alpha r}} A^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta}{2}} \\ \prec \{ (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} A (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} \}^{\frac{(1-t+r)\theta_{s}}{(1-2\alpha t)s+2\alpha r}}$$

holds for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $s \geq 1$ and $r \geq t$.

If we put t = 1 in Corollary 2.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. If A, B > 0,

$$A^{\frac{\theta r}{2}} \{A^{-\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{s}{2}} A^{-\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{2\alpha\theta r}{(1-2\alpha)s+2\alpha r}} A^{\frac{\theta r}{2}} \underset{(\log)}{\overset{(\log)}{\leftarrow}} \{ (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} A (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} \}^{\frac{\theta rs}{(1-2\alpha)s+2\alpha r}}$$

holds for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $s \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$.

If we put s = 2 in Corollary 2.2, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.3. If A, B > 0,

$$A^{\frac{\theta r}{2}} (A^{\frac{1-r}{2}} B A^{\frac{1-r}{2}})^{\frac{\alpha \theta r}{1-2\alpha+\alpha r}} A^{\frac{\theta r}{2}} \prec \{ (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} A (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} \}^{\frac{\theta r}{1-2\alpha+\alpha r}}$$

holds for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $r \geq 1$.

If we put r = 2 in Corollary 2.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. If A, B > 0,

$$A^{\theta} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{2\alpha \theta} A^{\theta} \underset{(\text{log})}{\prec} \{ (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} A (A^{-1} \sharp B)^{\alpha} \}^{2\theta}$$

holds for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ *and* $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

REMARK 2.1. If we put $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ and replace α by *t* in Corollary 2.4, it is just Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization under the condition of $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}$.

Next, we shall show an extension of Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization in the case of $\frac{1}{2} < t \le 1$.

THEOREM 2.2. (Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization in the case of $\frac{1}{2} < t \le 1$) If A, B > 0, then

$$A\sharp_{t}B \underset{(\log)}{\prec} (A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}}A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}}(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}}$$
(2.11)

holds for $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$.

Proof. First, it is easy to obtain $det(A\sharp_t B) = det((A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}}A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}}(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}})$ because $det(A\sharp_t B) = (detA)^{1-t}(detB)^t$ and

$$det((A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}}A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}}(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}})$$

= $det((A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{\alpha}})det(A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}})$
= $[(detA)^{\alpha-1}(detB)^{\alpha}]^{\frac{t}{\alpha}}det(A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}})$
= $(detA)^{1-t}(detB)^{t}.$

Thus, by Lemma 1.5, we only need to prove that

$$(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}}A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}}(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{\frac{t}{2\alpha}} \leqslant I$$
(2.12)

ensures that

$$A \sharp_t B \leqslant I. \tag{2.13}$$

(2.12) is equivalent to $A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}} \leq (A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}$. Let $A_1 = (A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{-\frac{t}{\alpha}}$, $B_1 = A^{1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha}}$, and $q = \frac{\alpha}{t}$, $c = (1-2t+\frac{t}{\alpha})^{-1}$. Then we have

$$B_1 \leqslant A_1, \tag{2.14}$$

$$A = B_1^c, \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$B = B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} (B_1^{\frac{c}{2}} A_1^{-q} B_1^{\frac{c}{2}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}}.$$
 (2.16)

Next, we shall prove (2.13) holds in two cases.

Case I. If $t \ge 2\alpha$, let $\beta = 2 - \frac{1}{t}$. Then $0 \le \beta \le 1$ and $\frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{c\beta}{c-q}$. Hence we have

$$B_1^{\frac{c-q}{\alpha}} = B_1^{c\beta} \ge (B_1^{\frac{c}{2}} A_1^{-q} B_1^{\frac{c}{2}})^{\frac{c\beta}{c-q}} = (B_1^{\frac{c}{2}} A_1^{-q} B_1^{\frac{c}{2}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2.

Moreover, it implies that

$$B = B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} (B_1^{\frac{c}{2}} A_1^{-q} B_1^{\frac{c}{2}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} \leqslant B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} B_1^{-\frac{c-q}{\alpha}} B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} = B_1^{\frac{c-q}{\alpha}-c}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$A\sharp_t B \leqslant B_1^c \sharp_t B_1^{\frac{c-q}{\alpha}-c} = I.$$

Case II. If $t \leq 2\alpha$, let $\gamma = \frac{c-q}{\alpha(1+c-2q)}$. Then $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ holds for $(1-2\alpha)(\alpha + t^2 - 2\alpha t) \geq 0$. Hence we have

$$B_1^{\gamma(1+c-2q)} \ge (B_1^{\frac{c}{2}} A_1^{-q} B_1^{\frac{c}{2}})^{\gamma \frac{2q-c-1}{q-c}} = (B_1^{\frac{c}{2}} A_1^{-q} B_1^{\frac{c}{2}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2.

Moreover, it implies that

$$B = B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} (B_1^{\frac{c}{2}} A_1^{-q} B_1^{\frac{c}{2}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} \leqslant B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} B_1^{\gamma(1+c-2q)} B_1^{-\frac{c}{2}} = B_1^{\frac{c-q}{\alpha}-c}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$A\sharp_t B \leqslant B_1^c \sharp_t B_1^{\frac{c-q}{\alpha}-c} = I.$$

Hence the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed. \Box

REMARK 2.2. If we put $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 2.2 is just Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization in the case of $\frac{1}{2} < t \le 1$.

3. A generalization of Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization

In this section, we shall show a generalization of Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization for any $t \in [0, 1]$.

THEOREM 3.1. If A, B > 0, then

$$A^{t(2\alpha-1)}(A\sharp_{2\alpha t}B)A^{t(2\alpha-1)} \underset{(\log)}{\prec} (A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t}A(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t}$$
(3.1)

holds for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq 2\alpha t \leq 1$.

Proof. First, it is easy to obtain

$$det(A^{t(2\alpha-1)}(A\sharp_{2\alpha t}B)A^{t(2\alpha-1)}) = det((A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t}A(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t})$$

because

$$det(A^{t(2\alpha-1)}(A\sharp_{2\alpha t}B)A^{t(2\alpha-1)})$$

= $(detA)^{2t(2\alpha-1)}[(detA)^{1-2\alpha t}(detB)^{2\alpha t}]$
= $(detA)^{2\alpha t-2t+1}(detB)^{2\alpha t}$

and

$$det((A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t}A(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t})$$

= $det(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{2t}detA$
= $[(detA)^{\alpha-1}(detB)^{\alpha}]^{2t}detA$
= $(detA)^{2\alpha t-2t+1}(detB)^{2\alpha t}.$

Thus, by Lemma 1.5, we only need to prove that

$$(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t}A(A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{t} \leqslant I$$
(3.2)

ensures that

$$A^{t(2\alpha-1)}(A\sharp_{2\alpha t}B)A^{t(2\alpha-1)} \leqslant I.$$
(3.3)

Notice that (3.2) is equivalent to $A \leq (A^{-1} \sharp_{\alpha} B)^{-2t}$. Let $A_1 = (A^{-1} \sharp_{\alpha} B)^{-2t}$. Lemmas 1.3 and 1.1 imply that, since $0 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \leq 1$ and $A \leq A_1$,

$$B = A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2t}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} A^{-\frac{1}{2}} = A_{1}^{-\frac{1}{4t}} \left(A_{1}^{-\frac{1}{4t}} A A_{1}^{-\frac{1}{4t}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} A_{1}^{-\frac{1}{4t}} \leqslant A_{1}^{(1-\frac{1}{2t})(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)-\frac{1}{2t}}$$

Therefore, we have

$$A\sharp_{2\alpha t}B \leqslant A_1\sharp_{2\alpha t}A_1^{(1-\frac{1}{2t})(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)-\frac{1}{2t}} = A_1^{2t-4\alpha t} \leqslant A^{2t-4\alpha t}$$

because $0 \le 4\alpha t - 2t \le 1$ and so $-1 \le 2t - 4\alpha t \le 0$. Finally it follows that

$$A^{t(2\alpha-1)}(A\sharp_{2\alpha t}B)A^{t(2\alpha-1)} \leqslant A^{t(2\alpha-1)}A^{2t-4\alpha t}A^{t(2\alpha-1)} = I.$$

Hence the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. \Box

REMARK 3.1. If we put $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 3.1 is just Gan-Liu-Tam type log-majorization.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. J. Shi is supported by Laboratory Open Project Fund of Hebei University (sy202203) and Science and Technology Project of Hebei Education Department (QN2023057).

REFERENCES

- T. ANDO, F. HIAI, Log majorization and complementary Golden-Thompson type inequalities, Linear Algebra Appl. 197/198 (1994), 113–131.
- [2] N. BEBIANO, R. LEMOS, J. DA PROVIDÊNCIA, Inequalities for quantum relative entropy and enproty, Linear Algebra Appl. 401 (2005), 159–172.
- [3] T. FURUTA, Extension of the Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai log-majorization, Linear Algebra Appl. 219 (1995), 139–155.
- [4] L. GAN, X. LIU, T.-Y. TAM, On two geometric means and sum of adjoint orbits, Linear Algebra Appl. 631 (2021), 156–173.
- [5] E. HEINZ, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, Math. Ann. 123 (1951), 415–438.
- [6] F. HIAI, Log-majorization related to Rényi divergences, Linear Algebra Appl. 563 (2019), 255–276.
- [7] F. KUBO, T. ANDO, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann. 246 (1980), 205-224.
- [8] K. LÖWNER, Über monotone Matrixfunktionen, Math. Z. 38 (1934), 177–216.
- [9] J. SHI, D. ZHAO, Extensions of Hiai type log-majorization inequalities, Math. Inequal. Appl. 23 (2020), 563–568.
- [10] K. TANAHASHI, The Furuta inequality with negative powers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 1683–1692.
- [11] C. YANG, H. ZUO, H. LI, The theory of positive operators, Wuhan University Press, Wuchang, 2009.

(Received October 10, 2022)

Jian Shi Hebei Key Laboratory of Machine Learning and Computational Intelligence College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University Baoding, 071002, P.R. China e-mail: mathematic@126.com shijianmath@qq.com Ying Dai Hebei Key Laboratory of Machine Learning and Computational Intelligence College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei University Baoding, 071002, P.R. China

e-mail: eightdaiying@gg.com