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#### Abstract

Let $A_{\alpha}(G)$ be the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of a digraph $G$ and $\lambda_{\alpha 1}, \lambda_{\alpha 2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\alpha n}$ be the eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. Let $\rho_{\alpha}(G)$ be the $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius of $G$ and $E_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{2}$ be the $A_{\alpha}$ energy of $G$ by using second spectral moment. Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be the set of non-strongly connected digraphs with $n$ vertices containing a unique strong component with $m$ vertices and some directed trees hanging on each vertex of the strong component. In this paper, we characterize the digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius and the maximal (or minimal) $A_{\alpha}$ energy in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(\mathcal{V}(G), \mathcal{A}(G))$ be a digraph where $\mathcal{V}(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ is the vertex set of $G$ and $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is the arc set of $G$. For an arc from the vertex $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$, we denote by $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$, and $v_{i}$ is the tail of $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and $v_{j}$ is the head of $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$. The outdegree $d_{i}^{+}=$ $d_{G}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)$ of $G$ is the number of arcs whose tail is vertex $v_{i}$ and the indegree $d_{i}^{-}=d_{G}^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)$ of $G$ is the number of arcs whose head is vertex $v_{i}$. We denote the maximum outdegree of $G$ by $\Delta^{+}(G)$. A walk $\pi$ of length $l$ from vertex $u$ to vertex $v$ is a sequence of vertices $\pi: u=v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{l}=v$, where $\left(v_{k-1}, v_{k}\right)$ is an arc of $G$ for any $1 \leqslant k \leqslant l$. If $u=v$ then $\pi$ is called a closed walk. Let $c_{2}$ denote the number of all closed walks of length 2 . A directed path $P_{n}$ with $n$ vertices is a digraph which the vertex set is $\left\{v_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ and the arc set is $\left\{\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n-1\right\}$. A directed cycle $C_{n}$ with $n \geqslant 2$ vertices is a digraph which the vertex set is $\left\{v_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ and the arc set is $\left\{\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right) \mid i=1, \ldots, n-1\right\} \cup\left\{\left(v_{n}, v_{1}\right)\right\}$. A digraph $G$ is connected if its underlying graph is connected. A digraph $G$ is strongly connected if for each pair of vertices $v_{i}, v_{j} \in \mathcal{V}(G)$, there is a directed path from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$. A strong component of $G$ is a maximal strongly connected subdigraph of $G$. A directed tree $T$ with $n$ vertices is a digraph for which its underlying graph is connected and does not contain any cycles. A directed tree with $n$ vertices will have $e=n-1$ arcs. Throughout this paper, we only consider a connected digraph $G$ containing neither loops nor multiple arcs.

For a digraph $G$ with $n$ vertices, the adjacency matrix $A(G)=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ of $G$ is a $(0,1)$-square matrix whose $(i, j)$-entry equals 1 if $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ is an arc of $G$, and

[^0]equals 0 otherwise. The Laplacian matrix $L(G)$ and the signless Laplacian matrix $Q(G)$ of $G$ are $L(G)=D^{+}(G)-A(G)$ and $Q(G)=D^{+}(G)+A(G)$, respectively, where $D^{+}(G)=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}^{+}, d_{2}^{+}, \ldots, d_{n}^{+}\right)$is a diagonal outdegree matrix of $G$. In 2019, Liu et al. [12] defined the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of $G$ as
$$
A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D^{+}(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G)
$$
where $\alpha \in[0,1]$. It is clear that if $\alpha=0$, then $A_{0}(G)=A(G)$; if $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$, then $A_{\frac{1}{2}}(G)=$ $\frac{1}{2} Q(G)$; if $\alpha=1$, then $A_{1}(G)=D^{+}(G)$. Since $D^{+}(G)$ is not interesting, we only consider $\alpha \in[0,1)$. The eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ with largest modulus is called the $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius of $G$, denoted by $\rho_{\alpha}(G)$.

Actually, in 2017, Nikiforov [15] first proposed the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of a graph $H$ of order $n$ as

$$
A_{\alpha}(H)=\alpha D(H)+(1-\alpha) A(H)
$$

where $D(H)=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is a diagonal degree matrix of $H$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$. After that, many scholars began to study the $A_{\alpha}$-matrices of graphs. Nikiforov et al. [16] gave several results about the $A_{\alpha}$-matrices of trees and gave the upper and lower bounds for the spectral radius of the $A_{\alpha}$-matrices of arbitrary graphs. Let $\lambda_{1}\left(A_{\alpha}(H)\right) \geqslant$ $\lambda_{2}\left(A_{\alpha}(H)\right) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant \lambda_{n}\left(A_{\alpha}(H)\right)$ be the eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}(H)$. Lin et al. [11] characterized the graph $H$ with $\lambda_{k}\left(A_{\alpha}(H)\right)=\alpha n-1$ for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and showed that $\lambda_{n}\left(A_{\alpha}(H)\right) \geqslant 2 \alpha-1$ if $H$ contains no isolated vertices. Liu et al. [13] presented several upper and lower bounds on the $k$-th largest eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}$-matrix and characterized the extremal graphs corresponding to some of these obtained bounds. More results about $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of a graph can be found in [8, 9, 10, 14, 17, 20]. Recently, Liu et al. [12] characterized the digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius in $\mathcal{G}_{n, r}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{n, r}$ is the set of digraphs of order $n$ with dichromatic number $r$. Xi et al. [22] determined the digraphs which attain the maximum (or minimum) $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius among all strongly connected digraphs with given parameters such as girth, clique number, vertex connectivity or arc connectivity. Xi and Wang [23] established some lower bounds on $\Delta^{+}-\rho_{\alpha}(G)$ for strongly connected irregular digraphs with given maximum outdegree and some other parameters. Ganie and Baghipur [4] obtained some lower bounds for the spectral radius of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ in terms of the number of vertices, the number of arcs and the number of closed walks of the digraph $G$.

It is well-known that the energy of the adjacency matrix of a graph $H$ first defined by Gutman [5] as $E_{A}(H)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}$, where $v_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of $H$. Peña and Rada [19] defined the energy of the adjacency matrix of a digraph $G$ as $E_{A}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{i}\right)\right|$, where $z_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix of $G$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{i}\right)$ is the real part of eigenvalue $z_{i}$. Some results about the energy of the adjacency matrices of graphs and digraphs have been obtained in [2, 3, 6]. Lazić [7] defined the Laplacian energy of a graph $H$ as $L E(H)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{2}$ by using second spectral moment, where $\mu_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of $L(H)$. Perera and Mizoguchi [18] defined the Laplacian energy $L E(G)$ of a digraph $G$ as $L E(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2}$ by using second spectral moment, where $\lambda_{i}$ is an eigenvalue of $L(G)$. Yang and Wang [24] defined the signless Laplacian energy as $E_{S L}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}^{2}$ of a digraph $G$ by using second spectral moment, where $q_{i}$
is an eigenvalue of $Q(G)$. In this paper, we study the $A_{\alpha}$ energy as $E_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{2}$ of a digraph $G$ by using second spectral moment, where $\lambda_{\alpha i}$ is an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$.

The arrangement of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some special digraphs. In Section 3, we characterize the digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$. In Section 4, we characterize the digraph which has the maximal (or minimal) $A_{\alpha}$ energy in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some special digraphs.

## Complete digraph:

Let $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{n}$ denote the complete digraph with $n$ vertices in which two arbitrary distinct vertices $v_{i}, v_{j} \in \mathcal{V}\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{n}\right)$, there are arcs $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{n}\right)$ and $\left(v_{j}, v_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{n}\right)$.

## Out-star, in-star and star:

Let $\vec{K}_{1, n-1}$ be an out-star with $n$ vertices which has one vertex with outdegree $n-1$ and other vertices with outdegree 0 (see $\vec{K}_{1, n-1}$ in Figure 1). Let $\overleftarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$ be an in-star with $n$ vertices which has one vertex with indegree $n-1$ and other vertices with indegree 0 (see $\overleftarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$ in Figure 1). Let $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$ be a star with $n$ vertices which has one vertex with outdegree and indegree $n-1$ and other vertices with outdegree and indegree 1 (see $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$ in Figure 1). The vertex with outdegree or indegree $n-1$ is called the centre of $\vec{K}_{1, n-1}, \overleftarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$ or $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$.

$\vec{K}_{1, n-1}$

$\overleftarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$

$\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{1, n-1}$

Figure 1: An out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-1}$, an in-star $\overleftarrow{K}_{1, n-1}$ and a star $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{K}_{1, n-1}$.

## In-tree:

Let in-tree be a directed tree with $n$ vertices which the outdegree of each vertex of the directed tree is at most one. Then the in-tree has exactly one vertex with outdegree 0 and such vertex is called the root of the in-tree (see Figure 2).

## Generalized $\infty$-digraph:

Let $\infty\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]$ be a generalized $\infty$-digraph with $n=\sum_{i=1}^{t} m_{i}-t+1\left(m_{i} \geqslant\right.$ 2 ) vertices which has $t$ directed cycles $C_{m_{i}}$ with exactly one common vertex (see $\infty\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]$ in Figure 3).

in-tree rooted at $v_{n}$ (directed path)


Figure 2: Two different in-trees.


Figure 3: A generalized $\infty$-digraph and a $(p+q)$-bispindle.

## $p$-spindle and $(p+q)$-bispindle:

A $p$-spindle with $n$ vertices is the union of $p$ internally disjoint $(x, y)$-directed paths for some vertices $x$ and $y$. The vertex $x$ is said to be the initial vertex of spindle and $y$ its terminal vertex. $\mathrm{A}(p+q)$-bispindle with $n$ vertices is the internally disjoint union of a $p$-spindle with initial vertex $x$ and terminal vertex $y$ and a $q$-spindle with initial vertex $y$ and terminal vertex $x$. Actually, it is the union of $p(x, y)$-directed paths and $q(y, x)$-directed paths. We denote the $(p+q)$-bispindle by $B[p, q]$ (see $B[p, q]$ in Figure 3).
The set of non-strongly connected digraphs $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ :
Let $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be the set of non-strongly connected digraphs with $n$ vertices containing a unique strong component with $m$ vertices and some directed trees hanging on each vertex of the strong component.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $G^{*}$ be a strong connected digraph with $m$ vertices which $d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right) \geqslant d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{2}\right) \geqslant \cdots \geqslant d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{m}\right)$ is the outdegrees of vertices of $G^{*}$. Let $T^{i}$ be the directed tree with $n_{i}$ vertices, where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $n=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}$. We give the digraphs $G, G^{\prime}, G^{\prime \prime}$ and $G^{\prime \prime \prime}$ obtained by $G^{*}$ and $T^{i}$ as follow. (We take an example in Figure 4.)
(i) Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be a non-strongly connected digraphs with $n$ vertices containing the unique strong component $G^{*}$ with $m$ vertices and some directed trees $T^{i}$ hanging on each vertex of $G^{*}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $n=\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}$. Then the vertex set of


Figure 4: The digraphs $G, G^{\prime}, G^{\prime \prime}, G^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$.
$G$ is $\mathcal{V}(G)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{V}\left(T^{i}\right)$, where $\mathcal{V}\left(T^{i}\right)=\left\{u_{1}^{i}, u_{2}^{i}, \ldots, u_{n_{i}}^{i}\right\}, \mathcal{V}\left(G^{*}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ and $v_{i}=u_{1}^{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Let $d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)$ be the outdegree of vertex $u_{j}^{i}$ of $G$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i}$.
(ii) Let

$$
G^{\prime}=G-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{s, t=1}^{n_{i}}\left(u_{s}^{i}, u_{t}^{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left(u_{1}^{i}, u_{j}^{i}\right)
$$

where $\left(u_{s}^{i}, u_{t}^{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}(G), i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $s, t, j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i}$. Then $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is a nonstrongly connected digraph which each directed tree $T^{i}$ is an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n_{i}-1}$ whose centre is $v_{i}$ of $G^{*}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.
(iii) Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{\prime \prime} & =G-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{s, t=1}^{n_{i}}\left(u_{s}^{i}, u_{t}^{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left(u_{1}^{1}, u_{j}^{i}\right) \\
& =G^{\prime}-\sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left(u_{1}^{i}, u_{j}^{i}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left(u_{1}^{1}, u_{j}^{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(u_{s}^{i}, u_{t}^{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}(G), i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $s, t, j=1,2, \ldots, n_{i}$. Then $G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is a nonstrongly connected digraph which only has an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$ whose centre is $v_{1}$ of $G^{*}$, where $v_{1}$ is the maximal outdegree vertex of $G^{*}$. Since the maximum outdegree vertex of $G^{*}$ may not unique, the digraph $G^{\prime \prime}$ may not unique, too.
(iv) Let $G^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be a non-strongly connected digraph by changing each directed tree $T^{i}$ of $G$ to an in-tree whose root is $v_{i}$ of $G^{*}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

## Digraphs $K_{n}^{m}$ and $C_{n}^{m}$ :

Let $K_{n}^{m}$ be a non-strongly connected digraph with $n$ vertices containing a complete digraph $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}$ and an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$ with centre at any vertex of $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}$. Let $C_{n}^{m}$ be a nonstrongly connected digraph with $n$ vertices containing a directed cycle $C_{m}$ and some in-trees with roots at each vertex of $C_{m}$.

## 3. The maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius of non-strongly connected digraphs

In this section, we will consider the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius of non-strongly connected digraphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$. First, we list some known results used for later.

DEFINITION 3.1. ([1]) Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ be two $n \times n$ matrices. If $a_{i j} \leqslant$ $b_{i j}$ for all $i$ and $j$, then $A \leqslant B$. If $A \leqslant B$ and $A \neq B$, then $A<B$. If $a_{i j}<b_{i j}$ for all $i$ and $j$, then $A \ll B$.

Lemma 3.2. ([1]) Let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right), B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ be two $n \times n$ matrices with the spectral radii $\rho(A)$ and $\rho(B)$, respectively. If $0 \leqslant A \leqslant B$, then $\rho(A) \leqslant \rho(B)$. Furthermore, If $0 \leqslant A<B$ and $B$ is irreducible, then $\rho(A)<\rho(B)$.

Lemma 3.3. ([12]) Let $G$ be a digraph with the $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius $\rho_{\alpha}(G)$ and maximal outdegree $\Delta^{+}(G)$. If $H$ is a subdigraph of $G$, then $\rho_{\alpha}(H) \leqslant \rho_{\alpha}(G)$, especially, $\rho_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant \alpha \Delta^{+}(G)$. If $G$ is strongly connected and $H$ is a proper subdigraph of $G$, then $\rho_{\alpha}(H)<\rho_{\alpha}(G)$.

Second, we give some lemmas to prove our main results.
Lemma 3.4. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be a non-strongly connected digraph with $\mathcal{V}(G)=$ $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. Let $G^{*}$ be a unique strong component of $G$ with $\mathcal{V}\left(G^{*}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$. Let $\lambda_{\alpha 1}, \lambda_{\alpha 2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\alpha_{n}}$ be the eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ and $d_{1}^{+}, d_{2}^{+}, \ldots, d_{n}^{+}$be the outdegrees of vertices of $G$. Then

$$
\lambda_{\alpha i}=\alpha d_{i}^{+}
$$

for $i=m+1, m+2, \ldots, n$.
Proof. Let $A_{\alpha}(G)=\alpha D^{+}(G)+(1-\alpha) A(G)$ be the $A_{\alpha}$-matrix of $G$. Let $\mathcal{V}(G)=$ $\mathcal{V}_{1} \cup \mathcal{V}_{2}$ be the vertex set of $G$, where $\mathcal{V}_{1}=\mathcal{V}\left(G^{*}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{2}=\mathcal{V}(G-$ $\left.G^{*}\right)=\left\{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$. According to the partition of vertex set of $G$, we partition $A_{\alpha}(G)$ into

$$
A_{\alpha}(G)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
A_{11} & A_{12} \\
\hline A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The characteristic polynomial $\phi_{A_{\alpha}(G)}(x)$ of $G$ is $\phi_{A_{\alpha}(G)}(x)=\left|x I_{n}-A_{\alpha}(G)\right|$. Since the vertices of $\mathcal{V}_{2}$ are not on the strong component, there must exist a vertex with indegree 0 or outdegree 0 . Then the elements of column or row of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ corresponding to that vertex are all 0 , except the diagonal element. So by the property of determinant, we have $\phi_{A_{\alpha}(G)}(x)=\left|x I_{n}-A_{\alpha}(G)\right|=\left|x I_{n}-A_{11}\right| \prod_{i=m+1}^{n}\left(x-\alpha d_{i}^{+}\right)$. Hence $\lambda_{\alpha i}=\alpha d_{i}^{+}$, for $i=m+1, m+2, \ldots, n$.

With the above lemma, we can get a more general result.
Corollary 3.5. Let $G$ be an arbitrary digraph with $n$ vertices. Let $\lambda_{\alpha 1}$, $\lambda_{\alpha 2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\alpha n}$ be the eigenvalues of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ and $d_{1}^{+}, d_{2}^{+}, \ldots, d_{n}^{+}$be the outdegrees of vertices of $G$. For any vertex $v_{i}$ which is not on the strong components of $G$, we have

$$
\lambda_{\alpha i}=\alpha d_{i}^{+}
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $G, G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be two non-strongly connected digraphs as defined in Definition 2.1. Then $\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \rho_{\alpha}(G)$.

Proof. By the definition of $G^{\prime}$, we know $G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is a non-strongly connected digraph, which each directed tree $T^{i}$ is an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n_{i}-1}$ whose centre is $v_{i}$ of $G^{*}$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Then $d_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)=d_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)=d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)+n_{i}-1, d_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)=0$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $j=2,3, \ldots, n_{i}$.

First, we consider the $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues of $G^{\prime}$. From Lemma 3.4, for the vertex $u_{j}^{i}$ which is not on the strong component $G^{*}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{\alpha G^{\prime}}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)=\alpha d_{G^{\prime}}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)=0
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $j=2,3, \ldots, n_{i}$. For the vertex $v_{i}=u_{1}^{i}$ which is on the strong component $G^{*}$, the $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues $\lambda_{\alpha G^{\prime}}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)$ are equal to the eigenvalues of $A_{11}^{\prime}$, where
$A_{11}^{\prime}=\alpha \operatorname{diag}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)+n_{1}-1, d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{2}\right)+n_{2}-1, \ldots, d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{m}\right)+n_{m}-1\right)+(1-\alpha) A\left(G^{*}\right)$.
Obviously, $\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\rho\left(A_{11}^{\prime}\right)$.
Next, we consider the $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues of $G$. From Lemma 3.4, for the vertex $u_{j}^{i}$ which is not on the strong component $G^{*}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{\alpha G}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)=\alpha d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right),
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $j=2,3, \ldots, n_{i}$. For the vertex $v_{i}=u_{1}^{i}$ which is on the strong component $G^{*}$, the $A_{\alpha}$-eigenvalues $\lambda_{\alpha G}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)$ are equal to the eigenvalues of $A_{11}$, where

$$
A_{11}=\alpha \operatorname{diag}\left(d_{G}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right), d_{G}^{+}\left(v_{2}\right), \ldots, d_{G}^{+}\left(v_{m}\right)\right)+(1-\alpha) A\left(G^{*}\right)
$$

Hence, $\rho_{\alpha}(G)=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant n_{i}}\left\{\rho\left(A_{11}\right), \alpha d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)\right\}$.
Finally, we prove

$$
\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\rho\left(A_{11}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \rho_{\alpha}(G)=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant n_{i}}\left\{\rho\left(A_{11}\right), \alpha d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

From Lemma 3.2, since

$$
d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)+n_{i}-1 \geqslant d_{G}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)
$$

we have $A_{11}^{\prime} \geqslant A_{11}$. Then $\rho\left(A_{11}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \rho\left(A_{11}\right)$. From Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \alpha \Delta^{+}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \alpha \Delta^{+}(G) \geqslant \alpha d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right) .
$$

Therefore, we have $\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \rho_{\alpha}(G)$.
Finally, we give our main result.

THEOREM 3.7. Among all digraphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}, K_{n}^{m}$ is the unique digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius.

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 3.6, we know that $\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\rho\left(A_{11}^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \rho_{\alpha}(G)$, where
$A_{11}^{\prime}=\alpha \operatorname{diag}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)+n_{1}-1, d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{2}\right)+n_{2}-1, \ldots, d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{m}\right)+n_{m}-1\right)+(1-\alpha) A\left(G^{*}\right)$.
When $G^{*}=\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}$,

$$
\overleftrightarrow{A_{11}^{\prime}}=\alpha \operatorname{diag}\left(m+n_{1}-2, m+n_{2}-2, \ldots, m+n_{m}-2\right)+(1-\alpha) A\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}\right)
$$

From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, for the strong component $G^{*}$, we know that adding the arcs will increase the $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius. So when $G^{*}=\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}$, we have $\rho\left({\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime}}}_{11}\right) \geqslant \rho\left(A_{11}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Next we prove $\rho_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}^{m}\right) \geqslant \rho\left(\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime}}{ }_{11}\right)$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)^{T}$ is a Perron vector of $\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime}}{ }_{11}$ corresponding to $\rho\left({\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime}}}_{11}\right)$. We assume $x_{t}=\max \left\{x_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$. Let

$$
\overleftrightarrow{A}_{11}=\alpha \operatorname{diag}(m-1, \ldots, m-1, \underbrace{n-1}_{t-t h}, m-1, \ldots, m-1)+(1-\alpha) A\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{T}\left({\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime \prime}}}_{11}-{\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime}}}_{11}\right) \mathbf{x} & =-\alpha \sum_{i \neq t}\left(n_{i}-1\right) x_{i}^{2}+\alpha\left(n-m-n_{t}+1\right) x_{t}^{2} \\
& =-\alpha \sum_{i \neq t}\left(n_{i}-1\right) x_{i}^{2}+\alpha \sum_{i \neq t}\left(n_{i}-1\right) x_{t}^{2} \\
& =\alpha \sum_{i \neq t}\left(n_{i}-1\right)\left(x_{t}^{2}-x_{i}^{2}\right) \\
& \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\rho\left(\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime \prime}}{ }_{11}\right) \geqslant \rho\left(\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime}}{ }_{11}\right)$.
Since $K_{n}^{m}$ is a non-strongly connected digraph with $n$ vertices containing a complete digraph $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}$ and an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$ with centre at any vertex of $\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}$, without loss of generality, let such vertex be $v_{t}$. Then $d_{K_{n}^{m}}^{+}\left(v_{t}\right)=d_{\overleftarrow{K}_{m}}^{+}\left(v_{t}\right)+n-m=n-1$, $d_{K_{n}^{m}}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{t}\right)=0$ and $d_{K_{n}^{m}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)=d_{K_{m}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)=m-1$, where $i=1, \ldots, t-1, t+1, \ldots, m$ and $j=2,3, \ldots, n-m+1$. So we have $\rho_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}^{m}\right)=\rho\left({\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime \prime}}}_{11}\right) \geqslant \rho\left({\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime}}}_{11}\right)$. Hence, $K_{n}^{m}$ is the unique digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius among all digraphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$.

REMARK 3.8. Let $G^{\prime}, G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be two non-strongly connected digraphs as defined in Definition 2.1. If $\alpha=0$, then $\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Actually, if the strong component $G^{*}$ of $G$ and $n_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ are fixed, can we get $\rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant \rho_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\alpha \in[0,1)$ ?

## 4. The maximal (or minimal) $A_{\alpha}$ energy of non-strongly connected digraphs

In this section, we will consider the maximal (or minimal) $A_{\alpha}$ energy of nonstrongly connected digraphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$. Firstly, we will introduce some basic concepts of $A_{\alpha}$ energy of digraphs.

Let $E_{\alpha}(G)$ be the $A_{\alpha}$ energy of a digraph $G$. By using second spectral moment, Xi [21] defined the $A_{\alpha}$ energy as $E_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{2}$, where $\lambda_{\alpha i}$ is an eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$. She also obtained the following result.

Lemma 4.1. ([21]) Let $G$ be a connected digraph with $n$ vertices. Let $d_{1}^{+}, d_{2}^{+}, \ldots$, $d_{n}^{+}$be the outdegrees of vertices of $G$ and $c_{2}$ be the number of all closed walks of length 2. Then

$$
E_{\alpha}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{\alpha i}^{2}=\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(d_{i}^{+}\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}
$$

From Lemma 4.1, we take the Example 4.2.

EXAMPLE 4.2. We give $A_{\alpha}$ energies of some special digraphs as follow:
(1) $E_{\alpha}\left(P_{n}\right)=\alpha^{2}(n-1)$;
(2) $E_{\alpha}\left(C_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha^{2} n, & \text { if } n \geqslant 3, \\ 2 \alpha^{2}+2(1-\alpha)^{2}, & \text { if } n=2 ;\end{cases}$
(3) $E_{\alpha}\left(\vec{K}_{1, n-1}\right)=\alpha^{2}(n-1)^{2}$;
(4) $E_{\alpha}\left(\overleftarrow{K}_{1, n-1}\right)=\alpha^{2}(n-1)$;
(5) $E_{\alpha}\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{1, n-1}\right)=\alpha^{2} n(n-1)+2(1-\alpha)^{2}(n-1)$;
(6) $E_{\alpha}\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{n}\right)=\alpha^{2} n(n-1)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} n(n-1)$;
(7) $E_{\alpha}\left(\infty\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]\right)=\alpha^{2}\left(t^{2}+n-1\right)+2 s(1-\alpha)^{2}$,
where $2=m_{1} \cdots=m_{s}<m_{s+1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant m_{t}$;
(8) $E_{\alpha}(B[p, q])= \begin{cases}\alpha^{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+n-2\right)+2(1-\alpha)^{2}, & \text { if }(x, y),(y, x) \in \mathcal{A}(B[p, q]), \\ \alpha^{2}\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+n-2\right), & \text { otherwise; }\end{cases}$
(9) $E_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}^{m}\right)=\alpha^{2}(n-1)^{2}+\alpha^{2}(m-1)^{3}+(1-\alpha)^{2} m(m-1)$;
(10) $E_{\alpha}\left(C_{n}^{m}\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha^{2} n, & \text { if } m \geqslant 3, \\ \alpha^{2} n+2(1-\alpha)^{2}, & \text { if } m=2 .\end{cases}$

Lemma 4.3. ([21]) Let $T$ be a directed tree with $n$ vertices. Then

$$
\alpha^{2}(n-1) \leqslant E_{\alpha}(T) \leqslant \alpha^{2}(n-1)^{2}
$$

Moreover, $E_{\alpha}(T)=\alpha^{2}(n-1)$ if and only if $T$ is an in-tree with $n$ vertices; $E_{\alpha}(T)=$ $\alpha^{2}(n-1)^{2}$ if and only if $T$ is an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-1}$ with $n$ vertices.

Next, we give some lemmas to prove our main results.

Lemma 4.4. Let $G, G^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be two non-strongly connected digraphs as defined in Definition 2.1. Then $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}(G)$ with equality holding if and only if $G \cong G^{\prime}$.

Proof. By the definition of $G$, we know $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is a non-strongly connected digraph with $n$ vertices containing a unique strong component with $m$ vertices and some directed trees hanging on each vertex of the strong component. From Lemma 4.3, we know the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ energy of $T^{i}$ is

$$
\left(E_{\alpha}\left(T^{i}\right)\right)_{\max }=\alpha^{2}\left(n_{i}-1\right)^{2}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\alpha}(G)= & \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)+d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left(d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)\right)^{2}+2 d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right) d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)\right) \\
& +\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=2}^{n_{i}}\left(d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right) d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
\leqslant & \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(n_{i}-1\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\left(n_{i}-1\right)+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)+\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)\right)^{2}+2 d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right) d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)=\left(n_{i}-1\right)^{2}+2 d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\left(n_{i}-1\right)
$$

for all $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Anyway, the strong component $G^{*}$ does not change, so $d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)$ does not change. That is, $d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{1}^{i}\right)=d_{T^{i}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)=n_{i}-1$, and $d_{G}^{+}\left(u_{j}^{i}\right)=0$, where $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$ and $j=2,3, \ldots, n_{i}$. Then each directed tree $T^{i}$ is an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n_{i}-1}$.

Hence, we have $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}(G)$ with equality holding if and only if $G \cong G^{\prime}$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $G^{\prime}, G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be two non-strongly connected digraphs as defined in Definition 2.1. Then $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with equality holding if and only if $G^{\prime} \cong G^{\prime \prime}$.

Proof. By the definition of $G^{\prime \prime}$, we know $G^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is a non-strongly connected digraph which only has an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$ whose centre is $v_{1}$ of $G^{*}$, where $v_{1}$ is the maximal outdegree vertex of $G^{*}$. Then we have

$$
E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{2}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)+n-m\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)= & \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)+\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(n_{i}-1\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right)+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
\leqslant & \alpha^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right) \\
& +(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(n-m)^{2}+2 d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)(n-m)\right)+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & \alpha^{2}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)+n-m\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \\
= & E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(n_{i}-1\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\left(n_{i}-1\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(n_{i}-1\right)\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)\left(n_{i}-1\right)
$$

Anyway, the strong component $G^{*}$ does not change, so $d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)$ does not change. That is, $n_{i}-1=0$ for all $i=2,3, \ldots, m$ and $n_{1}=n-m+1$. Then the directed tree $T^{1}$ is an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$, and each other directed tree is a vertex $v_{i}$, where $i=2,3, \ldots, m$.

Hence, we have $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ with equality holding if and only if $G^{\prime} \cong$ $G^{\prime \prime}$.

Actually, since the maximum outdegree vertex of $G^{*}$ may not unique, the digraph $G^{\prime \prime}$ may not unique, too. But by the property of $A_{\alpha}$ energy, it does not affect the value of $A_{\alpha}$ energy, we also have $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 4.6. Let $G, G^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be two non-strongly connected digraphs as defined in Definition 2.1. Then $E_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$ with equality holding if and only if $G \cong G^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.3, we know the minimal $A_{\alpha}$ energy of $T^{i}$ is

$$
\left(E_{\alpha}\left(T^{i}\right)\right)_{\min }=\alpha^{2}\left(n_{i}-1\right)
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.4, we can get the result easily. And

$$
E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2}(n-m)+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right)
$$

From Lemmas 4.4-4.6, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.7. Let $G, G^{\prime \prime}, G^{\prime \prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be non-strongly connected digraphs as defined in Definition 2.1. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2}(n-m)+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \leqslant E_{\alpha}(G) \\
& \leqslant \alpha^{2}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)+n-m\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the first equality holds if and only if $G \cong G^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and the second equality holds if and only if $G \cong G^{\prime \prime}$.

From Corollary 4.7, we can get bounds of $A_{\alpha}$ energies of some special nonstrongly connected digraphs.

EXAMPLE 4.8. The bounds of $A_{\alpha}$ energies of special non-strongly connected digraphs $\widehat{U}_{n}^{m}, \widehat{\infty}\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]$ and $\widehat{B}[p, q]$.
(i) Let $\widehat{U}_{n}^{m} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be a unicyclic digraph with $n$ vertices containing a unique directed cycle $C_{m}$ and some directed trees hanging on each vertex of $C_{m}$, where $m \geqslant 2$. Then

$$
2 \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2}(n-2)+2(1-\alpha)^{2} \leqslant E_{\alpha}\left(\widehat{U}_{n}^{2}\right) \leqslant \alpha^{2}(n-1)^{2}+\alpha^{2}+2(1-\alpha)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\alpha^{2} m+\alpha^{2}(n-m) \leqslant E_{\alpha}\left(\widehat{U}_{n}^{m}\right) \leqslant \alpha^{2}(n-m+1)^{2}+\alpha^{2}(m-1)(m \geqslant 3)
$$

Moreover, the first equality holds if and only if $\widehat{U}_{n}^{m} \cong C_{n}^{m}$; the second equality holds if and only if $\widehat{U}_{n}^{m} \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ only has an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$ whose centre is an any vertex of $C_{m}$.
(ii) Let $\widehat{\infty}\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right] \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be a generalized $\widehat{\infty}$-digraph with $n$ vertices containing $\infty\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]$ and some directed trees hanging on each vertex of $\infty\left[m_{1}\right.$,
$\left.m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]$, where $2=m_{1} \cdots=m_{s}<m_{s+1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant m_{t}, m=\sum_{i=1}^{t} m_{i}-t+1$ and the common vertex of $t$ directed cycles $C_{m_{i}}$ is $v$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{2}\left(m-1+t^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2}(n-m)+2 s(1-\alpha)^{2} \leqslant E_{\alpha}\left(\widehat{\infty}\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]\right) \\
& \leqslant \alpha^{2}(n-m+t)^{2}+\alpha^{2}(m-1)+2 s(1-\alpha)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the first equality holds if and only if each directed tree is an in-tree with root at each vertex of $\infty\left[m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right]$; the second equality holds if and only if $\widehat{\infty}\left[m_{1}\right.$, $\left.m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right] \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ only has an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$ whose centre is $v$.
(iii) Let $\widehat{B}[p, q] \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ be a digraph with $n$ vertices containing $B[p, q]$ and some directed trees hanging on each vertex of $B[p, q]$, where $\mathcal{V}(B[p, q])=m$ and $p \geqslant q$. If both $(x, y)$ and $(y, x)$ are $\operatorname{arcs}$ in $\widehat{B}[p, q]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{2}\left(m-2+p^{2}+q^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2}(n-m)+2(1-\alpha)^{2} \leqslant E_{\alpha}(\widehat{B}[p, q]) \\
& \leqslant \alpha^{2}(n-m+p)^{2}+\alpha^{2}\left(m-2+q^{2}\right)+2(1-\alpha)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise,

$$
\alpha^{2}\left(m-2+p^{2}+q^{2}\right)+\alpha^{2}(n-m) \leqslant E_{\alpha}(\widehat{B}[p, q]) \leqslant \alpha^{2}(n-m+p)^{2}+\alpha^{2}\left(m-2+q^{2}\right)
$$

Moreover, the first equality holds if and only if each directed tree is an in-tree with root at each vertex of $B[p, q]$; the second equality holds if and only if $\widehat{B}[p, q] \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ only has an out-star $\vec{K}_{1, n-m}$ whose centre is $x$.

Finally, we give our main result.
THEOREM 4.9. Among all digraphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}, K_{n}^{m}$ is the unique digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ energy and $C_{n}^{m}$ is the digraph which has the minimal $A_{\alpha}$ energy.

Proof. From Lemmas 4.4-4.6, we have known $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant$ $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$, if the strong component $G^{*}$ of $G$ and $n_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ are fixed. By Corollary 4.7, we know $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{2}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{1}\right)+n-m\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+(1-$ $\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right)$ and $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=\alpha^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2}(n-m)+(1-\alpha)^{2} c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right)$. Obviously, $m-1 \geqslant d_{G^{*}}^{+}\left(v_{i}\right) \geqslant 1$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $c_{2}\left(\overleftrightarrow{K}_{m}\right) \geqslant c_{2}\left(G^{*}\right) \geqslant c_{2}\left(C_{m}\right)$. So we get $E_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}^{m}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $E_{\alpha}\left(G^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \geqslant E_{\alpha}\left(C_{n}^{m}\right)$.

Hence among all digraphs in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}, K_{n}^{m}$ is the unique digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ energy and $C_{n}^{m}$ is the digraph which has the minimal $A_{\alpha}$ energy.

REMARK 4.10. Since the in-trees of $C_{n}^{m}$ is not unique, the minimal digraph of the lower bound of any $G \in \mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is not unique, too. But by the property of $A_{\alpha}$ energy, we know the lower bound is unique. And

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{2}(n-1)^{2}+\alpha^{2}(m-1)^{3}+(1-\alpha)^{2} m(m-1) \\
& \geqslant E_{\alpha}(G) \geqslant \begin{cases}\alpha^{2} n, & \text { if } m>2 \\
\alpha^{2} n+2(1-\alpha)^{2}, & \text { if } m=2\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Concluding

In this paper, we characterized the digraph which has the maximal $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius and the maximal (or minimal) $A_{\alpha}$ energy in $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{n}^{m}$ is a special class of non-strongly connected digraphs with $n$ vertices which contains a unique strong component with $m$ vertices and some directed trees hanging on each vertex of the strong component. We want to further study the influence of the non-strongly connected part of the non-strongly connected digraph on the $A_{\alpha}$ spectral radius or $A_{\alpha}$ energy. Not just a directed tree, but an arbitrary acyclic digraph. We leave this as an open problem.
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