

XY-CONVEX FREE POLYNOMIALS REVISITED

SRIRAM BALASUBRAMANIAN

(Communicated by I. Klep)

Abstract. In this article, by using the matrix-valued analog of a factorization property of free polynomials, we offer an alternate approach to the structure of matrix-valued hermitian free polynomials that are xy -convex.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is twofold. Firstly, to observe that the factorization property proved in [7, Theorem 3.3] extends naturally to the matrix-valued setting. Secondly, as an application, to present an alternate and conceptually different proof of a characterization of matrix-valued xy -convex hermitian free polynomials given in [1, Theorem 1.2], by following a conceptually similar plan to the proof of the scalar-valued version in [7, Theorem 1.4]. Further, it is also pointed out heuristically why the structure of xy -convex free polynomials, i.e., [1, Theorem 1.2] might fail to imply the factorization property in [7, Theorem 3.3].

We begin by recalling some definitions. For simplicity and for the convenience of the reader, we adopt many of the same notations used in [7] and [1].

Let χ_1, \dots, χ_k be freely noncommuting variables. Given a word

$$w = \chi_{i_1} \cdots \chi_{i_\ell} \tag{1.1}$$

in these variables and $T \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^k)$, let

$$w(T) = T^w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_\ell}.$$

Let \mathscr{W} denote the collection of words in the variables χ . A $d \times d$ matrix-valued free polynomial is an expression of the form,

$$p(\chi) = \sum_{w \in \mathscr{W}} p_w w,$$

where the sum is finite and the $p_w \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$. The free polynomial p is naturally evaluated at $T \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^k)$ as

$$p(T) = \sum p_w T^w.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 46N10, 26B25; Secondary 47A63, 52A41, 90C25.

Keywords and phrases: Khatri-Rao product, partial convexity, xy -convexity, noncommutative polynomial.

Research partially supported by the National Board for Higher Mathematics, India.

There is a natural *involution* $*$ on free polynomials that reverses the order of products in words so that, for w in equation (1.1),

$$w^* = \chi_{i_\ell} \cdots \chi_{i_1};$$

and such that

$$p^* = \sum p_w^* w^*.$$

This involution is compatible with the adjoint operation on matrices,

$$p(T)^* = p^*(T).$$

A free polynomial p is *hermitian* if $p^* = p$; equivalently, if $p(T)^* = p(T)$ for all n and $T \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^k)$.

From here on we often omit the adjectives matrix and free and simply refer to matrix-valued free polynomials as polynomials, particularly when there is no possibility of confusion.

Since the involution fixes the variables, $\chi_j^* = \chi_j$, we refer to χ_1, \dots, χ_k as *hermitian variables*.

Given $m \times n$ matrices $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_h, C_{pq}, 1 \leq p \leq g, 1 \leq q \leq h$, the expression

$$L(x, y) = A_0 - \sum_{j=1}^g A_j x_j - \sum_{k=1}^h B_k y_k - \sum_{p,q=1}^{g,h} C_{pq} x_p y_q,$$

is called an $m \times n$ *matrix-valued xy-pencil*. When all the coefficient matrices are hermitian, then L is called a *hermitian xy-pencil*.

1.1. Factorization

Given a pair of block 2×2 matrices $A = (A_{i,j})$ and $B = (B_{i,j})$ define

$$A \circledast B = (A_{i,j} \otimes B_{i,j}).$$

Thus $A \circledast B$ is a mix of Schur product $(*)$ and tensor product (\otimes) . It is known as the *Khatri-Rao product*.

Let, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2\mu$,

$$s_j = \begin{pmatrix} s_{j,0} & s_{j,1} \\ s_{j,1}^* & s_{j,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{s_{j,k} : 1 \leq j \leq 2\mu, 0 \leq k \leq 2\}$ are freely noncommuting variables with $s_{j,0}$ and $s_{j,2}$ being hermitian. For notational purposes, let

$$s_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \emptyset & 0 \\ 0 & \emptyset \end{pmatrix}.$$

where \emptyset denotes the empty word.

Suppose $p = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2\mu} p_{j,k} x_j x_k$, is a $2\ell \times 2\ell$ hermitian matrix polynomial of degree at most two in 2μ hermitian freely noncommuting variables $x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu}$, where, for

notational purposes $x_0 = \emptyset$. In particular, each $p_{j,k} \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $p_{j,k}^* = p_{k,j}$. Let $\mathcal{E}p$ denote the matrix polynomial in the variables $\{s_{j,0}, s_{j,1}, s_{j,2} : 1 \leq j \leq 2\mu\}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{E}p(s) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2\mu} p_{j,k} \otimes s_j s_k.$$

Such a polynomial is naturally evaluated at a 2μ -tuple $S = (S_1, \dots, S_{2\mu})$ of block 2×2 hermitian matrices,

$$S_j = \begin{pmatrix} S_{j,0} & S_{j,1} \\ S_{j,1}^* & S_{j,2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{S}_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}^{2\mu}) \subseteq M_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}) = \begin{pmatrix} M_n(\mathbb{C}) & M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \\ M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) & M_m(\mathbb{C}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.2)$$

using \otimes as

$$\mathcal{E}p(S) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2\mu} p_{j,k} \otimes S_j S_k \in M_\ell(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} M_n(\mathbb{C}) & M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \\ M_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}) & M_m(\mathbb{C}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first observation in this article is that the following matrix-valued analog of the factorization property proved in [7, Theorem 3.3] holds.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose $\rho = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2\mu} \rho_{j,k} x_j x_k$ is a hermitian $2d\mu \times 2d\mu$ polynomial, where*

$$\rho_{j,k} = \begin{pmatrix} (\rho_{j,k})_{1,1} & (\rho_{j,k})_{1,2} \\ (\rho_{j,k})_{2,1} & (\rho_{j,k})_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

with $(\rho_{j,k})_{a,b} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_\mu(\mathbb{C})$ for all $a, b \in \{1, 2\}$.

If $\mathcal{E}\rho(S) \succeq 0$ for all positive integers m, n and $S \in \mathbb{S}_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}^{2\mu})$, then there exists an $N \leq 2(2\mu + 1)(2d\mu)$ and $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{2\mu} \in M_{N,d\mu}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} q_j^* q_k &= \rho_{j,k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq 2\mu, \\ q_0^* q_k + q_k^* q_0 &= \rho_{k,0} + \rho_{0,k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2\mu \\ (q_0^* q_0)_{a,a} &= (\rho_{0,0})_{a,a} \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_\mu(\mathbb{C}), \quad a = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

In particular, letting q denote the $N \times 2d\mu$ matrix polynomial $q = \sum_{j=0}^{2\mu} q_j x_j$, there is an $r_1 \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_\mu(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\rho = q^* q + r, \quad \text{where } r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_1 \\ r_1^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

1.2. Convexity

The two notions of convexity considered in this article are described for free polynomials. They involve partitioning the freely noncommuting variables into two classes x_1, \dots, x_μ and y_1, \dots, y_μ .

1.2.1. Partial convexity

A $d \times d$ matrix-valued hermitian polynomial $p(x, y)$ is *convex in y* if for each positive integer n , each $X \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^\mu)$, each $R, S \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^\mu)$ and each $0 < t < 1$, one has

$$p(X, tR + (1-t)S) \preceq tp(X, R) + (1-t)p(X, S).$$

Partial convexity in the x -variables is defined analogously. A canonical example of a convex in y polynomial is a hermitian polynomial that is affine linear in y . For more details and results on (partial) convexity of free polynomials, please see [2], [3], [4], [5] and [6].

The following alternate characterization of convexity in y can be found in [9], [10] and [1]. A tuple $((X, Y), V)$, where $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^\mu) \times \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^\mu)$ and $V : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is an isometry, is an x^2 -pair if $V^*X_i^2V = (V^*X_iV)^2$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \mu$. Equivalently $((X, Y), V)$ is an x^2 -pair if $\text{ran } V$ reduces X . A result from [9], [10] and [1] is that a hermitian polynomial p is convex in y , or x^2 -convex, if and only if

$$p(V^*(X, Y)V) \preceq (I_d \otimes V^*)p(X, Y)(I_d \otimes V)$$

for all x^2 -pairs $((X, Y), V)$.

1.2.2. xy -convexity

A tuple $((X, Y), V)$, where $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^\mu) \times \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^\mu)$ and $V : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is an isometry, such that $V^*(X_iY_j)V = V^*X_iVV^*Y_jV$, for all i, j , is an xy -pair. A hermitian matrix-valued free polynomial $p(x, y)$ is xy -convex if

$$p(V^*(X, Y)V) \preceq (I_d \otimes V)^*p(X, Y)(I_d \otimes V)$$

for all xy -pairs $((X, Y), V)$.

A main result in [1, Theorem 1.2] states that a hermitian $d \times d$ matrix-valued free polynomial $p(x, y)$ is xy -convex if and only if $p(x, y)$ is separately partially convex, i.e., partially convex in both x as well as y .

The main contribution in this article is an application of Theorem 1.1, which is an alternate and conceptually different proof of the following result.

THEOREM 1.2. [1, Theorem 1.2] *Suppose that $p(x, y)$ is a hermitian $d \times d$ matrix-valued free polynomial. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) p is xy -convex.
- (ii) There exists a hermitian $d \times d$ matrix-valued xy -pencil λ , a positive integer N and an $N \times d$ matrix-valued xy -pencil Λ such that

$$p(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) + \Lambda(x, y)^* \Lambda(x, y).$$

When $d = \mu = 1$, Theorem 1.2 reduces to [7, Theorem 1.4].

2. The proofs

This section contains the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Subsections 2.2 and 2.4 respectively. To a point, the proofs parallel those of [7, Theorem 3.3] and [7, Theorem 1.4]. In subsections 2.1 & 2.2, and 2.3 the factorization result [7, Theorem 3.3] is extended to the matrix case in any number of variables; and xy -convexity of a polynomial is translated into positivity information on a type of Hessian. While more involved, these tasks in principle follow the same lines as in [7]. In Subsection 2.4 the positivity of the Hessian feeds into the factorization result and it is at this point – reading off the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 from the factorization – that the ad-hoc approach in [7] is replaced by a more conceptual argument. We begin with the following observations, which are matrix-valued analogs of their counterparts from [7].

LEMMA 2.1. Let $V^* = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n,2(n+m)}(\mathbb{C})$, $\tau = (\tau_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2 \in M_{d\mu}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $R = (R_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2 \in M_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$, where $R_{i,j} = (R_{i,j}^{a,b})_{a,b=1}^2 \in M_{n+m}(\mathbb{C})$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

$$(i) \quad (I_{d\mu} \otimes V)^* [\tau \otimes R] (I_{d\mu} \otimes V) = \left(\tau_{i,j} \otimes R_{i,j}^{1,1} \right)_{i,j=1}^2 = \tau \otimes \tilde{R}, \text{ where } \tilde{R} = \left(R_{i,j}^{1,1} \right)_{i,j=1}^2.$$

$$(ii) \quad (I_{d\mu} \otimes D) [\tau \otimes R] (I_{d\mu} \otimes D) = \tau \otimes (DRD).$$

Proof. To prove (i), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \otimes R &= (\tau_{i,j} \otimes R_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2 \\ &= \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} \tau_{1,1} \otimes R_{1,1}^{1,1} & \tau_{1,1} \otimes R_{1,1}^{1,2} & \tau_{1,2} \otimes R_{1,2}^{1,1} & \tau_{1,2} \otimes R_{1,2}^{1,2} \\ \tau_{1,1} \otimes R_{1,1}^{2,1} & \tau_{1,1} \otimes R_{1,1}^{2,2} & \tau_{1,2} \otimes R_{1,2}^{2,1} & \tau_{1,2} \otimes R_{1,2}^{2,2} \\ \hline \tau_{2,1} \otimes R_{2,1}^{1,1} & \tau_{2,1} \otimes R_{2,1}^{1,2} & \tau_{2,2} \otimes R_{2,2}^{1,1} & \tau_{2,2} \otimes R_{2,2}^{1,2} \\ \tau_{2,1} \otimes R_{2,1}^{2,1} & \tau_{2,1} \otimes R_{2,1}^{2,2} & \tau_{2,2} \otimes R_{2,2}^{2,1} & \tau_{2,2} \otimes R_{2,2}^{2,2} \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } (I_{d\mu} \otimes V)^* [\tau \otimes R] (I_{d\mu} \otimes V) = \left(\tau_{i,j} \otimes R_{i,j}^{1,1} \right)_{i,j=1}^2 = \tau \otimes \tilde{R}.$$

To prove (ii), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{d\mu} \otimes D) [\tau \otimes R] (I_{d\mu} \otimes D) &= \begin{pmatrix} (I_{d\mu} \otimes D_1) & 0 \\ 0 & (I_{d\mu} \otimes D_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1,1} \otimes R_{1,1} & \tau_{1,2} \otimes R_{1,2} \\ \tau_{2,1} \otimes R_{2,1} & \tau_{2,2} \otimes R_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (I_{d\mu} \otimes D_1) & 0 \\ 0 & (I_{d\mu} \otimes D_2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1,1} \otimes D_1 R_{1,1} D_1 & \tau_{1,2} \otimes D_1 R_{1,2} D_2 \\ \tau_{2,1} \otimes D_2 R_{2,1} D_1 & \tau_{2,2} \otimes D_2 R_{2,2} D_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \tau \otimes (DRD). \quad \square \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

2.1. A completely positive map

As a general principle, factorizations correspond to completely positive maps, a theme pursued in this subsection. For the remainder of this subsection the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are in force.

Let $\{e_1, e_2\}$ denote the standard orthonormal basis for \mathbb{C}^2 and $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1$ denote the words in those letters of length at most one. i.e.,

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1 := \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\mu}\}.$$

We will view $\mathbb{C}^{2\mu+1}$ as the span of $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1$ with $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1$ as an orthonormal basis and elements of $M_{2\mu+1}(\mathbb{C})$ as matrices indexed by $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1 \times \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1$. Thus $x_j x_k^*$ are the matrix units for $M_{2\mu+1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{2\mu+1}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the subspace of matrices

$$T = (T_{\alpha, \beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1},$$

where T_{x_0, x_0} is a diagonal matrix and $T_{\beta, x_0} = T_{x_0, \beta}$ for $\beta \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1$. It is easily seen that \mathcal{S} is an operator system in $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_{2\mu+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Define $\psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow M_{d\mu}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\psi((T_{\alpha, \beta})) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu} \rangle_1} \rho_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes T_{\alpha, \beta}. \quad (2.2)$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The map ψ in equation (2.2) is completely positive (cp).*

A proof of the scalar-valued version of Proposition 2.2 is given in [7, Proposition 3.4]. With suitable modifications along with Lemma 2.1, the same proof can be made to work for our matrix-valued setting as well. Hence we skip the proof.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

A proof of the scalar-valued version of the factorization result Theorem 1.1 is given in [7, Theorem 3.3]. With suitable modifications, the same proof can be adapted to the present matrix-valued setting. Hence we skip the proof. We point out that the main tool for the proof is Proposition 2.2, which guarantees that the Choi matrix of the map ψ factors as F^*F for some matrix F .

2.3. The xy -Hessian and xy -convex polynomials

In this section xy -convexity of a polynomial is reinterpreted as positivity of a Hessian. While the construction is entirely algebraic, it is motivated by the usual geometry of the second derivative. Proposition 2.3 below is the xy -convex analog of Proposition 2.1 from [1].

PROPOSITION 2.3. [7, Proposition 4.1] *A triple $((X, Y), V)$ is an xy -pair if and only if, up to unitary equivalence, it has the block form*

$$X_j = \begin{pmatrix} X_{0j} & A_j & 0 \\ A_j^* & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_k = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{0k} & 0 & C_k \\ 0 & * & * \\ C_k^* & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = (I \ 0 \ 0)^*, \quad (2.3)$$

$1 \leq j, k \leq \mu$. Also, a $d \times d$ matrix-valued hermitian free polynomial $p(x, y)$ is xy -convex if and only if

$$(I_d \otimes V)^* p(X, Y) (I_d \otimes V) - p(X_0, Y_0) \succeq 0$$

for each xy -pair $((X, Y), V)$ of the form of equation (2.3).

Let \mathcal{L} denote the set of words in x, y of degree at most two in both x and y , but excluding those of the forms $x_j x_i y_k y_m$ and $y_m y_k x_i x_j$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose $p(x, y)$ is a $d \times d$ matrix-valued hermitian polynomial. If $p(x, y)$ is xy -convex, then p is convex in both x and y separately. Hence $p \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{span}(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. To show that p is convex in x and y separately, argue as in the proof of [7, Lemma 4.3] by simply replacing the triple (X_1, X_2, Y) used in that proof by some triple $(X^1, X^2, Y) \in \mathbb{S}_n(\mathbb{C}^\mu)$. That $p \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{span}(\mathcal{L})$ follows from [1, Corollary 2.8]. \square

Let $\{s_{0j}, t_{0j}, \alpha_j, \beta_{k,j}, \gamma_j, \delta_{k,j} : 0 \leq j \leq \mu, 0 \leq k \leq 2\}$ denote freely noncommuting variables with $s_{0j}, t_{0k}, \beta_{0j}, \beta_{2j}, \delta_{0k}, \delta_{2k}$ being hermitian. In view of Proposition 2.3, let

$$s_j = \begin{pmatrix} s_{0j} & (\alpha_j \ 0) \\ (\alpha_j^*) & (\beta_{0j} \ \beta_{1j}) \\ 0 & (\beta_{1j}^* \ \beta_{2j}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_k = \begin{pmatrix} t_{0k} & (0 \ \gamma_k) \\ (0) & (\delta_{0k} \ \delta_{1k}) \\ (\gamma_k^*) & (\delta_{1k}^* \ \delta_{2k}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$V = (\emptyset \ 0 \ 0)^*.$$

The following notations will be adopted for the remainder of the article: $s_0 = (s_{01}, \dots, s_{0\mu})$, $t_0 = (t_{01}, \dots, t_{0\mu})$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\mu)$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\mu)$, $\beta_1 = (\beta_{11}, \dots, \beta_{1\mu})$, $\beta_2 = (\beta_{21}, \dots, \beta_{2\mu})$, $\delta_0 = (\delta_{01}, \dots, \delta_{0\mu})$ and $\delta_1 = (\delta_{11}, \dots, \delta_{1\mu})$.

The xy -Hessian of the $d \times d$ matrix-valued polynomial $p(x, y)$, denoted $H^{xy}p$, is the quadratic in α, γ part of $(I_d \otimes V^*)p(s, t)(I_d \otimes V) - p(V^*(s, t)V) = V^*p(s, t)V - p(s_0, t_0)$.

In particular, for $p \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{span}(\mathcal{L})$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{xy}p &:= (I_d \otimes V)^* p(s, t) (I_d \otimes V) - p(V^*(s, t)V) \\ &= (I_d \otimes V)^* p(s, t) (I_d \otimes V) - p(s_0, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.5. If $p = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{L}} p_u u$ with $p_u \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$, then $H^{xy}p$ is a function of the variables $\{\alpha, \gamma, s_0, t_0, \delta_0, \delta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$ and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^{xy}p = & \sum_{j,k,\ell,m=1}^{\mu} \{ [p_{x_j x_\ell} \alpha_j \alpha_\ell^* + p_{y_k y_m} \gamma_k \gamma_m^*] \\
 & + [p_{x_j y_k x_\ell} \alpha_j \delta_{0k} \alpha_\ell^* + p_{y_k x_j y_m} \gamma_k \beta_{2j} \gamma_m^* + p_{x_j y_k y_m} (s_{0j} \gamma_k \gamma_m^* + \alpha_j \delta_{1k} \gamma_m^*) \\
 & + p_{y_m y_k x_j} (\gamma_m \gamma_k^* s_{0j} + \gamma_m \delta_{1k}^* \alpha_j^*) \\
 & + p_{x_j x_\ell y_k} (\alpha_j \alpha_\ell^* t_{0k} + \alpha_j \beta_{1\ell} \gamma_k^*) + p_{y_k x_\ell x_j} (t_{0k} \alpha_\ell \alpha_j^* + \gamma_k \beta_{1\ell}^* \alpha_j^*)] \\
 & + [p_{x_j y_k y_m x_\ell} (s_{0j} \gamma_k \gamma_m^* s_{0\ell} + \alpha_j \delta_{1k} \gamma_m^* s_{0\ell} + s_{0j} \gamma_k \delta_{1m}^* \alpha_\ell^* + \alpha_j (\delta_{0k} \delta_{0m} + \delta_{1k} \delta_{1m}^*) \alpha_\ell^*) \\
 & + p_{x_j y_k x_\ell y_m} (\alpha_j \delta_{0k} \alpha_\ell^* t_{0m} + \alpha_j \delta_{0k} \beta_{1\ell} \gamma_m^* + s_{0j} \gamma_k \beta_{2\ell} \gamma_m^* + \alpha_j \delta_{1k} \beta_{2\ell} \gamma_m^*) \\
 & + p_{y_m x_\ell y_k x_j} (t_{0m} \alpha_\ell \delta_{0k} \alpha_j^* + \gamma_m \beta_{1\ell}^* \delta_{0k} \alpha_j^* + \gamma_m \beta_{2\ell} \gamma_k^* s_{0j} + \gamma_m \beta_{2\ell} \delta_{1k}^* \alpha_j^*) \\
 & + p_{y_k x_j x_\ell y_m} (t_{0k} \alpha_j \alpha_\ell^* t_{0m} + \gamma_k \beta_{1j}^* \alpha_\ell^* t_{0m} + t_{0k} \alpha_j \beta_{1\ell} \gamma_m^* + \gamma_k (\beta_{1j}^* \beta_{1\ell} + \beta_{2j} \beta_{2\ell}) \gamma_m^*)] \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, with \emptyset denoting the empty word,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^{xy}p = & \sum_{a,b,g,h=1}^{\mu} (I_d \alpha_a) \left(p_{x_a x_b} \emptyset + \sum_{r,s=1}^{\mu} \{ p_{x_a y_r x_b} \delta_{0r} + p_{x_j y_r y_s x_b} (\delta_{0r} \delta_{0s} + \delta_{1r} \delta_{1s}^*) \} \right) (I_d \alpha_b^*) \\
 & + (I_d \alpha_a) \left(p_{x_a x_b y_h} \emptyset + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_a y_r y_b y_h} \delta_{0r} \right) (I_d \alpha_b^* t_{0h}) \\
 & + (I_d t_{0g} \alpha_a) \left(p_{y_g x_a x_b} \emptyset + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_g x_a y_r x_b} \delta_{0r} \right) (I_d \alpha_b^*) + (I_d t_{0g} \alpha_a) (p_{y_g x_a x_b y_h} \emptyset) (I_d \alpha_b^* t_{0h}) \\
 & + (I_d \alpha_a) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_a y_r y_b} \delta_{1r} + p_{x_a x_r y_b} \beta_{1r} + p_{x_a y_r x_s y_b} (\delta_{0r} \beta_{1s} + \delta_{1r} \beta_{2s}) \right) (I_d \gamma_b^*) \\
 & + (I_d \gamma_a) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_a y_r x_b} \delta_{1r}^* + p_{y_a x_r x_b} \beta_{1r}^* + p_{y_a x_r y_s x_b} (\beta_{1r}^* \delta_{0s} + \beta_{2r} \delta_{1s}) \right) (I_d \alpha_b^*) \\
 & + (I_d \alpha_a) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_a y_r y_b x_h} \delta_{1r} \right) (I_d \gamma_b^* s_{0h}) + (I_d s_{0g} \gamma_a) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_g y_a y_r x_b} \delta_{1r}^* \right) (I_d \alpha_b^*) \\
 & + (I_d t_{0g} \alpha_a) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_g x_a x_r y_b} \beta_{1r} \right) (I_d \gamma_b^*) + (I_d \gamma_a) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_a x_r x_b y_h} \beta_{1r}^* \right) (I_d \alpha_b^* t_{0h}) \\
 & + (I_d \gamma_a) \left(p_{y_a y_b} \emptyset + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_a x_r y_b} \beta_{2r} + p_{y_a x_r x_s y_b} (\beta_{1r}^* \beta_{1s} + \beta_{2r} \beta_{2s}) \right) (I_d \gamma_b^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (I_d \gamma_a) \left(p_{y_a y_b x_h} \mathbf{0} + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_a x_r y_b x_h} \beta_{2r} \right) I_d \gamma_b^* s_{0h} \\
& + (I_d s_{0g} \gamma_a) \left(p_{x_g y_a y_b} \mathbf{0} + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_g y_a x_r y_b} \beta_{2r} \right) (I_d \gamma_b^*) + (I_d s_{0g} \gamma_a) (p_{x_g y_a y_b x_h} \mathbf{0}) (I_d \gamma_b^* s_{0h}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Follows from direct computation. \square

The xy -border vector $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(s_0, t_0, \alpha, \gamma)$ is the row vector-valued free polynomial $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_1 \quad \mathcal{V}_2 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{V}_\mu)$, where

$$\mathcal{V}_a = (I_d \alpha_a \ I_d t_{01} \alpha_a \ \dots \ I_d t_{0\mu} \alpha_a \ I_d \gamma_a \ I_d s_{01} \gamma_a \ \dots \ I_d s_{0\mu} \gamma_a),$$

$1 \leq a \leq \mu$.

For $1 \leq a, b \leq \mu$, let $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}(\beta_1, \beta_2, \delta_0, \delta_1)$ denote the matrix polynomial given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{M}_{a,b})_{1,1} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{aligned} & p_{x_a x_b} \mathbf{0} + \sum_{r,s=1}^{\mu} \{ p_{x_a y_r x_b} \delta_{0r} \\ & + p_{x_j y_r y_s x_b} (\delta_{0r} \delta_{0s} + \delta_{1r} \delta_{1s}^*) \} \end{aligned} & \left(\left(\begin{aligned} & p_{x_a x_b y_h} \mathbf{0} \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_a y_r x_b y_h} \delta_{0r} \end{aligned} \right)_{1,h} \right)_{h=1}^{\mu} \end{array} \right) \\
& \left(\left(\left(\begin{aligned} & p_{y_g x_a x_b} \mathbf{0} \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_g x_a y_r x_b} \delta_{0r} \end{aligned} \right)_{g,1} \right)_{g=1}^{\mu} \right. & \left. (p_{y_g x_a x_b y_h} \mathbf{0})_{g,h=1}^{\mu} \right) \\
(\mathcal{M}_{a,b})_{1,2} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{aligned} & \sum_{r,s=1}^{\mu} [p_{x_a y_r y_b} \delta_{1r} \\ & + p_{x_a x_r y_b} \beta_{1r} \\ & + p_{x_a y_r x_s y_b} (\delta_{0r} \beta_{1s} + \delta_{1r} \beta_{2s})] \end{aligned} & \left(\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_a y_r y_b x_h} \delta_{1r} \right)_{1,h} \right)_{h=1}^{\mu} \end{array} \right) \\
& \left(\left(\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_g x_a x_r y_b} \beta_{1r} \right)_{g,1} \right)_{g=1}^{\mu} \right. & \left. 0_{\mu \times \mu} \right) \\
(\mathcal{M}_{a,b})_{2,1} &= \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{aligned} & \sum_{r,s=1}^{\mu} [p_{y_a y_r x_b} \delta_{1r}^* \\ & + p_{y_a x_r x_b} \beta_{1r}^* \\ & + p_{y_a x_r y_s x_b} (\beta_{1r}^* \delta_{0s} + \beta_{2r} \delta_{1s})] \end{aligned} & \left(\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_a x_r x_b y_h} \beta_{1r}^* \right)_{1,h} \right)_{h=1}^{\mu} \end{array} \right) \\
& \left(\left(\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_g y_a y_r x_b} \delta_{1r}^* \right)_{g,1} \right)_{g=1}^{\mu} \right. & \left. 0_{\mu \times \mu} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(\mathcal{M}_{a,b})_{2,2} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{c} p_{y_a y_b} \mathbf{0} + \sum_{r,s=1}^{\mu} p_{y_a x_r y_b} \beta_{2r} \\ + p_{y_a x_r x_s y_b} (\beta_{1r}^* \beta_{1s} + \beta_{2r} \beta_{2s}) \end{array} & \left(\left(\begin{array}{c} p_{y_a y_b x_h} \mathbf{0} \\ + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{y_a x_r y_b x_h} \beta_{2r} \end{array} \right)_{1,h} \right)_{h=1}^{\mu} \\ \hline \left(\left(\left(\begin{array}{c} p_{x_g y_a y_b} \mathbf{0} \\ + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} p_{x_g y_a x_r y_b} \beta_{2r} \end{array} \right)_{g,1} \right)_{g=1}^{\mu} & (p_{x_g y_a y_b x_h} \mathbf{0})_{g,h=1}^{\mu} \end{array} \right),$$

where $1 \leq g, h, a, b \leq \mu$. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}$ is a block 2×2 matrix with each block entry being a $(\mu + 1) \times (\mu + 1)$ matrix of $d \times d$ matrix-valued free polynomials. The matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_{a,b})_{a,b=1}^{\mu},$$

is called the *xy-middle matrix* for p .

LEMMA 2.6. *If $p(x, y)$ is an xy-convex polynomial, then*

$$H^{xy} p = \mathcal{V} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}^*.$$

Proof. Since p is xy-convex, Lemma 2.4 implies $p \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{L}$. From here, the result follows from a direct computation by combining the definitions of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{V} above with the definition of $H^{xy} p$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.7. *If $p(x, y)$ is xy-convex, then*

$$\mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2, D_0, D_1) \succeq 0$$

for all μ -tuples B_1, B_2, D_0, D_1 of matrices of compatible sizes.

Proof. Since p is xy-convex, it follows from Proposition 2.3 that $H^{xy} p$, the xy-Hessian, takes positive semidefinite values. Given $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, and

- (a) matrices $D_{01}, \dots, D_{0\mu} \in M_M(\mathbb{C})$, matrices $B_{21}, \dots, B_{2\mu} \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$, and matrices $B_{11}, \dots, B_{1\mu}, D_{11}, \dots, D_{1\mu} \in M_{M,N}(\mathbb{C})$;
- (b) vectors $w_{k,j}^{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ and $v_{k,j}^{\ell} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq \mu$, $1 \leq j \leq d$ and $1 \leq k \leq \mu$,

let $g = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\mu} g_k$, where $g_k \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu+1} \otimes ((\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^M) \oplus (\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^N))$ is given by

$$g_k = \left(\sum_{u=0}^{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbf{e}_u \otimes e_j \otimes w_{k,j}^u \right) \oplus \left(\sum_{u=0}^{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbf{e}_u \otimes e_j \otimes v_{k,j}^u \right),$$

and where $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_\mu\}$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ denote the standard orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{\mu+1}$ and \mathbb{C}^d respectively.

Using [1, proposition 2.5], choose a non-zero vector $h \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu+1}$ and matrices $U_{01}, \dots, U_{0\mu}$ and $W_{01}, \dots, W_{0\mu}$ from $\mathbb{S}_{\mu+1}(\mathbb{C})$ such that the sets $\{h, U_{01}h, \dots, U_{0\mu}h\}$ and $\{h, W_{01}h, \dots, W_{0\mu}h\}$ are bases for $\mathbb{C}^{\mu+1}$. Set $W_{00} = U_{00} = I_{\mu+1}$. Using linear independence, choose, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \mu\}$, matrices $A_{k,j} \in M_{\mu+1,M}(\mathbb{C})$ and $C_{k,j} \in M_{\mu+1,N}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $A_{k,j}^* W_{0\ell} h = w_{k,j}^\ell$ and $C_{k,j}^* U_{0\ell} h = v_{k,j}^\ell$. Define $A_k^* = (A_{k,1}^* \ A_{k,2}^* \ \dots \ A_{k,d}^*)$, and $C_k^* = (C_{k,1}^* \ C_{k,2}^* \ \dots \ C_{k,d}^*)$. Thus $A_k^* \in M_{M,d(\mu+1)}(\mathbb{C})$ and $C_k^* \in M_{N,d(\mu+1)}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $X_{0k} = U_{0k} \oplus \dots \oplus U_{0k} \in M_{d(\mu+1)}(\mathbb{C})$ and $Y_{0k} = W_{0k} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{0k} \in M_{d(\mu+1)}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $X_0 = (X_{01}, \dots, X_{0\mu})$, let $Y_0 = (Y_{01}, \dots, Y_{0\mu})$ and observe that the tuples $X_0, Y_0 \in \mathbb{S}_{d(\mu+1)}(\mathbb{C}^\mu)$. Let A and C denote the tuples (A_1, \dots, A_μ) , and (C_1, \dots, C_μ) respectively. Recall the definition of the xy -border vector \mathcal{V} . By Lemma 2.6 it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2, D_0, D_1)g, g \rangle &= [\mathcal{V}(X_0, Y_0, A, C)h]^* \mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2, D_0, D_1) [\mathcal{V}(X_0, Y_0, A, C)h] \\ &= \langle H^{xy} p(X_0, Y_0, A, C, B_1, B_2, D_0, D_1)h, h \rangle \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

2.4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.7 are combined in this section to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. A simple and direct proof of the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 1.2 is given in [1, Proposition 1.3].

Proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let

$$\sigma = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{01} & \beta_{11} \\ \beta_{11}^* & \beta_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{0\mu} & \beta_{1\mu} \\ \beta_{1\mu}^* & \beta_{2\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{01} & \delta_{11} \\ \delta_{11}^* & \delta_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{0\mu} & \delta_{1\mu} \\ \delta_{1\mu}^* & \delta_{2\mu} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Let $1 \leq a, b \leq \mu$ and

$$Q^1 = Q(\sigma) = (Q_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^2$$

denote the $2d\mu \times 2d\mu$ matrix polynomial whose block entries are given by $Q_{i,j} = ((Q_{i,j})_{a,b})_{a,b=1}^\mu$ where $(Q_{i,j})_{a,b}$ is the $(1,1)$ entry of the matrix $(\mathcal{M}_{a,b})_{i,j}$ and \mathcal{M} is the xy -middle matrix for p . Thus Q equals

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} \left(\begin{pmatrix} p_{x_a x_b} \emptyset + \sum_{r,s=1}^\mu \{ p_{x_a y_r x_b} \delta_{0r} \\ + p_{x_j y_r y_s x_b} (\delta_{0r} \delta_{0s} + \delta_{1r} \delta_{1s}^*) \} \end{pmatrix}_{a,b=1}^\mu & \left(\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r,s=1}^\mu p_{x_a y_r y_b} \delta_{1r} + p_{x_a x_r y_b} \beta_{1r} \\ + p_{x_a y_r x_s y_b} (\delta_{0r} \beta_{1s} + \delta_{1r} \beta_{2s}) \end{pmatrix}_{a,b=1}^\mu \end{array} \right) \\ \hline \left(\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{r,s=1}^\mu p_{y_a y_r x_b} \delta_{1r}^* + p_{y_a x_r x_b} \beta_{1r}^* \\ + p_{y_a x_r y_s x_b} (\beta_{1r}^* \delta_{0s} + \beta_{2r} \delta_{1s}) \end{pmatrix}_{a,b=1}^\mu & \left(\begin{pmatrix} p_{y_a y_b} \emptyset + \sum_{r,s=1}^\mu p_{y_a x_r y_b} \beta_{2r} \\ + p_{y_a x_r x_s y_b} (\beta_{1r}^* \beta_{1s} + \beta_{2r} \beta_{2s}) \end{pmatrix}_{a,b=1}^\mu \end{array} \right) \right).$$

¹This is the reduced xy -hessian of the polynomial p . See section A.4 in [8] for more details.

Given $S = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{2\mu}) \in \mathbb{S}_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}^{2\mu})$ of the block form of equation (1.2), let $B_1 := (S_{1,1}, \dots, S_{\mu,1})$, $B_2 := (S_{1,2}, \dots, S_{\mu,2})$, $D_0 := (S_{\mu+1,0}, \dots, S_{2\mu,0})$ and $D_1 := (S_{\mu+1,1}, \dots, S_{2\mu,1})$. Observe, by Proposition 2.7, that $\mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2, D_0, D_1) \succeq 0$. Further,

$$Q(S) = J^* [\mathcal{M}(B_1, B_2, D_0, D_1)] J,$$

for an appropriately chosen isometry J . Thus

$$Q(S) \succeq 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Recall the notation $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_\mu, y_1, \dots, y_\mu) = (x_1, \dots, x_\mu, x_{\mu+1}, \dots, x_{2\mu})$. Let $1 \leq a, b, r, s \leq \mu$ and let x_0 denote the empty word. Define a $2d\mu \times 2d\mu$ matrix-valued polynomial P by

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu}) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2\mu} P_{j,k} x_j x_k,$$

where the $P_{j,k} \in (M_d \otimes M_\mu) \otimes M_2$ are given by

$$P_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} (p_{x_a x_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu & 0 \\ \hline 0 & (p_{y_a y_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu \end{array} \right); & (j,k) = (0,0) \\ \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & (p_{x_a x_r y_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu \\ \hline (p_{y_a x_r x_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu & (p_{y_a x_r y_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu \end{array} \right); & (j,k) \in \{(r,0), (0,r)\} \\ \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} (p_{x_a y_r x_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu & (p_{x_a y_r y_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu \\ \hline (p_{y_a y_r x_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu & 0 \end{array} \right); & (j,k) \in \{(\mu+r,0), (0,\mu+r)\} \\ \\ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} (p_{x_a y_r y_s x_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right); & (j,k) = (\mu+r, \mu+s) \\ \\ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline (p_{y_a x_r y_s x_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu & 0 \end{array} \right); & (j,k) = (r, \mu+s) \\ \\ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & (p_{x_a y_r x_s y_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right); & (j,k) = (\mu+r, s) \\ \\ \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & (p_{y_a x_r x_s y_b})_{a,b=1}^\mu \end{array} \right); & (j,k) = (r, s). \end{cases}$$

Observe that P is a hermitian polynomial and $\mathcal{E}P(\sigma) = Q(\sigma)$. Thus, by equation (2.4), it follows that $\mathcal{E}P(S) = Q(S) \succeq 0$ for all tuples $S = (S_1, \dots, S_{2\mu}) \in \mathbb{S}_{n+m}(\mathbb{C}^{2\mu})$ of 2×2 block hermitian matrices.

By Theorem 1.1, there exists an $N \leq 2(2\mu + 1)(2d\mu)$ and $q_j \in M_{N \times 2d\mu}(\mathbb{C})$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2\mu$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} q_j^* q_k &= P_{j,k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq 2\mu, \\ q_0^* q_k + q_k^* q_0 &= P_{k,0} + P_{0,k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2\mu \\ (q_0^* q_0)_{\alpha,\alpha} &= (P_{0,0})_{\alpha,\alpha} \in M_d \otimes M_\mu, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $q(x_1, \dots, x_{2\mu}) = \sum_{r=0}^{2\mu} q_r x_r$. and note, in terms of x, y ,

$$q(x, y) = q_0 x_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} (q_r x_r + q_{\mu+r} y_r).$$

A simple computation shows that

$$q(x, y)^* q(x, y) = P(x, y) + R,$$

where $R = \left(\frac{0}{(q_0^* q_0)_{2,1}} \middle| \frac{(q_0^* q_0)_{1,2}}{0} \right) \in (M_d(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_\mu(\mathbb{C})) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Note that $(q_0^* q_0)_{1,2}^* = (q_0^* q_0)_{2,1}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2\mu}\}$ denote the standard orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2\mu}$. Define the $2d\mu \times d$ matrix-valued polynomial in $\eta(x, y)$ by

$$\eta(x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} (e_j \otimes I_d) x_j + (e_{\mu+j} \otimes I_d) y_j$$

and

$$\Lambda(x, y) := q(x, y) \eta(x, y).$$

Since $q_j^* q_j = P_{j,j}$, it follows that $q_j(e_j \otimes I_d) = 0$. Hence $\Lambda(x, y)$ is an xy -pencil.

Recall the set of words \mathcal{L} from Subsection 2.3. Let \mathcal{L}_* denote the words in \mathcal{L} of degree two in either x or y and verify

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x, y)^* \Lambda(x, y) &= \eta(x, y)^* q(x, y)^* q(x, y) \eta(x, y) \\ &= \eta(x, y)^* [P(x, y) + R] \eta(x, y) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{L}_*} p_w w + \sum_{r,s=1}^{\mu} \left((q_0^* q_0)_{1,2} \right)_{r,s} x_r y_s + \left((q_0^* q_0)_{2,1} \right)_{r,s} y_r x_s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$p(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) + \Lambda(x, y)^* \Lambda(x, y),$$

where

$$\lambda(x, y) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{L}_*} p_w w - \left(\sum_{r,s=1}^{\mu} \left((q_0^* q_0)_{1,2} \right)_{r,s} x_r y_s + \left((q_0^* q_0)_{2,1} \right)_{r,s} y_r x_s \right).$$

Since $\lambda(x, y)$ is a hermitian xy -pencil, the proof is complete. \square

We conclude this article with the following observation. Recall the notations used in Sections 1 and 2.

REMARK 2.8. As shown above, Theorem 1.1 implies Theorem 1.2. We conjecture that Theorem 1.2 does not imply Theorem 1.1, based on the following observation. Fix $d = \mu = 1$ and suppose that $\mathcal{E}\rho(S) = Q(S) \succeq 0$. Recall that $Q(\sigma)$ is totally general (up to the choice made in the unitary equivalence stated in [7, Proposition 4.1]) for the 2×2 matrix polynomial $P(x, y)$ constructed out of the xy -Hessian of a polynomial in $\text{span}(\mathcal{L})$. Let $q(x, y)$ be a free polynomial whose reduced xy -hessian is $Q(\sigma)$. It follows from Section A.4 in [8] that $q(x, y)$ is xy -convex. An application of Theorem 1.1 yields $q(x, y) = \lambda(x, y) + \Lambda(x, y)^* \Lambda(x, y)$ for some (scalar-valued) xy -pencil $\lambda(x, y)$ and a $N \times 1$ matrix-valued xy -pencil $\Lambda(x, y)$. In particular $q(x, y) \in \text{span}(\mathcal{L})$. If we use this structure of $q(x, y)$ to obtain the desired factorization of the polynomial $\rho(x, y)$, we see that it places restrictions on the coefficients of $\rho(x, y)$. This happens because $Q(\sigma)$ only depends on four variables (and not on β_0 and δ_2), whereas $\mathcal{E}\rho(\sigma)$ depends on six variables, strongly supporting the conjecture that $Q(\sigma)$ is far from the most general 2×2 matrix polynomial $\rho(x, y) \in \text{span}(\mathcal{L})$ for which $\mathcal{E}\rho(\sigma) \succeq 0$ for all σ .

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Prof. Scott McCullough, Dr. Neha Hotwani and the anonymous referees for helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. BALASUBRAMANIAN, N. HOTWANI AND S. MCCULLOUGH, *Bilinear matrix inequalities and polynomials in several freely noncommuting variables*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **526** (1), p. 127196, 2023.
- [2] H. DYM, J. W. HELTON AND S. MCCULLOUGH, *Irreducible non-commutative defining polynomials for convex sets have degree four or less*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), 1189–1232.
- [3] H. DYM, J. W. HELTON AND S. MCCULLOUGH, *The Hessian of a non-commutative polynomial has numerous negative eigenvalues*, Journal D'Analyse Mathématique **102** (2007), 29–76.
- [4] H. DYM, J. W. HELTON AND S. MCCULLOUGH, *NC polys with convex level slices*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, vol. 66, no. 6 (2017), pp. 2071–2135.
- [5] D. M. HAY, J. W. HELTON, A. LIM AND S. MCCULLOUGH, *Non commutative Partial Matrix Convexity*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, vol. 57, no. 6 (2008): 2815–42.
- [6] J. W. HELTON AND S. MCCULLOUGH, *Convex non-commutative polynomials have degree two or less*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **25** (2004), 1124–1139.
- [7] MICHAEL JURY, IGOR KLEP, MARK E. MANCUSO, SCOTT MCCULLOUGH, JAMES ELDRED PASCOE, *Noncommutative partially convex rational functions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **38** (2022), no. 3, pp. 731–759.
- [8] MICHAEL JURY, IGOR KLEP, MARK E. MANCUSO, SCOTT MCCULLOUGH, JAMES ELDRED PASCOE, *Noncommutative partially convex rational functions*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.01086>.
- [9] M. JURY, I. KLEP, M. E. MANCUSO, S. MCCULLOUGH, J. PASCOE, *Noncommutative Partial Convexity Via Γ -Convexity*, J. Geom. Anal. **31**, 3137–3160 (2021).
- [10] J. E. PASCOE, RYAN TULLY-DOYLE, *The royal road to automatic noncommutative real analyticity, monotonicity, and convexity*, [arXiv:1907.05875](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05875).
- [11] V. PAULSEN, *Completely bounded maps and operator algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

(Received September 4, 2024)

Sriram Balasubramanian
Department of Mathematics
IIT Madras, Chennai-600036, India
e-mail: bsriram80@yahoo.co.in
bsriram@iitm.ac.in