

## $L^2$ -CONCENTRATION FOR A COUPLED NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER SYSTEM

XAVIER CARVAJAL AND PEDRO GAMBOA

(Communicated by Shangbin Cui)

*Abstract.* In this work we adapt Bourgain’s ideas in [2] to a coupled system and we prove the  $L^2$ -concentration of blow-up solutions for two-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations at critical dimension.

### 1. Introduction

In this work we consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger system

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u + (\alpha|u|^{2p} + \beta|u|^{p-1}|v|^{p+1})u = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \\ iv_t + \Delta v + (\alpha|v|^{2p} + \beta|v|^{p-1}|u|^{p+1})v = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $u$  and  $v$  are complex-valued functions and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real constants and  $p$  is a constant not less than 1. This system is a model for propagation of polarized laser beams in birefringent Kerr medium in nonlinear optics (see, [1, 8, 9, 13] and the references therein for a complete discussion of the physics of the problem). The system (1.1) with  $p = 1$  is known as Kerr nonlinearity in the physical literature.

In the case  $np < 2$ , it has been proven by Fanelli and Montefusco [7] that the Cauchy problem to (1.1) is globally well posed in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and in the case  $np = 2$  they showed that there exists a constant  $c_0$  such that the Cauchy problem (1.1) is globally well posed in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with the condition  $\|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|v_0\|_{L^2} < c_0$  and moreover they also showed that there exists a pair  $(u_0, v_0)$  such that  $\|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|v_0\|_{L^2} = c_0$  and the corresponding solution blows up in a finite time (see also [6, 7, 10, 15]). On the other hand, the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) exists globally for other initial data, especially for a class of sufficiently small data (see [4, 7, 11]).

Well-posedness issues, the blow-up phenomenon and a sharp threshold of blow-up solution for the IVP (1.1) has been studied in the literature, see for example in [4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 18] and references therein.

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 35A01, 35Q55.

*Keywords and phrases:* Nonlinear Schrödinger system, well-posedness, blow-up phenomenon,  $L^2$ -concentration.

The Hamiltonian associated with the system (1.1) is given by

$$E(t) := E(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \|(\nabla u, \nabla v)\|_2^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2(p+1)} \|(u, v)\|_{2p+2}^{2p+2} - \frac{\beta}{(p+1)} \|uv\|_{p+1}^{p+1} = E(0)$$

where

$$\|(f, g)\|_r = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^r + |g|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_r = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^r dx \right)^{1/r}.$$

In particular if  $p = 1$ , the Hamiltonian associated with (1.1) is of the form

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|(\nabla u, \nabla v)\|_2^2 - \frac{\alpha}{4} \|(u, v)\|_4^4 - \frac{\beta}{2} \|uv\|_2^2 = E(0). \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper, we analyze the  $L^2$ -concentration on small balls for two-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations (1.1) at critical dimension ( $n = 2, p = 1$ ) with data in  $H^1$  and  $L^2$  i.e. to the following system:

$$\begin{cases} iu_t + \Delta u + (\alpha|u|^2 + \beta|v|^2)u = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \\ iv_t + \Delta v + (\alpha|v|^2 + \beta|u|^2)v = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

when  $t$  approaches  $T^* > 0$ , where  $T^*$  is the maximal time of existence of a solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  in  $X \times X$  where  $X = H^1$  or  $X = L^2$ . More precisely we will prove

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $u(t), v(t) \in H^1, t \in [0, T^*)$  are solutions of the IVP (1.3) with  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$  and  $(u(t), v(t))$  blows up at finite time  $T^*$ , then there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that*

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T^*} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{|x-x_0| \lesssim (T^*-t)^{1/2}} |u(x, t)|^2 dx \geq c, \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T^*} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2} \int_{|x-x_0| \lesssim (T^*-t)^{1/2}} |v(x, t)|^2 dx \geq c, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $c = c(\|u_0\|_2 + \|v_0\|_2) > 0$ .

**REMARK 1.** i) There exists symmetry in the nonlinearity, i.e., when interchanging  $u$  with  $v$  in the system (1.1), it remains the same.

ii) Observe also that if  $t_n \nearrow T^*$ , then  $u(t_n)$  and  $v(t_n)$  do not have a strong limit in  $L^2$ . This result is proved by contradiction using the conservation of the Hamiltonian and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (see [5]).

Next we have also the same result with data in  $L^2$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $u(t), v(t) \in L^2, t \in [0, T^*)$  are solutions of the IVP (1.3) with  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$  and  $(u(t), v(t))$  blows up at finite time  $T^*$ , then there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that (1.4) and (1.5) hold.*

Initially the rate of the  $L^2$ -norm concentration was obtained by Tsutsumi and Merle (see [14, 17]) for radially symmetric solutions to the critical nonlinear Schrödinger

$$iu_t + \Delta u + |u|^{2p}u = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \quad np = 2. \tag{1.6}$$

Recently Martel and Raphael [12], gave the first example of solution blowing up in finite time with a rate strictly above the pseudo-conformal one. Such solution concentrates K bubbles at a point.

Adapting ideas of Tsutsumi and Merle to a coupled system and considering radially symmetric blow-up solutions of (1.3), the rate of  $L^2$ -concentration was obtained recently by Z. Lü and Z. Liu in [19] with initial data in  $H^1 \times H^1$  and the condition  $0 < \beta < \alpha$ . See also [20], for the  $L^2$  concentration for radially symmetric blow-up solutions of two-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with harmonic potential.

Adapting an argument of Bourgain [2] to a coupled system in the bidimensional case, we obtain the  $L^2$ -norm concentration to the system (1.3) without the use of radially symmetric solutions and without the condition  $0 < \beta < \alpha$ . In the following three sections we give in details the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 by using this idea (in [2] there are some parts that are true but that are not proven, see for example the estimate of the term  $I_2$  in Section 2).

We denote by  $C$  a general constant, that may vary from line to line. For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \lesssim y$  means that there exist  $C > 0$  such that  $x \leq Cy$ ,  $x \sim y$  means that  $x \lesssim y$  and  $y \lesssim x$ .

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\psi := u(t)$ ,  $\phi := v(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t < T^*$  with  $t$  really close to  $T^*$ . In Section 4, (see (4.14)) we will prove the following inequality:

$$\lambda := \|\nabla(\psi, \phi)\|_2 \gtrsim \frac{1}{(T^* - t)^{1/2}} \gg 1, \quad 0 \leq t < T^*. \tag{2.1}$$

The  $L^2$  conservation and the conservation of the Hamiltonian (1.2), imply

$$\|(\psi, \phi)\|_2 = \|(u_0, v_0)\|_2 = c_0, \quad \|(\psi, \phi)\|_4^4 \geq \frac{2}{\alpha + \beta} \lambda^2 - \frac{4}{\alpha + \beta} E(u_0, v_0) \gtrsim \lambda^2. \tag{2.2}$$

We define

$$\widehat{\psi}_j(\xi) := \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \chi_{\{2^j < |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}} \quad \text{and} \quad S(\psi) := \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\psi_j|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

and similarly we define  $\phi_j$  and  $S(\phi)$ . Using the Littlewood-Paley theorem we get

$$\|(\psi, \phi)\|_4 \sim \|(S(\psi), S(\phi))\|_4,$$

then by (2.2) we have

$$\|S(\psi)\|_4^4 + \|S(\phi)\|_4^4 \gtrsim \lambda^2.$$

In order to simplify the calculations, in the next we will consider only  $\|S(\psi)\|_4^4$ , the same estimates we obtain to the other term  $\|S(\phi)\|_4^4$ . Therefore we will consider that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_j |\psi_j|^2 \sum_{j \geq i} |\psi_i|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_j |\psi_j|^2 \sum_{i \geq j} |\psi_i|^2 := I_1 + I_2 \gtrsim \lambda^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Following the notation in [2] we denote the dyadic numbers by  $N = 2^j$ ,  $N' = 2^i$ ,  $\psi_N := \psi_j$ ,  $\psi_{N'} := \psi_i$ ,

$$\sum_{j \geq i} |\psi_i|^2 := \sum_{N \geq N'} |\psi_{N'}|^2,$$

etc., we set

$$N_0 := \lambda k_0, \tag{2.4}$$

where  $k_0$  is a constant which will be chosen after, and we consider

$$\rho_\psi = \sup_{N > N_0} \frac{\|\psi_N\|_\infty}{N}, \quad \rho_\phi = \sup_{N > N_0} \frac{\|\phi_N\|_\infty}{N} \tag{2.5}$$

for all dyadic number  $N$ , we have

$$\|\psi_N\|_\infty \lesssim N \|\psi_N\|_2, \quad \|\phi_N\|_\infty \lesssim N \|\phi_N\|_2 \tag{2.6}$$

then

$$\rho_\psi, \rho_\phi \lesssim 1. \tag{2.7}$$

In the  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  estimates, the goal is to try to get  $N_0$  in all the estimates.

*Estimate of  $I_2$ :* We will consider two cases

1) If  $N \leq N_0$ .

In this case we have

$$I_2 = \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N \leq N' \leq N_0} |\psi_{N'}|^2 + \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N' > N_0} |\psi_{N'}|^2 := J_1 + J_2,$$

and using (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\lesssim \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N \leq N' \leq N_0} (N')^2 \|\psi_{N'}\|_2^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\|_2^2 \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N \leq N' \leq N_0} (N')^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\|_2^2 \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 N_0^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\|_2^4 N_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality three times, Bernstein inequality in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :  $\|\psi_N\|_q \lesssim N^{2/p-2/q} \|\psi_N\|_p$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  with  $q = 4$ ,  $p = 2$  (see Appendix in [16]) (2.5)

and (2.6), give

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \left( \sum_{N' > N_0} N' |\psi_{N'}|^4 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{N' \geq N_0} \frac{1}{N'} \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{N_0^{1/2}} \sum_{N \leq N_0} \|\psi_N\|_4^2 \left( \sum_{N' > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N' |\psi_{N'}|^4 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\lesssim \frac{1}{N_0^{1/2}} \sum_{N \leq N_0} N \|\psi_N\|_2^2 \left( \sum_{N' > N_0} (N')^2 \rho_\psi \|\psi_{N'}\|_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N' |\psi_{N'}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi^{1/2} N_0^{1/2} \sum_{N \leq N_0} \|\psi_N\|_2^2 \left( \sum_{N' > N_0} (N')^3 \|\psi_{N'}\|_2^3 \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi^{1/2} N_0^{1/2} \|\psi\|_2^2 \left( \sum_{N' > N_0} (N')^2 \|\psi_{N'}\|_2^2 \right)^{1/4} \left( \sum_{N' > N_0} (N')^4 \|\psi_{N'}\|_2^4 \right)^{1/4} \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi^{1/2} N_0^{1/2} \|\psi\|_2^2 \lambda^{3/2} \\
 &\lesssim N_0^{1/2} \|\psi\|_2^2 \lambda^{3/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality was used the inequality (2.7).

II) If  $N > N_0$ .

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality two times and inequalities (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N' \geq N} |\psi_{N'}|^2 \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_\psi N^2 \|\psi_N\|_2 \sum_{N' \geq N} |\psi_{N'}|^2 \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi \sum_{N > N_0} \|\psi_N\|_2 \sum_{N' \geq N} N'^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_{N'}|^2 \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi \lambda^2 \sum_{N > N_0} \|\psi_N\|_2 \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi \lambda^2 \left( \sum_{N > N_0} N^2 \|\psi_N\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{N > N_0} \frac{1}{N^2} \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi \lambda^2 \frac{\lambda}{N_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Estimate of  $I_1$ :* We will consider two cases

I) If  $N \leq N_0$ .

The inequality (2.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N' \leq N} |\psi_{N'}|^2 \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N' \leq N} (N')^2 \|\psi_{N'}\|_2^2 \\
 &\lesssim N_0^2 \sum_{N \leq N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N' \leq N_0} \|\psi_{N'}\|_2^2 \\
 &\lesssim N_0^2 \|\psi\|_2^4.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

II) If  $N > N_0$ .

We split  $I_1$  in two terms

$$I_1 = \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N_0 \leq N' \leq N} |\psi_{N'}|^2 + \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N' \leq N_0} |\psi_{N'}|^2 := L_1 + L_2,$$

the estimate for  $L_2$  is similar with (2.8), thus

$$L_2 \lesssim N_0^2 \|\psi\|_2^4.$$

And in order to estimate  $L_1$  we will use the inequality (2.5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_1 &= \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N_0 \leq N' \leq N} |\psi_{N'}|^2 \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N_0 \leq N' \leq N} (N')^2 \rho_\psi^2 \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi^2 \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_N|^2 \sum_{N_0 \leq N' \leq N} (N')^2 \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi^2 \sum_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} N^2 |\psi_N|^2 \\
 &\lesssim \rho_\psi^2 \lambda^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now combining the inequality (2.3) with the above estimates of  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  and considering the similar estimates to the other terms obtained of  $\|S(\phi)\|_4^4$ , we get

$$\lambda^2 \leq C \left( (\|\psi\|_2^4 + \|\phi\|_2^4) N_0^2 + N_0^{1/2} \lambda^{3/2} (\|\psi\|_2^2 + \|\phi\|_2^2) + (\rho_\psi + \rho_\phi) \lambda^3 N_0^{-1} + (\rho_\psi^2 + \rho_\phi^2) \lambda^2 \right),$$

where  $C > 0$  is a universal constant. Finally considering the  $L^2$  conservation of  $u$  and  $v$ , let  $c_0 = \|\psi\|_2 + \|\phi\|_2 = \|u_0\|_2 + \|v_0\|_2$ , using that  $N_0 = \lambda k_0$  (see (2.4)) and (2.7), we obtain

$$1 \leq C \left( c_0^4 k_0^2 + k_0^{1/2} c_0^2 + (\rho_\psi + \rho_\phi) k_0^{-1} + \rho_\psi^2 + \rho_\phi^2 \right),$$

and taking  $k_0$  such that

$$c_0^4 k_0^2 + k_0^{1/2} c_0^2 < \frac{1}{C},$$

we arrive to

$$0 < k_1 \leq (\rho_\psi + \rho_\phi)k_2 + \rho_\psi^2 + \rho_\phi^2, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$k_1 = \frac{1 - Cc_0^4 k_0^2 - Ck_0^{1/2} c_0^2}{C} \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 = k_0^{-1}$$

note that (2.9) implies

$$\rho_\psi \geq k_3 = \sqrt{k_1 + \frac{k_2^2}{4}} - \frac{k_2}{2} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\phi \geq k_3 > 0.$$

The definition of  $\rho$ , implies that there exists  $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and

$$N > N_0 \gtrsim \frac{1}{(T^* - t)^{1/2}}$$

such that  $\frac{|\psi_N(a)|}{N} \geq \frac{k_3}{4}$  and there exists  $b \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $N > N_0 \gtrsim \frac{1}{(T^* - t)^{1/2}}$  such that

$\frac{|\phi_N(b)|}{N} \geq \frac{k_3}{4}$ . We consider the first case happens, the second case is similar

$$\frac{|\psi_N(a)|}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}(-\xi) u \left( a - \frac{\xi}{N} \right) d\xi \geq \frac{k_3}{4},$$

where

$$f(x) = \chi_{\{1 < |x| \leq 2\}}(x),$$

and choosing  $M > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_{|\xi| \geq M} |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{k_3}{8c_0}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N} \int_{|\xi| < M} \widehat{f}(-\xi) u \left( a - \frac{\xi}{N} \right) d\xi \geq \frac{k_3}{8},$$

using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we concluded that

$$\frac{1}{N} \left\| u \left( a - \frac{\xi}{N} \right) \chi_{\{|\xi| < M\}} \right\|_2 \gtrsim k_3,$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{|a-x| < \frac{M}{N}} |u(x)|^2 dx \gtrsim k_3^2, \quad N \gtrsim \frac{1}{(T^* - t)^{1/2}}$$

and this inequality proves the Theorem 1.  $\square$

**3. Proof of Theorem 2**

Consider the Cauchy problem associated to the linear parts of (1.1),

$$\begin{cases} iw_t + \Delta w = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ w(0) = w_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

The solution to (3.1) is given by  $w(x, t) = U(t)w_0(x)$ , where  $\widehat{w(\cdot, t)}(\xi) = e^{-it|\xi|^2}\widehat{w_0}(\xi)$ . In order to prove the Theorem 2 we need the following lemmas of Harmonic Analysis that have been proven by Bourgain in [2]

LEMMA 1. *Let  $f \in L^2$ ,  $\|f\|_2 = 1$ . For given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are functions  $(f_r)_{1 \leq r \leq R}$  such that*

$$R < R(\varepsilon)$$

each  $\widehat{f}_r$  is supported by a square box

$$\tau_r \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ of size } A_r$$

and

$$|\widehat{f}_r| < \frac{1}{A_r}, \quad \|f_r\|_2 > \varepsilon'(\varepsilon),$$

$$\|U(t)f - \sum_r U(t)f_r\|_{L^4(dxdt)} < \varepsilon.$$

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\text{supp } \widehat{g} \subseteq \tau \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  where  $\tau$  is a square of size  $A$  with center  $\xi_0$  and  $|\widehat{g}| < \frac{1}{A}$ . Then, for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a collection  $(Q_r)_{1 \leq r \leq R(\varepsilon)}$  of regions of the form*

$$Q_r = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3; x + 2t\xi_0 \in I_r, t \in J_r\}, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $I_r$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of size  $1/A$  and  $J_r$  an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$  of size  $1/A^2$  such that

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \cup Q_r} |U(t)g|^4 dxdt \right)^{1/4} < \varepsilon. \tag{3.3}$$

Following the ideas of Borgain in [2], we consider  $0 < T_0 < T^*$ ,  $\psi = u(T_0)$  and  $\phi = v(T_0)$ , the integral equations give

$$u(t) = U(t - T_0)\psi + \mathbb{L}(u, v),$$

$$v(t) = U(t - T_0)\phi + \mathbb{L}(v, u),$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}(u, v) = -i\alpha \int_{T_0}^t U(t-s)(|u|^2u + |v|^2u)ds.$$



Let  $0 < \gamma \ll 1$  and we take  $T_0 < T_1 < T^*$  such that

$$\|u\|_{L^4_x L^4_{[T_0, T_1]}} := \|u\|_{L^4} = \gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\|_{L^4_x L^4_{[T_0, T_1]}} := \|v\|_{L^4_{[T_0, T_1]}} = \gamma, \quad (3.4)$$

the Hölder inequality gives

$$\| |v|^2 u \|_{L^{4/3}} \leq \| |v|^2 \|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^4} = \|v\|_{L^4}^2 \|u\|_{L^4},$$

and using that (4, 4) is a pair of exponents admissible, applying the Strichartz' inequality

$$\|u(t) - U(t - T_0)\psi\|_{L^4_{[T_0, T_1]}} \lesssim \gamma^3, \quad (3.5)$$

triangle inequality, (3.4) and (3.5) implies

$$\|U(t - T_0)\psi\|_{L^4_{[T_0, T_1]}} \sim \gamma.$$

Similarly we have

$$\|U(t - T_0)\phi\|_{L^4_{[T_0, T_1]}} \sim \gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad \|v(t) - U(t - T_0)\phi\|_{L^4_{[T_0, T_1]}} \lesssim \gamma^3.$$

By (3.4), (3.5), Hölder inequality and by the definition of  $\gamma$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^4 &= \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(t) \left[ u(t) \overline{u(t)}^2 \right] dxdt \\ &= \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(t) (U(t - T_0)\psi + \mathbb{L}(u, v)) \overline{(U(t - T_0)\psi + \mathbb{L}(u, v))^2} dxdt \\ &= \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(t) (U(t - T_0)\psi) \overline{(U(t - T_0)\psi)^2} dxdt + O(\gamma^6). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From now on the rest of the proof is a consequence of the above lemmas. We will give some details: In fact using the Lemma 1 with  $\varepsilon = \gamma^2$  and  $f = U(-T_0)\psi$ , then

$$U(t - T_0)\psi = U(t)f = \sum_r U(t)f_r + \mathcal{L},$$

where  $\mathcal{L} = U(t)f - \sum_r U(t)f_r$  is such that  $\|\mathcal{L}\|_{L^4(dxdt)} < \varepsilon = \gamma^2$ . Similarly as in (3.6) we get

$$\gamma^4 = \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(t) \left( \sum_{r_1 < R(\gamma^2)} U(t)f_{r_1} \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{r_2 < R(\gamma^2)} U(t)f_{r_2} \right) \left( \sum_{r_3 < R(\gamma^2)} U(t)f_{r_3} \right)} dxdt + O(\gamma^5). \quad (3.7)$$

The number of terms into above integral is smaller than  $R(\gamma^2)^3$ . Thus, there is  $r_1, r_2, r_3 < R(\gamma^2)$  such that

$$\int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(t) (U(t)f_{r_1}) \overline{(U(t)f_{r_2})(U(t)f_{r_3})} dxdt > \frac{\gamma^4}{R(\gamma^2)^3} := \eta. \quad (3.8)$$

In the proof of Lemma 1, we have  $\text{supp } \widehat{f}_r \subset \tau_r \subset \text{supp } \widehat{f} = \text{supp } \widehat{\psi}$ , where  $\tau_r$  is a square of size  $A_r$ . Supposing that  $A_{r_1} = \max\{A_{r_1}, A_{r_2}, A_{r_3}\}$ , let be  $\tau$  a square of size  $A \sim A_{r_1}$ , such that  $\tau_{r_j} \subset \tau$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $P_\tau$  the Fourier restriction wrt  $x$ -variable  $\widehat{P_\tau \psi} = \chi_\tau \widehat{\psi}$ , using Plancherel's formula and properties of the Fourier transform we have

$$\int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(t) (\dots) \overline{(\dots)} (\dots) dxdt = \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P_\tau u(t) (\dots) \overline{(\dots)} (\dots) dxdt. \tag{3.9}$$

Since (4,4) is a pair of exponents admissible, applying the Strichartz' estimate we obtain

$$\|U(t)f_{r_j}\|_4 \leq \|f_{r_j}\|_2 \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Using (3.8), (3.9) and Hölder inequality we can show that

$$\int_{T_0}^{T_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P_\tau u(t) (U(t)f_{r_1})|^2 dxdt \gtrsim \eta^2. \tag{3.10}$$

Now, applying Lemma 2 with  $g = f_{r_1}$ ,  $A = A_{r_1}$  and  $\varepsilon = \eta$  there are  $\{Q_s\}$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq R(\varepsilon)$  be the regions (3.2). From (3.4), (3.3) and (3.10) it follows that

$$\iint_{Q \cap (\mathbb{R}^2 \times [T_0, T_1])} |P_\tau u(t) (U(t)f_{r_1})|^2 dxdt \gtrsim \frac{\eta^2}{R(\eta)} = \eta_1. \tag{3.11}$$

In the same way as in [2] we can show that there exist  $t \in [T_0, T_1]$  and an interval  $I_1 = I - 2t\xi_0$  of size  $1/A \lesssim \eta_1^{-1} (T - t)^{1/2}$  such that

$$\int_{I_1} |P_\tau u(t)|^2 dx \gtrsim \eta_1^2.$$

As  $\widehat{P_\tau u} = \chi_\tau \widehat{u}$ , then  $P_\tau u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_\tau) * u$ , also since  $\chi_\tau(\xi) = \chi_{\tau_0}(A^{-1/2}\xi)$ , where  $\tau_0$  is a square of size 1, then

$$P_\tau u = \theta_A * u,$$

where  $\theta_A(\xi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_\tau)(\xi) = A \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{\tau_0})(A^{1/2}\xi)$ . It's not difficult to see that

$$\|\theta_A\|_{L^\infty} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\chi_\tau\|_{L^1} = A, \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\|\theta_A\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_\tau)\|_{L^2} = \|\chi_\tau\|_{L^2} = A^{1/2}. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus let  $M = M(\eta_1, \|u_0\|_{L^2}) \gg 1$  very large, such that

$$\int_{|y| > \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} |\theta_A|^2(y) dy = A \int_{|y| > M} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_{\tau_0})|^2(y) dy \leq \frac{CA\eta_1^2}{16\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2}. \tag{3.14}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_\tau u(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \theta_A(y) u(x-y) dy = \int_{|y| \leq \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} \theta_A(y) u(x-y) dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|y| > \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} \theta_A(y) u(x-y) dy := L_1 + L_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and from (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_2 &= \int_{|y| > \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} \theta_A(y) u(x-y) dy \\
 &\leq \left( \int_{|y| > \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} \theta_A^2(y) dy \right)^{1/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{A^{1/2} \eta_1 C^{1/2}}{4},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.16}$$

and in  $L_1$  using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_1 &= \int_{|y| \leq \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} \theta_A(y) u(x-y) dy \lesssim \frac{M}{A^{1/2}} \left( \int_{|y| \leq \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} \theta_A^2(y) |u(x-y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\lesssim M \left( \int_{|y| \leq \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} \theta_A(y) |u(x-y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.17}$$

Let  $\theta_A \chi_{|y| \leq \frac{M}{A^{1/2}}} = \mathcal{J}$ . Now combining (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) we hold

$$\begin{aligned}
 C\eta_1^2 &\leq 2 \int_{I_1} L_1^2 dx + 2 \int_{I_1} L_2^2 dx \\
 &\lesssim 2M^2 \int_{I_1} \mathcal{J} * |u|^2 dx + \frac{C\eta_1^2}{2},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.18}$$

and from this inequality we obtain

$$\frac{C\eta_1^2}{2} \leq 2M^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_{I_1} (\mathcal{J} * |u|^2) dx,
 \tag{3.19}$$

using Fubinni equality observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(g * h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(f * \tilde{g}), \quad \tilde{g}(x) = g(-x),$$

therefore from (3.19) it follows that

$$\frac{C\eta_1^2}{4M^2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 (\chi_{I_1} * \tilde{\mathcal{J}}) dx,
 \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\text{supp}(\chi_{I_1} * \tilde{\mathcal{J}}) \subseteq \text{supp}\chi_{I_1} + \text{supp}\tilde{\mathcal{J}},$$

as  $\text{supp}\chi_{I_1} \lesssim \frac{1}{A}$  and  $\text{supp}\tilde{\mathcal{J}} \lesssim \frac{1}{A}$  we complete the proof of theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of the inequality (2.1)

This proof follows the same ideas in [5], for the sake of completeness we make all details here.

We will make the details to  $\alpha = \beta$ , the general case follows in similar way. We started by noting that

$$|(\nabla(|v|^2u, |u|^2v))| \lesssim |(u, v)|^2 |\nabla(u, v)|, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $|(u, v)|^2 = |u|^2 + |v|^2$ , the Hölder's inequality gives

$$\|\nabla(|v|^2u, |u|^2v)\|_{4/3} \lesssim \| |(u, v)|^2 \|_2 \|\nabla(u, v)\|_4 \leq \| |(u, v)|^2 \|_4 \|\nabla(u, v)\|_4. \quad (4.2)$$

The Hamiltonian conservation:

$$E(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla(u, v)\|_2^2 - \frac{\alpha}{4} \|(u, v)\|_4^4 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|uv\|_2^2 = E(u_0, v_0),$$

implies that

$$\|(u, v)\|_4^2 \lesssim (1 + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_2). \quad (4.3)$$

Considering  $0 < t < \tau < T^*$ , from (4.2), (4.3) and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(|v|^2u, |u|^2v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} &\lesssim (1 + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^\infty((t, \tau); L^2)}) \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^4)} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^\infty((t, \tau); L^2)}) (\tau - t)^{1/2} \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^4((t, \tau); L^4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Deriving in the integral solution of the system (1.3) gives

$$\nabla u(t') = U(t' - t) \nabla u(t) - i\alpha \int_t^{t'} U(t' - s) \nabla(|u|^2u + |v|^2u) ds,$$

$$\nabla v(t') = U(t' - t) \nabla v(t) - i\alpha \int_t^{t'} U(t' - s) \nabla(|v|^2v + |u|^2v) ds.$$

As  $u(t), v(t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $t \in [0, T^*)$  and since (4, 4) is a pair of exponents admissible, applying the Strichartz' estimate (see [4], [5] or Theorem 2.3 in [16]) we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^4((t, \tau); L^4)} \lesssim \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 + \|\nabla(|u|^2u)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} + \|\nabla(|v|^2u)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^4((t, \tau); L^4)} \lesssim \|\nabla v(t)\|_2 + \|\nabla(|u|^2v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} + \|\nabla(|v|^2v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})}, \quad (4.6)$$

from (4.5) and (4.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^4((t, \tau); L^4)} &\lesssim \|\nabla u(t)\|_2 + \|\nabla v(t)\|_2 + \|\nabla(|u|^2u)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla(|v|^2u)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} + \|\nabla(|u|^2v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla(|v|^2v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Applying the Strichartz’ estimate with exponents admissible  $(\infty, 2)$  and adding with (4.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^\infty((t, \tau); L^2)} + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^4((t, \tau); L^4)} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla(u, v)\|_2 + \|\nabla(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} + \|\nabla(|v|^2 v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} \\ & \quad + \|\nabla(|u|^2 v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} + \|\nabla(|v|^2 u)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for all  $0 < t < \tau < T^*$ .

Let us define the function

$$f_t(\tau) = 1 + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^\infty((t, \tau); L^2)} + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^4((t, \tau); L^4)}. \tag{4.9}$$

The inequality (4.4) implies

$$\|\nabla(|v|^2 u, |u|^2 v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} \lesssim (\tau - t)^{1/2} f_t(\tau)^2. \tag{4.10}$$

Analogously as in (4.4) we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(|u|^2 u, |v|^2 v)\|_{L^{4/3}((t, \tau); L^{4/3})} & \lesssim (\tau - t)^{1/2} (1 + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^\infty((t, \tau); L^2)}) \|\nabla(u, v)\|_{L^4((t, \tau); L^4)} \\ & \lesssim (\tau - t)^{1/2} f_t(\tau)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

combining (4.8)–(4.11), follow that

$$f_t(\tau) \leq C(1 + \|\nabla(u, v)\|_2) + C(\tau - t)^{1/2} f_t(\tau)^2, \tag{4.12}$$

as  $f_t$  is a continuous and increasing function on  $]0, T^*[$ , if  $T^* < \infty$  the blowup alternative said that  $f_t(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $\tau \nearrow T^*$  and from definition of  $f_t$  also we have that  $f_t(\tau) \rightarrow 1 + \|\nabla(u, v)(t)\|_{L^2}$  when  $\tau \searrow t$ , thus there exists  $\tau_0 \in ]t, T^*[$  such that

$$f_t(\tau_0) = (C + 1)(1 + \|\nabla(u, v)(t)\|_2). \tag{4.13}$$

In consequence

$$1 + \|\nabla(u, v)(t)\|_2 \leq C(1 + C)^2 (T^* - t)^{1/2} (1 + \|\nabla(u, v)(t)\|_2)^2,$$

and

$$1 + \|\nabla(u, v)(t)\|_2 \gtrsim \frac{1}{(T^* - t)^{1/2}}, \quad 0 \leq t < T^*. \quad \square \tag{4.14}$$

*Acknowledgement.* The first author thanks supports from CNPq under grant 304036/2014-5.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. BERGÉ, *Wave collapse in physics: principles and applications to light and plasma waves*, Phys. Rep. **303** (1998) 259–370.
- [2] J. BOURGAIN, *Refinements of Strichartz' inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **5** (1998), 253–283.
- [3] J. BOURGAIN, *Global solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, AMS Colloquium Publications, **46** (1999).
- [4] T. CAZENAVE, *An introduction to nonlinear Schrödinger equations (Textos de Métodos Matemáticos)*, 2nd edn, vol **26**, Rio de Janeiro: Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, (1993).
- [5] T. CAZENAVE, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, **10**, Courant Lecture Notes, (2003).
- [6] J. CHEN, B. GUO, *Blow-up profile to the solutions of two-coupled Schrödinger equation with harmonic potential*, J. Math. Phys. **50**, (2009), 023505.
- [7] L. FANELLI, E. MONTEFUSCO, *On the blow-up threshold for weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **40**, (2007) 14139–14150.
- [8] G. FIBICH, G. PAPANICOLAOU, *Self-focusing in the perturbed and unperturbed nonlinear Schrödinger equation in critical dimension*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **60** (2000) 183–240.
- [9] M. LAKSHMANAN, T. KANNA, R. RADHAKRSHNAN, *Shape-changing collisions of coupled bright solitons in birefringent optical fibers*, Rep. Math. Phys **46** (2000), 143–156.
- [10] L. MA, L. ZHAO, *Sharp thresholds of blow-up and global existence for the coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Phys. **49**, (2008) 062–103.
- [11] L. MA, X. SONG, L. ZHAO, *On global rough solutions to a non-linear Schrödinger system*, Glasgow Math. J. **51**, (2009) 499–511.
- [12] Y. MARTEL, P. RAPHAEL, *Strongly interacting blow up bubbles for the mass critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. (4) **51**, No. 3, (2018), 701–737.
- [13] C. R. MENYUK, *Nonlinear pulse propagation in birefringent optical fibers*, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. **23** (1987) 174–176.
- [14] F. MERLE, Y. TSUTSUMI,  *$L^2$ -concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power nonlinearity*, J. Diff. Eq. **84**, (1990) 205–214.
- [15] D. C. ROBERTS, A. C. NEWELL, *Finite-time collapse of  $N$  classical fields described by coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Phys. Rev. E **74**, (2008) 047602.
- [16] T. TAO, *Nonlinear dispersive equations: Local and global analysis*, CBMS, regional conference series in mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Number **106** (2006).
- [17] Y. TSUTSUMI, *Rate of  $L^2$ -concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Appl. **15**, (1990) 719–724.
- [18] L. XIAO GUANG, W. YONGHONG, L. SHAOYONG, *A sharp threshold of blow-up for coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **43**, (2010) 1–11.
- [19] Z. -X. LÜ, Z. LIU,  *$L^2$ -concentration of blow-up solutions for two-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, J. of Math. Anal. Appl. **380**, (2011) 531–539.
- [20] Z. -X. LÜ, Z. LIU AND C. YAO,  *$L^2$ -concentration of blow-up solutions for two-coupled non-linear Schrödinger equations with harmonic potential*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 43, Issue 1, (2012), 49–70.

(Received August 3, 2018)

Xavier Carvajal  
 Instituto de Matemática  
 Universidad Federal de Rio de Janeiro  
 Av. Athos da Silveira Ramos, P.O. Box 68530, CEP:21945-970, RJ, Brazil  
 e-mail: carvajal@im.ufrj.br

Pedro Gamboa  
 Instituto de Matemática  
 Universidad Federal de Rio de Janeiro  
 Av. Athos da Silveira Ramos, P.O. Box 68530, CEP:21945-970, RJ, Brazil  
 e-mail: pgamboa@im.ufrj.br