

ON COEFFICIENT FUNCTIONALS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ZALCMAN CONJECTURE

SARITA AGRAWAL AND SWADESH KUMAR SAHOO

Abstract. For a function f which is analytic and univalent in the unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ having the power series expansion of the normalized form $z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, Zalcman conjectured that $|a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq (n-1)^2$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$. In this article, we obtain the sharp estimate for the classical Zalcman coefficient functional $a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}$ for the above class of functions with the restriction that the n -th coefficient, a_n , has certain integral representation associated with probability measure. Moreover, we also study a similar problem for the classes of functions of the above form whose coefficients satisfy certain inequalities.

1. Introduction

We denote by \mathcal{A} , the class of all analytic functions f in $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

and by \mathcal{S} , the class of *univalent functions* in \mathcal{A} . Then $|a_2^2 - a_3| \leq 1$ holds for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, see [20, Theorem 1.5]. At the end of 1960's, Zalcman made a conjecture that each $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies the inequality

$$|a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq (n-1)^2, \quad n \geq 2 \quad (2)$$

with equality for the Koebe function $k(z) = z/(1-z)^2$ and its rotations. One of the main aims of the Zalcman conjecture was to prove the Bieberbach conjecture: $|a_n| \leq n$, for $n \geq 2$, when $f \in \mathcal{S}$, using the famous Hayman Regularity Theorem (see [5, Theorem 5.6, pp. 163]). The Bieberbach conjecture was a challenging open problem for function theorists for several decades and was finally settled by de Branges [3] in 1985.

There are several approaches made to prove the Zalcman conjecture. One of the approaches is to prove the conjecture for some subclasses of \mathcal{S} . For example, in [4], Brown and Tsao proved that (2) holds for the class \mathcal{T} of typically real functions and the class \mathcal{S}^* of starlike functions. In [17], Ma proved the Zalcman conjecture for the class \mathcal{H} of close-to-convex functions when $n \geq 4$. However, this conjecture was remained open for $n = 3$ and this has recently been settled in [14]. Readers can refer to, for instance, [1, 10, 11, 13, 14] and references therein for more information on this topic.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 30C45, 30C55, Secondary 30C50.

Keywords and phrases: Convex functions, convex hull, probability measure, univalent functions, coefficient functional, Zalcman's conjecture.

A generalized version of Zalcman’s inequality, in terms of the so-called *generalized coefficient functional* $\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}$, $\lambda > 0$, has been considered in [1, 4, 6, 13].

In [18], Ma proposed a generalized version of the Zalcman conjecture as follows: for $f \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$|a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq (n-1)(m-1) \quad (n, m = 2, 3, \dots)$$

and proved that this holds for starlike functions and univalent functions with real coefficients. Recently, Efraimidis and Vukotić in [6] proved that the Zalcman conjecture is asymptotically true.

In this paper, we establish sharp estimates of the Zalcman conjecture in the form proposed by Ma in [18] for some classes of analytic functions of the form (1) such that the n -th coefficient a_n has the form

$$a_n = s(n) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta),$$

where $s(n)$ is some non-negative function of n and $\mu(\theta)$ is a probability measure on $[0, 2\pi]$. We denote such class of functions by \mathcal{F} . Note that the class \mathcal{F} no longer consists exclusively the univalent functions. For example, consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^3} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{3n+1} = z + z^4 + z^7 + \dots, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Here $a_{3n+1} = 1$ whereas $a_n = 0$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{F}$. It can easily be seen that $f(z)$ is not univalent in \mathbb{D} . In addition, we consider the functions of the form (1) such that the n -th coefficient a_n satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r(n)|a_n| \leq 1, \quad r(n) > 0.$$

We denote such class of functions by \mathcal{H} and obtain the sharp estimate for the Zalcman coefficient functional for the class \mathcal{H} .

We conclude this section with some basic definitions. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *starlike of order* β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) if $\text{Re}\{zf'(z)/f(z)\} > \beta$ and denote the class of starlike functions of order β by $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$. Similarly, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *convex of order* β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) if $\text{Re}\{1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)\} > \beta$ and denote the class of convex functions of order β by $\mathcal{C}(\beta)$. Clearly, functions in the classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\beta)$ are univalent in \mathbb{D} . Moreover $\mathcal{S}^*(0) = \mathcal{S}^*$ and $\mathcal{C}(0) = \mathcal{C}$.

A function f is said to be *uniformly starlike* in \mathbb{D} if f is starlike and has the property that for every circular arc γ contained in \mathbb{D} , with center $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, the arc $f(\gamma)$ is starlike with respect to $f(\zeta)$. We denote by $\mathcal{US}\mathcal{T}$, the class of all uniformly starlike functions. Similarly, we say that a convex function f in \mathbb{D} is *uniformly convex* if for each circular arc γ in \mathbb{D} with center η in \mathbb{D} , the image arc $f(\gamma)$ is convex. Denote the class of all uniformly convex functions by \mathcal{UCV} , see [7, 8]. We call a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is *v -spiral-like of order* β , $0 \leq \beta < 1$, if there is a real number v ($-\pi/2 < v < \pi/2$) such that $\text{Re}\{e^{iv}\{zf'(z)/f(z)\}\} > \beta \cos v$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_p^v(\beta)$, the class of v -spiral-like functions of order β , see [12]. More literature on spiral-like functions can be found in [2, 16, 19]. Recent investigation on spiral-like functions in connection with Yamashita conjecture, and integral means may be found from [21].

2. Main results

This section is devoted to our main results. The following lemma follows from the work of Ma [18] and other recent results (see also [22, Lemma 1.4]).

LEMMA A. *Let $\mu(\theta)$ be a probability measure on $[0, 2\pi]$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\left| \lambda \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta) - \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n+m-2)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \right| \leq \max\{|\lambda - 1|, 1\}$$

for $n, m = 2, 3, \dots$

Now we state the first main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n, m = 2, 3, \dots$,*

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda s(n)s(m) - s(n+m-1)|, s(n+m-1)\}.$$

The inequality is sharp.

Proof. Using the integral representations of the coefficients a_n, a_m and a_{n+m-1} in the expression $\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}$, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} & |\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \\ &= \left| \lambda s(n)s(m) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - s(n+m-1) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n+m-2)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \right| \\ &= s(n+m-1) \left| \lambda \frac{s(n)s(m)}{s(n+m-1)} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n+m-2)\theta} d\mu(\theta) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying Lemma A, we have

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda s(n)s(m) - s(n+m-1)|, s(n+m-1)\}.$$

The sharpness of the inequality can be verified from the following example:

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s(n)z^n (=: f_0(z)), & |\lambda s(n)s(m) - s(n+m-1)| \geq s(n+m-1), \\ \frac{1}{n+m-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m-2} e^{-i\phi_k} f_0(e^{i\phi_k} z), & |\lambda s(n)s(m) - s(n+m-1)| < s(n+m-1), \end{cases}$$

where $\phi_k = \frac{2k\pi}{n+m-2}$. The proof of our theorem is complete. \square

The case $n = m$ in Theorem 1 gives

COROLLARY 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda s(n)^2 - s(2n-1)|, s(2n-1)\}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

The inequality is sharp and can be verified from the following example:

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} f_0(z), & |\lambda s(n)^2 - s(2n-1)| \geq s(2n-1), \\ \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} m_k e^{-i\theta_k} f_0(e^{i\theta_k} z), & |\lambda s(n)^2 - s(2n-1)| < s(2n-1). \end{cases}$$

Here $0 \leq m_k \leq 1$, $\theta_k = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n-2}$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{2k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{2}$. Note that m_k can attain the value $1/(2n-2)$.

REMARK 1. Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 help us to estimate the generalized Zalcman coefficient functional $\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}$ and $\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}$ for several subclasses of functions in \mathcal{F} . For instance, the results stated below are consequences of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

Let us denote by $\overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha))}$, $\alpha < 1$, the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$. Then, for all f in $\overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha))}$, the n -th coefficients of the series expansion of f can be written in the form that

$$a_n = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (2(1-\alpha) + j) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Hence, for $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha))}$, $s(n) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (2(1-\alpha) + j) = A_n(\text{say})$.

An immediate corollary to Theorem 1 for the class $\overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha))}$ is the following result.

COROLLARY 2. [22, Theorem 2.1] If $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha))}$ ($\alpha < 1$), then

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda A_n A_m - A_{n+m-1}|, A_{n+m-1}\}.$$

Equality occur for the functions given by

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} k_\alpha(z) = z/(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} s(n)z^n, & |\lambda A_n A_m - A_{n+m-1}| \geq A_{n+m-1}, \\ k_\alpha^{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n+m-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m-2} e^{-i\phi_k} k_\alpha(e^{i\phi_k} z), & |\lambda A_n A_m - A_{n+m-1}| < A_{n+m-1}. \end{cases}$$

Here m_k , θ_k and ϕ_k are the same quantities as defined in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

REMARK 2. Here we have pointed out several consequences of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 for the classes $\overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha))}$ and $co(\mathcal{S}^*)$.

- The case $m = n$ in Corollary 2 gives

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda A_n^2 - A_{2n+1}|, A_{2n-1}\}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ Equality occurs for the functions $k_\alpha(z)$ and

$$k_\alpha^n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} m_k \frac{z}{(1 - e^{i\theta_k z})^{2(1-\alpha)}}.$$

Here $k_\alpha(z)$ is the function as defined in Corollary 2. This result is also pointed out in [22, Corollary 2.2].

- The case $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 2 gives: if $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*)}$, then

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda nm - n - m + 1|, n + m - 1\}.$$

Equality occurs for the functions $k_0(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty n z^n = z/(1-z)^2$ and its rotations when $|\lambda nm - n - m + 1| \geq n + m - 1$ and for the function

$$k_0^{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n+m-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m-2} \frac{z}{(1 - e^{i\phi_k z})^2} = \sum_{r=0}^\infty (r(n+m-2) + 1) z^{r(n+m-2)+1},$$

when $|\lambda nm - n - m + 1| \leq n + m - 1$. This is proved in [6, Theorem 3.5].

- The restrictions on λ , $\frac{2(n+m-1)}{nm} \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, in Theorem 1 obtains the result proved by Ma [18, Theorem 2.2].
- If $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*)}$, then for $m = n$, we have

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda n^2 - 2n + 1|, 2n - 1\}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$ Equality occurs for the functions $k_0(z)$ and

$$k_0^n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} m_k \frac{z}{(1 - e^{i\theta_k z})^2}.$$

This result is a consequence of Corollary 2.

- Another consequence of Corollary 2 obtains a result of Brown and Tsao [4, p. 474]. That is, If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{S}^*)}$, then

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \begin{cases} 2n - 1, & 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2(2n-1)}{n^2}, \\ \lambda n^2 - 2n + 1, & \lambda > \frac{2(2n-1)}{n^2}, \end{cases}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$

Let us denote by $\overline{co(\mathcal{C}(\alpha))}$, $\alpha < 1$, the closed convex hull of $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$. Then, for all f in $\overline{co(\mathcal{C}(\alpha))}$, the n -th coefficients of the series expansion of f can be written in the form that

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (2(1-\alpha) + j) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Hence, for $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{C}(\alpha))}$, $s(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} (2(1-\alpha) + j) = \frac{A_n}{n} = B_n$ (say).

The function defined by

$$l_\alpha(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - (1-z)^{2\alpha-1}}{2\alpha-1}, & \text{for } \alpha \neq 1/2, \\ -\log(1-z), & \text{for } \alpha = 1/2, \end{cases}$$

is often extremal in the class $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$. The coefficients a_n of $l_\alpha(z)$ are B_n .

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following result for the class $\overline{co(\mathcal{C}(\alpha))}$ which is also proved in [22, Corollary 2.3] and [6, Theorem 3.4].

COROLLARY 3. *If $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{C}(\alpha))}$ ($\alpha < 1$), then*

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda B_n B_m - B_{n+m-1}|, B_{n+m-1}\}.$$

Equality occurs for the functions $l_\alpha(z)$ and its rotations when $|\lambda B_n B_m - B_{n+m-1}| \geq B_{n+m-1}$ and for the function

$$l_\alpha^{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n+m-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m-2} e^{-i\phi_k} l_\alpha(e^{i\phi_k z}),$$

when $|\lambda B_n B_m - B_{n+m-1}| \leq B_{n+m-1}$. Here ϕ_k is the same quantity as defined in Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 4. [22, Corollary 2.4] *If $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{C}(\alpha))}$, then Corollary 1 gives*

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \max\{|\lambda B_n^2 - B_{2n-1}|, B_{2n-1}\}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Equality occurs for the functions $l_\alpha(z)$ and

$$l_\alpha^n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} m_k e^{-i\theta_k} l_\alpha(e^{i\theta_k z}),$$

where m_k and θ_k are defined as in Corollary 1.

It can easily be checked that for $\alpha = -1/2$, $B_n = \frac{(n+1)}{2}$. As a consequence of Corollary 3, we have the following result for the class $\overline{co(\mathcal{C}(-1/2))}$. Note that in [13, Theorem 3.3], the authors have proved the following result by considering three cases for $n \geq 3$. Here all the three cases are covered in two cases.

COROLLARY 5. If $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{C}(-1/2))}$, then

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \begin{cases} n, & 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{8n}{(n+1)^2}, \\ \left| \frac{(n+1)^2}{4} \lambda - n \right|, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The sharpness of the second inequality can be verified by the function $l_{-1/2}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{2} z^n = \frac{z - z^2/2}{(1-z)^2}$ and its rotations; and sharpness of the first inequality can be verified by the function

$$l_{-1/2}^n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} m_k e^{-i\theta_k} l_{-1/2}(e^{i\theta_k} z).$$

Here m_k and θ_k are the same as defined in Corollary 1.

Moreover, as a consequence of Theorem 1, Corollary 5 can be generalized in the following way:

COROLLARY 6. If $f \in \overline{co(\mathcal{C}(-1/2))}$, then

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{n+m}{2}, & 0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{4(n+m)}{(n+1)(m+1)}, \\ \left| \frac{(n+1)(m+1)}{4} \lambda - \frac{n+m}{2} \right|, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The second inequality is sharp for the function $l_{-1/2}(z)$ whereas the first inequality is sharp for the function

$$l_{-1/2}^{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n+m-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m-2} e^{-i\phi_k} l_{-1/2}(e^{i\phi_k} z).$$

Observe that

- For $\alpha = 0$, Corollary 3 reduces to [6, Theorem 3.3].
- For the case $\alpha = 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, Corollary 4 reduces to the result obtained by Li et al. in [15, Theorem 1].
- For $-1/2 \leq \alpha < 0$, Corollary 4 coincides with [15, Theorem 2].
- For $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$, Corollary 4 coincides with [15, Theorem 3].
- For $\alpha = 1/2$, Corollary 4 coincides with [15, Theorem 4].

We now consider the functions in the normalized class

$$\mathcal{R}(\beta) := \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re} f'(z) > \beta\}$$

where $\beta \in [0, 1)$. Denote $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(0)$.

By the Herglotz representation theorem for functions with positive real part [5, 1.9], there is a unique probability measure μ on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\frac{f'(z) - \beta}{1 - \beta} = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + e^{i\theta}z}{1 - e^{i\theta}z} d\mu(\theta)$$

or, equivalently,

$$1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1} = 1 + (1 - \beta) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2 \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} d\mu(\theta) z^n.$$

Comparing the coefficients, we obtain

$$a_n = \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{n} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(n-1)\theta} d\mu(\theta), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Hence for $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta)$, $\beta \in [0, 1)$, $s(n) = 2(1 - \beta)/n$.

Now, as consequence of Theorem 1 we have the following result which is also pointed out in [22, Theorem 3.1].

COROLLARY 7. *If $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta)$, then*

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \frac{4\lambda(1 - \beta)^2}{nm} - \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{n + m - 1} \right|, \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{n + m - 1} \right\},$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The sharpness of the first inequality can easily be verified by using the function $m_\beta(z) = -2(1 - \beta) \ln(1 - z) - z(1 - 2\beta)$ and its rotations whereas the sharpness of the second inequality can be verified by using the function

$$m_\beta^{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n + m - 2} \sum_{k=1}^{n+m-2} e^{-i\phi_k} m_\beta(e^{i\phi_k} z).$$

Here ϕ_k is the same quantity as defined in Theorem 1.

For $\beta = 0$, Corollary 7 reduces to [6, Theorem 3.2]. In particular, when $m = n$, Corollary 7 leads to

COROLLARY 8. *If $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta)$, then*

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \frac{4\lambda(1 - \beta)^2}{n^2} - \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{2n - 1} \right|, \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{2n - 1} \right\},$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The sharpness of the first inequality can easily be verified using the function $m_\beta(z)$ and its rotations. Sharpness of the second inequality can be verified for the function

$$m_\beta^n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} m_k e^{-i\theta_k} m_\beta(e^{i\theta_k} z).$$

Here m_k and θ_k are the same as defined in Corollary 1.

2.1. The class \mathcal{H}

Recall that

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r(n)|a_n| \leq 1, r(n) > 0 \text{ for } n \geq 2 \right\}.$$

Here is a partial list of restrictions on $r(n)$ such that \mathcal{H} is a subclass of \mathcal{S} . For example,

- If $r(n) = (n - \beta)/(1 - \beta)$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{S}^*(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}$ [23]. In particular, for $\beta = 0$ we have $\mathcal{H} = H$, the Hurwitz class.
- If $r(n) = n(n - \beta)/(1 - \beta)$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{C}(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}$ [23].
- If $r(n) = 3n - 2$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{UST} \subset \mathcal{S}$ [9].
- If $r(n) = n(2n - 1)$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{UCV} \subset \mathcal{S}$ [9].
- If $r(n) = n/(1 - \beta)$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{R}(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}$.
- If $r(n) = 1 + [(n - 1)/(1 - \beta)] \sec \nu$, then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{S}_p^{\nu}(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}$ [12].

In all these classes $\beta \in [0, 1)$. We now state our main result for the class \mathcal{H} .

THEOREM 2. (a) Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n = 2, 3, \dots$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$|\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|\lambda|}{r(n)^2}, \frac{1}{r(2n-1)} \right\}.$$

Equality holds if and only if

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z + \frac{\alpha}{r(2n-1)} z^{2n-1} & \text{for } |\lambda| \leq \frac{r(n)^2}{r(2n-1)}, \\ z + \frac{\alpha}{r(n)} z^n & \text{for } |\lambda| \geq \frac{r(n)^2}{r(2n-1)}, \end{cases}$$

where α is a complex number such that $|\alpha| = 1$.

(b) If $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ then for two distinct values $m, n \geq 2$ we have

$$|\lambda a_n a_m - a_{n+m-1}| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{|\lambda|}{4r(n)r(m)}, \frac{1}{r(n+m-1)} \right\}.$$

Equality holds if and only if

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z + \frac{\alpha}{r(n+m-1)} z^{n+m-1} & \text{for } |\lambda| \leq \frac{4r(n)r(m)}{r(n+m-1)}, \\ z + \frac{\alpha}{2r(n)} z^n + \frac{\beta}{2r(m)} z^m & \text{for } |\lambda| \geq \frac{4r(n)r(m)}{r(n+m-1)}, \end{cases}$$

where α and β are complex numbers such that $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1$.

We remark that for the choice $r(n) = n$, Theorem 2 turns into [6, Theorem 3.1(a)]. We here adopt the proof technique of [6, Theorem 3.1(a)]. To prove the generalized Zalcman problem for \mathcal{H} , we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. [6, Lemma 2.1] *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary and let $C, M > 0$. Then*

$$|a + \lambda b| \leq \max\{C, |\lambda|\}, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \quad (3)$$

if and only if

$$|a| + |b|C \leq MC. \quad (4)$$

Assuming that $a, b \neq 0$, equality holds in (3) for some $\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if it holds in (4) and also $|\lambda| = C$ and $\arg \lambda = \arg a - \arg b$ (taking the values of the argument function modulus 2π).

Proof of Theorem 2. (a) By the definition of the class \mathcal{H} , $r(n)|a_n| \leq 1$ and $r(n)|a_n| + r(2n-1)|a_{2n-1}| \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$r(n)^2|a_n|^2 + r(2n-1)|a_{2n-1}| \leq r(n)|a_n| + r(2n-1)|a_{2n-1}| \leq 1.$$

Substituting the values $M = 1/r(n)^2$ and $C = r(n)^2/r(2n-1)$ in Lemma 1, we obtain the desired result.

(b) From the definition, it is clear that $r(n)|a_n| + r(m)|a_m| \leq 1$. Therefore,

$$4nm|a_n a_m| \leq (r(n)|a_n| + r(m)|a_m|)^2 \leq r(n)|a_n| + r(m)|a_m|.$$

Hence,

$$4r(n)r(m)|a_n a_m| + r(n+m-1)a_{n+m-1} \leq r(n)|a_n| + r(m)|a_m| + r(n+m-1)a_{n+m-1} \leq 1.$$

The conclusion now follows by taking $M = 1/4r(n)r(m)$ and $C = 4r(n)r(m)/r(n+m-1)$ in Lemma 1. \square

3. Concluding remarks

In the earlier version of this article (arXiv:1604.05494) we had posed the open problems on the generalized Zalcman conjecture in the form proposed by Ma in [18] for the classes $co(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$ when $0 < \lambda < 2$ and $0 < \lambda < nm/(1-\beta)(n+m-1)$ respectively. These problems are recently settled by Ravichandran and Verma in [22] (see, [22, Corollary 2.4, Theorem 3.1]).

Acknowledgements. This work of the first author was part of her Ph.D. thesis carried out at IIT Indore and it was supported by University Grants Commission, New Delhi (grant no. F.2-39/2011 (SA-I)). The authors are thankful to Prof. S. Ponnusamy for helpful discussions and suggestions on this topic. The authors also wish to thank the referees for their useful comments leading to the improvements in the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. ABU MUHANNA, L. LI, AND S. PONNUSAMY, *Extremal problems on the class of convex functions of order $-1/2$* , Arch. Math. (Basel) **103** (6) (2014), 461–471.
- [2] O. P. AHUJA AND H. SILVERMAN, *A survey on spiral-like and related function classes*, Math. Chronicle **20** (1991), 39–66.
- [3] L. DE BRANGES, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, Acta Math. **154** (1–2) (1985), 137–152.
- [4] J. E. BROWN AND A. TSAO, *On the Zalcman conjecture for starlike and typically real functions*, Math. Z. **191** (3) (1986), 467–474.
- [5] P. L. DUREN, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [6] I. EFRAIMIDIS AND D. VUKOTIĆ, *Applications of Livingston-type inequalities to the generalized Zalcman functional*, Math. Nachr., doi:10.1002/mana.201700022, 1–12.
- [7] A. W. GOODMAN, *On uniformly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **155** (1991), 364–370.
- [8] A. W. GOODMAN, *On uniformly convex functions*, Ann. Polon. Math. **56** (1) (1991), 87–92.
- [9] J. A. KIM AND N. E. CHO, *Properties of convolutions for hypergeometric series with univalent functions*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2013**, 2013:101, 1–11.
- [10] S. L. KRUSHKAL, *Univalent functions and holomorphic motions*, J. Anal. Math. **66** (1995), 253–275.
- [11] S. L. KRUSHKAL, *Proof of the Zalcman conjecture for initial coefficients*, Georgian Math. J. **17** (4) (2010), 663–681. (Erratum in Georgian Math. J., **19** (4) (2012), 777.)
- [12] O. S. KWON AND S. OWA, *THE SUBORDINATION THEOREM FOR λ -SPIRALLIKE FUNCTIONS OF ORDER α* , Int. J. Appl. Math. **11**(2) (2002), 113–119.
- [13] L. LI AND S. PONNUSAMY, *Generalized Zalcman conjecture for convex functions of order $-1/2$* , J. Analysis **22** (2014), 77–87.
- [14] L. LI AND S. PONNUSAMY, *On the generalized Zalcman functional $\lambda a_n^2 - a_{2n-1}$ in the close-to-convex family*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), 833–846.
- [15] L. LI AND S. PONNUSAMY AND J. QIAO, *Generalized Zalcman conjecture for convex functions of order α* , Acta. Math. Hungar. **150** (1) (2016), 234–246.
- [16] R. J. LIBERA, *Univalent α -spiral functions*, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 449–456.
- [17] W. MA, *The Zalcman conjecture for close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (3) (1988), 741–744.
- [18] W. MA, *Generalized Zalcman conjecture for starlike and typically real functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **234** (1) (1999), 328–339.
- [19] M. L. MORGA AND O. P. AHUJA, *On spiral-like functions of order α and type β* , Yokohama Math. J. **29** (2) (1981), 145–156.
- [20] CH. POMMERENKE, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [21] S. PONNUSAMY AND K.-J. WIRTHS, *On the problem of Gromova and Vasil’ev on integral means, and Yamashita’s conjecture for spirallike functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **39** (2014), 721–731.
- [22] V. RAVICHANDRAN AND S. VERMA, *Generalized Zalcman conjecture for some classes of analytic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **450** (2017), 592–605.
- [23] H. SILVERMAN, *Partial sums of starlike and convex functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **209** (1997), 221–227.

(Received June 8, 2018)

Sarita Agrawal
 Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc)
 Chennai, IV Cross Road, CIT Campus
 Taramani 600 113, India
 e-mail: saritamath44@gmail.com

Swadesh Kumar Sahoo
 Discipline of Mathematics
 Indian Institute of Technology Indore
 Simrol, Khandwa Road, Indore 453 552, India
 e-mail: swadesh@iiti.ac.in