

A NEW GENERALIZATION OF BOAS THEOREM FOR SOME LORENTZ SPACES $\Lambda_q(\omega)$

AIGERIM KOPEZHANOVA, ERLAN NURSULTANOV AND LARS-ERIK PERSSON

(Communicated by S. Li)

Abstract. Let $\Lambda_q(\omega)$, $q > 0$, denote the Lorentz space equipped with the (quasi) norm

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)} := \left(\int_0^1 (f^*(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for a function f on $[0, 1]$ and with ω positive and equipped with some additional growth properties. A generalization of Boas theorem in the form of a two-sided inequality is obtained in the case of both general regular system $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and generalized Lorentz $\Lambda_q(\omega)$ spaces.

1. Introduction

The following Hardy-Littlewood theorem is well known (see [26] and also [10], [4]):

THEOREM A. *If $f \geq 0$ and f decreases, $1 < p < \infty$, and a_n are the Fourier sine or cosine coefficients of f , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p < \infty$$

if and only if

$$x^{p-2} f(x)^p \in L_p.$$

This theorem can be extended as follows (see [4]):

THEOREM B. *If $f \geq 0$ and f decreases, $1 < p < \infty$, $-1/p' < \gamma < 1/p$, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma p} |a_n|^p < \infty$$

converges if and only if

$$x^{p-2} x^{p\gamma+p-2} f(x)^p \in L_p.$$

Here and in the sequel $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ for $p > 1$.

A characterization for the function f to belong to the Lorentz space L_{pq} was obtained by R. P. Boas in [4]. This result deals with trigonometric Fourier coefficients for the class of monotone functions and reads:

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 46E30, 42A16.

Keywords and phrases: Inequalities, two-sided inequalities, Fourier series, Boas theorem, generalized Lorentz spaces, regular systems, generalized monotone function.

THEOREM C. *If $f \geq 0$ and f decreases, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, then $f \in L_{pq}$ if and only if $\{a_n\} \in l_{p'q}$.*

Some other results which are related to the Hardy-Littlewood theorem for the class of monotone functions were obtained in [25], [3], [1], [24], [5], [15], [8], [9], [12] and [7].

Boas theorem was generalized and complemented in various ways also for more general Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_q(\omega)$ in 1974 by L.-E. Persson for the case when $\Phi = \{e^{2\pi i k x}\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ is trigonometric system (see. [20]–[23]). For example the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM D. *Let $p > 0$ and $\Phi = \{e^{2\pi i k t}\}_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ be a trigonometrical system. Let ω be a nonnegative function on $[0, \infty)$. If there exists a positive number $\delta > 0$ satisfying that $\omega(t)t^{-\delta}$ is an increasing function of t and $\omega(t)t^{-1+\delta}$ is a decreasing function of t and if f is a nonnegative and a decreasing function on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then*

$$\left(\int_0^1 (f^*(t)\omega(t))^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

if and only if

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)a_k^*)^p \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where $\{a_k^*\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is the nonincreasing rearrangement of the sequence $\{a_n\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of Fourier coefficients of f with respect to the system Φ .

The main aim of this paper is to derive the Boas theorem for the space $\Lambda_q(\omega)$ with respect to the regular system. Moreover, a new Boas type theorem for space $\Lambda_q(\omega)$ and for generalized monotone functions is proved and discussed.

The main results are formulated in Section 3. Note that the results in Theorem 1 is obviously related to [11] but we have chosed to put also this result in this more general frame in English. The proofs can be found in Section 4 and in Section 2 we have presented some necessary preliminaries.

CONVENTIONS. The letter $c(c_1, c_2, \text{etc.})$ means a constant which does not depend on the involved functions and it can be different in different occurences. Moreover, for $C, D > 0$ the notation $C \sim D$ means that there exist positive constants a_1 and a_2 such that $a_1 D \leq C \leq a_2 D$.

2. Preliminaries

Let f be a measurable function on $[0, 1]$ and μ is Lebesgue measure. The nonincreasing rearrangement f^* of a function f is defined as follows:

$$m(\sigma, f) := \mu\{x \in [0, 1] : |f(x)| > \sigma\},$$

$$f^*(t) := \inf\{\sigma : m(\sigma, f) \leq t\}.$$

Let $0 < q \leq \infty$ and ω be a nonnegative function on $[0, 1]$. The generalized Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_q(\omega)$ consists of the functions f on $[0, 1]$ such that $\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)} < \infty$, where

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)} := \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^1 (f^*(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{for } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} f^*(t)\omega(t) & \text{for } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

These spaces $\Lambda_q(\omega)$ coincide to the classical spaces L_{pq} in the case $\omega(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $1 < p < \infty$ (see [16] and also e.g. [2]).

Let $\mu = \{\mu(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive number and the space $\lambda_q(\mu)$ consists of all sequences $a = \{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} < \infty$, where

$$\|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} := \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^* \mu(k))^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{for } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_k a_k^* \mu(k) & \text{for } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here, as usual, $\{a_k^*\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is the nonincreasing rearrangement of the sequence $\{|a_k|\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Let the function f be periodic with period 1 and integrable on $[0, 1]$ and let $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal system on $[0, 1]$. The numbers

$$a_k = a_k(f) = \int_0^1 f(x) \overline{\varphi_k(x)} dx, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

are called the Fourier coefficients of the functions f with respect to the system $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

We say that the orthonormal system $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is regular if there exists a constant B , such that

1) for every segment e from $[0, 1]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it yields that

$$\left| \int_e \varphi_k(x) dx \right| \leq B \min(|e|, 1/k),$$

2) for every segment w from \mathbb{N} and $t \in (0, 1]$ we have that

$$\left(\sum_{k \in w} \varphi_k(\cdot) \right)^*(t) \leq B \min(|w|, 1/t),$$

where $(\sum_{k \in w} \varphi_k(\cdot))^*(t)$ as usual denotes the nonincreasing rearrangement of the function $\sum_{k \in w} \varphi_k(x)$.

Examples of regular systems are all trigonometrical systems, the Walsh system and Prise's system. In [17], [19], [18] some results were obtained with respect to the regular system using network space.

Let $\delta > 0$ be a fixed parameter. Consider a nonnegative function $\omega(t)$ on $[0, 1]$. We define the following classes:

$$A_\delta := \{ \omega(t) : \omega(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \text{ is an increasing function and } \omega(t)t^{-1+\delta} \text{ is a decreasing function} \},$$

$$B_\delta := \{ \omega(t) : \omega(t)t^{-\delta} \text{ is an increasing function and } \omega(t)t^{-1+\delta} \text{ is a decreasing function} \}.$$

Then the classes A and B can be defined as follows:

$$A = \bigcup_{\delta > 0} A_\delta.$$

and

$$B = \bigcup_{\delta > 0} B_\delta.$$

For the proof of our main results we need the following Theorem:

THEOREM E. *Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a regular system and $f \stackrel{a.e.}{=} \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \varphi_k$.*

Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. If ω belongs to the class B , then

$$\left(\int_0^1 (\overline{f}(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k^* \mu(k))^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $\overline{f}(t) = \sup_{\xi \geq t} \frac{1}{\xi} \left| \int_0^\xi f(s) ds \right|$, $\mu(k) = k\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$ and the constant c does not depend on f .

This is just a slight generalization of Theorem 2 in [14] (see also [11]). For the reader’s convenience we include a proof in Appendix 1.

We also need the following technical Lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq h \leq \infty$. If $\omega(t)$ belongs to the class B , then for any nonincreasing function f it yields that*

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} (f(t)\omega(t))^h \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{h}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \sim \left(\int_0^1 (f(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{1}$$

Proof. First we prove the following equivalence:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} (f(t)\omega(t))^h \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{h}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \sim \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (f(2^{-k})\omega(2^{-k}))^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{2}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} I_h &:= \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} (f(t)\omega(t))^h \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{h}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} (f(t)\omega(t)t^{-1+\delta}t^{1-\delta})^h \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{h}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that $\omega = \omega(t)$ belong to the class B . This means that there exists δ , $0 < \delta < 1$, such that $\omega(t)t^{-\delta}$ is an increasing function and $\omega(t)t^{-1+\delta}$ is a decreasing function. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} I_h &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k})\omega(2^{-k})2^{-k(-1+\delta)} \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} t^{(1-\delta)h} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{h}} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k})\omega(2^{-k})2^{k-k\delta}2^{k\delta-k} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k})\omega(2^{-k}) \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \\ I_h &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} \left(f(t)\omega(t)t^{-\delta}t^{\delta} \right)^h \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{q}{h}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k+1})\omega(2^{-k})2^{k\delta} \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} t^{\delta h-1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{h}} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k+1})\omega(2^{-k}) \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq c_3 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k})\omega(2^{-k}) \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (2) is proved, which, in particular means that $I_{h_1} \sim I_{h_2}$ for all h_1 and h_2 . Moreover, since f is nonincreasing and $\omega \in B$, it follows that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k})\omega(2^{-k}) \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \sim \left(\int_0^1 (f(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In particular, (1) follows and the proof is complete. \square

3. Main results

The main results of this paper are the following generalizations of the Boas theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\omega \in B$. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a regular system and let $f \stackrel{a.e.}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \varphi_k$. If f is a nonnegative and a nonincreasing function, then*

$$\left(\int_0^1 (f(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \sim \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^* \mu(k))^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $\mu(k) = k\omega(\frac{1}{k})$.

We say that a function f on $[0, 1]$ is generalized monotone if there exists some constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq M \frac{1}{x} \left| \int_0^x f(t) dt \right|, \quad x > 0.$$

Our next main result reads:

THEOREM 2. *Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\omega \in A$. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a regular system and let $f \stackrel{a.e.}{=} \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \varphi_k$. If f is a nonnegative and a generalized monotone function, then*

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega, [0,1])} \sim \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k^* \mu(k))^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $\mu(k) = k\omega(\frac{1}{k})$.

4. Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 1. The necessary part is similar to that in Theorem E. Indeed, since f is a nonincreasing function, then $f(t) \leq \overline{f(t)}$, $0 < t < 1$, so that

$$\left(\int_0^1 (f(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 (\overline{f(t)}\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_k^* \mu(k))^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $\overline{f}(t) = \sup_{\xi \geq t} \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi f(s) ds$. We prove the sufficient condition. The condition $\omega(t) \in B$

implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega(t)t^{-\delta}$ is an increasing and $\omega(t)t^{-1+\delta}$ is a decreasing function, i.e. $\mu(k)k^{-\delta}$ is increasing and $\mu(k)k^{-1+\delta}$ is decreasing. Then the following estimate holds:

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\mu^q(n)}{n} \leq c \frac{\mu^q(k)}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\mu^q(n)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{k} \mu^q(k) k^{-\delta} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{n^{1-\delta}} \sim \frac{\mu^q(k)}{k}.$$

Next, we use Theorem 2.4.12 (ii) from [6] to conclude that the following equality holds:

$$\lambda_q(\mu) = (\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1}k))', \quad \text{for } 1 < q < \infty,$$

where $(\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1}k))'$ is dual space for the space $\lambda_q(\mu)$. Hence, by applying the duality representation of the norm of a sequence a in the space $\lambda_q(\mu)$ (see [6]), we obtain that

$$\|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} = \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1}k)}=1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k b_k.$$

Now we use Parseval's formula and find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} &= \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1_k})}=1} \int_0^1 f(t)g(t)dt \\ &= \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1_k})}=1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} f(t)g(t)dt \\ &\leq \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1_k})}=1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} f(t)g(t)dt \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We apply the mean value theorem to the integral $\int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} f(t)g(t)dt$ to conclude that there exists ξ from $(2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} f(t)g(t)dt \right| &= \left| f(2^{-k-1}) \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{\xi} g(t)dt \right| \\ &\leq f(2^{-k-1}) \left(\left| \int_0^{\xi} g(t)dt \right| + \left| \int_0^{2^{-k-1}} g(t)dt \right| \right) \\ &\leq f(2^{-k-1}) \left(2^{-k} \sup_{s \geq 2^{-k-2}} \frac{1}{s} \left| \int_0^s g(t)dt \right| + 2^{-k} \sup_{s \geq 2^{-k-2}} \frac{1}{s} \left| \int_0^s g(t)dt \right| \right) \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^{-k} \cdot f(2^{-k-1}) \cdot \overline{g(2^{-k-2})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\overline{g(2^{-k-2})} = \sup_{s \geq 2^{-k-2}} \frac{1}{s} \left| \int_0^s g(t)dt \right|$.

Thus, by inserting (4) in (3), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} &\leq 8 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1_k})}=1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k-2} f(2^{-k-1}) \overline{g(2^{-k-2})} \\ &= 8 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1_k})}=1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(2^{-k-2} \left(\omega(2^{-k-2}) \right)^{-1} \overline{g(2^{-k-2})} \right) \cdot f(2^{-k-1}) \omega(2^{-k-2}) \\ &= 8 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1_k})}=1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(2^{-k} \left(\omega(2^{-k}) \right)^{-1} \overline{g(2^{-k})} \right) \cdot f(2^{-k+1}) \omega(2^{-k}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, by using Hölder's inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} &\leq c_1 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1_k})}=1} \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(2^{-k} \left(\omega(2^{-k}) \right)^{-1} \overline{g(2^{-k})} \right)^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k+1}) \omega(2^{-k}) \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_1 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})}=1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-k} \left(\omega(2^{-k}) \right)^{-1} \overline{g(2^{-k+1})} \right)^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(f(2^{-k+1}) \omega(2^{-k}) \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_2 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})}=1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{-k(1-\delta)} \omega^{-1}(2^{-k})}{2^{-k(1-\delta)}} 2^{-k} \overline{g(2^{-k+1})} \right)^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{k\delta} \omega(2^{-k})}{2^{k\delta}} f(2^{-k+1}) \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(t)$ is a nonincreasing function for all $0 < t < 1$ and $\omega(t)$ belongs to B , then there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $\omega(t)t^{-\delta}$ is an increasing and $\omega(t)t^{-1+\delta}$ is a decreasing function, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} &\leq c_3 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})}=1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{k(1-\delta)} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} t^{1-\delta} \omega^{-1}(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \right)^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2^{-k\delta} \int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} f(t) \omega(t) t^{-\delta} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c_4 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})}=1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} t \omega^{-1}(t) \overline{g(t)} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{2^{-k}}^{2^{-k+1}} f(t) \omega(t) \frac{dt}{t} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By now applying Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$\|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} \leq c_5 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})}=1} \left(\int_0^1 \left(t \omega^{-1}(t) \overline{g(t)} \right)^{q'} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \cdot \left(\int_0^1 (f(t) \omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Furthermore, by using Theorem E, we obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)} &\leq c_6 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})}=1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k^* k \mu^{-1}(k))^{q'} \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \cdot \left(\int_0^1 (f(t) \omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_6 \sup_{\|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})}=1} \|b\|_{\lambda_{q'}(\mu^{-1k})} \cdot \left(\int_0^1 (f(t) \omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_6 \left(\int_0^1 (f(t) \omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. The condition $\omega(t) \in A$ implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ is an increasing function and $\omega(t)t^{-1+\delta}$ is a decreasing function. The necessary condition follows in a similar way as in Theorem E. Indeed, let $x > 0$ and

$$f^{**}(x) := \sup_{|e|=x} \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e |f(t)| dt.$$

It is obvious that $f^*(x) \leq f^{**}(x)$. Since f is a generalized monotone function, it yields that

$$f^{**}(x) = \sup_{|e|=x} \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e |f(t)| dt \leq \sup_{|e|=x} \frac{1}{|e|} \int_e \overline{f(t)} dt = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \overline{f(t)} dt,$$

where $\overline{f}(t) = \sup_{\xi \geq t} \int_0^\xi f(s) ds$.

Thus, we obtain the following inequalities

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)} \leq \|f^{**}\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)} \leq M \left\| \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \overline{f(t)} dt \right\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)}. \quad (5)$$

We prove the following inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(x) \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \overline{f(t)} dt \right)^q \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \left(\int_0^1 \left(\overline{f(t)} \omega(t) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (6)$$

Choose ε so that $-\frac{1}{q} + 1 - \delta < \varepsilon < -\frac{1}{q} + 1$. We consider for any $x > 0$

$$\int_0^x \overline{f(t)} dt = \int_0^x \overline{f(t)} t^\varepsilon t^{-\varepsilon} dt.$$

Next we use Hölder's inequality and the fact that $\varepsilon < -\frac{1}{q} + 1$ to find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \overline{f(t)} dt &\leq c_1 \left(\int_0^x (\overline{f(t)} t^\varepsilon)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^x (t^{-\varepsilon})^{q'} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\sim \left(\int_0^x (\overline{f(t)} t^\varepsilon)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} x^{-\varepsilon + \frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= c_2 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(x) x^{-\varepsilon + \frac{1}{q} - 1} \right)^q \left(\int_0^x (\overline{f(t)} t^\varepsilon)^q dt \right) \frac{dx}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_2 \left(\int_0^1 (\overline{f(t)} t^\varepsilon)^q \left(\int_t^1 x^{-\varepsilon q - 1} \omega^q(x) \frac{dx}{x} \right) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By now using the fact that $\omega(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$ is an increasing function, we find that

$$I \leq c_2 \left(\int_0^1 (\overline{f}(t)t^\varepsilon)^q \left(\omega(t)t^{-\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \right)^q \left(\int_{\frac{1}{t}}^1 x^{\varepsilon q+1-\frac{1}{2}q-\delta q} \frac{dx}{x} \right) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Taking into account that $\varepsilon \geq -\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2} + \delta$, we obtain that

$$I \leq c_3 \left(\int_0^1 (\overline{f}(t)\omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus, we have proved the inequality (6). From (5) and (6) it follows that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)} \leq c_3 \|\overline{f}\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)}.$$

By now applying Theorem E, we obtain that

$$\|f\|_{\Lambda_q(\omega)} \leq c_4 \|a\|_{\lambda_q(\mu)}.$$

Since each regular system is bounded orthonormal system, then the sufficient condition follows from Theorem 2 in [13].

The proof is complete. \square

5. Appendix 1

Proof of Theorem E. Assume that $\omega(t)$ belongs to the class B . This means that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\omega(t)t^{-\delta}$ is an increasing function and $\omega(t)t^{-1+\delta}$ is a decreasing function. Suppose that

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^* \mu(k))^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty$$

and $f \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \varphi_k$. It yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^\xi f(s) ds \right| &= \left| \int_0^\xi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k \varphi_k(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |a_k| \left| \int_0^\xi \varphi_k(s) ds \right|, \text{ for all } \xi \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

According to the regularity assumption we have that

$$\left| \int_0^\xi \varphi_k(s) ds \right| \leq B \min \left(\xi, \frac{1}{k} \right), k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \left| \int_0^{\xi} \varphi_k(s) ds \right| &\leq c_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \min \left(\xi, \frac{1}{k} \right) \\ &\leq c_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^* \min \left(\xi, \frac{1}{k} \right) \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]} a_k^* \xi + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \int_0^{\xi} f(s) ds \right| \leq c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]} a_k^* \xi + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right)$$

and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_0^1 (\overline{f(t)} \omega(t))^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \sup_{\xi \geq t} \frac{1}{\xi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]} a_k^* \xi + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \sup_{\xi \geq t} \frac{1}{\xi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]} a_k^* \xi + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]}^{\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]} a_k^* \cdot \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \sup_{\xi \geq t} \frac{1}{\xi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]} a_k^* \xi + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{\xi} \right]}^{\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]} a_k^* \cdot \xi + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \sup_{\xi \geq t} \frac{1}{\xi} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]} a_k^* \xi + \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]} a_k^* + \sup_{\xi \geq t} \frac{1}{\xi} \cdot \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]} a_k^* + \frac{1}{t} \cdot \sum_{k=\left[\frac{1}{t} \right]}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{t} \rfloor} a_k^* \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + c_1 \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{1}{t} \rfloor}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &:= c_1(I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned}$$

We consider first I_1 . Choose a small number ε such that $\frac{1}{q} - 1 - \delta < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{q} - 1$. Since $\omega(t)t^{-\delta}$ is an increasing function of t , it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{t} \rfloor} a_k^* \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\omega(t)t^{-\delta}}{t^{-\delta}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{t} \rfloor} a_k^* \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \left(t^\delta \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{t} \rfloor} \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{-\delta} a_k^* \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_1^\infty \left(t^{-\delta} \sum_{k=1}^t \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{-\delta} a_k^* \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\sim \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(n^{-\delta} \sum_{k=1}^n \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{-\delta} a_k^* \right)^q \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we use Hölder’s inequality and the fact that $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{q} - 1 - \delta$ to find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq c_2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(n^{-\delta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-\varepsilon} a_k^* \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^{(\delta+\varepsilon)q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right)^q \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\sim \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{(-\delta)q} n^{(\delta+\varepsilon)q + \frac{q}{q'}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-\varepsilon} a_k^* \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we interchange the order of summation and find that

$$I_1 \leq c_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-\varepsilon} a_k^* \right)^q \sum_{n=k}^\infty n^{\varepsilon q + q - 2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Furthermore, by also using that $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{q} - 1$, we have that

$$I_1 \leq c_3 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k a_k^* \right)^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = c_3 \left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\mu(k) a_k^*)^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{7}$$

Next, we estimate I_2 in a similar way. Choose ε such that $-1 + \frac{1}{q} < \varepsilon < -1 + \frac{1}{q} + \delta$. By now using the growth properties of $\omega(t)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \left(\int_0^1 \left(\omega(t) \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{1}{t} \rceil}^{\infty} a_k^* \frac{1}{k} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\omega(t) t^{-1+\delta}}{t^{-1+\delta}} \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{1}{t} \rceil}^{\infty} \frac{a_k^*}{k} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \left(t^{-\delta} \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{1}{t} \rceil}^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{1-\delta} \frac{a_k^*}{k} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_1^{\infty} \left(t^{\delta} \sum_{k=t}^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{1-\delta} \frac{a_k^*}{k} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\sim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n^{\delta} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{1-\delta} \frac{a_k^*}{k} \right)^q \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we use Hölder's inequality and the fact that $\varepsilon < -1 + \frac{1}{q} + \delta$ to find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq c_4 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n^{\delta} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(a_k^* \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-\varepsilon} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k^{(-\delta+\varepsilon)q'} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \right)^q \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\sim \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\varepsilon q + q - 2} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left(a_k^* \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-\varepsilon} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(a_k^* \omega\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^{-\varepsilon} \right)^q \sum_{n=1}^k n^{\varepsilon q + q - 2} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By interchanging the order of summation and using the fact that $\varepsilon > -1 + \frac{1}{q}$, we obtain that

$$I_2 \leq c_5 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k^* \mu(k))^q \frac{1}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (8)$$

To complete the proof we just combine (7) with (8). \square

Acknowledgement. This publication was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (the Agreement number 02.a03.21.0008), by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (the Agreement numbers AP051 32071 and AP051 32590).

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. ASKEY AND R. P. BOAS, *Fourier coefficients of positive functions*, Math. Z. **100** (1967), 373–379.
- [2] J. BERGH AND J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation spaces. An Introduction*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer Verlag, Berlin-New York, no. 223, (1976).
- [3] R. P. BOAS, *Integrability of non-negative trigonometric series, II*, Tohoku Math. J. **16** (1964), no. 2, 368–373.
- [4] R. P. BOAS, *Integrability theorems for trigonometric transforms*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **38**, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., (1967).
- [5] R. P. BOAS, *The integrability class of the sine transform of a monotonic function*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 365–369.
- [6] M. J. CARRO, J. A. RAPOSO AND J. SORIA, *Recent Developments in the Theory of Lorentz Spaces and Weighted Inequalities*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 187, (2007).
- [7] L. DE CARLI, D. GORBACHEV AND S. TIKHONOV, *Pitt and Boas inequalities for Fourier and Hankel transforms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **408** (2013), 762–774.
- [8] M. DYACHENKO, E. LIFLYAND AND S. TIKHONOV, *Uniform convergence and integrability of Fourier integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **372** (2010), no. 1, 328–338.
- [9] D. GORBACHEV, E. LIFLYAND AND S. TIKHONOV, *Weighted Fourier inequalities: Boas' conjecture in R^n* , J. Anal. Math. **114** (2011), 99–120.
- [10] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, (1952).
- [11] A. N. KOPEZHANOVA AND E. D. NURSULTANOV, *Boas theorem for generalized Lorentz spaces $\Lambda_p(\omega)$* , Bulletin of University of Karaganda **62** (2011), no. 2, 77–85 (in Russian).
- [12] A. N. KOPEZHANOVA, E. D. NURSULTANOV AND L.-E. PERSSON, *On inequalities for the Fourier transform of functions from Lorentz spaces*, Mat. Zametki. **90** (2011), no. 5, 785–788 (in Russian), English translation in Math. Notes **90** (2011), no. 5–6, 767–770.
- [13] A. N. KOPEZHANOVA AND L.-E. PERSSON, *On summability of the Fourier coefficients in bounded orthonormal systems for functions from some Lorentz type spaces*, Eurasian Math. J. **1** (2010), no. 2, 76–85.
- [14] A. KOPEZHANOVA, *Some new results concerning the Fourier coefficients in Lorentz type spaces*, Research report 5, Department of Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, (15 pages), 2010.
- [15] E. LIFLYAND AND S. TIKHONOV, *Extended solution of Boas' conjecture on Fourier transforms*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. **346** (2008), no. 21–22, 1137–1142.
- [16] G. G. LORENTZ, *Some new functional spaces*, Ann. Math. **51** (1950), 37–55.
- [17] E. D. NURSULTANOV, *On the coefficients of multiple Fourier series from L_p -spaces*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **64** (2000), no. 1, 95 – 122 (in Russian), English translation in Izv. Math. **64** (2000), no. 1, 93–120.
- [18] E. D. NURSULTANOV, *Network space and Fourier transform*, Dokl. Russ. Akad. Nauk. **361** (1998), no. 5, 597–599 (in Russian), English translation in Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math. **58** (1998), no. 1, 105–107.
- [19] E. D. NURSULTANOV, *Network spaces and inequalities of Hardy-Littlewood type*, Mat. Sb. **189** (1998), no. 3, 83–102, (in Russian), translation in: Sb. Math. **189** (1998), no. 3, 399–419.
- [20] L.-E. PERSSON, *An exact description of Lorentz spaces*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **46** (1983), no. 1–4, 177–195.
- [21] L.-E. PERSSON, *Interpolation with a parameter function*, Math. Scand. **59** (1986), no. 2, 199–222.
- [22] L.-E. PERSSON, *Relation between regularity of periodic functions and their Fourier series*, Ph. D thesis, Dept. of Math., Umeå University, 1974.
- [23] L.-E. PERSSON, *Relation between summability of functions and Fourier series*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **27** (1976), no. 3–4, 267–280.
- [24] Y. SAGHER, *Some remarks on interpolation of operators and Fourier coefficients*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 239–252.

- [25] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*, Oxford, 1937.
[26] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, vol. II, Cambridge University Press, 1959.

(Received September 7, 2016)

Aigerim Kopezhanova
Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics Luleå
University of Technology
SE 97187, Luleå, Sweden
and
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics
L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Satpayev st., 2, 010008 Astana, Kazakhstan
e-mail: Kopezhanova@mail.ru

Erlan Nursultanov
RUDN University, 6 Miklukho-Maklay St.
Moscow, 117 198, Russian Federation
and
Lomonosov Moscow State University
Kazakhstan Branch, Kazhymukan st., 11
010010 Astana, Kazakhstan
e-mail: er-nurs@yandex.ru

Lars-Erik Persson
Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics
Luleå University of Technology
SE 97187, Luleå, Sweden
and
UiT, The Arctic University of Norway
P. O. Box 385, N 8505, Narvik, Norway
e-mail: Lars-Erik.Persson@ltu.se