

PROPERTIES OF THE TURÁNIAN OF MODIFIED BESSEL FUNCTIONS

ISTVÁN MEZŐ AND ÁRPÁD BARICZ

(Communicated by I. Perić)

Abstract. In this paper some new series and integral representations for the Turánian of modified Bessel functions of the first kind are given, which give new asymptotic expansions and tight bounds for the Turán determinant in the question. It is shown that in the case of natural and real order the Turánian can be represented in a relatively compact form, which yields a uniform upper bound for the Turán determinant for modified Bessel functions of the first kind. Our results complement and improve some of the results from the literature.

1. Introduction

Modified Bessel functions are among the most important special functions of mathematical physics. They appear in various problems of engineering sciences and mathematical physics. Because of this their properties have been studied from many different point of views by many researchers. Motivated by the rich literature on Turán type inequalities for orthogonal polynomials and special functions the Turán determinant of modified Bessel functions of the first kind have been also studied intensively. For more details we refer to the papers [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 13] and to the references therein. In this paper we focus on the modified Bessel functions of the first kind I_ν and especially on the series and integral representations and asymptotic behavior of the Turánian

$$\Delta_\nu(x) = I_\nu^2(x) - I_{\nu-1}(x)I_{\nu+1}(x).$$

This expression in the recent years appeared in various problems of applied mathematics, biology, chemistry, physics and engineering sciences, and motivated by these applications some tight bounds were given for $\Delta_\nu(x)$ in [3]. By using the asymptotic expansion

$$I_\nu(x) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\nu}} \left(\frac{ex}{2\nu} \right)^\nu$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 33C10.

Keywords and phrases: Turánian, Modified Bessel functions.

The research of István Mező was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, the Startup Foundation for Introducing Talent of NUIST, Project no. S8113062001, and the National Natural Science Foundation for China, Grant no. 11501299.

The research of Árpád Baricz was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

as $v \rightarrow \infty$ through positive real values with $x \neq 0$ fixed, we clearly have that

$$\Delta_v(x) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi v} \left(\frac{ex}{2v}\right)^v \left(1 - \frac{v^{2v+1}}{(v-1)^{v-\frac{1}{2}}(v+1)^{v+\frac{3}{2}}}\right), \tag{1}$$

which shows that the Turánian of the modified Bessel function of the first kind tends to zero from above as v tends to infinity through real values and fixed $x \neq 0$. This is in the agreement with the fact that the Turánian $\Delta_v(x)$ is decreasing as a function of v , see the last part of the third section. In the sequel our aim is to show that it is possible to find two more compact asymptotic expansions for $\Delta_v(x)$ by using some integral and series representations of the Turánian. The first one is based on a new integral formula of Martin [9], while the second one is based on the Neumann integral formula of the product of two modified Bessel functions of the first kind.

2. New representations of the Turánian $\Delta_v(x)$ for v natural number

2.1. Series representation of the Turánian $\Delta_n(x)$

In this section we are interested on the asymptotic behavior of $\Delta_n(x)$ as x is fixed and n tends to infinity. Our aim is to prove that for any fixed real x and non-negative integer n

$$\Delta_n(x) \sim \frac{x^{2n}}{n!(n+1)! 2^{2n}} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2}$$

holds. In fact, we give a full asymptotic description in the form of a convergent series in the variable n . In order to prove this result we need the following integral and series representation for $\Delta_n(x)$, from which (2) immediately follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let n be a non-negative integer, and x be a real number. Then*

$$\Delta_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi x} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{I_1(2x \sin t)}{\sin t} \cos(2nt) dt = \sum_{m \geq n} \binom{2m}{m-n} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}x)^{2m}}{m!(m+1)!}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. By definition,

$$I_n(x) = i^{-n} J_n(ix), \tag{4}$$

where J_n is the Bessel function of the first kind. From here we get that

$$\Delta_n(x) = (-1)^{-n} (J_n^2(ix) - J_{n-1}(ix)J_{n+1}(ix)).$$

By a result of Martin [9, eq. (21)] the right-hand side of the above expression can be expressed as a Fourier integral for integer n , that is,

$$J_n^2(x) - J_{n-1}(x)J_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{J_1(2x \sin(t/2))}{x \sin(t/2)} e^{int} dt.$$

Replacing x by ix on both sides and recalling (4), a transformation of variables ($t \rightarrow 2t$) yields the first result in (3). Note that we also separated the real part, hence the exponential turns to be cosine in the statement of the theorem.

Now, let us focus on the second equality in (3). We apply the integral representation

$$\Delta_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi x} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{I_1(2x \sin t)}{\sin t} \cos(2nt) dt$$

together with the MacLaurin expansion of I_1

$$I_1(2x \sin t) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(x \sin t)^{2m+1}}{m!(m+1)!}.$$

Substituting this into the integral formula, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(x) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi x} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{x^{2m+1}}{m!(m+1)!} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin^{2m+1}(t) \cos(2nt)}{\sin t} dt \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{x^{2m}}{m!(m+1)!} \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{2m}(t) \cos(2nt) dt = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{x^{2m}}{m!(m+1)!} T_n(m), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(m) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{2m}(t) \cos(2nt) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos^{2m}(u) \cos(2nu) \cos(n\pi) du \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos^{2m}(u) \cos(2nu) du \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \frac{(B(m+n+1, m-n+1))^{-1}}{2m+1} = \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \binom{2m}{m-n}, \end{aligned}$$

according to [11, eq. 5.12.5] and by using the fact that the graph of the function $u \mapsto \cos^{2m} u \cos(2nu)$ is symmetric with respect to the vertical line $x = \pi/2$. Note that $T_n(m)$ vanishes when $m < n$. The first two non-vanishing values are

$$T_n(n) = \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_n(n+1) = \frac{n+1}{2^{2n+1}},$$

and the proof of the asymptotic expansion (2) is done, taking the first term approximation in (3). \square

2.2. Bounds for the Turánian $\Delta_n(x)$

We know that for $v > -1$ and $x > 0$ we have $\Delta_v(x) \geq 0$, see [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 13] for more details. A better lower bound easily comes at least for non-negative integer

$v = n$ after realizing that all the $T_n(m)$ integrals above are positive in (3). Hence for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$ we have

$$\Delta_n(x) \geq \frac{1}{4^n} \frac{x^{2n}}{n!(n+1)!} \tag{5}$$

as well as the even sharper two term cut of (3)

$$\Delta_n(x) \geq \frac{1}{4^n} \frac{x^{2n}}{n!(n+1)!} + \frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \frac{x^{2(n+1)}}{n!(n+2)!}. \tag{6}$$

It is clear that it is possible to have more sharper lower bound for $\Delta_n(x)$ by taking more terms of the series on the right-hand side of (3). We also mention that the Turán type inequality (5) is not new, it was proved first by Kalmykov and Karp [8, eq. (26)], and it is actually valid in the following form

$$\Delta_\nu(x) \geq \frac{1}{4^\nu} \frac{x^{2\nu}}{(\nu+1)\Gamma^2(\nu+1)}, \tag{7}$$

where $\nu > -1$ and $x > 0$. Taking into account this it is natural to ask whether the Turán type inequality (6) and the relations in (3) are valid for arbitrary real parameter $\nu > -1$. An affirmative answer to this question will be given in Section 3.

Now, our goal is to find an upper estimation by using our series representation in (3).

THEOREM 2. *For n positive integer we have*

$$\Delta_n(x) \leq \rho_n \frac{x^{2n}}{n!(n+1)!} {}_1F_2(1; 1+n, 2+n; x^2), \tag{8}$$

where

$$\rho_n = \sup_{m \geq n} T_n(m) = \sup_{m \geq n} \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \binom{2m}{m-n} = \frac{1}{2^{4n^2-2}} \frac{(4n^2-2)!}{(2n^2+n-1)!(2n^2-n-1)!}.$$

Proof. We make use of our series representation (3) for $\Delta_n(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(x) &= \sum_{m \geq n} \frac{x^{2m}}{m!(m+1)!} T_n(m) \leq \rho_n \sum_{m \geq n} \frac{x^{2m}}{m!(m+1)!} \\ &= \rho_n \frac{x^{2n}}{n!(n+1)!} {}_1F_2(1; 1+n, 2+n; x^2). \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof we show that $T_n(m)$ for a fixed n grows to a certain m and then it steadily decreases. Thus, ρ_n is actually a maximum with a given finite n . To prove this we show that $\{T_n(m)\}_{m \geq n}$ is a unimodal sequence. Indeed, since

$$\frac{T_n(m+1)}{T_n(m)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2m+1)(m+1)}{(m+n+1)(m-n+1)} \geq 1$$

if and only if $m \leq 2n^2 - 1$, it follows that the sequence $\{T_n(m)\}_{m \geq n}$ and it takes its maximum at $m = 2n^2 - 1$. \square

2.3. Some remarks on the numerical performance of the bounds

Since all of our bounds in the previous subsection were deduced from the still exact (3), a short check reveals that our bounds are getting sharper as x is smaller or n is bigger. It is also worth to compare (8) with existing results. A known upper bound for $\Delta_n(x)$ says that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have [3, 7, 13]

$$\Delta_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{n+1} I_n^2(x). \tag{9}$$

Our numerical simulations show that this inequality is sharper than (8) for small x , however, for moderate x and bigger, (8) starts to perform better than (9). Then, as n grows, the right hand side of (9) starts to be closer to $\Delta_n(x)$ than our estimation.

2.4. Another bound for $\Delta_n(x)$

In principle, knowing the m -dependent asymptotic behavior of $T_n(m)$ could give a better approximation than that of a simple use of $\rho_n = \sup_m T_n(m)$ as we did in the last subsections. It turns out, however, that $T_n(m)$, as m grows, has a so weak dependence of the variable n than in the first order approximation it does not appear at all. Namely, we are first going to prove that

THEOREM 3. *We have that*

$$T_n(m) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{10}$$

From here it follows that $T_n(m) \leq c \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$ uniformly for all m with some constant c , independent from n . Hence we can deduce the following.

COROLLARY 1. *For any positive integer n*

$$\Delta_n(x) \leq c \sum_{m \geq n} \frac{x^{2m}}{m!(m+1)!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}. \tag{11}$$

Proof (of Theorem 3). The generating function of $T_n(m)$ is

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} T_n(m)x^m = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos(2nt) \sum_{m \geq 0} \sin^{2m}(t)x^m dt = \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos(2nt)}{1-x\sin^2 t} dt.$$

This integral can be evaluated in terms of hypergeometric functions. It results that

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} T_n(m)x^m = \frac{(-1)^n \sin(\pi n)}{1-x} \frac{1}{\pi n} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1/2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1-n & 1+n \end{matrix}; \frac{x}{x-1} \right). \tag{12}$$

Although the sine factor is singular for integer n the whole expression is not. What is important for us is the pole structure of the right-hand side. If we know this, we can

use the standard Darboux method. It can be seen that the right-hand side is singular at $x = 1$, and there are no poles of smaller modulus, just note that the integral

$$\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\cos(2nt)}{1 - x \sin^2 t} dt$$

is convergent for $|x| < 1$. Analyzing the series expansion of the right hand side of (12) at the pole $x = 1$ it comes that

$$\frac{(-1)^n \sin(\pi n)}{1-x} \frac{1}{\pi n} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 1/2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1-n & 1+n \end{matrix}; \frac{x}{x-1} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} - 2n - 2n^2 \sqrt{1-x} + \mathcal{O}(1-x).$$

This observation reveals that $x = 1$ is an algebraic singularity, and $T_n(m)$ behaves asymptotically like the coefficients in $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}}$. The latter is known as

$$[x^m] \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}.$$

Our result follows as we stated. \square

The constant c in (11) can explicitly be determined as the following statement shows.

THEOREM 4. *For any positive integer n and real x*

$$\Delta_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m \geq n} \frac{x^{2m}}{m!(m+1)!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}.$$

Proof. From the definition of the constant c (see after (10)) we have that it can be chosen as

$$c = \sup_{m \geq n} \sqrt{m} \cdot T_n(m).$$

Therefore it is enough to find this supremum (as we will see, it is independent from n). We are going to show that the sequence $\{\sqrt{m}T_n(m)\}_{m \geq n}$ is strictly increasing, so the supremum will be in fact the limit

$$c = \lim_{m \geq n} \sqrt{m} \cdot T_n(m).$$

As we saw earlier, $T_n(m) = 2^{-2m} \binom{2m}{m-n}$, from where we get that

$$\frac{\sqrt{m+1}T_n(m+1)}{\sqrt{m}T_n(m)} = \frac{(1+m)^{3/2}(2m+1)}{2\sqrt{m}(1+m-n)(1+m+n)}.$$

In order to prove that the sequence $\{\sqrt{m}T_n(m)\}_{m \geq n}$ is strictly increasing, we must show that the right hand side is not less than 1. That is, we need to prove that

$$\frac{(1+m)^{3/2}(2m+1)}{2\sqrt{m}} \geq (1+m)^2 - n^2.$$

The stronger inequality

$$\frac{(1+m)^{3/2}(2m+1)}{2\sqrt{m}} \geq (1+m)^2$$

can also be proven in an elementary way. That $\sqrt{m}T_n(m) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ comes from Theorem 3, and hence the proof is complete. \square

3. Series representation of the Turánian $\Delta_v(x)$ for v real number

3.1. Integral representation of $\Delta_v(x)$

Now, we are going to show the general result of (3) in Theorem 1.

THEOREM 5. For $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have the following representations

$$\Delta_v(x) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} I_{2v}(2x \cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(v+m+\frac{1}{2}) x^{2v+2m}}{m! \Gamma(v+m+2) \Gamma(2v+m+1)}. \tag{13}$$

Moreover, the series representation is valid for all $v > -1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. In view of the Neumann formula [11, eq. 10.32.15]

$$I_\mu(x)I_\nu(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} I_{\mu+\nu}(2x \cos \theta) \cos((\mu-\nu)\theta) d\theta, \quad \mu + \nu > -1,$$

we have for $v > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_v(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} I_{2v}(2x \cos \theta) d\theta - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} I_{2v}(2x \cos \theta) \cos(2\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} I_{2v}(2x \cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2 \theta \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(x \cos \theta)^{2m+2v}}{m! \Gamma(m+2v+1)} d\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{x^{2m+2v}}{m! \Gamma(m+2v+1)} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2 \theta \cos^{2m+2v} \theta d\theta \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{B(\frac{3}{2}, v+m+\frac{1}{2}) x^{2m+2v}}{m! \Gamma(m+2v+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(v+m+\frac{1}{2}) x^{2m+2v}}{m! \Gamma(v+m+2) \Gamma(2v+m+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The series representation can be obtained also by using the Cauchy product [11, eq. 10.31.3]

$$I_\nu(x)I_\mu(x) = \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\nu+\mu} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(\nu + \mu + m + 1)_m \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2m}}{m! \Gamma(\nu + m + 1) \Gamma(\mu + m + 1)}.$$

Namely, by using this formula and the Legendre duplication formula for the gamma function we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\nu(x) &= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(2\nu + m + 1)_m}{m!} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\nu+2m}}{\Gamma(\nu + m + 1) \Gamma(\nu + m + 2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + m + \frac{1}{2}\right) x^{2\nu+2m}}{m! \Gamma(\nu + m + 2) \Gamma(2\nu + m + 1)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Bounds for $\Delta_\nu(x)$

From this result in view of the Legendre duplication formula [11, eq. 5.5.5]

$$2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2x) = 4^x\Gamma(x)\Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and the recurrence relation $\Gamma(x + 1) = x\Gamma(x)$, it is clear that for $\nu > -1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\Delta_\nu(x) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) x^{2\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu + 2) \Gamma(2\nu + 1)} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}x^2\right)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1) \Gamma(\nu + 2)} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\nu(x) &> \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right) x^{2\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu + 2) \Gamma(2\nu + 1)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{3}{2}\right) x^{2\nu+2}}{\Gamma(\nu + 3) \Gamma(2\nu + 2)} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}x^2\right)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu + 1) \Gamma(\nu + 2)} + \frac{2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2\nu+2}}{\Gamma(\nu + 1) \Gamma(\nu + 3)}, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and clearly we can have more sharper lower bounds for $\Delta_\nu(x)$ by taking more terms of the series in (13). Observe that the Turán type inequality (14) coincides with the inequality (7) of Kalmykov and Karp, while the Turán type inequality (15) is the extension of (6) to real variable ν .

3.3. Some remarks on $\Delta_\nu(x)$

From Theorem 1 and its proof yields that the Turánian of the Bessel function J_n has a similar structure as the Turánian $\Delta_n(x)$ in Theorem 1, with the difference that the series of the Turánian of J_n has alternating coefficients. Hence it is immediate that for all n natural number and real x we have

$$J_n^2(x) - J_{n-1}(x)J_{n+1}(x) < I_n^2(x) - I_{n-1}(x)I_{n+1}(x).$$

Moreover, it is possible to prove the counterpart of this inequality as

$$J_n^2(x) - J_{n-1}(x)J_{n+1}(x) > \frac{J_n^2(x)}{I_n^2(x)} (I_n^2(x) - I_{n-1}(x)I_{n+1}(x)),$$

and even for real order. Namely, if $j_{\nu,n}$ stands for the n th positive zero of the Bessel function of the first kind J_ν , then by using the relations (see [2, 3, 4, 7, 12] for more details)

$$\frac{1}{4I_\nu^2(x)} (I_\nu^2(x) - I_{\nu-1}(x)I_{\nu+1}(x)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{j_{\nu,n}^2}{(x^2 + j_{\nu,n}^2)^2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4J_\nu^2(x)} (J_\nu^2(x) - J_{\nu-1}(x)J_{\nu+1}(x)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{j_{\nu,n}^2}{(x^2 - j_{\nu,n}^2)^2},$$

we obtain that for $\nu > -1$ and $x \neq 0$ the next Turán type inequality is valid

$$J_\nu^2(x) - J_{\nu-1}(x)J_{\nu+1}(x) > \frac{J_\nu^2(x)}{I_\nu^2(x)} (I_\nu^2(x) - I_{\nu-1}(x)I_{\nu+1}(x)).$$

3.4. Monotonicity of $\Delta_\nu(x)$ with respect to ν

Since the function $x \mapsto x^{x+\frac{1}{2}}$ is log-convex on $(1/2, \infty)$ for $\nu > 3/2$ we have the inequality $\nu^{2\nu+1} < (\nu - 1)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\nu + 1)^{\nu+\frac{3}{2}}$, and hence the right-hand side of the asymptotic expansion (1) is positive, and it is actually decreasing for large ν . This suggest that $\nu \mapsto \Delta_\nu(x)$ has a similar behavior. Indeed, owing to Watson [14] we know that the function $x \mapsto I_{\nu+1}(x)/I_\nu(x)$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ for $\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, and consequently, the function $x \mapsto I_{\nu-1}(x)/I_\nu(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ for $\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}$. By using this and the recurrence relation $2I'_\nu(x) = I_{\nu-1}(x) + I_{\nu+1}(x)$ twice we obtain

$$\left(\frac{I_{\nu-1}(x)}{I_\nu(x)} \right)' = \frac{I'_{\nu-1}(x)I_\nu(x) - I_{\nu-1}(x)I'_\nu(x)}{I_\nu^2(x)} = \frac{\Delta_\nu(x) - \Delta_{\nu-1}(x)}{2I_\nu^2(x)} \leq 0$$

for $x > 0$ and $\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}$. This, in particular implies that the sequence $\{\Delta_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is decreasing for fixed x real number. Moreover, since the function $\nu \mapsto I_\nu(x)$ is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ for each $x > 0$ fixed, according to Cochran [6], by using the first part of (13) we obtain that $\nu \mapsto \Delta_\nu(x)$ is decreasing on $[0, \infty)$ for $x > 0$ fixed.

3.5. Alternative presentation of some of the results

The referee pointed out that some of the results (namely, (3) and (15)) can be deduced in an alternative way.

Consider the formula [10]

$${}_1F_2(a; a + \frac{3}{2}, 2a; z) = 4^{-1+a} z^{\frac{1}{2}-a} \Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2} + a\right) (2a + 1) \left[I_{a-\frac{1}{2}}^2(\sqrt{z}) - I_{a-\frac{3}{2}}(\sqrt{z})I_{a+\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{z}) \right],$$

which, for $a = v + \frac{1}{2}$, $z = x^2$ implies the relation

$$\Delta_v(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v}{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma(v+2)} {}_1F_2\left(v + \frac{1}{2}; v+2, 2v+1; x^2\right), \quad 2v+1 > 0; \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (16)$$

Let us denote the $(k+1)$ th partial sum of the hypergeometric series

$${}_1F_2[x^2]_k := {}_1F_2\left(v + \frac{1}{2}; v+2, 2v+1; x^2\right)_k = \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{\left(v + \frac{1}{2}\right)_m}{(v+2)_m (2v+1)_m} \frac{x^{2m}}{m!}.$$

Being all parameters positive and the argument non-negative, obviously

$${}_1F_2\left(v + \frac{1}{2}; v+2, 2v+1; x^2\right) \geqslant {}_1F_2[x^2]_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In turn, that means

$$\Delta_v(x) \geqslant \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v {}_1F_2[x^2]_k}{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma(v+2)}, \quad 2v+1 > 0; \quad x \in \mathbb{R}; \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (17)$$

The case $k = 0$ covers the Kalmykov–Karp result (7), which according to (17) becomes

$$\Delta_v(x) \geqslant \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v {}_1F_2[x^2]_0}{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma(v+2)} = \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v}{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma(v+2)},$$

since ${}_1F_2[x^2]_0 \equiv 1$.

Further, set $k = 1$ in (17). The resulting lower bound reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_v(x) &\geqslant \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v {}_1F_2[x^2]_1}{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma(v+2)} = \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v}{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma(v+2)} \left(1 + \frac{(v + \frac{1}{2})x^2}{(v+2)(2v+1)}\right) \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v}{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma(v+2)} + \frac{\left(\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^v x^2}{2\Gamma(v+1)(v+2)\Gamma(v+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (15).

Considering non-negative integer $v = n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and re-writing (17) into a Gamma-function form we get (3).

We are thankful to the referee giving us these suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Á. BARICZ, *On a product of modified Bessel functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 189–193.
- [2] Á. BARICZ, *Turán type inequalities for modified Bessel functions*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **82**, 2 (2010), 254–264.
- [3] Á. BARICZ, *Bounds for Turánians of modified Bessel functions*, Expo. Math. **33**, 2 (2015), 223–251.
- [4] Á. BARICZ, T. K. POGÁNY, *Turán determinants of Bessel functions*, Forum Math. **26**, 1 (2014), 295–322.
- [5] Á. BARICZ, S. PONNUSAMY, *On Turán type inequalities for modified Bessel functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141**, 2 (2013), 523–532.

- [6] J. A. COCHRAN, *The monotonicity of modified Bessel functions with respect to their order*, J. Math. Phys. **46** (1967), 220–222.
- [7] C. M. JOSHI, S. K. BISSU, *Some inequalities of Bessel and modified Bessel functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **50** (1991), 333–342.
- [8] S. I. KALMYKOV, D. B. KARP, *Log-concavity for series in reciprocal gamma functions and applications*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **24**, 11 (2013), 859–872.
- [9] P. A. MARTIN, *On functions defined by sums of products of Bessel functions*, J. Phys. A Math. Theor. **41** (2008), art. 015207.
- [10] <http://functions.wolfram.com/HypergeometricFunctions/Hypergeometric1F2/03/02/03/0009>
- [11] F. W. J. OLVER, D. W. LOZIER, R. F. BOISVERT AND C. W. CLARK (Eds.), *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [12] H. SKOVGAARD, *On inequalities of the Turán type*, Math. Scand. **2** (1954), 65–73.
- [13] V. R. THIRUVENKATACHAR, T. S. NANJUNDIAH, *Inequalities concerning Bessel functions and orthogonal polynomials*, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. Sect. A **33** (1951), 373–384.
- [14] G. S. WATSON, *Statistics on Spheres*, Wiley, New-York, 1983.

(Received November 2, 2016)

István Mező
Department of Mathematics
Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology
5 Panxin Rd, Pukou, Nanjing, Jiangsu, P. R. China
e-mail: istvanmezo81@gmail.com

Árpád Baricz
Institute of Applied Mathematics
Óbuda University
1034 Budapest, Hungary
and
Department of Economics
Babeş-Bolyai University
Cluj-Napoca 400591, Romania
e-mail: bariczocsi@yahoo.com