

A MATRIX INEQUALITY FOR POSITIVE DOUBLE JOHN DECOMPOSITION

LULU MA AND LEI HOU

(Communicated by F. Hansen)

Abstract. In 1998, Barthe [2] established the reversed Brascamp-Lieb inequality and its geometric version. The matrix inequality for John decomposition played a key role in the proof of the geometric Brascamp-Lieb inequality (see also Ball [1]). In this paper, we propose a new matrix inequality based on the so called “double John decomposition”, which is a generalization of the results of Ball and Barthe.

1. Introduction

The celebrated Brascamp-Lieb inequality states: the multilinear operator on $L_{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}) \times \cdots \times L_{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^{n_m})$ defined by

$$F(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(B_i x) dx$$

is saturated by Gaussian functions. For details, see [3, 5, 2].

In 1998, Barthe [2] established the reverse Brascamp-Lieb inequality which was conjectured by Ball [1]. Especially, he obtained the following well-known geometric Brascamp-Lieb inequality:

THEOREM 1. *Let m, n be integers. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, let $(c_i)_{i=1}^m$ be positive real numbers, $(n_i)_{i=1}^m$ be integers, and let B_i be a linear surjective map from \mathbb{R}^n onto \mathbb{R}^{n_i} , satisfying $B_i B_i^t = I_{n_i}$ and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i B_i B_i^t = I_n.$$

If for $i = 1, \dots, m$, f_i is a non-negative integrable function on \mathbb{R}^{n_i} , then one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{c_i}(B_i x) dx \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i \right)^{c_i},$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D15, 52A21, 52A40.

Keywords and phrases: Matrix inequality, John decomposition, positive double John decomposition.

The authors would like to acknowledge the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271247).

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}^* \sup \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^m f_i^{c_i}(y_i) : x = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i B_i^t y_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i} \right\} dx \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_i}} f_i \right)^{c_i}.$$

Here \int^* denotes the outer integral.

In the proof of Theorem 1, a matrix inequality plays a crucial role: if

$$B_i^t B_i = I_{n_i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m c_i B_i B_i^t = I_n, \tag{1}$$

and A_i are $m_i \times m_i$ positive definite matrixes, then

$$\det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i B_i A_i B_i^t \right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m (\det A_i)^{c_i}.$$

The decomposition of identity satisfying (1) is called a *john decomposition*. For the case $n_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, m$, it was crucial in the well-known John theorem, see [1].

In 2011, Li and Leng [4] defined the positive double John basis, and established the following matrix inequality: if $(c_i)_{i=1}^m$ are positive numbers, and a sequence of pairs $\{u_i, v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ satisfies

$$I_n = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i u_i \otimes v_i, \tag{2}$$

then for $\lambda_i, \delta_i > 0$, one has

$$\det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \lambda_i u_i \otimes u_i \right) \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \delta_i v_i \otimes v_i \right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m (\lambda_i \delta_i)^{c_i}.$$

The decomposition of identity satisfying (2) was called a *positive double John basis*. Using this matrix inequality, they proved a generalized version of Brascamp-Lieb inequality.

Their result are of dimension 1, but as far as we know, the result of Barthe [2] is a multidimensional version. In this paper we defined the multidimensional version of *positive double John decomposition* as follows.

DEFINITION 1. Suppose $m \geq n, n_i < n$ and $c_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, m$. Let U_i, V_i be $n_i \times n$ matrices. If $V_i U_i^t = I_{n_i}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i^t V_i = I_n,$$

then we say that U_i, V_i satisfy the *positive double John decomposition*.

Our main result is the following matrix inequality, which is the generalization of the results of both Barthe [2] and Li and Leng [4].

THEOREM 2. (Main) For $c_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, m$, let U_i, V_i be $n_i \times n$ matrices satisfying the positive double John decomposition. Then for any $n_i \times n_i$ positive definite diagonal matrices A_i, B_i , we have

$$\det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i^t A_i U_i \right) \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i V_i^t B_i V_i \right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\det A_i \cdot \det B_i \right)^{c_i}. \tag{3}$$

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Now we prove our main result. The following Cauchy-Binet formula is needed.

LEMMA 1. Let $m \geq n$ be positive integers and $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix and B an $m \times n$ matrix. If A_I denotes the square matrix obtained from A by keeping only the columns with indices in I , and B_I denotes the square matrix obtained from B by keeping the rows with indices in I , then we have the formula

$$\det(AB) = \sum_{|I|=n} \det(A_I) \det(B_I).$$

LEMMA 2. Let P_i be $n \times n_i$ matrix, and Q_i be $n_i \times n$ matrix, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $I_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ with $|I_1| + \dots + |I_m| = n$, and $I = (I_1, \dots, I_m)$. Denote $|I| = |I_1| + \dots + |I_m|$. Denote $D_I = (D_{I_1}, \dots, D_{I_m})$, where $D_{I_j}^t$ is an $n \times |I_j|$ matrix obtained from P_j keeping the columns with indices in I_j ; and denote $G_I = (G_{I_1}^t, \dots, G_{I_m}^t)^t$, where G_{I_j} is an $|I_j| \times n$ matrix obtained from Q_j keeping the rows with indices in I_j . Then

$$\det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m P_i Q_i \right) = \sum_{|I|=|I_1|+\dots+|I_m|=n} \det(D_I) \det(G_I).$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m P_i Q_i = \left(P_1, \dots, P_m \right)_{n \times (n_1 + \dots + n_m)} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ \vdots \\ Q_m \end{pmatrix}_{(n_1 + \dots + n_m) \times n}.$$

By Lemma 1, we get the desired result. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $I_i \subseteq \{1, \dots, n_i\}$ with $|I_1| + \dots + |I_m| = n$, and $I = (I_1, \dots, I_m)$. Denote $|I| = |I_1| + \dots + |I_m|$. Let $D_I^t = (D_{I_1}^t, \dots, D_{I_m}^t)$, where $D_{I_j}^t$ is an $n \times |I_j|$ matrix obtained from U_j^t keeping the columns with indices in I_j ; and let $G_I = (G_{I_1}^t, \dots, G_{I_m}^t)^t$, where G_{I_j} is an $|I_j| \times n$ matrix obtained from V_j keeping the rows with indices in I_j .

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i^t V_i = \left(c_1 U_1^t, \dots, c_m U_m^t \right)_{n \times (n_1 + \dots + n_m)} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ \vdots \\ V_m \end{pmatrix}_{(n_1 + \dots + n_m) \times n}$$

$$\doteq \left(c_1 D_1^1, \dots, c_1 D_1^{n_1}, \dots, c_m D_m^1, \dots, c_m D_m^{n_m} \right)_{n \times (n_1 + \dots + n_m)} \begin{pmatrix} G_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ G_1^{n_1} \\ \vdots \\ G_m^1 \\ \vdots \\ G_m^{n_m} \end{pmatrix}_{(n_1 + \dots + n_m) \times n},$$

where D_i^j is the j -th column of U_i^t and G_i^j is the j -th row of V_i .

Write $c_I = \prod_{i=1}^m c_i^{|I_i|}$. Substituting $P_i = c_i U_i^t$ and $Q_i = V_i$ into Lemma 2, we obtain

$$1 = \det I_n = \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i^t V_i \right) = \sum_{|I|=|I_1|+\dots+|I_m|=n} c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I). \tag{4}$$

Denote $n_i \times n_i$ positive definite diagonal matrices A_i, B_i by

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & a_{in_i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_{i1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & b_{in_i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 V_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_m V_m \end{pmatrix}_{(n_1 + \dots + n_m) \times n} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} G_1^1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{1n_1} G_1^{n_1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{m1} G_m^1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{mn_m} G_m^{n_m} \end{pmatrix}_{(n_1 + \dots + n_m) \times n}$$

Substituting $P_i = c_i U_i^t$ and $Q_i = A_i V_i$ into Lemma 2, we have

$$\det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i^t A_i V_i \right) = \sum_{|I|=|I_1|+\dots+|I_m|=n} a_I c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I),$$

where $a_I = \prod_{\substack{j \in I_i \\ i=1, \dots, m}} a_{ij}$.

Applying the arithmetic-geometric means inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|I|=|I_1|+\dots+|I_m|=n} a_I c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I) \\ & \geq \prod_{|I|=|I_1|+\dots+|I_m|=n} a_I^{c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I)} \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij}^{\sum_{|I|=n, I_i \ni j} c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I)}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $V_i U_i^t = I_{n_i}$ implies

$$G_i^j D_i^j = 1.$$

Let u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} be such that $G_i^j u_i = 0$ and D^j, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} are linear independent, then we see

$$\begin{aligned} \det((I_n - c_i D^j G^j)(D^j, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})) &= \det((1 - c_i) D^j, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \\ &= (1 - c_i) \det(D^j, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\det(I_n - c_i D^j G^j) = 1 - c_i.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|I|=n, I_i \ni j} c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I) \\ &= \sum_{|I|=n} c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I) - \sum_{|I|=n, j \notin I_i} c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I) \\ &= \det(I_n) - \det(I_n - c_i G_i^j D_i^j) \\ &= 1 - (1 - c_i) \\ &= c_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have shown that

$$\sum_{|I|=|I_1|+\dots+|I_m|=n} a_I c_I \det(D_I) \det(G_I) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_i} a_{ij} \right)^{c_i}. \tag{5}$$

Similarly, for A_i, B_i , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i^t A_i U_i \right) \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i V_i^t B_i V_i \right) \\ = \sum_{|I|=n} a_I c_I \det(D_I)^2 \sum_{|I|=n} b_I c_I \det(G_I)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is greater than

$$\left(\sum_{|I|=n} c_I \sqrt{a_I b_I} \det(D_I) \det(G_I) \right)^2$$

employing the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. Applying (5) we get

$$\det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i^t A_i U_i \right) \det \left(\sum_{i=1}^m c_i V_i^t B_i V_i \right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m (\det A_i \cdot \det B_i)^{c_i}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Professor Gangsong Leng for his encouragements and valuable suggestions. In addition, the authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading and valuable suggestions.

Research of the authors are supported by NSFC 11271247.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. BALL, *Volume ratios and a reverse isoperimetric inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. **44** (1991), 351–359.
- [2] F. BARTHE, *On a reverse form of the Brascamp-Lieb inequality*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), 685–693.
- [3] H. BRASCAMP, E. LIEB, *Best constants in Young's inequality, its converse and its generalization to more than three functions*, Adv. Math. **20** (1976), 151–173.
- [4] A. LI, G. LENG, *Brascamp-Lieb inequality for positive double John basis and its reverse*, Sci. China Math. **54** (2011), 399–410.
- [5] E. LIEB, *Gaussian kernels have only Gaussian maximizers*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), 179–208.

(Received January 26, 2017)

Lulu Ma
Department of Mathematics
Shanghai University
Shanghai 200444, China
e-mail: amandalulu918@163.com

Lei Hou
Department of Mathematics
Shanghai University
Shanghai 200444, China
e-mail: houlei@shu.edu.cn