

EIGENVALUE INTERLACING FOR FIRST ORDER DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS WITH PERIODIC 2×2 MATRIX POTENTIALS AND QUASI-PERIODIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

SONJA CURRIE, THOMAS T. ROTH AND BRUCE A. WATSON

(Communicated by J. Behrndt)

Abstract. The self-adjoint first order system, $JY' + QY = \lambda Y$, with locally integrable, real, symmetric, π -periodic, 2×2 matrix potential Q is considered, where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. By means of a unitary transformation applied to the boundary value problem considered in [6], it is shown that all eigenvalues to the above equation with boundary conditions $Y(\pi) = \pm R(\theta)Y(0)$, where $R(\theta)$ is the rotation matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$, occur when the discriminant $\Delta_\theta = \text{Tr}(\mathbb{Y}(\pi)^T R(\theta))$ is equal to ± 2 . Here \mathbb{Y} is the solution of the first order system obeying the initial condition $\mathbb{Y}(0) = \mathbb{I}$. In addition, an expression for the λ -derivative of the discriminant Δ_θ is given and some monotonicity results are obtained. Interlacing/indexing properties for the eigenvalues of various operator eigenvalue problems are proved.

1. Introduction

Quasiperiodic eigenvalue problems fall into the following two categories:

- (i) The potential is quasiperiodic, see [1, 7].
- (ii) The boundary conditions are quasiperiodic, see [11, 12].

The problems that are investigated in this work are of the second type. In [8] eigenvalue problems with quasiperiodic boundary conditions were studied. In particular, boundary conditions of the form $y(\pi) = \omega y(0)$ with $|\omega| = 1$ and $\arg(\omega) \neq k\pi$, were considered. We note that periodic and antiperiodic boundary value problems are in fact special cases of these. Quasiperiodic boundary value problems have in addition been referred to as ω -twisted boundary value problems, see [4, p. 21]. For recent work done in this area see [2, 13, 14, 16].

Here we consider the differential equation

$$\ell Y := JY' + QY = \lambda Y, \tag{1.1}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 34L40, 34C10, 34C25.

Keywords and phrases: Dirac system, quasi-periodic eigenvalue problems, interlacing.

The first author was supported in part by the Centre for Applicable Analysis and Number Theory and by NRF grant number IFR160209157585 Grant no. 103530. The third author was supported in part by the Centre for Applicable Analysis and Number Theory and by NRF grant number IFR170214222646 Grant no. 109289.

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 & q \\ q & q_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Here q, q_1, q_2 are real valued, integrable and π -periodic.

Let $\mathbb{Y} = [Y_1 \ Y_2] = \begin{bmatrix} y_{11} & y_{21} \\ y_{12} & y_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ be the solution of (1.1) obeying the initial condition $\mathbb{Y}(0) = \mathbb{I}$. The λ -intervals on the real line for which all solutions are bounded will be called the intervals of stability while the intervals for which at least one solutions is unbounded will be called instability intervals.

In Section 2 we define a unitary transformation which enables us to obtain the following (as a direct application of this unitary transformation to the boundary value problem considered in [6]):

(i) The eigenvalues of (1.1) with boundary conditions $Y(\pi) = \pm R(\theta)Y(0)$ occur precisely where $\Delta_\theta := Tr(\mathbb{Y}(\pi)^T R(\theta)) = \pm 2$.

(ii) An explicit form for the λ -derivative of Δ_θ .

(iii) Monotonicity results concerning the first and second λ -derivatives of Δ_θ .

Section 3 contains the main results, namely an interlacing structure for the eigenvalues of (1.1) with certain separated boundary conditions. This relates to the indexing of eigenvalues and hence also to [6, 9, 11, 12, 15].

2. Unitary transformation

Let Y be a solution of (1.1) obeying the boundary conditions $Y(\pi) = \pm R(\theta)Y(0)$. If the unitary transformation V of Y is defined as follows

$$V(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & -\sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \\ \sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} Y(x), \tag{2.1}$$

for $x \in [0, \pi]$, then V is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$JV' + \tilde{Q}V = \left(\lambda + \frac{\theta}{\pi} \right) V, \tag{2.2}$$

satisfying $V(\pi) = \pm V(0)$. Here

$$\tilde{Q}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & -\sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \\ \sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} Q(x) \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & \sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\mathbb{V} = [V_1 \ V_2] = \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & v_{21} \\ v_{12} & v_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ be the solution of (2.2) obeying the initial condition $\mathbb{V}(0) = \mathbb{I}$. Note that $[v_{11}v_{22} - v_{21}v_{12}](\pi) = 1$.

Then, from [6, Section 3],

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\theta &= v_{11}(\pi, \lambda) + v_{22}(\pi, \lambda) \\ &= y_{11}(\pi) \cos \theta - y_{12}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{21}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{22}(\pi) \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the problem of solving

$$V(\pi) = \rho_\theta(\lambda)V(0), \tag{2.4}$$

where V is a non-trivial solution of (2.2) and $\rho_\theta(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$. This results in $\rho_\theta(\lambda)$ being multivalued and V can be represented as $V = \mathbb{V}(x)\underline{c}$, for some $\underline{c} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Thus solving (2.4) is equivalent to solving

$$(\mathbb{V}(\pi) - \rho_\theta(\lambda)I)\underline{c} = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

for $\underline{c} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\rho_\theta(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of solutions $\underline{c} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ of (2.5) is $\det(\mathbb{V}(\pi) - \rho_\theta I) = 0$. This can be expressed as

$$\rho_\theta^2 - \rho_\theta \Delta_\theta + 1 = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Hence Δ_θ is called the $R(\theta)$ -discriminant of (1.1) and

$$\rho_\theta^\pm = \frac{\Delta_\theta \pm \sqrt{\Delta_\theta^2 - 4}}{2}, \tag{2.7}$$

are called the Floquet multipliers of (1.1). Note that $\rho_\theta^+ \rho_\theta^- = 1$. It thus follows that λ is an eigenvalue of (1.1) with boundary condition $Y(\pi) = R(\theta)Y(0)$ if and only if $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = 2$ and λ is an eigenvalue of (1.1) with boundary condition $Y(\pi) = -R(\theta)Y(0)$ if and only if $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = -2$. The eigenvalue problems under consideration are self-adjoint, so we can restrict our attention to $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and these eigenvalues are the boundary points of the sets $\pm \Delta_\theta \geq 2$.

Let

$$\Sigma_\theta := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : |\Delta_\theta(\lambda)| \geq 2\}. \tag{2.8}$$

The maximally connected subsets of Σ_θ are referred to as regions of $R(\theta)$ -instability and the maximally connected subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_\theta$ are referred to as regions of $R(\theta)$ -stability. The $R(0)$ -instability intervals and the $R(0)$ -discriminant were studied in [6].

We now focus our attention on the discriminant Δ_θ . Note that for real λ , \mathbb{Y} has real entries and hence Δ_θ is real valued.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The λ -derivative of Δ_θ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} &= (y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta - y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta) \int_0^\pi Y_1^T Y_1 dt \\ &\quad + [(y_{22}(\pi) - y_{11}(\pi)) \cos \theta + (y_{12}(\pi) + y_{21}(\pi)) \sin \theta] \int_0^\pi Y_1^T Y_2 dt \\ &\quad + (-y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta - y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta) \int_0^\pi Y_2^T Y_2 dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

which can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} = -(y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta) \left\{ \|Y_2 - AY_1\|_2^2 + B\|Y_1\|_2^2 \right\}, \tag{2.10}$$

for $y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta \neq 0$,

$$\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} = -(-y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta + y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta) \left\{ \|Y_1 - CY_2\|_2^2 + D\|Y_2\|_2^2 \right\}, \tag{2.11}$$

for $y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta - y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta \neq 0$.

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \frac{(y_{22}(\pi) - y_{11}(\pi)) \cos \theta + (y_{12}(\pi) + y_{21}(\pi)) \sin \theta}{2(y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta)}, \\
 B &= \frac{4 - \Delta_\theta^2}{4(y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta)^2}, \\
 C &= \frac{(y_{22}(\pi) - y_{11}(\pi)) \cos \theta + (y_{12}(\pi) + y_{21}(\pi)) \sin \theta}{2(y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta - y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta)}, \\
 D &= \frac{4 - \Delta_\theta^2}{4(y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta - y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta)^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From [6, Lemma 3.2] we obtain that the λ -derivative of Δ_θ is given by

$$\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} = v_{21}(\pi) \int_0^\pi V_1^T V_1 dt + (v_{22}(\pi) - v_{11}(\pi)) \int_0^\pi V_1^T V_2 dt - v_{12}(\pi) \int_0^\pi V_2^T V_2 dt \tag{2.12}$$

which can also be expressed as

$$\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} = v_{12}(\pi) \left\{ \frac{\Delta_\theta^2 - 4}{4v_{12}^2(\pi)} \|V_1\|_2^2 - \left\| V_2 - \frac{v_{22}(\pi) - v_{11}(\pi)}{2v_{12}(\pi)} V_1 \right\|_2^2 \right\}, \quad v_{12}(\pi) \neq 0, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} = v_{21}(\pi) \left\{ \left\| V_1 + \frac{v_{22}(\pi) - v_{11}(\pi)}{2v_{21}(\pi)} v_2 \right\|_2^2 - \frac{\Delta_\theta^2 - 4}{4v_{21}^2(\pi)} \|V_2\|_2^2 \right\}, \quad v_{21}(\pi) \neq 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Using the transformation

$$V_i(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & -\sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \\ \sin \frac{\theta x}{\pi} & \cos \frac{\theta x}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} Y_i(x),$$

for $i = 1, 2$ we obtain, by means of straightforward calculations, equations (2.9), (2.10) and (2.11). \square

As a consequence of the above theorem we obtain the following three corollaries.

COROLLARY 2.2. *If $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = \pm 2$ and $\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda}(\lambda) = 0$ then $y_{11}(\pi) = \pm \cos \theta = y_{22}(\pi)$ and $\pm \frac{d^2\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda^2}(\lambda) < 0$.*

Proof. Again directly from [6, Lemma 3.2] we have that if $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = \pm 2$ and $\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda}(\lambda) = 0$ then $\mp \frac{d^2\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda^2}(\lambda) > 0$ and $v_{12}(\pi) = 0 = v_{21}(\pi)$. Thus

$$y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta = 0 = y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta - y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta.$$

The above equations together with $[y_{11}y_{22} - y_{21}y_{12}](\pi) = 1$ and $\Delta_\theta = \pm 2$ give $y_{21}(\pi) = \pm \sin \theta$, $y_{12}(\pi) = \mp \sin \theta$ and $y_{11}(\pi) = \pm \cos \theta = y_{22}(\pi)$ so that $\mathbb{Y}(\pi) = \pm R(\theta)$. \square

COROLLARY 2.3. *If $|\Delta_\theta| \leq 2$ then for $y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta \neq 0$*

$$\frac{1}{y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta} \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} < 0, \tag{2.15}$$

and for $-y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta + y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta \neq 0$

$$\frac{1}{-y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta + y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta} \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} < 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. If $|\Delta_\theta| \leq 2$ then by [6, Lemma 3.2]

$$\frac{1}{v_{12}(\pi)} \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} < 0, \quad \text{for } v_{12}(\pi) \neq 0, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\frac{1}{v_{21}(\pi)} \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda} > 0, \quad \text{for } v_{21}(\pi) \neq 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Since $v_{12}(\pi) = y_{11}(\pi) \sin \theta + y_{12}(\pi) \cos \theta$ and $v_{21}(\pi) = -y_{21}(\pi) \cos \theta + y_{22}(\pi) \sin \theta$ the result follows. \square

COROLLARY 2.4. *If $\sin \theta y_{11}(\pi) + \cos \theta y_{12}(\pi) = 0$ or $\cos \theta y_{21}(\pi) - \sin \theta y_{22}(\pi) = 0$, then $\Delta_\theta \cdot \text{sgn}(\cos \theta y_{11}(\pi) - \sin \theta y_{12}(\pi)) \geq 2$.*

Proof. The determinant of \mathbb{V} being 1 gives, $v_{11}(\pi)v_{22}(\pi) = 1$, so that $\Delta_\theta = v_{11}(\pi) + \frac{1}{v_{11}(\pi)}$. Thus if $v_{11}(\pi) > 0$ then $\Delta_\theta \geq 2$ and if $v_{11}(\pi) < 0$ then $\Delta_\theta \leq -2$. The results follows since $v_{11}(\pi) = \cos \theta y_{11}(\pi) - \sin \theta y_{12}(\pi)$. \square

3. Interlacing of eigenvalues

Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi) \times \mathcal{L}_2(0, \pi)$ be the Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle Y, Z \rangle = \int_0^\pi Y(t)^T \overline{Z}(t) dt \quad \text{for } Y, Z \in \mathbb{H},$$

and norm $\|Y\|_2 := \sqrt{\langle Y, Y \rangle}$. The Wronskian of Y and Z is given by $[Y, Z]_W = Y^T R(\theta) Z$.

We consider the self-adjoint operator eigenvalue problems

$$L_i Y = \lambda Y, \tag{3.1}$$

where $L_i = \ell|_{\mathcal{D}(L_i)}$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(L_i) = \{Y \in \mathbb{H} : Y \in \text{AC}, \ell Y \in \mathbb{H}, Y \text{ obeys } (BC_i)\},$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 8$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} Y(0) &= Y(\pi), & (BC_1) \\ Y(0) &= -Y(\pi), & (BC_2) \\ y_1(0) &= 0 = y_1(\pi), & (BC_3) \\ y_2(0) &= 0 = y_2(\pi), & (BC_4) \\ R(\theta)Y(0) &= Y(\pi), & (BC_5) \\ -R(\theta)Y(0) &= Y(\pi), & (BC_6) \\ y_1(0) &= 0 = y_2(\pi), & (BC_7) \\ y_2(0) &= 0 = y_1(\pi). & (BC_8) \end{aligned}$$

For $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$ be the solution of (1.1) satisfying the initial condition $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(0) \\ \psi_2(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma \\ \sin \gamma \end{pmatrix}$. Here ψ_1 and ψ_2 are real valued. Define $P(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ and $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ by

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} P(x, \lambda, \gamma) \cos \varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma) \\ P(x, \lambda, \gamma) \sin \varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $P(x, \lambda, \gamma) > 0$ and $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ is a continuous function of x with $\varphi(0, \lambda, \gamma) = \gamma$. From now on φ will be referred to as the angular part of Ψ . The function $P(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ is differentiable in x, λ, γ , and $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ is real analytic in λ and γ for fixed x , and differentiable in x for fixed λ and γ . Here $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ is the solution to a first order initial value problem

$$\varphi' = \lambda - q \sin 2\varphi - q_1 \cos^2 \varphi - q_2 \sin^2 \varphi, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\varphi(0) = \gamma. \tag{3.4}$$

where $\varphi' = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}$. This initial value problem obeys the conditions of [10, Section 69.1], from which it follows that $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ is jointly continuous in (x, λ, γ) . Moreover, for fixed $x > 0$, $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ is strictly increasing in γ and λ , see Weidmann [15, p. 242], with $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma) \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \pm\infty$, see [3]. Thus the eigenvalues, ν_n, μ_n, β_n and $\zeta_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, of L_3, L_4, L_7 and L_8 , respectively, are simple and determined uniquely by the equations

$$\varphi(\pi, \nu_n, \pi/2) = n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\varphi(\pi, \mu_n, 0) = n\pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.6}$$

$$\varphi(\pi, \beta_n, \pi/2) = (n+1)\pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\varphi(\pi, \zeta_n, 0) = n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.8}$$

As a consequence of the above observation it follows that $\mu_n, \nu_n, \beta_n, \zeta_n \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

For Σ_0 , as defined in equations (2.8), it has been shown, [6], that

$$\Sigma_0 = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [\lambda_{2k-1}, \lambda_{2k}] \cup [\lambda'_{2k-1}, \lambda'_{2k}]. \tag{3.9}$$

Here λ_n and λ'_n are the eigenvalues of the periodic and anti-periodic eigenvalue problems with suitable indexing, see [6]. Also from [6] we have that:

$$\max\{\mu_n, \nu_n\} < \min\{\mu_{n+1}, \nu_{n+1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \tag{3.10}$$

$$(-1)^n \Delta'_0(\lambda) < 0, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in (\min\{\nu_n, \mu_n\}, \max\{\nu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+1}\}) \text{ with } |\Delta_0(\lambda)| \leq 2 \tag{3.11}$$

the set $|\Delta_0(\lambda)| \geq 2$ consists of a countable union of disjoint closed finite intervals, each of which contains precisely one of the sets $\{\nu_n, \mu_n\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The end points of these intervals as the only points at which $|\Delta_0(\lambda)| = 2$.

We can now prove the following interlacing results for $0 < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$:

THEOREM 3.1. *For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\nu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+1} \in (\max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \min\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\}), \tag{3.12}$$

$$\beta_n, \zeta_n \in (\max\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}, \min\{\mu_{n+1}, \nu_{n+1}\}). \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. Since $\varphi(x, \lambda, \gamma)$ is strictly increasing in λ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\pi, \beta_n, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) &= (n+1)\pi \\ &< (n+1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} = \varphi\left(\pi, \nu_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &< (n+2)\pi = \varphi\left(\pi, \beta_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\beta_n < \nu_{n+1} < \beta_{n+1}, \tag{3.14}$$

furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\pi, \zeta_n, 0) &= n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \\ &< (n+1)\pi = \varphi(\pi, \mu_{n+1}, 0) \\ &< (n+1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} = \varphi(\pi, \zeta_{n+1}, 0), \end{aligned}$$

showing that

$$\zeta_n < \mu_{n+1} < \zeta_{n+1}. \tag{3.15}$$

Suppose $\beta_n \geq \mu_{n+1}$, then from the monotonicity of φ in the eigenparameter and initial value we have

$$(n+1)\pi = \varphi(\pi, \mu_{n+1}, 0) \leq \varphi(\pi, \beta_n, 0) < \varphi\left(\pi, \beta_n, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = (n+1)\pi,$$

a contradiction, so $\beta_n < \mu_{n+1}$. Suppose $\beta_{n+1} \leq \mu_{n+1}$, then

$$(n + 2)\pi = \varphi\left(\pi, \beta_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\pi, \mu_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) < \varphi(\pi, \mu_{n+1}, \pi) = (n + 2)\pi,$$

a contradiction, so $\beta_{n+1} > \mu_{n+1}$ and thus

$$\beta_n < \mu_{n+1} < \beta_{n+1}. \tag{3.16}$$

Suppose $\zeta_n \geq v_{n+1}$ from the monotonicity of φ in the eigenparameter and initial condition we have

$$(n + 1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} = \varphi\left(\pi, v_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \leq \varphi\left(\pi, \zeta_n, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) < \varphi(\pi, \zeta_n, \pi) = (n + 1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2},$$

a contradiction, so $\zeta_n < v_{n+1}$. Suppose $v_{n+1} \geq \zeta_{n+1}$, then

$$(n + 1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} = \varphi(\pi, \zeta_{n+1}, 0) \leq \varphi(\pi, v_{n+1}, 0) < \varphi\left(\pi, \zeta_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = (n + 1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2},$$

so $v_{n+1} < \zeta_{n+1}$ and thus

$$\zeta_n < v_{n+1} < \zeta_{n+1}. \tag{3.17}$$

Combining (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) gives (3.12) from which (3.13) follows. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *If $\lambda \in (\min\{\mu_{n+1}, v_{n+1}\}, \max\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\})$ and $|\Delta_\theta(\lambda)| \leq 2$ then*

$$(-1)^n \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda}(\lambda) > 0. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. From the monotonicity of $\varphi(\pi, \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2})$ in λ , we have for $\lambda \in (\beta_n, \beta_{n+1})$ that

$$(n + 1)\pi = \varphi\left(\pi, \beta_n, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) < \varphi\left(\pi, \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) < \varphi\left(\pi, \beta_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = (n + 2)\pi,$$

thus

$$(-1)^n y_{22}(\pi, \lambda) = (-1)^n P\left(\pi, \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin \varphi\left(\pi, \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) < 0. \tag{3.19}$$

While for $\lambda \in (v_{n+1}, v_{n+2})$ we have that

$$(n + 1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} = \varphi\left(\pi, v_{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) < \varphi\left(\pi, \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) < \varphi\left(\pi, v_{n+2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = (n + 2)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and so

$$(-1)^n y_{21}(\pi, \lambda) = (-1)^n P\left(\pi, \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cos \varphi\left(\pi, \lambda, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) > 0. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus for $\lambda \in (\beta_n, \beta_{n+1}) \cap (v_n, v_{n+1}) = (v_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1})$ and $|\Delta_\theta \leq 2|$ we have by Corollary 2.3 that $(-1)^n \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda}(\lambda) > 0$.

Moreover for $\lambda \in (\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1})$ we have

$$n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} = \varphi(\pi, \zeta_n, 0) < \varphi(\pi, \lambda, 0) < \varphi(\pi, \zeta_{n+1}, 0) = (n + 1)\pi + \frac{\pi}{2},$$

thus

$$(-1)^n y_{11}(\pi, \lambda) = (-1)^n P(\pi, \lambda, 0) \cos \varphi(\pi, \lambda, 0) < 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Lastly, for $\lambda \in (\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2})$ we have

$$(n + 1)\pi = \varphi(\pi, \mu_{n+1}, 0) < \varphi(\pi, \lambda, 0) < \varphi(\pi, \mu_{n+2}, 0) = (n + 2)\pi,$$

resulting in

$$(-1)^n y_{12}(\pi, \lambda) = (-1)^n P(\pi, \lambda, 0) \sin \varphi(\pi, \lambda, 0) < 0. \tag{3.22}$$

So for $\lambda \in (\zeta_n, \zeta_{n+1}) \cap (\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) = (\mu_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1})$ and $|\Delta_\theta \leq 2|$ we have by Corollary 2.3 that $(-1)^n \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda}(\lambda) > 0$.

Now, $(\nu_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1}) \cap (\mu_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}) = (\max\{\mu_{n+1}, \nu_{n+1}\}, \min\{\zeta_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1}\}) \neq \emptyset$, by Theorem 3.1. Therefore for

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &\in (\nu_{n+1}, \beta_{n+1}) \cup (\mu_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}) \\ &= (\min\{\mu_{n+1}, \nu_{n+1}\}, \max\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\}), \end{aligned}$$

with $|\Delta_\theta(\lambda)| \leq 2$ we have that $(-1)^n \frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda}$ is positive. \square

THEOREM 3.3. For $\lambda \in (\max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \min\{\mu_{n+1}, \nu_{n+1}\}) \neq \emptyset$, $(-1)^n \Delta_\theta(\lambda) < 0$ and Δ_θ has precisely one zero in $[\min\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}]$.

For $\lambda \in (\max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \min\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\})$, $(-1)^n \Delta_0(\lambda) < 0$ and Δ_0 has precisely one zero in $[\min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}]$.

Proof. From (3.22) for $\lambda \in (\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})$ we have

$$(-1)^n y_{12}(\pi, \lambda) > 0. \tag{3.23}$$

Similarly from (3.20) for $\lambda \in (\nu_n, \nu_{n+1})$ we have

$$(-1)^n y_{21}(\pi, \lambda) < 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Combining (3.10), (3.19), (3.21), (3.23) and (3.24),

$$(-1)^n \Delta_\theta(\lambda) < 0, \tag{3.25}$$

for $\lambda \in (\max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \min\{\mu_{n+1}, \nu_{n+1}\})$. Thus there must be at least one zero of Δ_θ in $[\min\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}]$. Combining Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 shows that there is no more than one zero of Δ_θ in $[\min\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}]$.

Using (3.19), (3.21) and (3.13), for

$$\lambda \in (\max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \min\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\}), \tag{3.26}$$

we have that $(-1)^n \Delta_0 < 0$. Hence Δ_0 has at least one zero in $[\min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}]$. Now Theorem 3.1 and (3.11) show that Δ_0 has at most one zero in

$$[\min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\} \subset (\min\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}, \max\{\mu_{n+1}, \nu_{n+1}\})]. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.4. *The set $\Sigma'_\theta := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : |\Delta_\theta| \geq 2 \sin \theta\}$ consists of a countable union of disjoint closed finite intervals, each of which contains precisely one zero of Δ_0 . The zeros of Δ_0 and Δ_θ interlace each other.*

Proof. Since Δ_θ is continuous, Σ'_θ consists of closed intervals. If λ_0 is a zero of Δ_0 then $y_{11}(\pi) + y_{22}(\pi) = 0$. Since $y_{11}y_{22} - y_{12}y_{21} = 1$ we have $y_{12}(\pi)y_{21}(\pi) = -(1 + y_{22}^2(\pi))$ which gives $y_{12}(\pi)y_{21}(\pi) < -1$. If $y_{12}(\pi) > 0$ then $y_{21}(\pi) < 0$ and $y_{21}(\pi) < -1/y_{12}(\pi)$ so $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = (y_{21}(\pi) - y_{12}(\pi)) \sin \theta < -\left(y_{12}(\pi) + \frac{1}{y_{12}(\pi)}\right) \sin \theta < -2 \sin \theta$, while if $y_{12}(\pi) < 0$ then $y_{21}(\pi) > 0$ and $y_{12}(\pi) < -1/y_{21}(\pi)$ so $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = (y_{21}(\pi) - y_{12}(\pi)) \sin \theta > \left(y_{21}(\pi) + \frac{1}{y_{21}(\pi)}\right) \sin \theta > 2 \sin \theta$. Thus $\lambda_0 \in \Sigma'_\theta$. Let n be such that $\lambda_0 \in [\min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}]$.

Now by Theorem 3.2 for $\lambda \in (\max\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}, \min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\})$ with $|\Delta_\theta(\lambda)| \leq 2$ we have that $\frac{d\Delta_\theta}{d\lambda}$ has constant sign. Therefore $(\min\{\mu_n, \nu_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}) \cap \Sigma'_\theta$ consists of at most one interval, on which $|\Delta_\theta| \geq 2 \sin \theta$, but then λ_0 is in such an interval so there is precisely one such interval and λ_0 is in this interval. We now show that there is exactly one zero of Δ_0 in each maximal connected subset of Σ'_θ . Let J be a maximal connected subset of Σ'_θ and suppose that there are $c, d \in J$ with $c < d, \Delta_0(c) = 0 = \Delta_0(d)$ and $\Delta_0(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in (c, d)$. Given the above there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\} \leq c \leq \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\} < \min\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\} \leq d \leq \max\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\},$$

since the zeros of Δ_0 are in the intervals $[\min\{\beta_j, \zeta_j\}, \max\{\beta_j, \zeta_j\}], j \in \mathbb{Z}$, with precisely one in each such interval. But $(-1)^n \Delta_\theta(c) < 0$ and $(-1)^{n+1} \Delta_\theta(d) < 0$ so Δ_θ has a zero in (c, d) contradicting the definition of J . Thus there is precisely one zero of Δ_0 in J .

To show the interlacing of the zeros of Δ_0 and Δ_θ we consider when $\Delta_0(\lambda) = 0$. In this case $y_{11} = -y_{22}$ and from this together with the fact that $y_{11}y_{22} - y_{12}y_{21} = 1$ we can conclude that y_{21} and y_{12} have opposite signs. Thus, since $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = \sin \theta (y_{21} - y_{12})$ when $\Delta_0(\lambda) = 0$, we have that $\Delta_\theta(\lambda)$ takes the sign of y_{21} . Now for $\lambda \in (\min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \max\{\beta_{n+1}, \zeta_{n+1}\})$ we have that $(-1)^n y_{21} < 0$ and hence $(-1)^n \Delta_\theta(\lambda) < 0$. However, from Theorem 3.3 above, $(-1)^n \Delta_\theta(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda \in (\max\{\beta_{n-1}, \zeta_{n-1}\}, \min\{\mu_n, \nu_n\})$. Thus Δ_θ has already changed sign before the zero of Δ_0 . Giving that the zeros of Δ_θ and Δ_0 interlace each other. \square

COROLLARY 3.5. *The zeros of Δ_0 are contained within Σ'_θ , with each component of Σ'_θ containing exactly one zero of Δ_0 and exactly one of the sets $\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. If $\Delta_0(\lambda) = 0$, then from Theorem 3.4, $\lambda \in \Sigma'_\theta$ and every component of Σ'_θ contains precisely one zero of Δ_0 and conversely each zero Δ_0 lies in precisely one of the components of Σ'_θ . Furthermore, each zero of Δ_0 lies in precisely one of the intervals $[\min\{\beta_j, \zeta_j\}, \max\{\beta_j, \zeta_j\}], j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and each such interval contains a zero of Δ_0 . Note that Δ_θ does not change sign of this interval and can only obey the equality $|\Delta_\theta| = 2 \sin \theta$ at at most one point of this interval. However $\Delta_\theta(\lambda) = -2(-1)^n$ for $\lambda = \beta_n, \zeta_n$ giving that $[\min\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}, \max\{\beta_n, \zeta_n\}] \subset \Sigma'_\theta, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ from which the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BELLISSARD, B. IOCHUM, E. SCOPPOLA, D. TESTARD, *Spectral properties of one dimensional quasi-crystals*, Commun. Math. Phys. **125** (1989), 527–543.
- [2] I. BINDER, M. VODA, *On Optimal Separation of Eigenvalues for a Quasiperiodic Jacobi Matrix*, Commun. Math. Phys. **325** (2014), 1063–1106.
- [3] P. A. BINDING, H. VOLKMER, *Existence and asymptotics of eigenvalues of indefinite systems of Sturm-Liouville and Dirac type*, J. Diff. Eq. **172** (2001) 116–133.
- [4] M. B. BROWN, M. S. P. EASTHAM, K. M. SCHMIDT, *Periodic Differential Operators*, Birkhäuser, 2013.
- [5] E. A. CODDINGTON, N. LEVINSON, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill Publishing, 1955.
- [6] S. CURRIE, B. A. WATSON, T. T. ROTH, *First order systems in \mathbb{C}^2 on \mathbb{R} with periodic matrix potentials and vanishing instability intervals*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **38** (2015), 4435–4447.
- [7] L. H. ELIASSON, *Discrete one-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators with pure point spectrum*, Acta. Math. **179** (1997), 153–196.
- [8] S. G. KREIN, *Functional Analysis*, Nauka, Moscow, 1972.
- [9] B. M. LEVITAN, I. S. SARGSJAN, *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1991.
- [10] E. J. MCSHANE, *Integration*, Princeton University Press, 1944.
- [11] T. V. MISYURA, *Characterization of the spectra of the periodic and antiperiodic boundary value problems that are generated by the Dirac operator I*, Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., **30** (1978), 90–101.
- [12] T. V. MISYURA, *Characterization of the spectra of the periodic and antiperiodic boundary value problems that are generated by the Dirac operator II*, Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., **31** (1979), 102–109.
- [13] I. M. NABIEV, *Solution of the Inverse Quasiperiodic Problem for the Dirac System*, Matematicheskie Zametki **89** (2011), 885–893.
- [14] A. SÜTÖ, *The spectrum of a quasiperiodic Schrödinger operator*, Commun. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), 409–415.
- [15] J. WEIDMANN, *Spectral theory of ordinary differential operators*, Lecture notes in Mathematics 1258, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [16] C.-F. YANG, X.-P. YANG, *Some Ambarzumyan-type theorems for Dirac operators*, Inverse Problems, **23** (2007), 2565–2574.

(Received November 28, 2017)

Sonja Currie
School of Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand
Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

Thomas T. Roth
School of Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand
Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

Bruce A. Watson
School of Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand
Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa