

## HYPONORMALITY OF FINITE RANK PERTURBATIONS OF NORMAL OPERATORS

IL BONG JUNG, EUN YOUNG LEE AND MINJUNG SEO

(Communicated by R. Curto)

*Abstract.* Let  $T$  be an arbitrary finite rank perturbation of a normal operator  $N$  acting on a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is proved that the hyponormality and normality of  $T$  are equivalent. Thus every hyponormal finite rank perturbation of a normal operator has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.

### 1. Introduction and notation

This paper is a continuation of first and second authors' earlier paper [12] in which we discussed the hyponormality of rank-one perturbations of normal operators acting on a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The notation and terminology in what follows are taken from [12]. For the convenience of the reader we recall a few pertinent definitions. The algebra of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . For nonzero vectors  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  we write  $u \otimes v$  for the rank-one operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  by  $(u \otimes v)(x) = \langle x, v \rangle u$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we denote by  $[X, Y] = XY - YX$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is *normal* if  $[T^*, T] = 0$ , and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is *hyponormal* if  $[T^*, T]$  is positive, i.e.,  $\langle [T^*, T]x, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . An operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called a *finite rank perturbation of a normal operator* if there exist nonzero vectors  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^n$  and  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and a normal operator  $N \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $T$  is unitarily equivalent to an operator  $N + \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \otimes v_j$ . In particular, for  $n = 1$ , such operator  $T$  is referred to a *rank-one perturbation of a normal operator*. The rank-one perturbations of normal operators can be applied to some areas in mathematical physics (cf. [3], [13], [16]). And also the finite rank perturbations of a normal operator can be applied to solve the von Neumann invariant subspace problem of bounded operators (cf. [17]). E. Ionascu([11]) detected the structure of rank-one perturbations of diagonal operators. Also, in [14] one discussed some properties of rank-one perturbations of unilateral shifts operators. Moreover, in [4] one considered rank-one perturbations of weighted shifts to examine distinctions among various sorts of weak hyponormalities;

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): Primary 47B20, 47A63, Secondary 47A55, 47A15.

*Keywords and phrases:* Normal operator, hyponormal operator, finite rank perturbation, invariant subspace, hyperinvariant subspace.

The first author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (2018R1A2B6003660). The second author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2018R1A6A3A01012892).

see [10] for weak hyponormalities. In [12], Jung-Lee proved that if  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is a rank-one perturbation of a normal operator, then the hyponormality and normality of  $T$  are equivalent. As a continued study, we detect the structure of  $[T^*, T]$  and prove that if  $T$  is a finite rank perturbation of a normal operator, then hyponormality and normality of  $T$  are equivalent in Section 2. This implies obviously that if  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is a hyponormal finite rank perturbation of a normal operator, then  $T$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.

Throughout this note, we write  $\mathbb{C}$  for the set of complex numbers. For  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\text{ran}A$  denotes the range of  $A$  as usual. Since  $(Au) \otimes v = A(u \otimes v)$ , we denote it by  $Au \otimes v$ . For a subset  $X$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\vee X$  is the subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by  $X$ .

### 2. Main theorem

Let  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$  and  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^n$  be nonzero vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let

$$T := N + \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \otimes v_k \tag{2.1}$$

be a finite rank perturbation of a normal operator  $N$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . We first introduce the main theorem of this note as following.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $T$  be a finite rank perturbation of a normal operator  $N$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $T$  is hyponormal if and only if  $T$  is normal.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be given after lemma and remark. Let  $T$  be a usual finite rank perturbation of a normal operator  $N$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  as in (2.1). Then a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} [T^*, T] &= \sum_{k=1}^n [N^* u_k \otimes v_k + v_k \otimes N^* u_k - N v_k \otimes u_k - u_k \otimes N v_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n (\langle u_l, u_k \rangle v_l \otimes v_l - \langle v_l, v_k \rangle u_k \otimes u_l)]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For brevity, we denote the subspaces by

$$\mathcal{M} := \vee \{u_k, v_k\}_{k=1}^n$$

and

$$\mathcal{R} := \vee \{u_k, v_k, N^* u_k, N v_k\}_{k=1}^n.$$

By (2.2), we obtain that  $\text{ran}([T^*, T]) \subset \mathcal{R}$ .

We now discuss matrix structure of the commutator  $[T^*, T]$  of  $T^*$  and  $T$  with  $\dim \mathcal{M} = d \leq 2n$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $T = N + \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \otimes v_k$  be a finite rank perturbation of a normal operator  $N$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and suppose that  $\dim \mathcal{M} = d \leq 2n$ . Then there exists an orthonormal system  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $m = d + 2n$  such that*

- (i)  $\mathcal{M} = \vee\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{R} \subset \vee\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$  ( $:= \mathcal{N}_m$ ),
- (iii)  $[T^*, T] \cong A_m \oplus 0_{\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{N}_m}$  relative to  $\mathcal{N}_m \oplus (\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{N}_m)$ , where

$$A_m \cong \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1d} & a_{1d+1} & a_{1d+2} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ \overline{a_{12}} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2d} & a_{2d+1} & a_{2d+2} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \overline{a_{1d}} & \overline{a_{2d}} & \cdots & a_{dd} & a_{dd+1} & a_{dd+2} & \cdots & a_{dm} \\ \overline{a_{1d+1}} & \overline{a_{2d+1}} & \cdots & \overline{a_{dd+1}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \overline{a_{1d+2}} & \overline{a_{2d+2}} & \cdots & \overline{a_{dd+2}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \overline{a_{1m}} & \overline{a_{2m}} & \cdots & \overline{a_{dm}} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.3a}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} = & \sum_{k=1}^n [\langle e_j, v_k \rangle \langle N^* u_k, e_i \rangle + \langle e_j, N^* u_k \rangle \langle v_k, e_i \rangle] \\ & - \langle e_j, u_k \rangle \langle N v_k, e_i \rangle - \langle e_j, N v_k \rangle \langle u_k, e_i \rangle \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^n (\langle u_l, u_k \rangle \langle e_j, v_l \rangle \langle v_k, e_i \rangle - \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \langle e_j, u_l \rangle \langle u_k, e_i \rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3b}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that the dimension of  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $d$ . Then, by Gram-Schmidt orthogonal process ([20, Th. 3.5]), we can take an orthonormal system  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$  such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \vee\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d. \tag{2.4}$$

Take an extended orthonormal system  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$  containing  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$  with  $m = d + 2n$  such that  $\mathcal{R} \subset \vee\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{N}_m := \vee\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ . It follows from (2.2) that for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [T^*, T]h = & \sum_{k=1}^n [\langle h, v_k \rangle N^* u_k + \langle h, N^* u_k \rangle v_k - \langle h, u_k \rangle N v_k - \langle h, N v_k \rangle u_k] \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^n (\langle u_l, u_k \rangle \langle h, v_l \rangle v_k - \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \langle h, u_l \rangle u_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, by (2.5),  $[T^*, T]\mathcal{N}_m \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{N}_m$ , and so  $\mathcal{N}_m$  is a reducing subspace for  $[T^*, T]$ . Considering some orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  containing  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ , we get  $[T^*, T]e_i = 0, i \geq m + 1$ . Hence we have a decomposition

$$[T^*, T] \cong A_m \oplus 0_{\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{N}_m}$$

relative to  $\mathcal{N}_m \oplus (\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{N}_m)$ , where  $A_m$  is unitarily equivalent to an  $m \times m$  complex

matrix  $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ . Substituting  $e_j$  for  $h$  in (2.5), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ij} &= \langle [T^*, T]e_j, e_i \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n [\langle e_j, v_k \rangle \langle N^* u_k, e_i \rangle + \langle e_j, N^* u_k \rangle \langle v_k, e_i \rangle \\
 &\quad - \langle e_j, u_k \rangle \langle N v_k, e_i \rangle - \langle e_j, N v_k \rangle \langle u_k, e_i \rangle \\
 &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n (\langle u_l, u_k \rangle \langle e_j, v_l \rangle \langle v_k, e_i \rangle - \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \langle e_j, u_l \rangle \langle u_k, e_i \rangle)].
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Using (2.6), we can obtain (2.3a) and  $a_{ji} = \overline{a_{ij}}$ . Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2$  be Hilbert spaces and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$  be the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}_1$  to  $\mathcal{H}_2$ . We recall a well-known result in operator theory below.

REMARK 2.3. Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ , and let

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to some decomposition. Then it follows from [18] that  $S \geq 0$  if and only if  $A \geq 0, C \geq 0$  and  $B = \sqrt{A}E\sqrt{C}$ , for some contraction  $E \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ . Hence if every diagonal entry of the positive matrix  $S$  is zero, then  $S = 0$ .

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 2.1.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Since every normal operator is hyponormal, we prove only the sufficiency. So we suppose that  $T$  is hyponormal and put  $d = \dim \mathcal{M}$ . Then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists an orthonormal system  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $m = d + 2n$  such that  $[T^*, T] \cong A_m \oplus 0_{\mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{N}_m}$ , where  $A_m$  and  $\mathcal{N}_m$  are as in Lemma 2.2. It is obvious that  $A_m \geq 0$ . Hence  $a_{ii} \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and by Remark 2.3,  $a_{ij} = 0, d + 1 \leq j \leq m$ . Now it is sufficient to see that  $a_{ii} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Recall from (2.4) and (2.3b) that

$$u_i = \sum_{k=1}^d \langle u_i, e_k \rangle e_k, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \tag{2.7a}$$

$$v_i = \sum_{k=1}^d \langle v_i, e_k \rangle e_k, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{2.7b}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ii} &= 2\text{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n (\langle e_i, v_k \rangle \langle N^* u_k, e_i \rangle - \langle e_i, u_k \rangle \langle N v_k, e_i \rangle) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} (\langle u_l, u_k \rangle \langle e_i, v_l \rangle \langle v_k, e_i \rangle - \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \langle e_i, u_l \rangle \langle u_k, e_i \rangle) \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (\|u_k\|^2 |\langle e_i, v_k \rangle|^2 - \|v_k\|^2 |\langle e_i, u_k \rangle|^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7c}$$

Thus, by (2.7a-c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ii} &= 2\operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \langle e_i, v_k \rangle \langle N^* u_k, e_i \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^d \langle e_i, u_k \rangle \langle N v_k, e_i \rangle \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \langle u_l, u_k \rangle \langle e_i, v_l \rangle \langle v_k, e_i \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^d \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \langle e_i, u_l \rangle \langle u_k, e_i \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \|u_k\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^d |\langle e_i, v_k \rangle|^2 - \|v_k\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^d |\langle e_i, u_k \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \langle N^* u_k, \sum_{i=1}^d \langle v_k, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle - \langle N v_k, \sum_{i=1}^d \langle u_k, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \left( \langle u_l, u_k \rangle \langle v_k, \sum_{i=1}^d \langle v_l, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle - \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \langle u_k, \sum_{i=1}^d \langle u_l, e_i \rangle e_i \rangle \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \|u_k\|^2 \|v_k\|^2 - \|v_k\|^2 \|u_k\|^2 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.7a,b) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d a_{ii} &= 2\operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \langle N^* u_k, v_k \rangle - \langle N v_k, u_k \rangle \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \left( \langle u_l, u_k \rangle \langle v_k, v_l \rangle - \langle v_l, v_k \rangle \langle u_k, u_l \rangle \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $a_{ii} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Remark on invariant subspaces

Recall that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a *nontrivial invariant [hyperinvariant] subspace* for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  if  $T\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  [ $X\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  for  $X \in \{T\}' = \{X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) : XT = TX\}$ ] with  $(0) \neq \mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}$ . In 1930's, J. von Neumann introduced the invariant subspace problem: does every operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  have a nontrivial invariant subspace? Although many operator theorists tried to solve this problem until now, it remains still as an open problem (cf. [17]). An operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is *subnormal* if it is (unitarily equivalent to) the restriction of a normal operator to an invariant subspace. In 1978, S. Brown ([1]) proved that every subnormal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace. The question of whether subnormal operators in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \setminus \mathbb{C}1_{\mathcal{H}}$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace is still open (cf. [6], [19]). Note that every subnormal operator is hyponormal. And also the question whether every hyponormal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace is still open (cf. [2]). We recall the following problem:

- (P1) *Does every operator  $T$  of the form  $T = N + K$ , where  $N$  is normal operator and  $K$  is compact operator, have a nontrivial invariant subspace?*

The theorem of Berger-Shaw reduces the invariant subspace problem for hyponormal operators to a very special case of the following result ([15, Corollary 8.5]):

(P2) *If every operator  $T$  of the form  $T = N + K$ , where  $N$  is normal operator and  $K$  is compact operator, has a nontrivial invariant subspace, every hyponormal operator has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

As one of effective studies concerning (P2), the following problem was suggested in [15, Problem K].

(P3) *Suppose  $N$  is a diagonal normal operator whose eigenvalues constitute a dense subset of the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ . Does every operator of the form  $N + F$  have a nontrivial invariant subspace, where  $F$  is an operator of rank one?*

Despite the fact that Problem (P3) is about forty years old, it has remained stubbornly intractable, although some operator theorists obtained some partial solutions (cf. [5], [7], [8], [9]). From this point of view, the following corollary which comes immediately from Theorem 2.1 is interesting.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *Let  $T$  be a finite rank perturbation of a normal operator  $N$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . If  $T$  is hyponormal, then  $T$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. BROWN, *Some invariant subspaces for subnormal operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **1** (1978), 310–333.
- [2] S. BROWN, *Hyponormal operators with thick spectra have invariant subspaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **125** (1987), 93–103.
- [3] W. DONOGHUE, *On the perturbation of spectra*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 559–579.
- [4] G. EXNER, I. B. JUNG, E. Y. LEE, AND M. R. LEE, *Gaps of operators via rank-one perturbations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **376** (2011), 576–587.
- [5] Q. FANG AND J. XIA, *Invariant subspaces for certain finite-rank perturbations of diagonal operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 1356–1377.
- [6] C. FOIAS, I. B. JUNG, E. KO, AND C. PEARCY, *Hyperinvariant subspaces for some subnormal operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 2899–2913.
- [7] C. FOIAS, I. B. JUNG, E. KO, AND C. PEARCY, *On rank-one perturbations of normal operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **253** (2007), 628–646.
- [8] C. FOIAS, I. B. JUNG, E. KO, AND C. PEARCY, *On rank-one perturbations of normal operators. II*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 2745–2760.
- [9] C. FOIAS, I. B. JUNG, E. KO, AND C. PEARCY, *Spectral decomposability of rank-one perturbations of normal operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **375** (2011), 602–609.
- [10] T. FURUTA, *Invitation to Linear Operators. From matrices to bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space*, Taylor & Francis, Ltd., London, 2001.
- [11] E. IONASCU, *Rank-one perturbations of diagonal operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **39** (2001), 421–440.
- [12] I. B. JUNG AND E. Y. LEE, *Rank-one perturbations of normal operators and hyponormality*, Oper. Matrices **8** (2014), 691–698.
- [13] S. JITOMIRSKAYA AND B. SIMON, *Operators with singular continuous spectrum, III; almost periodic Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 201–205.
- [14] E. KO AND J. E. LEE, *On rank one perturbations of unilateral shift*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **26** (2011), 79–88.

- [15] C. PEARCY, *Some Recent Developments in Operator Theory*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 36, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1978.
- [16] R. DEL RIO, N. MAKAROV AND B. SIMON, *Operators with singular continuous spectrum, II: rank one operators*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165** (1994), 59–67.
- [17] H. RADJAVI AND P. ROSENTHAL, *Invariant Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [18] J. SMUL'JAN, *An operator Hellinger integral*, (Russian), *Mat. Sb.* **91** (1959), 381–430.
- [19] J. THOMSON, *Approximation in the mean by polynomials*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **133** (1991), 477–507.
- [20] J. WEIDMANN, *Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980.

(Received March 10, 2016)

*Il Bong Jung*

*Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences  
Kyungpook National University  
Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea  
e-mail: ibjung@knu.ac.kr*

*Eun Young Lee*

*Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences  
Kyungpook National University  
Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea  
e-mail: eunyounglee@knu.ac.kr*

*Minjung Seo*

*Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences  
Kyungpook National University  
Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea  
e-mail: mjseo@knu.ac.kr*