

## LYAPUNOV PROPERTY OF POSITIVE $C_0$ -SEMIGROUPS ON NON-COMMUTATIVE $L^p$ SPACES

T. PRAJAPATI\*, K. B. SINHA AND S. SRIVASTAVA

(Communicated by T. S. S. R. K. Rao)

*Abstract.* That the growth bound of a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on classical  $L_p$ -space coincides with the spectral bound of its generator, is a well known result in classical semigroup theory. In this paper we study this result in the non-commutative setting.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on a Banach space  $X$  with a generator  $A$ . Set  $s(A) := \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$  and  $w(T) := \inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \exists M \geq 1 \text{ such that } \|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\lambda t} \forall t \geq 0\}$ , where  $\sigma(A)$  is the spectrum of  $A$ . If  $\dim X < \infty$ , then the spectral bound  $s(A)$  is equal to the growth bound  $w(T)$  and this implies that the solution  $u(t) = T(t)x_0$  of the initial value problem in  $X$ :

$$u'(t) = Au(t), \quad u(0) = x_0$$

decays exponentially to zero if  $s(A) < 0$ . The equality between  $s(A)$  and  $w(T)$  is not true, in general, for  $C_0$ -semigroups if  $\dim X = \infty$ . However, the spectral mapping theorem implies that  $s(A) \leq w(T)$  in general. It is also known that the said equality (we shall call it the *Lyapunov property* of the semigroup  $T$ ) is true for every holomorphic semigroup and there are examples of violation of Lyapunov property for  $C_0$ -semigroups even on Hilbert spaces (see [1, Section 5.1]).

On the other hand, the additional assumption of positivity, in situations where it makes sense, often verifies the Lyapunov property. For example, this is true for classical  $L^p$ -spaces,  $L$ -spaces, von-Neumann algebras,  $C(\Omega)$  and  $C_0(\Omega)$  [1, 11]. For positive  $C_0$ -semigroups on classical  $L^p$  spaces, the fact that the Lyapunov property holds, was proven first (i) for  $p = 1$  by Derdinger in 1980 [4], (ii) for  $p = 2$  in 1983 by Greiner-Nagel [5] (iii) for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , with some additional conditions, in [16] and [7] and finally (iv) for all positive  $C_0$  semigroups on  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  by Weis [17] in 1995.

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 47D06, 47D03.

*Keywords and phrases:*  $C_0$ -semigroup, Lyapunov property, non-commutative  $L^p$  space, Schatten class.

This research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (DST) - Science Education and Research Board (SERB)'s Fast Track Grant.

\* Corresponding author.

This article studies Lyapunov property for  $C_0$ -semigroups defined on non commutative  $L^p$  spaces. We show directly, using Datko’s theorem, that  $s(A) = w(T)$  for a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup defined on non-commutative  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  or  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  space, where  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von-Neumann algebra with a normal, semifinite, faithful trace  $\tau$ . Moreover, following Voigt [16], where a similar result is proven in the commutative setting, we prove that the equality holds for  $C_0$ -semigroups defined on non-commutative  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  spaces for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , provided some additional conditions hold. We also show that the Lyapunov property holds for consistent families of positive  $C_0$ -semigroups defined on a special class of non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces - the Schatten classes.

### 2. Preliminaries

We briefly recall the definition of non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces, referring the reader to [3, 14] for details. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a von-Neumann algebra with a normal, semifinite, faithful trace  $\tau$ . Let  $S_+$  be the set of all positive  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\tau(x) < \infty$  and  $S$  be linear span of  $S_+$ . Then  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is the completion of  $S$  with respect to the norm  $\|x\|_p = \tau(|x|^p)^{1/p}$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  can also be described as a space of unbounded operators  $x$  affiliated to  $\mathcal{M}$  in a certain sense such that  $\tau(|x|^p) < \infty$ . We set  $L^\infty(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \mathcal{M}$  equipped with the operator norm. The trace  $\tau$  can be extended as continuous linear functional on  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with  $|\tau(x)| \leq \|x\|_1$ .

The usual Hölder inequality extends to the non-commutative setting. Let  $1 \leq r, p, q \leq \infty$  be such that  $1/r = 1/p + 1/q$  and  $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), y \in L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , then  $xy \in L^r(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and

$$\|xy\|_r \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q. \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, if  $r = 1$ , that is,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , then for  $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), y \in L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , we have that  $xy \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and

$$|\tau(xy)| \leq \|xy\|_1 \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q. \tag{2.2}$$

This defines a natural duality between  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and  $L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \tau(xy^*)$ . Then for any  $1 \leq p < \infty, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ , we have

$$L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^* = L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \tag{2.3}$$

Thus  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is the predual of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is reflexive for  $1 < p < \infty$ . The space  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product  $(x, y) \leftrightarrow \langle x, y^* \rangle$ . It is known that  $\mathcal{M} \cap L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

Throughout this article, we will assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von-Neumann algebra with a normal, faithful, semifinite trace  $\tau$  unless otherwise stated.

Consider a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  with generator  $A$ . Setting  $T'(t) = e^{-wt}T(t)$  for some  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ , it is clear that the generator  $A - w$  satisfies  $s(A - w) = s(A) - w$  and  $\|T'(t)\| \leq Me^{(w(T) - w)t}$ . If we can show that  $s(A) - w < 0$  implies  $(w(T) - w) < 0$ , then  $w(T) \leq s(A)$ , which combined with the earlier observation would imply the Lyapunov property. Since  $w$  is arbitrary, it suffices to prove that  $s(A) < 0$  implies  $w(T) < 0$ .

The following useful criterion for  $w(T) < 0$  is very well known.

**THEOREM 2.1.** [1, Datko’s theorem]: *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $w(T) < 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\int_0^\infty \|T(t)x\|_X^p dt < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ , and some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

We note that each of the non-commutative  $L^p$ -spaces is a normal ordered Banach space [13], and if  $T$  is a semigroup of positive maps on  $X$ , then there is a simplification to the above theorem.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $X = L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $T = \{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $X$ . The following are equivalent,*

- (i’)  $w(T) < 0$ ,
- (ii’)  $\int_1^\infty \|T(t)x\|_X^p dt < \infty$  for all  $x \in X_+$ , the positive cone of  $X$ , and for some  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Only the implication (ii’)  $\Rightarrow$  (i’) needs to be proven and for that it suffices to show that (ii’)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) of Theorem because continuity of  $T$  implies that  $\|T(t)\| \leq M$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $x \in X$  be a self adjoint element, such that  $x = x_+ - x_-$  and  $x_+, x_- \in X_+$ . Then by triangle inequality

$$\|T_p(t)x\|_p = \|T_p(t)x_+ - T_p(t)x_-\|_p \leq \|T_p(t)x_+\|_p + \|T_p(t)x_-\|_p.$$

Thus by the Minkowski inequality, one has that

$$\left( \int_1^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \int_1^\infty \|T_p(t)x_+\|_p^p dt \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_1^\infty \|T_p(t)x_-\|_p^p dt \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Now let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary. Then  $x = x_1 + ix_2$ , where  $x_1, x_2$  are self adjoint elements of  $X$ . Again by using the triangle inequality, we get

$$\|T_p(t)x\|_p = \|T_p(t)x_1 + iT_p(t)x_2\|_p \leq \|T_p(t)x_1\|_p + \|T_p(t)x_2\|_p$$

and an identical reasoning gives the required result.  $\square$

We shall need the following technical result in the sequel.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $T_p := \{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . For  $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  and  $\alpha > \max\{0, w(T_p)\}$ , set*

$$G_\alpha(s, t) := \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} T_p(t)x & (0 \leq s \leq t) \\ 0 & (t < s). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Then

$$\int_1^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt \leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right)^p \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds \right)^p dt \right). \tag{2.5}$$

*Proof.* For a fixed  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds &= \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} T_p(t)x ds = \left( \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} ds \right) T_p(t)x \\ &= \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha t}}{\alpha} \right) T_p(t)x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+, \end{aligned}$$

since  $T_p(t)x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  due to positivity of  $T_p(t)$ .  
 Thus  $(\int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds)^p \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , so that,

$$0 \leq \tau \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds \right)^p < \infty.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds \right)^p dt \right) &= \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha t}}{\alpha} \right)^p (T_p(t)x)^p dt \right) \\ &\geq \tau \left( \int_1^\infty \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha t}}{\alpha} \right)^p (T_p(t)x)^p dt \right) \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^p \tau \left( \int_1^\infty (T_p(t)x)^p dt \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^p \int_1^\infty \tau (T_p(t)x)^p dt \\ &= \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^p \int_1^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_1^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt \leq \left( \frac{\alpha}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right)^p \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds \right)^p dt \right). \quad \square$$

Throughout the rest of this article, we will assume that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von-Neumann algebra with a normal faithful semifinite trace  $\tau$  unless otherwise stated.

### 3. The case when $p = 1, 2$

In the next theorem, we give a direct proof of the fact that the Lyapunov property holds for all  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . This result may also be deduced indirectly, from the facts that for such spaces, the norm is additive on the positive cone, these spaces are normal, ordered Banach spaces [14], and some spectral bounds of the generator of  $C_0$ -semigroups defined on such spaces coincide ( see [1, Section 5.3] ).

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $T_1 := \{T_1(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , with generator  $A_1$ . Then*

$$s(A_1) = w(T_1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{T_1(t)\}$  and  $A_1$  be as above and suppose that  $s(A_1) < 0$ . In view of Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.1 and the discussion preceding it, it suffices to show that  $\int_1^\infty \|T_1(t)x\|_1 dt < \infty$ , for all  $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ . Let  $\alpha > \max\{0, w(T_1)\}$ ,  $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  and  $G_\alpha$  be as in Lemma 2.3. Due to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, it is enough to show that

$$\tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t) ds \right) dt \right) < \infty \text{ for all } x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that the positivity of  $T_1(t)$  implies that  $e^{-\alpha(t-s)}T_1(t)x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Changing the order of integration in the expression on the left hand side of (3.1), we get

$$\tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t) ds \right) dt \right) = \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)}T_1(t)x dt \right) ds \right), \tag{3.2}$$

and on setting  $t - s = u$  in the expression on RHS of 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_s^\infty e^{-\alpha(t-s)}T_1(t)x dt \right) ds \right) &= \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u}T_1(s+u)x du \right) ds \right) \\ &= \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u}T_1(s)T_1(u)x du \right) ds \right) \\ &= \tau \left( \int_0^\infty T_1(s) \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u}T_1(u)x du \right) ds \right) \\ &= \tau \left( \int_0^\infty T_1(s) (\phi_\alpha(x)) ds \right) = \tau(R(0, A_1)\phi_\alpha(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_\alpha(x) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u}T_1(u)x du \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  since  $\alpha > w(T_1)$ . Therefore, for all  $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ , we have

$$\tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G(s,t) ds \right) dt \right) = \tau(R(0, A_1)\phi_\alpha(x)) \leq \|R(0, A_1)\| \|\phi_\alpha(x)\|_1 < \infty.$$

Therefore one has  $\int_1^\infty \|T_1(t)x\|_1 dt < \infty$ , which implies the same conclusion for  $\int_0^\infty \|T_1(t)x\|_1 dt < \infty$  and hence by Lemma 2.2, the result follows.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $T_2 := \{T_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , which is symmetric, that is,  $\langle T_2(t)x, y \rangle = \tau((T_2(t)x)y^*) = \langle x, T_2(t)y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and for all  $t \geq 0$ , with generator  $A_2$ . Then*

$$s(A_2) = w(T_2).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $s(A_2) < 0$ . Let  $\alpha > \max\{0, w(T_2)\}$ ,  $x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$  and  $G_\alpha$  be as in Lemma 2.3. It is sufficient to show in view of Lemma 2.3, that

$$\tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s,t) ds \right)^2 dt \right) < \infty.$$

We note that  $G_\alpha(., t) : [0, 1] \longrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is continuous and hence

$$\left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds \right)^2 = \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s, t) ds \right) \left( \int_0^\infty G_\alpha(s', t) ds' \right) = \iint_{I_1 \cup I_2} G_\alpha(s, t) G_\alpha(s', t) ds ds',$$

where  $I_1 := \{(s, s') \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : 0 \leq s \leq s' \leq t\}$  and  $I_2 := \{(s, s') \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : 0 \leq s' \leq s \leq t\}$ . Also

$$\iint_{I_1 \cup I_2} G_\alpha(s, t) G_\alpha(s', t) ds ds' = \iint_{I_1 \cup I_2} e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds ds'.$$

Now using symmetry in  $(s, s')$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{I_1 \cup I_2} e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds ds' &= 2 \iint_{I_2} e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds ds' \\ &= 2 \left( \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s e^{-\alpha(2t-s-s')} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds' \right) ds \right) \\ &= 2 \left( \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(2t-s)} (T_2(t)x)^2 \left( \int_0^s e^{\alpha s'} ds' \right) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(2t-s)} (T_2(t)x)^2 (e^{\alpha s} - 1) ds \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^t e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus on evaluating the trace, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty G(s, t) ds \right)^2 dt \right) &\leq \tau \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^t e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} (T_2(t)x)^2 ds \right) \right) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^t e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} \tau(T_2(t)x)^2 ds \right) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \chi_{[0, t]}(s) e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} \tau(T_2(t)x)^2 ds dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \chi_{[s, \infty]}(t) e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} \tau(T_2(t)x)^2 dt ds \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_s^\infty e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} \tau(T_2(t)x)^2 dt \right) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \tau(T_2(s+u)x)^2 du \right) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \tau(T_2(s)T_2(u)x)^2 du \right) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} K(s, u) du \right) ds \right), \quad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(s, u) := \langle T_2(s)T_2(u)x, T_2(s)T_2(u)x \rangle = \langle T_2(u)x, T_2(2s)T_2(u)x \rangle,$$

due to the symmetry and semigroup property of  $\{T_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

Hence, by a change of order of integration, which is justified by the positivity of the integrand, we have that the expression in (3.3) above

$$= \frac{2}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \left\langle T_2(u)x, \left( \int_0^\infty (T_2(2s)ds \right) T_2(u)x \right\rangle du \right).$$

Since  $s(A_2) < 0$ ,  $0$  is in  $\rho(A_2)$  and in such a case  $R(0, A_2)$  is self adjoint and one has that the above expression

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \langle T_2(u)x, R(0, A_2)T_2(u)x \rangle du \right) \leq \frac{\|R(0, A_2)\|}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-2\alpha u} \|T_2(u)x\|^2 du,$$

which is finite since  $\alpha > w(T_2)$ .  $\square$

REMARK 3.3. We note that  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  is a Hilbert space which is also an ordered Banach space with normal cone [13]. Therefore, for positive  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  the Lyapunov property holds in view of [1, Theorem 5.3.1 and Theorem 5.2.1]. In Theorem 3.2 above, we give a different and direct proof of the fact that the Lyapunov property holds for positive symmetric semigroups defined on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ .

#### 4. Lyapunov property for consistent families of $C_0$ - semigroups

In this section we show that the Lyapunov property holds for consistent families of positive  $C_0$ -semigroups under certain conditions.

By a **consistent family** of  $C_0$ -semigroups defined on the non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces we shall mean a family  $\{T_p : 1 \leq p < \infty\}$  of semigroups such that for each  $p, T_p := \{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a  $C_0$ -semigroup defined on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and for all  $t \geq 0, p, q \in [1, \infty)$ ,

$$T_p(t)x = T_q(t)x, \quad \text{for all } x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \tag{4.1}$$

REMARK 4.1. It has been shown in [3] that every  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , defined on  $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  which is symmetric and Markov (that is,  $0 \leq T_2(t)x \leq 1$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ), extends to a consistent family of  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 1 \leq p < \infty$ .

We recall that the Schatten classes form a major example of non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces. Given a Hilbert space  $H, 1 \leq p < \infty$ , the Schatten class  $S^p(H)$  is defined as

$$S^p(H) := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(H) : Tr(|A|^p) < \infty\}, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$  and  $Tr$  is the usual operator trace.

The following result is the key to proving the Lyapunov property for consistent families of  $C_0$ -semigroups on these spaces.

LEMMA 4.2. [10, Lemma 1.1] For  $x \in S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\|x\|_p \leq \|x\|_q.$$

Using Lemma 4.2 we are able to establish the following relation between spectral bounds of the generators of consistent  $C_0$ -semigroups.

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $T := \{T_r : 1 \leq r < \infty\}$  be a consistent family of positive  $C_0$ -semigroups on the non commutative spaces  $S^r(H)$  and suppose that  $s(A_q) < 0$  for some  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Then  $s(A_p) < 0$  and  $R(0, A_q)x = R(0, A_p)x$  for all  $p \geq q$  and for all  $x \in S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q < p < \infty$  and  $x \in S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$ . Since  $T$  represents a consistent family, therefore  $T_p(t)x = T_q(t)x$ . Moreover, since  $s(A_q) < 0$ , it follows that  $R(0, A_q)$  exists as a bounded operator on  $S^q(H)$  and from [1, Theorem 5.3.1] we have that

$$R(0, A_q)x = \int_0^\infty T_q(t)x dt, \quad \forall x \in S^q(H). \tag{4.3}$$

Since  $\int_0^\infty T_q(t)x dt$  exists in  $S^q(H)$ , Lemma 4.2,  $\int_0^\infty T_p(s)x ds$  exists in  $S^p(H)$ . Moreover,

$$\int_0^\infty T_p(s)x ds = \int_0^\infty T_q(s)x ds = R(0, A_q)x. \tag{4.4}$$

Denseness of  $S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$  in  $S^p(H)$  now implies that the map  $y \mapsto \int_0^\infty T_p(s)y ds$  exists as a bounded linear operator on  $S^p(H)$  and hence coincides with  $R(0, A_p)$ . Thus,  $s(A_p) < 0$ . That the resolvents agree on  $S^p(H) \cap S^q(H)$  is just the equation (4.4).  $\square$

THEOREM 4.4. Suppose  $\{T_p : 1 \leq p < \infty\}$  is a consistent family of positive  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $S^p(H)$ . Then  $s(A_q) = w(T_q)$  for all  $q \in [1, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $q \in (1, 2)$ . Suppose  $s(A_q) < 0$ . Then by Theorem 4.3,  $s(A_2) < 0$ . But the Lyapunov property holds for positive semigroups on Hilbert spaces which are also normal ordered Banach spaces, and hence also for  $S^2(H)$  (see Remark 3.3). Thus  $s(A_2) = w(T_2) < 0$ . Again, using Lemma 4.2 we have that for  $p : 1/p + 1/q = 1$ ,

$$\|T_p(t)x\| \leq \|T_2(t)x\| \text{ for all } t \geq 0$$

and for all  $x \in S^2(H) \cap S^p(H)$  as  $p > 2$ . Hence  $w(T_p) \leq w(T_2) < 0$ . Due to duality,  $\|T_q(t)\| = \|(T_q(t))^*\| = \|T_p(t)\|$  for all  $t \geq 0$  whence  $w(T_q) < 0$ . Thus  $s(A_q) = w(T_q)$  for all  $q \in (1, 2)$  and by duality for all  $q \in (1, 2) \cup (2, \infty)$ . Combining this with Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3, we have our result.  $\square$

It is well known that the non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces associated with a semifinite von-Nuemann algebra form an interpolation scale both with respect to the complex and real interpolation methods [14]:

$$L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (L^{p_0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L^{p_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))_\theta \text{ (with equal norms),} \tag{4.5}$$



$$L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = (L^{p_0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L^{p_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))_{\theta,p} \text{ (with equivalent norms),} \tag{4.6}$$

where  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty, 0 < \theta < 1, p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$  and where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta,p}$  denote respectively the complex and real interpolation methods. Non-commutative version of the Reisz Thorin interpolation Theorem [14] also holds. In the following, we use these facts to obtain some relations between spectral and growth bounds of consistent families of semigroups on the non commutative  $L^p$  spaces.

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $T := \{T_r : 1 \leq r < \infty\}$  be a consistent family of  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 1 \leq p < \infty$ . Suppose that  $\int_0^\infty \|T_1(t)x\|_1 dt < \infty$ , for all  $x \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and also that  $\int_0^\infty \|T_2(t)x\|_2^2 dt < \infty$ , for all  $x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Then for each  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,*

$$\int_0^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt < \infty, \text{ for all } x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau). \tag{4.7}$$

Equivalently,

$$w(T_i) < 0, i = 1, 2 \text{ implies that } w(T_p) < 0, \text{ for all } p \in [1, \infty).$$

*Proof.* Define a map  $\mathcal{T}_1 : L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$  as  $x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_1 x$  such that  $(\mathcal{T}_1 x)(t) = T_1(t)x$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is a linear map. We claim that  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is a closed map. Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_1 x_n \rightarrow y$  for some  $y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ . Therefore,  $\int_0^\infty \|T_1(t)x_n - y(t)\|_1 dt \rightarrow 0$ , which in turn implies that  $T(t)x_{n_k} \rightarrow y(t)$  almost everywhere for some subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$ . On the other hand, boundedness of  $T_1(t)$  implies that  $T_1(t)x_n \rightarrow T(t)x$ . Thus  $y(t) = T(t)x$  for almost all  $t$ . Since  $y \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ , this implies that  $\mathcal{T}_1 x \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is a closed map defined on  $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Now the closed graph theorem implies that  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is a bounded linear map.

Similarly,  $\mathcal{T}_2 : L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$  defined by  $(\mathcal{T}_2 x)(t) = T_2(t)x$  is a bounded linear map.

By interpolation, we have that for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , the linear operator

$$\mathcal{T}_p : L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$$

as  $x \mapsto \mathcal{T}_p x$  such that  $(\mathcal{T}_p x)(t) = T_p(t)x$ , is bounded with  $\|\mathcal{T}_p x\|_{p,p} \leq C_p \|x\|_p$  for all  $x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and for some  $C_p \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , where

$$\|\mathcal{T}_p x\|_{p,p}^p = \int_0^\infty \|T_p(t)x\|_p^p dt, \text{ for all } x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Hence (4.7) holds. Datko’s theorem 2.1 gives the equivalent form of the statement of the theorem for  $1 < p < 2$ . For  $2 < p < \infty$ , the conclusions follow by a duality argument.  $\square$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 we have the following result.

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Let  $T := \{T_r : 1 \leq r < \infty\}$  be a consistent family of  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau), 1 \leq p < \infty$  with  $A_p$  the generator of the semigroup  $\{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ . If  $s(A_1) < 0$  and  $s(A_2) < 0$ , then  $s(A_p) < 0$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . From Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3 respectively we have that  $w(T_1) < 0$  and  $w(T_2) < 0$ . Theorem 4.5 now gives  $w(T_p) < 0$ . Hence  $s(A_p) \leq w(T_p) < 0$ .  $\square$

Under the additional assumption of independence of the growth bound of the  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , of the parameter  $p$  the Lyapunov property can be shown to hold for consistent family of positive  $C_0$ -semigroups on the non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces. For the classical case this has been proven by Voigt [16], and we shall adapt that proof to our setting. Recall that for a  $C_0$ -semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  with generator  $A$  the *uniform spectral bound*  $s_0(A)$  is defined as

$$s_0(A) := \inf\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : H_\alpha \subset \rho(A) \text{ and } \sup_{\lambda \in H_\alpha} \|R(\lambda, A)\| < \infty\}, \tag{4.8}$$

where  $H_\alpha := \{\lambda : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \alpha\}$ .

For the generator  $A$  of a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup defined on an ordered Banach space with normal cone it is known that (see [1, Theorem 5.3.1])  $s(A) = s_0(A)$ .

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $T_p := \{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  with generator  $A_p$ , for  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ ,  $p_0 < p_1$ , and  $p_0, p_1 \in [1, \infty)$ . Assume*

$$T_p(t) = T_q(t) \quad \forall x \in L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap L^q(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \tag{4.9}$$

and for all  $p, q \in [p_0, p_1]$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

Then for  $r \in [0, 1]$ , if  $p(r)$  is given by  $1/p(r) := (1-r)/p_0 + r/p_1$ , then we have

$$s_0(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1-r)s_0(A_{p_0}) + rs_0(A_{p_1}).$$

*Proof.* We assume, without loss of generality that  $s_0(A_{p_0}) \leq s_0(A_{p_1})$ . By hypothesis, for all  $x \in \mathcal{Y} := L^{p_0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cap L^{p_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  we have for sufficiently large  $z$ :

$$R(z, A_p)x = R(z, A_0)x = R(z, A_1)x.$$

Now we shall show that  $s_0(A_p) \leq s_0(A_{p_1})$ . For this it is sufficient to show that if  $s_0(A_{p_1}) < \delta$  then  $s_0(A_p) < \delta$ . Suppose  $s_0(A_{p_1}) < \delta$ . Then,  $s_0(A_{p_0}) \leq s_0(A_{p_1}) < \delta$ , implies that

$$H_\delta \subset \rho(A_{p_0}) \text{ and } \sup_{\lambda \in H_\delta} \|R(\lambda, A_{p_0})\|_{p_0} < \infty, \tag{4.10}$$

$$H_\delta \subset \rho(A_{p_1}) \text{ and } \sup_{\lambda \in H_\delta} \|R(\lambda, A_{p_1})\|_{p_1} < \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

Now for  $\xi \in H_\delta$ ,  $R(\xi, A_{p_0})$  is a bounded linear map on  $L^{p_0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and so is  $R(\xi, A_{p_1})$  on  $L^{p_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  and the bounded operators agree on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, A_{p_0}) : \mathcal{Y} &\longrightarrow L^{p_0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \\ R(\xi, A_{p_1}) : \mathcal{Y} &\longrightarrow L^{p_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

with  $\|R(\xi, A_{p_0})\|_{p_0} \leq M_0$ , and  $\|R(\xi, A_{p_1})\|_{p_1} \leq M_1$ . Complex interpolation now yields, for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ , and  $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ , that

$$R(\xi, A_p) : \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$$

and  $\|R(\xi, A_p)\|_p \leq M_0^{1-\theta} M_1^\theta$ . Because of denseness of  $\mathcal{Y}$  in  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ , we can extend  $R(\xi, A_p)$  to all of  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ . Thus we get that  $R(\xi, A_p)$  is a bounded linear map and  $\sup_{\xi \in H_\delta} \|R(\xi, A_p)\|_p < \infty$ , for all  $\xi \in H_\delta$ . Therefore  $s_0(A_p) < \delta$ . We also have that

$$R(z, A_{p_0})x = R(z, A_p)x = R(z, A_{p_1})x, \tag{4.12}$$

for all  $z$  with  $\operatorname{Re} z > s_0(A_{p_1})$  and for all  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

Now we shall show that  $s_0(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1 - r)s_0(A_{p_0}) + rs_0(A_{p_1})$ . It is sufficient to show that if  $\hat{r} \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha_j > s_0(A_{p_j})$ , ( $j = 0, 1$ ),  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1$ , then

$$s_0(A_{p(\hat{r})}) \leq (1 - \hat{r})\alpha_0 + \hat{r}\alpha_1.$$

Define  $F(z)x := (z - A_{p_0})^{-1}x$ , for  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ , and for  $\alpha_0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq \alpha_1$ . Then  $F$  is analytic on  $\alpha_0 < \operatorname{Re} z < \alpha_1$  and continuous on its boundary  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_0 \text{ or } \operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_1\}$ . From (4.12), we have  $F(z) := (z - A_{p_1})^{-1}x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{Y}$  and for  $\operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_1$ , and by definition of  $s_0(T_p)$ , we have that

$$\max \left( \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_0} \|F(z)\|_{p_0}, \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_1} \|F(z)\|_{p_1} \right) < \infty.$$

Let

$$M := \max \left( \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_0} \|F(z)\|_{p_0}, \sup_{\operatorname{Re} z = \alpha_1} \|F(z)\|_{p_1} \right).$$

In view of (4.5) and [9, Theorem 2.7] we have that

$$\|F((1 - r)\alpha_0 + r\alpha_1 + iy)\|_{p(r)} \leq M,$$

for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, for  $\hat{r} \leq r \leq 1$ , we have

$$\|F((1 - r)\alpha_0 + r\alpha_1 + iy)\|_{p(\hat{r})} \leq M.$$

Therefore,

$$\|F(z)\|_{p(\hat{r})} \leq M,$$

for all  $z$  with  $(1 - \hat{r})\alpha_0 + \hat{r}\alpha_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq \alpha_1$ . Thus  $R(z, A_{p(\hat{r})})$  can be extended as a bounded holomorphic function to the strip  $\alpha_0 < \operatorname{Re} z < \alpha_1$ . Now by using (4.12), for  $\operatorname{Re} z > s_0(T_{p(\hat{r})})$ , we have that  $s_0(A_{p(\hat{r})}) \leq (1 - \hat{r})\alpha_0 + \hat{r}\alpha_1$ . Hence the result.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.8.** *Suppose that  $\{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  for all  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ , satisfying (4.9).*

(i) Then for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$s(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1-r)s(A_{p_0}) + rs(A_{p_1}).$$

(ii) Assume that  $p_0 < 2 < p_1$ , and that  $w(T_p)$  is independent of  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ . Then for all  $p \in [p_0, p_1]$ , we have

$$s(A_p) = w(T_p).$$

*Proof.*

(i) Since  $\{T_p(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  is a positive  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$  which is an ordered Banach space with normal cone,  $s_0(A_p) = s(A_p)$ . Hence by Theorem 4.7, we have

$$s(A_{p(r)}) \leq (1-r)s(A_{p_0}) + rs(A_{p_1}).$$

(ii) Let  $w_0 := w(T_q)$  for all  $q \in [p_0, p_1]$ . Suppose  $w_0 > s(A_p)$  for some  $p \in [p_0, 2)$ . Then there exists  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that  $p(r) = 2$ , where  $1/p(r) := (1-r)/p + r/p_1$ . Thus part (i) applied to  $[p, p_1]$  implies that  $s(A_2) \leq (1-r)s(A_p) + rs(A_{p_1})$ . Hence, using Remark 3.3 we have that

$$w_0 = s(A_2) \leq (1-r)s(A_p) + rs(A_{p_1}) < w_0,$$

which is a contradiction. The case when  $w_0 > s(A_p)$  for some  $p \in (2, p_1)$  can be dealt with similarly.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] ARENDT, W., BATTY, C. J. K., HIEBER, M. AND NEUBRANDER, F., *Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems*, Second Edition, Monographs in mathematics Vol. 96, Birkhäuser, 2011.
- [2] BERGH, J. AND LÖFSTRÖM, J., *Interpolation spaces: An introduction*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1976.
- [3] DAVIES, E. B. AND LINDSAY, M., *Non-commutative symmetric Markov semigroup*, Math. Z. 210, 379–411, 1992.
- [4] DERNDINGER, R., *Über das spektrum positive generatoren*, Math. Z. 172, 281–193, 1980.
- [5] GREINER, G. AND NAGEL, R., *On the stability of strongly continuous semigroups of positive operators on  $L_2(\mu)$* , P. 257–262, 1983.
- [6] JUNGE, M., MERDY C. AND XU, Q.,  *$H^\infty$  functional calculus and square functions on non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces*, Astérisque 305, Paris: Société mathématique de France, VI+ 138 p. 2006.
- [7] KAASHOEK, M. AND LUNEL, S. M., *An integrability condition on the resolvent for hyperbolicity of the semigroup*, Journal of Differential Equations, 112, 374–406(1996).
- [8] KRIEGLER, C., *Analyticity angle for non-commutative diffusion semigroup*, London Mathematical Society.
- [9] LUNARDI, A., *Interpolation theory*, Vol.-16, Edizioni Della Normale, 2009.
- [10] MCCARTHY, C. A., *cp*, Israel J. Math. Vol. 5, pp. 249–271, 1967.
- [11] NAGEL, R., *One-parameter semigroups of positive operators*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1184, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [12] NELSON, E., *Notes on non-commutative integration*, Journal of Functional Analysis 15, 103–116, 1974.

- [13] PAGTER, B., *Non-commutative Banach function spaces*, Positivity-Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland, 197–227, 2007.
- [14] PISIER, G. AND XU, Q., *Non-commutative  $L^p$  spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1459–1517, 2003.
- [15] SINHA, K. B. AND SRIVASTAVA, S., *Theory of semigroups and applications*, Text and Readings in Mathematics, 74, Hindustan Book Agency, 2017.
- [16] VOIGT, J., *Interpolation for (positive)  $C_0$ -semigroups on  $L^p$ -spaces*, Math. Z. 118, 283–286, 1985.
- [17] WEIS, L., *The stability of positive semigroups on  $L_p$  spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 123, Number 10, October, 1995.
- [18] WEIS, L., *A short proof for the stability theorem for positive semigroups on  $L_p(\mu)$* , Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 126, Number 11, 3253–3256 November, 1998.

(Received February 18, 2019)

*T. Prajapati*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Delhi*  
*Delhi, India*  
*e-mail: tara4chand@gmail.com*

*K. B. Sinha*  
*Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research*  
*Bengaluru, India*  
*e-mail: kbs@jncasr.ac.in*

*S. Srivastava*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Delhi*  
*Delhi, India*  
*e-mail: sachi\_srivastava@yahoo.com*