

ON GENERALIZED DAVIS–WIELANDT RADIUS INEQUALITIES OF SEMI–HILBERTIAN SPACE OPERATORS

ANIKET BHANJA, PINTU BHUNIA AND KALLOL PAUL

(Communicated by R. Curto)

Abstract. Let A be a positive (semidefinite) operator on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let $\mathbb{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & A \end{pmatrix}$. We obtain upper and lower bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators, which generalize and improve on the existing ones. Further, we derive upper bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of the sum of the product of semi-Hilbertian space operators. We also obtain upper bounds for the \mathbb{A} -Davis-Wielandt radius of 2×2 operator matrices. Finally, we determine the exact value for the \mathbb{A} -Davis-Wielandt radius of two operator matrices $\begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$, where X is a semi-Hilbertian space operator, and I, O are the identity operator, the zero operator on \mathcal{H} , respectively.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|$. The letters I and O stand for the identity operator and the zero operator on \mathcal{H} , respectively. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ the range and the null space of T , respectively. By $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ we denote the norm closure of $\mathcal{R}(T)$. Let T^* be the adjoint of T . The cone of all positive semidefinite operators is given by:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+ = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Every $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ induces the following positive semidefinite sesquilinear form:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, (x, y) \longmapsto \langle x, y \rangle_A = \langle Ax, y \rangle,$$

and the sesquilinear form induces the seminorm, given by:

$$\|x\|_A = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle_A}, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This makes \mathcal{H} into a semi-Hilbertian space. It is easy to observe that $\|x\|_A = 0$ if and only if $x \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. Therefore, $\| \cdot \|_A$ is a norm on \mathcal{H} if and only if A is injective. Also we observe that $(\mathcal{H}, \| \cdot \|_A)$ is complete if and only if $\mathcal{R}(A)$ is closed in \mathcal{H} . Let us fix the alphabet A for positive (semidefinite) operator on \mathcal{H} and we also fix

$$\mathbb{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 47A12, 46C05; Secondary 47A30, 47A50.

Keywords and phrases: A -Davis-Wielandt radius, A -numerical radius, A -operator seminorm, Semi-Hilbertian space.

DEFINITION 1.1. ([2]) Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An operator $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called an A -adjoint of T if the equality $\langle Tx, y \rangle_A = \langle x, Sy \rangle_A$ holds, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.

Therefore, S is an A -adjoint of T if and only if S is a solution of the equation $AX = T^*A$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the existence of an A -adjoint of T is not guaranteed. The set of all operators acting on \mathcal{H} that admit A -adjoints is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. It follows from Douglas Theorem [13] that

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \mathcal{R}(T^*A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)\}.$$

By Douglas Theorem [13], we have if $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ then the operator equation $AX = T^*A$ has a unique solution, denoted by T^{\sharp_A} , satisfying $\mathcal{R}(T^{\sharp_A}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$. For a survey of the recent results related to Douglas Theorem, we refer to [22]. Note that $T^{\sharp_A} = A^\dagger T^*A$, where A^\dagger is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A (see [3]). Also, we have $AT^{\sharp_A} = T^*A$ and $T(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be A -bounded if there exists $c > 0$ such that $\|Tx\|_A \leq c\|x\|_A$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We observe that $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ is the collection of all A -bounded operators, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } \|Tx\|_A \leq c\|x\|_A, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

It is well-known that $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ are two subalgebras of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which are neither closed nor dense in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, the following inclusions

$$\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

hold with equality if A is injective and has closed range. The above inclusions may be proper (see [14]). Let us now define A -selfadjoint, A -normal and A -unitary operators.

DEFINITION 1.2. ([2]) An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is called A -selfadjoint if AT is selfadjoint, i.e., $AT = T^*A$ and it is called A -positive if $AT \geq 0$.

Observe that if T is A -selfadjoint then $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. However, in general, it does not always imply $T = T^{\sharp_A}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ satisfies $T = T^{\sharp_A}$ if and only if T is A -selfadjoint and $\mathcal{R}(T) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$.

DEFINITION 1.3. ([23]) An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be A -normal if $TT^{\sharp_A} = T^{\sharp_A}T$.

We know that every selfadjoint operator is normal. But, an A -selfadjoint operator is not necessarily A -normal (see [4, Example 5.1]).

DEFINITION 1.4. ([2]) An operator $U \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be A -unitary if $\|Ux\|_A = \|U^{\sharp_A}x\|_A = \|x\|_A$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

It was shown in [2] that an operator $U \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ is A -unitary if and only if $U^{\sharp_A}U = (U^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A} = P_A$, where P_A denotes the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{R}(A)$. We mention here that if $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ then $T^{\sharp_A} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ and $(T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A} = P_A T P_A$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$. The A -operator seminorm and the A -minimum modulus of T are defined respectively as:

$$\|T\|_A = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Tx\|_A}{\|x\|_A} : x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}, x \neq 0 \right\} = \sup \{ \|Tx\|_A : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \}$$

and

$$m_A(T) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\|Tx\|_A}{\|x\|_A} : x \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}, x \neq 0 \right\} = \inf \{ \|Tx\|_A : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \}.$$

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$. The A -numerical range, the A -numerical radius and the A -Crawford number of T are defined respectively as:

$$W_A(T) = \{ \langle Tx, x \rangle_A : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \},$$

$$w_A(T) = \sup \{ |c| : c \in W_A(T) \} \text{ and}$$

$$c_A(T) = \inf \{ |c| : c \in W_A(T) \}.$$

The A -operator seminorm attainment set of T , denoted as M_T^A , is defined as the set of all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} at which T attains its A -operator seminorm, i.e.,

$$M_T^A = \{ x \in \mathcal{H} : \|Tx\|_A = \|T\|_A, \|x\|_A = 1 \}.$$

Likewise the A -numerical radius attainment set and the A -Crawford number attainment set of T , denoted as W_T^A and c_T^A respectively, are defined as:

$$W_T^A = \{ x \in \mathcal{H} : |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = w_A(T), \|x\|_A = 1 \}$$

and

$$c_T^A = \{ x \in \mathcal{H} : |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = c_A(T), \|x\|_A = 1 \}.$$

It is well known that $\| \cdot \|_A$ and $w_A(\cdot)$ are equivalent seminorm on $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$, satisfying the following inequality (see [5]):

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\|_A \leq w_A(T) \leq \|T\|_A, \quad T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H}).$$

The first inequality becomes equality if $AT^2 = O$ and the second inequality becomes equality if T is A -normal (see [14]). Various results about the A -numerical radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators have been obtained, we refer the readers to [9, 10, 14, 15, 25, 26] and the references therein. For $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, we write $Re_A(T) = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^{\sharp_A})$ and $Im_A(T) = \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^{\sharp_A})$. For every A -selfadjoint operator T , we have (see [26])

$$w_A(T) = \|T\|_A.$$

Also $T^{\sharp_A}T$, TT^{\sharp_A} are A -selfadjoint and A -positive operators satisfying the following equality:

$$\|T^{\sharp_A}T\|_A = \|TT^{\sharp_A}\|_A = \|T\|_A^2 = \|T^{\sharp_A}\|_A^2.$$

For $T, S \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, $(TS)^{\sharp_A} = S^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A}$, $\|TS\|_A \leq \|T\|_A \|S\|_A$ and $\|Tx\|_A \leq \|T\|_A \|x\|_A$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. For further readings we refer the readers to [2, 3].

Motivated by the study of the A -numerical radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators, we here study the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators. This is a generalization of the Davis-Wielandt radius of Hilbert space operators. The Davis-Wielandt shell and the Davis-Wielandt radius of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are defined respectively as (see [12, 24]):

$$DW(T) = \{(\langle Tx, x \rangle, \|Tx\|^2) : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\}$$

and

$$dw(T) = \sup \left\{ \sqrt{|\langle Tx, x \rangle|^2 + \|Tx\|^4} : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \right\}.$$

Recently many mathematicians [18, 19, 20, 27, 28] have studied the Davis-Wielandt shell and the Davis-Wielandt radius of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The A -Davis-Wielandt shell and the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ are defined respectively as (see [17]):

$$DW_A(T) = \{(\langle Tx, x \rangle_A, \|Tx\|_A^2) : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1\}$$

and

$$dw_A(T) = \sup \left\{ \sqrt{|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4} : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying the following inequality:

$$\max\{w_A(T), \|T\|_A^2\} \leq dw_A(T) \leq \sqrt{w_A^2(T) + \|T\|_A^4}. \tag{1}$$

Recently, Feki in [16] have obtained some upper bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

In section 2, we find the equality conditions of the lower bound for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of A -bounded operators mentioned in (1). We obtain upper and lower bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, which generalize and improve on the existing ones. Further, we obtain inequalities for the \mathbb{A} -Davis-Wielandt radius of 2×2 operator matrices in $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$. Next, we obtain upper bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of the sum of the product operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., if $P, Q, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ then for any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(PXQ^{\sharp_A} \pm QYP^{\sharp_A}) \leq (t^2 \|P\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|Q\|_A^2)^2 \{ (t^2 \|PX\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|QY\|_A^2)^2 + \alpha^2 \}$$

and

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(P^{\sharp_A} XQ \pm Q^{\sharp_A} YP) \leq (t^2 \|P\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|Q\|_A^2)^2 \{ (t^2 \|YP\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|XQ\|_A^2)^2 + \alpha^2 \},$$

where $\alpha = w_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}$. Finally, we compute the exact value for the \mathbb{A} -Davis-Wielandt radius of two operator matrices $\begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$, where $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$.

2. Main results

We begin this section with the study of the equality conditions of both upper and lower bounds of A -bounded operators mentioned in (1). First we mention the following known result (see [17, Th. 11 and Prop. 4]).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $dw_A(T) = \sqrt{w_A^2(T) + \|T\|_A^4}$.
- (ii) T is A -normaloid, i.e. $w_A(T) = \|T\|_A$.
- (iii) There exist a sequence of A -unit vectors $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n\|_A = \|T\|_A \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle_A| = w_A(T).$$

REMARK 2.2. If \mathcal{H} is finite-dimensional then condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1 is replaced by $M_T^A \cap W_T^A \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there exists an A -unit vector x in \mathcal{H} such that $\|Tx\|_A = \|T\|_A$ and $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = w_A(T)$.

Now, in the following two theorems we find the equality conditions of the first inequality in (1).

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $dw_A(T) = w_A(T)$.
- (ii) $AT = O$.

Proof. The part (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows trivially. We only prove (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} with $\|x_n\|_A = 1$ such that $w_A(T) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle_A|$. The sequence $\{\|Tx_n\|_A\}$, being a bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence $\{\|Tx_{n_k}\|_A\}$. Now $w_A^2(T) = dw_A^2(T) \geq |\langle Tx_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx_{n_k}\|_A^4$. Taking limit on both sides, we get $w_A^2(T) = dw_A^2(T) \geq w_A^2(T) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{n_k}\|_A^4$. This implies that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{n_k}\|_A = 0$. Therefore, it follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $w_A(T) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \rangle_A| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_{n_k}\|_A = 0$. So, we get $w_A(T) = 0$ and hence, $AT = O$. \square

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $dw_A(T) = \|T\|_A^2$. Then either of the following condition holds:*

- (i) Let $M_T^A \neq \emptyset$. Then $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = 0$ if $x \in M_T^A$, i.e., $M_T^A \subseteq c_T^A$.
- (ii) Let $M_T^A = \emptyset$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} with $\|x_n\|_A = 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n\|_A = \|T\|_A$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle_A| = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $M_T^A \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in M_T^A$. So, $\|Tx\|_A^4 = \|T\|_A^4 = dw_A^2(T) \geq |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4$. This implies that $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = 0$. So $x \in c_T^A$. Therefore, $M_T^A \subseteq c_T^A$.

(ii) Let $M_T^A = \emptyset$. Since $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} with $\|x_n\|_A = 1$ such that $\|T\|_A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n\|_A$. Since $\{|\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle_A|\}$ is a bounded sequence of scalars, so it has a convergent subsequence $\{|\langle Tx_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \rangle_A|\}$. Now $\|T\|_A^4 = dw_A^2(T) \geq |\langle Tx_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx_{n_k}\|_A^4$. Taking limit on both sides, we get $\|T\|_A^4 = dw_A^2(T) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \rangle_A|^2 + \|T\|_A^4$ and so, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \rangle_A| = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.5. We note that the converse part of Theorem 2.4 may not hold. As for example, we consider $T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then by simple calculations we have, $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = 0$ for all $x \in M_T^A$, i.e., $M_T^A \subseteq c_A^A$. But, $dw_A(T) \neq \|T\|_A^2$ as $dw_A(T) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{64}} > \frac{1}{4} = \|T\|_A^2$.

Next we obtain lower bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

- (i) $dw_A^2(T) \geq \max \left\{ w_A^2(T) + c_A^2(T^{\sharp_A} T), \|T\|_A^4 + c_A^2(T) \right\}$,
- (ii) $dw_A^2(T) \geq 2 \max \left\{ w_A(T) c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T), c_A(T) \|T\|_A^2 \right\}$.

Proof. (i) Let x be an A -unit vector in \mathcal{H} . Then from the definition of $dw_A(T)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} dw_A^2(T) &\geq |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \\ &= |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A^2 \\ &\geq |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + c_A^2(T^{\sharp_A} T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we have

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq w_A^2(T) + c_A^2(T^{\sharp_A} T).$$

Again from $dw_A^2(T) \geq |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4$, where $\|x\|_A = 1$, we get

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq c_A^2(T) + \|Tx\|_A^4.$$

Taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we have

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq c_A^2(T) + \|T\|_A^4.$$

This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) For all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$, we have

$$|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \geq 2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \|Tx\|_A^2$$

and so,

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq 2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A \geq 2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| c_A(T^{\sharp_A}T).$$

Taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq 2w_A(T)c_A(T^{\sharp_A}T).$$

Again from $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \geq 2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \|Tx\|_A^2$, we have

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq 2c_A(T)\|Tx\|_A^2.$$

Taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq 2c_A(T)\|T\|_A^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.7. (i) It is easy to observe that the lower bound of the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ obtained in Theorem 2.6 (i) is sharper than that in (1).

(ii) Also, both the inequalities in [6, Th. 2.1] follow from Theorem 2.6 by considering $A = I$.

In the following theorem we obtain an upper bound for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.8. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$dw_A^2(T) \leq \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} w_A^2(e^{i\theta}T + T^{\sharp_A}T) - 2c_A(T)m_A^2(T).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = e^{i\theta}\langle Tx, x \rangle_A$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 &= \langle e^{i\theta}Tx, x \rangle_A^2 + \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A^2 \\ &= (\langle e^{i\theta}Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A)^2 - 2\langle e^{i\theta}Tx, x \rangle_A \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &2\langle e^{i\theta}Tx, x \rangle_A \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A + |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 = \left(\langle e^{i\theta}Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A \right)^2 \\ \Rightarrow &2\langle e^{i\theta}Tx, x \rangle_A \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A + |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 = \langle (e^{i\theta}T + T^{\sharp_A}T)x, x \rangle_A^2 \\ \Rightarrow &2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A + |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \leq w_A^2(e^{i\theta}T + T^{\sharp_A}T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A + |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \leq \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} w_A^2(e^{i\theta}T + T^{\sharp_A}T)$$

and so,

$$2c_A(T)m_A^2(T) + |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \leq \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} w_A^2(e^{i\theta}T + T^{\sharp_A}T).$$

Hence, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_A(T)m_A^2(T) + dw_A^2(T) &\leq \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} w_A^2(e^{i\theta}T + T^{\sharp_A}T). \\ \Rightarrow dw_A^2(T) &\leq \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} w_A^2(e^{i\theta}T + T^{\sharp_A}T) - 2c_A(T)m_A^2(T). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Next we obtain the following upper and lower bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.9. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ w_A^2(T + T^{\sharp_A}T) + c_A^2(T - T^{\sharp_A}T) \right\} &\leq dw_A^2(T) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ w_A^2(T + T^{\sharp_A}T) + w_A^2(T - T^{\sharp_A}T) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 &= \frac{1}{2} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle Tx, Tx \rangle_A|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A - \langle Tx, Tx \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle Tx, x \rangle_A - \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle (T + T^{\sharp_A}T)x, x \rangle_A \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle (T - T^{\sharp_A}T)x, x \rangle_A \right|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left| \langle (T + T^{\sharp_A}T)x, x \rangle_A \right|^2 + c_A^2(T - T^{\sharp_A}T) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get

$$dw_A^2(T) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ w_A^2(T + T^{\sharp_A}T) + c_A^2(T - T^{\sharp_A}T) \right\}.$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 &= \frac{1}{2} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle Tx, Tx \rangle_A|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A - \langle Tx, Tx \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle Tx, x \rangle_A - \langle T^{\sharp_A}Tx, x \rangle_A \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle (T + T^{\sharp_A}T)x, x \rangle_A \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle (T - T^{\sharp_A}T)x, x \rangle_A \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ w_A^2(T + T^{\sharp_A}T) + w_A^2(T - T^{\sharp_A}T) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get

$$dw_A^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ w_A^2(T + T^{\sharp_A}T) + w_A^2(T - T^{\sharp_A}T) \right\}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 2.10. We would like to remark that the inequality obtained in Theorem 2.9 generalizes the inequality in [6, Th. 2.2].

In the next theorem we obtain upper bounds for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. First we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.11. *Let $x, y, e \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|e\|_A = 1$. Then*

$$|\langle x, e \rangle_A \langle e, y \rangle_A| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\langle x, y \rangle_A| + \|x\|_A \|y\|_A).$$

Proof. For all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $(ac - bd)^2 \geq (a^2 - b^2)(c^2 - d^2)$. Using this and the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x - \langle x, e \rangle_A e, y - \langle y, e \rangle_A e \rangle_A|^2 &\leq \|x - \langle x, e \rangle_A e\|_A^2 \|y - \langle y, e \rangle_A e\|_A^2 \\ \implies |\langle x, y \rangle_A - \langle x, e \rangle_A \langle e, y \rangle_A|^2 &\leq (\|x\|_A^2 - |\langle x, e \rangle_A|^2)(\|y\|_A^2 - |\langle y, e \rangle_A|^2) \\ \implies |\langle x, y \rangle_A - \langle x, e \rangle_A \langle e, y \rangle_A|^2 &\leq (\|x\|_A \|y\|_A - |\langle x, e \rangle_A| |\langle y, e \rangle_A|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\langle x, e \rangle_A| \leq \|x\|_A$ and $|\langle y, e \rangle_A| \leq \|y\|_A$, so $(\|x\|_A \|y\|_A - |\langle x, e \rangle_A| |\langle y, e \rangle_A|) \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, y \rangle_A - \langle x, e \rangle_A \langle e, y \rangle_A| &\leq \|x\|_A \|y\|_A - |\langle x, e \rangle_A| |\langle y, e \rangle_A| \\ \implies |\langle x, e \rangle_A \langle e, y \rangle_A - \langle x, y \rangle_A| &\leq \|x\|_A \|y\|_A - |\langle x, e \rangle_A| |\langle y, e \rangle_A|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$2|\langle x, e \rangle_A \langle e, y \rangle_A| \leq |\langle x, y \rangle_A| + \|x\|_A \|y\|_A.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

THEOREM 2.12. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following inequalities hold:*

- (i) $dw_A^2(T) \leq \left\| T^{\sharp_A} T + (T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp} \right\|_A,$
- (ii) $dw_A^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} (w_A(T^2) + \|T\|_A^2) + \|T\|_A^4.$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then using Lemma 2.11 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 &= |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle x, Tx \rangle_A| + \langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A \langle x, T^{\sharp_A} Tx \rangle_A \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|Tx\|_A^2 + \langle Tx, Tx \rangle_A) + \frac{1}{2} (\|T^{\sharp_A} Tx\|_A^2 + \langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, T^{\sharp_A} Tx \rangle_A) \\ &= \langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A + \langle (T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle (T^{\sharp_A} T + (T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T)x, x \rangle_A. \end{aligned}$$

Now $T^{\sharp_A} T$ being an A -selfadjoint operator and $\mathcal{R}(T^{\sharp_A} T) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}$, we have $(T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp_A} = T^{\sharp_A} T$. Therefore,

$$|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \leq \langle (T^{\sharp_A} T + (T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T)x, x \rangle_A.$$

Therefore, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get the inequality (i). Again considering $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 = |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle x, T^{\sharp_A} x \rangle_A|$ and then using Lemma 2.11, we get the inequality (ii). \square

REMARK 2.13. It is well-known that if T is A -normaloid then $\|T^2\|_A = \|T\|_A^2$. Therefore, it is easy to observe that both the inequalities in Theorem 2.12 becomes equality if T is A -normaloid.

In the next theorem we obtain an upper bound for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. For this we need the following lemma which follows from Lemma 2.11.

LEMMA 2.14. *Let $x, y, e \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|e\|_A = 1$. Then*

$$\|x\|_A^2 \|y\|_A^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle_A|^2 \geq 2|\langle x, e \rangle_A \langle e, y \rangle_A| (\|x\|_A \|y\|_A - |\langle x, y \rangle_A|).$$

THEOREM 2.15. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$dw_A^2(T) \leq 3 \left\| (T^{\sharp_A} T)^2 + T^{\sharp_A} T \right\|_A - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T) m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then using Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.11 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 &\leq \|Tx\|_A^2 \|x\|_A^2 - 2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A \langle x, x \rangle_A| (\|Tx\|_A \|x\|_A - |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|) \\ &= \|Tx\|_A^2 + 2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| |\langle x, Tx \rangle_A| - 2|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \|Tx\|_A \\ &\leq \|Tx\|_A^2 + \|Tx\|_A^2 + \langle Tx, Tx \rangle_A - 2c_A(T) \|Tx\|_A \\ &\leq 3\langle T^{\sharp_A} Tx, x \rangle_A - 2c_A(T) m_A(T). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|Tx\|_A^2 + \langle Tx, x \rangle_A^2 + \|Tx\|_A^2 - \langle Tx, x \rangle_A^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|\langle (T^{\sharp_A} T + T)x, x \rangle_A|^2 + |\langle (T^{\sharp_A} T - T)x, x \rangle_A|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(3 \left\langle \left| T^{\sharp_A} T + T \right|_A^2 x, x \right\rangle_A - 2c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 3 \left\langle \left| T^{\sharp_A} T - T \right|_A^2 x, x \right\rangle_A - 2c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T) m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T) \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \left\langle \left(\left| T^{\sharp_A} T + T \right|_A^2 + \left| T^{\sharp_A} T - T \right|_A^2 \right) x, x \right\rangle_A - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) \\ &\quad - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T) m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 3 \left\langle \left((T^{\sharp_A} T)^{\sharp_A} T^{\sharp_A} T + T^{\sharp_A} T \right) x, x \right\rangle_A \\
 &\quad - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T)m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T)m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T) \\
 &= 3 \left\langle \left((T^{\sharp_A} T)^2 + T^{\sharp_A} T \right) x, x \right\rangle_A \\
 &\quad - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T)m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T + T) - c_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T)m_A(T^{\sharp_A} T - T).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get the required inequality. \square

Next we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.16. *Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have the following equality:*

$$\|x\|_A^2 \|y\|_A^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle_A|^2 = \|x - \lambda y\|_A^2 \|y\|_A^2 - |\langle x - \lambda y, y \rangle_A|^2.$$

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|x - \lambda y\|_A^2 \|y\|_A^2 - |\langle x - \lambda y, y \rangle_A|^2 \\
 &= \langle x - \lambda y, x - \lambda y \rangle_A \|y\|_A^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle_A - \lambda \|y\|_A^2|^2 \\
 &= \left(\|x\|_A^2 + |\lambda|^2 \|y\|_A^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle_A) \right) \|y\|_A^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle_A|^2 - |\lambda|^2 \|y\|_A^4 \\
 &\quad + 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle_A) \|y\|_A^2 \\
 &= \|x\|_A^2 \|y\|_A^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle_A|^2. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.16, we obtain the following upper bound for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.17. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 dw_A^2(T) \leq & \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ 2|\lambda| \left\| \cos \theta \operatorname{Re}_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta \operatorname{Im}_A(T) - \lambda I \right\|_A \right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \cos \theta \operatorname{Re}_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta \operatorname{Im}_A(T) - 2\lambda I \right\|_A^2 \\
 & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \cos \theta \operatorname{Re}_A(T) - T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta \operatorname{Im}_A(T) \right\|_A^2 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dw_A^2(T) \leq & \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \left\| \cos \theta \operatorname{Re}_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta \operatorname{Im}_A(T) \right\|_A^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + \left\| \cos \theta \operatorname{Re}_A(T) - T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta \operatorname{Im}_A(T) \right\|_A^2 \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Then there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = e^{-i\theta} \langle Tx, x \rangle_A$. Using the Cartesian decomposition of T , i.e., $T = Re_A(T) + i Im_A(T)$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| &= \langle e^{-i\theta} Tx, x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle (\cos \theta - i \sin \theta)(Re_A(T) + i Im_A(T))x, x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))x, x \rangle_A + i \langle (\cos \theta Im_A(T) - \sin \theta Re_A(T))x, x \rangle_A. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A| = \langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))x, x \rangle_A$. Now using Lemma 2.16, we get for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 &= |\langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \|(\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))x\|_A^2 \\ &\quad - \|(\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))x - \lambda x\|_A^2 \\ &\quad + |\langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))x - \lambda x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))^2 x, x \rangle_A \\ &\quad - \langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I)^2 x, x \rangle_A \\ &\quad + |\langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I)x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \left\langle \left\{ (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T))^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I)^2 \right\} x, x \right\rangle_A \\ &\quad + |\langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I)x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \langle (2\lambda (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T)) - \lambda^2 I)x, x \rangle_A \\ &\quad + |\langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I)x, x \rangle_A|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using Lemma 2.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_A^4 &= |\langle T^{\sharp A} Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 \\ &= \langle (2\lambda T^{\sharp A} T - \lambda^2 I)x, x \rangle_A + |\langle (T^{\sharp A} T - \lambda I)x, x \rangle_A|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 &= \langle 2\lambda \{ \cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) \} x, x \rangle_A - 2\lambda^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} |\langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - 2\lambda I)x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} |\langle (\cos \theta Re_A(T) - T^{\sharp A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T))x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\ &\leq 2|\lambda| \| \cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I \|_A \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \| \cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - 2\lambda I \|_A^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \| \cos \theta Re_A(T) - T^{\sharp A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) \|_A^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ 2|\lambda| \|\cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I\|_A \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - 2\lambda I\|_A^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \|\cos \theta Re_A(T) - T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T)\|_A^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} dw_A^2(T) &\leq \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ 2|\lambda| \|\cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - \lambda I\|_A \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) - 2\lambda I\|_A^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \|\cos \theta Re_A(T) - T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T)\|_A^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality holds for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, so we get the desired inequality. In particular, if we choose $\lambda = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} dw_A^2(T) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \left\| \cos \theta Re_A(T) + T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) \right\|_A^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \cos \theta Re_A(T) - T^{\sharp_A} T + \sin \theta Im_A(T) \right\|_A^2 \right\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Our next result reads as:

THEOREM 2.18. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} dw_A^2(T) &\leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \left(2 \|Re(\lambda) Re_A(T) + Im(\lambda) Im_A(T)\|_A + \left\| T^{\sharp_A} T - 2Re_A(\bar{\lambda} T) \right\|_A \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \|Re_A(\bar{\lambda} T)\|_A - |\lambda|^2 + w_A^2(T - \lambda I) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $dw_A(T) \leq \sqrt{w_A^2(T) + \|T\|_A^4}$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Using Lemma 2.16 we get,

$$\|Tx\|_A^2 \|x\|_A^2 - |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 = \|Tx - \lambda x\|_A^2 \|x\|_A^2 - |\langle Tx - \lambda x, x \rangle_A|^2.$$

Using Cartesian decomposition of T , i.e., $T = Re_A(T) + i Im_A(T)$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_A^2 &= (\langle Re_A(T)x, x \rangle_A)^2 - (\langle Re_A(T - \lambda I)x, x \rangle_A)^2 + (\langle Im_A(T)x, x \rangle_A)^2 \\ &\quad - (\langle Im_A(T - \lambda I)x, x \rangle_A)^2 + \|Tx - \lambda x\|_A^2 \\ &= \langle (2Re_A(T) - Re(\lambda)I)x, x \rangle_A \langle Re(\lambda)x, x \rangle_A \\ &\quad + \langle (2Im_A(T) - Im(\lambda)I)x, x \rangle_A \langle Im(\lambda)x, x \rangle_A + \|Tx - \lambda x\|_A^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\langle \operatorname{Re}_A(T)x, x \rangle_A + 2\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)\langle \operatorname{Im}_A(T)x, x \rangle_A \\
 &\quad - (\operatorname{Re}(\lambda))^2 - (\operatorname{Im}(\lambda))^2 + \|Tx - \lambda x\|_A^2 \\
 &= 2(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\langle \operatorname{Re}_A(T)x, x \rangle_A + \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)\langle \operatorname{Im}_A(T)x, x \rangle_A) - |\lambda|^2 \\
 &\quad + \langle Tx - \lambda x, Tx - \lambda x \rangle_A \\
 &= 2(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\langle \operatorname{Re}_A(T)x, x \rangle_A + \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)\langle \operatorname{Im}_A(T)x, x \rangle_A) \\
 &\quad + \left\langle (T^{\sharp_A}T - 2\operatorname{Re}_A(\bar{\lambda}T))x, x \right\rangle_A \\
 &\leq 2\|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\operatorname{Re}_A(T) + \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)\operatorname{Im}_A(T)\|_A + \left\| T^{\sharp_A}T - 2\operatorname{Re}_A(\bar{\lambda}T) \right\|_A.
 \end{aligned}$$

Again using Lemma 2.16 we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 &= \|Tx\|_A^2 - \|Tx - \lambda x\|_A^2 + |\langle Tx - \lambda x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\
 &= 2\langle \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}T)x, x \rangle_A - |\lambda|^2 + |\langle Tx - \lambda x, x \rangle_A|^2 \\
 &\leq 2\|\operatorname{Re}_A(\bar{\lambda}T)\| - |\lambda|^2 + w_A^2(T - \lambda I).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\langle Tx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Tx\|_A^4 \\
 &\leq 2\|\operatorname{Re}_A(\bar{\lambda}T)\| - |\lambda|^2 + w_A^2(T - \lambda I) \\
 &\quad + \left(2\|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\operatorname{Re}_A(T) + \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)\operatorname{Im}_A(T)\| + \left\| T^{\sharp_A}T - 2\operatorname{Re}_A(\bar{\lambda}T) \right\|_A \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , and then taking infimum over all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 dw_A^2(T) &\leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \left(2\|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\operatorname{Re}_A(T) + \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)\operatorname{Im}_A(T)\|_A + \left\| T^{\sharp_A}T - 2\operatorname{Re}_A(\bar{\lambda}T) \right\|_A \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2\|\operatorname{Re}_A(\bar{\lambda}T)\|_A - |\lambda|^2 + w_A^2(T - \lambda I) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$, we get $dw_A(T) \leq \sqrt{w_A^2(T) + \|T\|_A^4}$. \square

REMARK 2.19. We would like to note that the inequality in [6, Th. 2.5] follows from Theorem 2.18 by considering $A = I$.

In the following theorem we obtain an upper bound for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of sum of two operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.20. *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$dw_A(X + Y) \leq dw_A(X) + dw_A(Y) + w_A(X^{\sharp_A}Y + Y^{\sharp_A}X).$$

In particular, if $A(X^{\sharp_A}Y + Y^{\sharp_A}X) = O$ then

$$dw_A(X + Y) \leq dw_A(X) + dw_A(Y).$$

Proof. From the definition of the A -Davis-Wielandt shell we get,

$$\begin{aligned} DW_A(X+Y) &= \left\{ \left(\langle (X+Y)x, x \rangle_A, \langle (X+Y)x, (X+Y)x \rangle_A \right) : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\langle Xx, x \rangle_A, \langle Xx, Xx \rangle_A \right) + \left(\langle Yx, x \rangle_A, \langle Yx, Yx \rangle_A \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(0, \langle (X^{\sharp_A}Y + Y^{\sharp_A}X)x, x \rangle_A \right) : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $DW_A(X+Y) \subseteq DW_A(X) + DW_A(Y) + L$, where

$$L = \left\{ \left(0, \langle (X^{\sharp_A}Y + Y^{\sharp_A}X)x, x \rangle_A \right) : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\|_A = 1 \right\}.$$

This implies the first inequality of the theorem. In particular, if we consider $A(X^{\sharp_A}Y + Y^{\sharp_A}X) = O$, then we get the second inequality. \square

REMARK 2.21. If we consider $A = I$ in Theorem 2.20 then we get the inequalities in [6, Th. 2.6 and Cor. 2.2].

Next we state the following lemma, proof of which can be found in [8, Lemma 3.1].

LEMMA 2.22. Let $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, for $i, j = 1, 2$. Then $(T_{ij})_{2 \times 2} \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{\sharp_A} & T_{21}^{\sharp_A} \\ T_{12}^{\sharp_A} & T_{22}^{\sharp_A} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Theorem 2.20 and Lemma 2.22, we prove the following inequality.

COROLLARY 2.23. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\|X\|_A^2 + \|X\|_A^4} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\|Y\|_A^2 + \|Y\|_A^4}.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, from Theorem 2.20, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} + dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq \sqrt{w_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4} + \sqrt{w_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2 + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2 + \left\| \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4}, \\
 &\text{as } \mathbb{A} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}^2 = \mathbb{A} \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}, \text{ see [14, Cor. 2.2]} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \|X\|_A^2 + \|X\|_A^4} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \|Y\|_A^2 + \|Y\|_A^4}, \text{ by using [7, Remark 3]. } \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Our next result reads as:

THEOREM 2.24. *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} X & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix} = \max \{ dw_A(X), dw_A(Y) \}.$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} X & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix}$. Let x be an A -unit vector in \mathcal{H} and let $\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Clearly $\|\tilde{x}\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$. So

$$|\langle Xx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Xx\|_A^4 = |\langle T\tilde{x}, \tilde{x} \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + \|T\tilde{x}\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4 \leq dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(T).$$

Taking supremum over all A -unit vectors in \mathcal{H} , we get $dw_A^2(X) \leq dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(T)$. Similarly, we can prove that, $dw_A^2(Y) \leq dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(T)$. Combining above two inequalities, we get

$$\max \{ dw_A(X), dw_A(Y) \} \leq dw_{\mathbb{A}}(T).$$

To complete the proof, we only need to show $dw_{\mathbb{A}}(T) \leq \max \{ dw_A(X), dw_A(Y) \}$. Let

$z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ be such that $\|z\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$, i.e., $\|x\|_A^2 + \|y\|_A^2 = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\langle Tz, z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + \|Tz\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4 \\
 &= |\langle Xx, x \rangle_A + \langle Yy, y \rangle_A|^2 + (\|Xx\|_A^2 + \|Yy\|_A^2)^2 \\
 &\leq (|\langle Xx, x \rangle_A| + |\langle Yy, y \rangle_A|)^2 + (\|Xx\|_A^2 + \|Yy\|_A^2)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\sqrt{|\langle Xx, x \rangle_A|^2 + \|Xx\|_A^4} + \sqrt{|\langle Yy, y \rangle_A|^2 + \|Yy\|_A^4} \right)^2, \text{ by Minkowski inequality} \\
 &\leq (dw_A(X)\|x\|_A^2 + dw_A(Y)\|y\|_A^2)^2 \\
 &\leq \max \{ dw_A^2(X), dw_A^2(Y) \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over all \mathbb{A} -unit vectors in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, we get

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(T) \leq \max \{ dw_A^2(X), dw_A^2(Y) \}, \text{ i.e., } dw_{\mathbb{A}}(T) \leq \max \{ dw_A(X), dw_A(Y) \}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 2.25. Let $\mathbb{S} = \begin{pmatrix} X & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ O & X \end{pmatrix}$, where $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$. Then by Theorem 2.24 we have, $dw_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) = dw_A(X)$.

Now we prove an important result $dw_A(T) = dw_A(T^{\sharp_A})$ for $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. For this purpose we need the following arguments. The semi-inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ induces an inner product on the quotient space $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}(A)$ defined as

$$[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] = \langle Ax, y \rangle,$$

for all $\bar{x} = x + \mathcal{N}(A), \bar{y} = y + \mathcal{N}(A) \in \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}(A)$. Note that $(\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}(A), [\cdot, \cdot])$ is not complete unless $\mathcal{B}(A)$ is closed in \mathcal{H} . L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak [11] showed that the completion of $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{R}(A^{1/2})$ with the inner product

$$(A^{1/2}x, A^{1/2}y) = \langle P_Ax, P_Ay \rangle, \forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The Hilbert space $(\mathcal{R}(A^{1/2}), (\cdot, \cdot))$ is denoted by $\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2})$, and we use $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2})}$ to represent the norm induced by the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . For more information related to the Hilbert space $\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2})$, we refer the interested readers to [1]. Note that the fact $\mathcal{B}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^{1/2})$ implies that $(Ax, Ay) = \langle x, y \rangle_A$. This implies the useful relation

$$\|Ax\|_{\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2})} = \|x\|_A, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

To proceed further we need the following lemma which gives a nice connection between $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2}))$.

LEMMA 2.26. ([1, Prop. 3.6]) *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $Z_A : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(A^{1/2})$ be defined by $Z_Ax = Ax, \forall x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $T \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if there exists unique $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2}))$ such that $Z_AT = \tilde{T}Z_A$.*

There are many important well-known relations between T and \tilde{T} , we mention a few of them in the form of the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.27. ([21, Prop. 2.9]) *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\widetilde{T^{\sharp_A}} = (\tilde{T})^* \text{ and } \widetilde{(T^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}} = \tilde{T}.$$

We now prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.28. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$dw_A(T) = dw_A(T^{\sharp_A}).$$

Proof. It follows from [17, Lemma 2] that $dw_A(T) = dw(\tilde{T})$. Since $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2}))$ and $\mathbf{R}(A^{1/2})$ is a complex Hilbert space, so from [19, Th. 3.3 (c)] we have, $dw(\tilde{T}) = dw((\tilde{T})^*)$. Hence, we have from Lemma 2.27 that $dw(\tilde{T}) = dw(\widetilde{T^{\sharp_A}})$. Thus, $dw(\tilde{T}) = dw_A(T^{\sharp_A})$. This completes the proof. \square

By using Proposition 2.28 we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.29. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then, $d_{w_A}(U^{\sharp_A}TU) = d_{w_A}(T)$, for every A -unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.*

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be an A -unitary operator. Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in DW_A(U^{\sharp_A}TU)$. Then there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$ such that $\lambda = \langle U^{\sharp_A}TUx, x \rangle_A$ and $\mu = \|U^{\sharp_A}TUx\|_A^2$. It is easy to verify that $\lambda = \langle TUX, UX \rangle_A$ and $\mu = \|TUX\|_A^2$. Since $\|Ux\|_A = 1$, so $(\lambda, \mu) \in DW_A(T)$. Hence, $DW_A(U^{\sharp_A}TU) \subseteq DW_A(T)$. This implies that $d_{w_A}(U^{\sharp_A}TU) \leq d_{w_A}(T)$. Next we prove that $DW_A(T^{\sharp_A}) \subseteq DW_A((U^{\sharp_A}TU)^{\sharp_A})$. Let $(\beta, \gamma) \in DW_A(T^{\sharp_A})$. Then there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\|_A = 1$ such that $\beta = \langle T^{\sharp_A}x, x \rangle_A$ and $\gamma = \|T^{\sharp_A}x\|_A^2$. Now x can be written as $x = P_Ax + y$, where $y \in \mathcal{N}(A)$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \langle T^{\sharp_A}x, x \rangle_A = \langle T^{\sharp_A}(P_Ax + y), (P_Ax + y) \rangle_A \\ &= \langle T^{\sharp_A}P_Ax, P_Ax \rangle_A, \quad T^{\sharp_A}(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A) \\ &= \langle T^{\sharp_A}(U^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}x, (U^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}(U^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}x, U^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle (U^{\sharp_A}TU)^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}x, U^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \langle T^{\sharp_A}x, T^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A = \langle U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}x, U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A \\ &= \langle U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}(P_Ax + y), U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}(P_Ax + y) \rangle_A \\ &= \langle U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}P_Ax, U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}P_Ax \rangle_A, \quad T^{\sharp_A}(\mathcal{N}(A)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(A) \\ &= \langle U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}(U^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}x, U^{\sharp_A}T^{\sharp_A}(U^{\sharp_A})^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}x \rangle_A \\ &= \|(U^{\sharp_A}TU)^{\sharp_A}U^{\sharp_A}x\|_A^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|U^{\sharp_A}x\|_A = 1$, so $(\beta, \gamma) \in DW_A((U^{\sharp_A}TU)^{\sharp_A})$.

Hence, $DW_A(T^{\sharp_A}) \subseteq DW_A((U^{\sharp_A}TU)^{\sharp_A})$, and so $d_{w_A}(T^{\sharp_A}) \leq d_{w_A}((U^{\sharp_A}TU)^{\sharp_A})$.

Thus, it follows from Proposition 2.28 that $d_{w_A}(T) \leq d_{w_A}(U^{\sharp_A}TU)$. Hence, $d_{w_A}(U^{\sharp_A}TU) = d_{w_A}(T)$. \square

Now by using Lemma 2.29, we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.30. *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$(a) \quad d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc} O & X \\ e^{i\theta}Y & O \end{array}\right) = d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc} O & X \\ Y & O \end{array}\right), \text{ for every } \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$(b) \quad d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc} O & X \\ Y & O \end{array}\right) = d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc} O & Y \\ X & O \end{array}\right).$$

Proof.

(a) Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} I & O \\ O & e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}I \end{pmatrix}$ and let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that $\|Ux\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|U^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x\|_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}$. This implies that U is an \mathbb{A} -unitary operator. Now,

$U^{\sharp_A} = \begin{pmatrix} P_A & O \\ O & e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}P_A \end{pmatrix}$. Using Lemma 2.29 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ e^{i\theta}Y & O \end{pmatrix} &= dw_{\mathbb{A}} \left(U^{\sharp_A} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ e^{i\theta}Y & O \end{pmatrix} U \right) \\ &= dw_{\mathbb{A}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} P_A & O \\ O & P_A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}X \\ e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}Y & O \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}X \\ e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}}Y & O \end{pmatrix} \\ &= dw_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Considering $U = \begin{pmatrix} O & I \\ I & O \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly, U is an \mathbb{A} -unitary operator. Similar as above, using Lemma 2.29, we get (b). \square

By using Lemma 2.30, we obtain an upper bound for the A -Davis-Wielandt radius of sum of product operators in $\mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.31. *Let $P, Q, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then for any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we have*

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(PXQ^{\sharp_A} \pm QYP^{\sharp_A}) \leq \left(t^2\|P\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}\|Q\|_A^2 \right)^2 \left\{ \left(t^2\|PX\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2}\|QY\|_A^2 \right)^2 + \alpha^2 \right\},$$

where $\alpha = w_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. Let $C, Z \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ be such that $C = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$ and $Z = \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}$.

Then we have, $CZC^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} PXQ^{\sharp_A} + QYP^{\sharp_A} & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(PXQ^{\sharp_A} + QYP^{\sharp_A}) &= dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} PXQ^{\sharp_A} + QYP^{\sharp_A} & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \\ &= dw_{\mathbb{A}}^2(CZC^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}) \\ &= \sup_{\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}=1} \left\{ |\langle CZC^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x, x \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + \|CZC^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4 \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}=1} \left\{ |\langle ZC^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x, C^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + \|CZC^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|_{\mathbb{A}}=1} \left\{ w_{\mathbb{A}}^2(Z) \|C^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4 + \|CZ\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4 \|C^{\sharp_{\mathbb{A}}}x\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4 \right\} \\ &= (w_{\mathbb{A}}^2(Z) + \|CZ\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4) \|C\|_{\mathbb{A}}^4. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\|C\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2 = \|PP^{\sharp A} + QQ^{\sharp A}\|_A$ and $\|CZ\|_{\mathbb{A}}^2 = \| (QY)(QY)^{\sharp A} + (PX)(PX)^{\sharp A} \|_A$. Therefore, from the above inequality, we get

$$dw_A^2(PXQ^{\sharp A} + QYP^{\sharp A}) \leq (\|P\|_A^2 + \|Q\|_A^2)^2 \{ (\|QY\|_A^2 + \|PX\|_A^2) + w_{\mathbb{A}}^2(Z) \}.$$

Replacing Y by $-Y$ in the above inequality and using Lemma 2.30 (a), we get

$$dw_A^2(PXQ^{\sharp A} - QYP^{\sharp A}) \leq (\|P\|_A^2 + \|Q\|_A^2)^2 \{ (\|QY\|_A^2 + \|PX\|_A^2) + w_{\mathbb{A}}^2(Z) \}.$$

Clearly, the above two inequalities hold for all $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. So, replacing P by tP and Q by $\frac{1}{t}Q$, we get the required inequality of the theorem. \square

COROLLARY 2.32. Let $P, Q, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ with $\|P\|_A, \|Q\|_A \neq 0$. Then

$$(i) \quad dw_A^2(PXQ^{\sharp A} \pm QYP^{\sharp A}) \leq 4\|P\|_A^2\|Q\|_A^2 \left\{ \left(\frac{\|P\|_A}{\|Q\|_A} \|QY\|_A^2 + \frac{\|Q\|_A}{\|P\|_A} \|PX\|_A^2 \right) + \alpha^2 \right\},$$

where $\alpha = w_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}$.

$$(ii) \quad dw_A^2(X \pm Y) \leq 4 \left\{ (\|X\|_A^2 + \|Y\|_A^2) + w_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Proof. Considering $t = \sqrt{\frac{\|Q\|_A}{\|P\|_A}}$ in Theorem 2.31, we get the inequality (i). Choosing $P = Q = I$ in (i), we get the inequality (ii). \square

COROLLARY 2.33. Let $P, Q, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\|PX\|_A, \|QY\|_A \neq 0$. Then

$$(i) \quad dw_A^2(PXQ^{\sharp A} \pm QYP^{\sharp A}) \leq \left(\frac{\|QY\|_A}{\|PX\|_A} \|P\|_A^2 + \frac{\|PX\|_A}{\|QY\|_A} \|Q\|_A^2 \right)^2 \{ 4\|PX\|_A^2\|QY\|_A^2 + \alpha^2 \},$$

where $\alpha = w_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}$.

$$(ii) \quad dw_A^2(X \pm Y) \leq \left(\frac{\|Y\|_A}{\|X\|_A} + \frac{\|X\|_A}{\|Y\|_A} \right)^2 \left\{ (2\|X\|_A\|Y\|_A)^2 + w_{\mathbb{A}}^2 \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Proof. Considering $t = \sqrt{\frac{\|QY\|_A}{\|PX\|_A}}$ in Theorem 2.31, we get the inequality (i). Choosing $P = Q = I$ in (i), we get the inequality (ii). \square

REMARK 2.34. Feki in [17, Prop. 3] proved that if $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ then the following inequality holds:

$$dw_A^2(X + Y) \leq 2(dw_A(X) + dw_A(Y)) + 4(dw_A(X) + dw_A(Y))^2.$$

If we consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then [17, Prop. 3] gives $d_{w_A}(X + Y) \leq 4.2994$, whereas Theorem 2.20 gives $d_{w_A}(X + Y) \leq 2.621320$, Corollary 2.32 (ii) gives $d_{w_A}(X + Y) \leq 3.240466$ and Corollary 2.33 (ii) gives $d_{w_A}(X + Y) \leq 3.26928$. Thus the bounds obtained in Theorem 2.20, Corollary 2.32 (ii) and Corollary 2.33 (ii) are better than that obtained in [17, Prop. 3].

Proceeding similarly as in Theorem 2.31 we can prove the following results.

THEOREM 2.35. *Let $P, Q, X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_A(\mathcal{H})$. Then for any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we have*

$$d_{w_A}^2(PXQ^{\sharp A} \pm QYP^{\sharp A}) \leq \left(t^2 \|P\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|Q\|_A^2 \right)^2 \left\{ \left(t^2 \|YP^{\sharp A}\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|XQ^{\sharp A}\|_A^2 \right)^2 + \alpha^2 \right\},$$

$$d_{w_A}^2(P^{\sharp A}XQ \pm Q^{\sharp A}YP) \leq \left(t^2 \|P\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|Q\|_A^2 \right)^2 \left\{ \left(t^2 \|YP\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|XQ\|_A^2 \right)^2 + \alpha^2 \right\}$$

and

$$d_{w_A}^2(P^{\sharp A}XQ \pm Q^{\sharp A}YP) \leq \left(t^2 \|P\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|Q\|_A^2 \right)^2 \left\{ \left(t^2 \|P^{\sharp A}X\|_A^2 + \frac{1}{t^2} \|Q^{\sharp A}Y\|_A^2 \right)^2 + \alpha^2 \right\},$$

where $\alpha = w_{\mathbb{A}} \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ Y & O \end{pmatrix}$.

Now we determine the exact value of the \mathbb{A} -Davis-Wielandt radius of special type of 2×2 operator matrices in $\mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$.

THEOREM 2.36. *Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbb{T} = \begin{pmatrix} I & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$. Then*

$$d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}(\mathbb{T}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}, & \|X\|_A = 0 \\ (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0)(\cos^2 \theta_0 + (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \|X\|_A \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $b = \|X\|_A$, $p = -\frac{2b^2-5}{2b}$, $q = -\frac{2b^2-2}{b^2}$, $r = -\frac{3}{2b}$, $s = \frac{1}{2^4 3^3 b^6} (8b^8 + 20b^6 + 45b^4 + 61b^2 + 28)$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{27} (2p^3 - 9pq + 27r)$, $\beta = (-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \sqrt{s})^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $\gamma = (-\frac{\alpha}{2} - \sqrt{s})^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}(\beta + \gamma - \frac{p}{3})$.

Proof. Let $z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ be such that $\|z\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$, i.e. $\|x\|_A^2 + \|y\|_A^2 = 1$. Then $\langle \mathbb{T}z, z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \langle x + Xy, x \rangle_A$ and $\langle \mathbb{T}z, \mathbb{T}z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \langle x + Xy, x + Xy \rangle_A$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \mathbb{T}z, z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{T}z, \mathbb{T}z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 \\ & \leq \|x + Xy\|_A^2 \|x\|_A^2 + \|x + Xy\|_A^4 \\ & = \|x + Xy\|_A^2 (\|x\|_A^2 + \|x + Xy\|_A^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sup_{\|x\|_A^2 + \|y\|_A^2 = 1} (\|x\|_A + \|X\|_A \|y\|_A)^2 (\|x\|_A^2 + (\|x\|_A + \|X\|_A \|y\|_A)^2) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]} (\cos \theta + \|X\|_A \sin \theta)^2 (\cos^2 \theta + (\cos \theta + \|X\|_A \sin \theta)^2). \end{aligned}$$

First we consider the case $\|X\|_A = 0$. Then

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]} (\cos \theta + \|X\|_A \sin \theta)^2 (\cos^2 \theta + (\cos \theta + \|X\|_A \sin \theta)^2) = 2.$$

Therefore, $d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq \sqrt{2}$. Now let $z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be such that $\|z\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$, i.e., $\|x\|_A = 1$.

Then $\langle \mathbb{T}z, z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_A^2$ and $\langle \mathbb{T}z, \mathbb{T}z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \|x\|_A^2$. Hence, $(|\langle \mathbb{T}z, z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{T}z, \mathbb{T}z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{2}$. Therefore, $d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}(\mathbb{T}) = \sqrt{2}$.

Next we consider the case $\|X\|_A \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]} (\cos \theta + \|X\|_A \sin \theta)^2 (\cos^2 \theta + (\cos \theta + \|X\|_A \sin \theta)^2) \\ &= (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0)^2 (\cos^2 \theta_0 + (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0)^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $b = \|X\|_A$, $p = -\frac{2b^2-5}{2b}$, $q = -\frac{2b^2-2}{b^2}$, $r = -\frac{3}{2b}$, $s = \frac{1}{2^4 3^3 b^6} (8b^8 + 20b^6 + 45b^4 + 61b^2 + 28)$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{27} (2p^3 - 9pq + 27r)$, $\beta = (-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \sqrt{s})^{\frac{1}{3}}$, $\gamma = (-\frac{\alpha}{2} - \sqrt{s})^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}(\beta + \gamma - \frac{p}{3})$. Therefore,

$$d_{w_{\mathbb{A}}}(\mathbb{T}) \leq (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0) (\cos^2 \theta_0 + (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We now show that there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with $\|z_n\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\langle \mathbb{T}z_n, z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{T}z_n, \mathbb{T}z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0) (\cos^2 \theta_0 + (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} with $\|y_n\|_A = 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Xy_n\|_A = \|X\|_A$. Let $z_n^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2}} \begin{pmatrix} Xy_n \\ ky_n \end{pmatrix}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq 0. \text{ Then } |\langle \mathbb{T}z_n^k, z_n^k \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{T}z_n^k, \mathbb{T}z_n^k \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 = \frac{(1+k)^2 \|Xy_n\|_A^4}{(\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2)^2} (1 + (1+k)^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{\|Xy_n\|_A}{\sqrt{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2}} + \frac{k\|Xy_n\|_A}{\sqrt{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\|Xy_n\|_A^2}{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2} + \left(\frac{\|Xy_n\|_A}{\sqrt{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2}} + \frac{k\|Xy_n\|_A}{\sqrt{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2}} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can choose $k_0 \geq 0$ such that $\frac{\|X\|_A}{\sqrt{\|X\|_A^2 + k_0^2}} = \cos \theta_0$ and $\frac{k_0}{\sqrt{\|X\|_A^2 + k_0^2}} = \sin \theta_0$. Therefore, if we choose $z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k_0^2}} \begin{pmatrix} Xy_n \\ k_0 y_n \end{pmatrix}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\langle \mathbb{T}z_n, z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{T}z_n, \mathbb{T}z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0) (\cos^2 \theta_0 + (\cos \theta_0 + \|X\|_A \sin \theta_0)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This completes the proof. □

Our final result reads as:

THEOREM 2.37. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbb{S} = \begin{pmatrix} O & X \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \|X\|_A = 0 \\ \frac{\|X\|_A}{2\sqrt{1-\|X\|_A^2}}, & \|X\|_A < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \|X\|_A^2, & \|X\|_A \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ be such that $\|z\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$, i.e. $\|x\|_A^2 + \|y\|_A^2 = 1$. Then $\langle \mathbb{S}z, z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \langle Xy, x \rangle_A$ and $\langle \mathbb{S}z, \mathbb{S}z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \langle Xy, Xy \rangle_A$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbb{S}z, z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{S}z, \mathbb{S}z \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 &\leq \|Xy\|_A^2 \|x\|_A^2 + \|Xy\|_A^4 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|_A^2 + \|y\|_A^2 = 1} (\|X\|_A^2 \|y\|_A^2 \|x\|_A^2 + \|X\|_A^4 \|y\|_A^4) \\ &= \sup_{\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]} \|X\|_A^2 \sin^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta + \|X\|_A^2 \sin^2 \theta). \end{aligned}$$

First we consider the case $\|X\|_A = 0$. Then it is easy to see that $dw_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) = 0$.

Next we consider the case $0 < \|X\|_A < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Then

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]} \|X\|_A^2 \sin^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta + \|X\|_A^2 \sin^2 \theta) = \frac{\|X\|_A^2}{4(1 - \|X\|_A^2)}.$$

Therefore, $dw_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) \leq \frac{\|X\|_A}{2\sqrt{1-\|X\|_A^2}}$. We now show that there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with $\|z_n\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{|\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, \mathbb{S}z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\|X\|_A}{2\sqrt{1 - \|X\|_A^2}}.$$

Since $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} with $\|y_n\|_A = 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Xy_n\|_A = \|X\|_A$. Let $z_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|Xy_n\|_A^2 + k^2}} \begin{pmatrix} Xy_n \\ ky_n \end{pmatrix}$, where $k = \frac{\|X\|_A}{\sqrt{1 - 2\|X\|_A^2}}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{|\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, \mathbb{S}z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\|X\|_A}{2\sqrt{1 - \|X\|_A^2}}.$$

Therefore, $dw_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) = \frac{\|X\|_A}{2\sqrt{1-\|X\|_A^2}}$.

Now we consider the case $\|X\|_A \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Then

$$\sup_{\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]} \|X\|_A^2 \sin^2 \theta (\cos^2 \theta + \|X\|_A^2 \sin^2 \theta) = \|X\|_A^4.$$

Therefore, $dw_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) \leq \|X\|_A^2$. We now show that there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with $\|z_n\|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, \mathbb{S}z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|X\|_A^2.$$

Since $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} with $\|y_n\|_A = 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Xy_n\|_A = \|X\|_A$. If we consider $z_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$, then $\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = 0$ and $\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, \mathbb{S}z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}} = \|Xy_n\|_A^2$. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (|\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2 + |\langle \mathbb{S}z_n, \mathbb{S}z_n \rangle_{\mathbb{A}}|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|X\|_A^2$. This completes the proof. \square

Proceeding similarly as in Theorem 2.37 we also get the following result.

REMARK 2.38. Let $X \in \mathcal{B}_{A^{1/2}}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbb{S} = \begin{pmatrix} O & O \\ X & O \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$dw_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{S}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \|X\|_A = 0 \\ \frac{\|X\|_A}{2\sqrt{1-\|X\|_A^2}}, & \|X\|_A < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \|X\|_A^2, & \|X\|_A \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{cases}$$

REMARK 2.39. We note that Theorem 2.36 and Theorem 2.37 generalize the results in [6, Th. 3.1] and [6, Th. 3.2], respectively.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the referee for his/her helpful suggestions. Mr. Pintu Bhunia would like to thank UGC, Govt. of India for the financial support in the form of SRF. Prof. Kallol Paul would like to thank RUSA 2.0, Jadavpur University for the partial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. L. ARIAS, G. CORACH AND M. C. GONZALEZ, *Lifting properties in operator ranges*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 75: 3–4 (2009) 635–653.
- [2] M. L. ARIAS, G. CORACH AND M. C. GONZALEZ, *Partial isometries in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (7) (2008) 1460–1475.
- [3] M. L. ARIAS, G. CORACH AND M. C. GONZALEZ, *Metric properties of projections in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 62 (2008) 11–28.
- [4] H. BAKLOUTI, K. FEKI, O. A. M. SID AHMED, *Joint normality of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Multilinear Algebra 68 (4) (2020) 845–866.
- [5] H. BAKLOUTI, K. FEKI AND O. A. M. SID AHMED, *Joint numerical ranges of operators in semi-Hilbertian spaces*, Linear Algebra Appl. 555 (2018) 266–284.
- [6] P. BHUNIA, A. BHANJA, S. BAG AND K. PAUL, *Bounds for the Davis-Wielandt radius of bounded linear operators*, Ann. Funct. Anal. 12, 18 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43034-020-00102-9>.
- [7] P. BHUNIA, R. K. NAYAK AND K. PAUL, *Refinements of A-numerical radius inequalities and their applications*, Adv. Oper. Theory 5 (2020) 1498–1511.
- [8] P. BHUNIA, K. FEKI AND K. PAUL, *A-Numerical radius orthogonality and parallelism of semi-Hilbertian space operators and their applications*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. 47 (2021), 435–457.
- [9] P. BHUNIA, K. PAUL AND R. K. NAYAK, *On inequalities for A-numerical radius of operators*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 36 (2020) 143–157.

- [10] P. BHUNIA AND K. PAUL, *Some improvement of numerical radius inequalities of operators and operator matrices*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2020.1781037>.
- [11] L. DE BRANGES AND J. ROVNYAK, *Square summable power series*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
- [12] C. DAVIS, *The shell of a Hilbert-space operator*, *Acta Sci. Math.*, (Szeged) 29 (1968) 69–86.
- [13] R. G. DOUGLAS, *On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (1966) 413–416.
- [14] K. FEKI, *Spectral radius of semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications*, *Ann. Funct. Anal.* 11 (2020) 929–946.
- [15] K. FEKI, *Generalized numerical radius inequalities of operators in Hilbert spaces*, *Adv. Oper. Theory* 6, 6 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43036-020-00099-x>.
- [16] K. FEKI, *Inequalities for the A-joint numerical radius of two operators and their applications*, arXiv:2005.04758v1 [math.FA] 10 May (2020).
- [17] K. FEKI AND O. A. M. SID AHMED, *Davis-Wielandt shells of semi-Hilbertian space operators and its applications*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 14 (2020) 1281–1304.
- [18] C.-K. LI AND Y.-T. POON, *Spectrum, numerical range and Davis-Wielandt Shell of a normal operator*, *Glasgow Math. J.* 51 (2009) 91–100.
- [19] C.-K. LI, Y.-T. POON AND N. S. SZE, *Davis-Wielandt shells of operators*, *Oper. Matrices* 2 (3) (2008) 341–355.
- [20] B. LINS, I. M. SPITKOVSKY, S. ZHONG, *The normalized numerical range and the Davis-Wielandt shell*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 546 (2018) 187–209.
- [21] W. MAJDAK, N. A. SECELEAN AND L. SUCIU, *Ergodic properties of operators in some semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 61 (2) (2013) 139–159.
- [22] M. S. MOSLEHIAN, M. KIAN, Q. XU, *Positivity of 2×2 block matrices of operators*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* 13 (3) (2019) 726–743.
- [23] A. SADDI, *A-Normal operators in Semi-Hilbertian spaces*, *Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 9 (1) Article 5 (2012) 1–12.
- [24] H. WIELANDT, *On eigenvalues of sums of normal matrices*, *Pac. J. Math.* 5 (1955) 633–638.
- [25] A. ZAMANI, *Some upper bounds for the A-numerical radius of 2×2 block matrices*, *Adv. Oper. Theory* 6, 1 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43036-020-00102-5>.
- [26] A. ZAMANI, *A-numerical radius inequalities for semi-Hilbertian space operators*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 578 (2019) 159–183.
- [27] A. ZAMANI AND K. SHEBRAWI, *Some Upper Bounds for the Davis-Wielandt Radius of Hilbert Space Operators*, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 17, 25 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-019-1458-z>.
- [28] A. ZAMANI, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, M.-T. CHIEN AND H. NAKAZATO, *Norm-parallelism and the Davis-Wielandt radius of Hilbert space operators*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 67 (11) (2019) 2147–2158.

(Received July 8, 2020)

Aniket Bhanja
 Department of Mathematics, Vivekananda College
 Thakurpukur, Kolkata 700063, India
 e-mail: aniketbhanja219@gmail.com

Pintu Bhunia
 Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University
 Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, India
 e-mail: pintubhunia5206@gmail.com;
 pbhunia.math.rs@jadavpuruniversity.in

Kallol Paul
 Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University
 Kolkata 700032, West Bengal, India
 e-mail: kalloldada@gmail.com;
 kallol.paul@jadavpuruniversity.in