OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR ODD HIGHER ORDER NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH POSITIVE AND NEGATIVE COEFFICIENTS

SAROI PANIGRAHI AND RAKHEE BASII

(Communicated by Norio Yoashida)

Abstract. In this paper, the authors study oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of a class of nonlinear higher order neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients of the form

$$(a(t)(b(t)(v(t) + p(t)v(\sigma(t)))')')^{(n-2)} + q(t)G(v(\alpha(t))) - h(t)H(v(\beta(t))) = 0$$
 (E)

for $n \geqslant 3$, n is an odd integer, $0 \leqslant p(t) \leqslant p_1 < 1$ and $-1 < p_2 \leqslant p(t) \leqslant 0$. The results in this paper generalize the results of Panigrahi and Basu [9] and various results in the literature. We establish new conditions which guarantees that every solutions of (E) either oscillatory or converges to zero. Examples are considered to illustrate the main results.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of a higher order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations of the form

$$(a(t)(b(t)(y(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t)))')')^{(n-2)} + q(t)G(y(\alpha(t))) - h(t)H(y(\beta(t))) = 0, (1.1)$$

where
$$a, b, q \in C([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty)), h \in C([t_0, \infty), [0, \infty)), p, \sigma, \alpha, \beta \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\sigma(t) \leqslant t, \quad \alpha(t) \leqslant t, \quad \beta(t) \leqslant t,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \alpha(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \beta(t) = \infty,$$

G and $H \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with uG(u) > 0, vH(v) > 0, for $u, v \neq 0$, $n(\geqslant 3)$ is odd number, H is bounded, G is non-decreasing under the assumptions

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{s}^{\infty} u^{n-3} h(u) du ds dt < \infty, \tag{H_1}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 34C10, 34C15, 34K11.

This research is supported by CSIR-New Delhi, India through the Letter No: 09/414 (0876)/2009-EMR-I dated October 20, 2009.



Keywords and phrases: functional differential equations, neutral, nonlinear, oscillation, positive and negative coefficients.

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{b(t)} = \infty, \tag{H_2}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} < \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{b(t)} = \infty, \tag{H_3}$$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} < \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{b(t)} < \infty, \tag{H_4}$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{a(t)} = \infty, \qquad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{b(t)} < \infty$$
 (H₅)

for the ranges $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$ and $-1 < p_2 \le p(t) \le 0$.

Oscillation and non-oscillations of neutral differential equations have been studied by many authors since last two decades (see [1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12]). However, there are only a few works have been done on the oscillatory behaviour of higher order $(n \ge 2)$ neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients.

In [5], Li, Zhang and Xing have studied the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of

$$(a(t)(b(t)(x(t) + p(t)x(\sigma(t)))')')' + q(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0$$
(1.2)

under the assumptions (H_2) , (H_3) and (H_4) , where $a,b,q \in C([t_0,\infty),\ (0,\infty))$, $p,\sigma,\tau \in C([t_0,\infty),\mathbb{R})$, $\sigma(t) \leq t$, $\tau(t) \leq t$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \infty$ and $0 \leq p(t) \leq p < 1$. Moreover, they did not investigate the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of (1.2) for the case (H_5) .

Later on, Panigrahi and Basu [9] have studied the oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a class of nonlinear third order neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients of the form

$$(a(t)(b(t)(y(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t)))')' + q(t)G(y(\alpha(t))) - h(t)H(y(\beta(t))) = 0$$
 (1.3)

under the assumptions

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{s}^{\infty} h(u) du ds dt < \infty,$$

 $(H_2), (H_3), (H_4)$ and (H_5) for the ranges $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$ and $-1 < p_2 \le p(t) \le 0$, where $a,b,q \in C([t_0,\infty),(0,\infty)), \ h \in C([t_0,\infty),[0,\infty)), \ p,\sigma, \ \alpha,\beta \in C([t_0,\infty),\mathbb{R}), \ \sigma(t) \le t, \ \alpha(t) \le t, \ \beta(t) \le t, \ \lim_{t\to\infty} \sigma(t) = \infty, \ \lim_{t\to\infty} \alpha(t) = \infty, \lim_{t\to\infty} \beta(t) = \infty, \ G$ and $H \in C(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ with uG(u) > 0, vH(v) > 0, for $u,v \ne 0$, H is bounded, G is non-decreasing. Clearly, equations (1.2) and (1.3) are particular cases of equations (1.1).

Keeping in view of the above facts, the motivation of the present work has come from the recent work of Panigrahi and Basu [9]. We may note that a very few work is available in this direction. This work is the generalization of the earlier work of [9].

By a solution of (1.1) we understand a function $y(t) \in C([T_y, \infty))$, $T_y \geqslant t_0 \geqslant 0$ such that

$$\begin{split} &(y(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t))) \in C^{1}([T_{y}, \infty)), \\ &b(t)(y(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t)))' \in C^{1}([T_{y}, \infty)), \\ &a(t)(b(t)(y(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t)))')' \in C^{(n-2)}([T_{y}, \infty)) \end{split}$$

and satisfies (1.1) on $[T_y, \infty)$. We consider only those solutions y(t) of (1.1) which satisfies $\sup\{|y(t)|;\ t \ge T\} > 0$ for every $T \ge T_y$. We assume that (1.1) has such a solution. A solution of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $[T_y, \infty)$; otherwise, it is called non-oscillatory.

2. Oscillation properties of homogeneous equation

In this section, sufficient conditions are obtained for the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.1). We need the following conditions and lemma for our use in the sequel.

$$(H_6) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(t)dt = \infty;$$

$$(H_7)$$
 $G(-u) = -G(u), H(-u) = -H(u)$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}$;

$$(H_8)$$
 $\int_{t^*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \int_{t^*}^{t} (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) ds dt = \infty;$

$$(H_9) \quad \int_{t^*}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} \int_{t^*}^{t} \frac{1}{a(v)} \int_{t^*}^{v} (v - s)^{n-3} q(s) ds dv dt = \infty, \ t^* \geqslant t_0.$$

LEMMA 1. [3], ([4], p. 193) Let $y \in C^{(n)}([0,\infty),\mathbb{R})$ be of constant sign. Let $y^{(n)}(t)$ be of constant sign and $\not\equiv 0$ in any interval $[T,\infty)$, $T\geqslant 0$, and $y^{(n)}(t)y(t)\leqslant 0$. Then there exists a number $t_0\geqslant 0$ such that the functions $y^{(j)}(t)$, j=1,2,...,n-1 are of constant sign on $[t_0,\infty)$ and there exists a number $k\in\{1,3,...,n-1\}$ when n is even or $k\in\{0,2,...,n-1\}$ when n is odd such that

$$y(t)y^{(j)}(t) > 0 \quad for \quad j = 0, 1, 2, ..., k, \quad t \geqslant t_0,$$
$$(-1)^{n+j-1}y(t)y^{(j)}(t) > 0 \quad for \quad j = k+1, k+2, ..., n-1, \quad t \geqslant t_0.$$

THEOREM 2. Let $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$. Suppose (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_6) and (H_7) hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \ge 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. Then there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t)) > 0$, $y(\beta(t)) > 0$, $y(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for $t \ge t_1$. Set

$$z(t) = y(t) + p(t)y(\sigma(t))$$
(2.1)

and

$$k(t) = \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(s)} \int_{s}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(\theta)} \int_{\theta}^{\infty} (u-\theta)^{n-3} h(u) H(y(\beta(u))) du d\theta ds. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that condition (H_1) and the fact that H is bounded function implies that k(t) exists for all t. Now if we let

$$v(t) = z(t) + k(t),$$
 (2.3)

then

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) = -q(t)G(y(\alpha(t))) \le 0 (\not\equiv 0), \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$w(t) = a(t)(b(t)v'(t))'$$
(2.5)

for $t \ge t_1$. Here $w^{(n-2)}(t)$ represents the $(n-2)^{th}$ derivative of w w.r.t t. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t), w^{(n-4)}(t), ..., w'(t), w(t)$ are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t \in [t_2, \infty), t_2 \ge t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$.

Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies b(t)v'(t) is eventually monotonic function. Since b(t) > 0, then either v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. If v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then z'(t) > 0 eventually. Therefore,

$$(1 - p_1)z(t) < (1 - p(t))z(t) < z(t) - p(t)z(\sigma(t)) = y(t) - p(t)p(\sigma(t))y(\sigma(\sigma(t))),$$

which implies

$$(1 - p_1)z(t) < y(t)$$

for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. From (2.4), z'(t) > 0 and by using the last inequality, we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \le -q(t)G((1-p_1)z(t_4))$$

for $t \ge t_5 > t_4$. Then integrating the preceding inequality from t_5 to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_5) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_5) \geqslant G((1-p_1)z(t_4)) \int_{t_5}^t q(s)ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{(n-3)}(t) < \infty$, then taking $t\to\infty$ in the last inequality we have

$$\int_{t_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction to (H_6) .

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, we may note that $\lim_{t \to \infty} v(t)$ exists and equal to l (say). We will claim that l = 0. If it is not true, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_4 > t_3$ such that $l < v(t) < l + \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_4$. Choose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{l(1-p_1)}{1+p_1}$. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} k(t) = 0$, then for the same chosen ε , $k(t) < \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_5 \ge t_4$. Thus,

$$y(t) = v(t) - p(t)y(\sigma(t)) - k(t)$$

$$> v(t) - p(t)v(\sigma(t)) - k(t)$$

$$> l - p_1(l + \varepsilon) - \varepsilon$$

for $t \ge t_6 > t_5$. Now,

$$y(t) > (l - \varepsilon) - p_1(l + \varepsilon) > k_2(l + \varepsilon) > k_2v(t) > k_2l.$$
(2.6)

By the choice of ε , we can show that $k_2 > 0$. Using (2.6) in (2.4), we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \leqslant -q(t)G(k_2l) \tag{2.7}$$

for $t \ge t_7 > t_6$. Integrating (2.7) from t_7 to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_7) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_7) \ge G(k_2 l) \int_{t_7}^t q(s) ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{(n-3)}(t) < \infty$, then taking $t\to\infty$ in the last inequality we obtain

$$\int_{t_7}^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction to (H_6) . Therefore, $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$ and hence $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$. Since $y(t) \leqslant z(t)$, then it implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

If w(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (i): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually. Now v'(t) > 0 and k'(t) < 0 implies z'(t) > 0. Therefore, $(1-p_1)z(t) < (1-p(t))z(t) < z(t) - p(t)z(\sigma(t)) = y(t) - p(t)p(\sigma(t))y(\sigma(\sigma(t)))$, which implies

$$(1 - p_1)z(t) < y(t) (2.8)$$

for $t \ge t_3$. From (2.4) and z'(t) > 0, we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \leq -q(t)G((1-p_1)z(t_3))$$

for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. Then integrating the last inequality from t_4 to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_4) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_4) \geqslant G((1-p_1)z(t_3)) \int_{t_4}^t q(s)ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{(n-3)}(t) < \infty$, then taking $t\to\infty$ in the last inequality we have

$$\int_{t_4}^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then from (2.4) we can conclude that $w^{(n-4)}(t) < 0, ..., w'(t) < 0$ for large t. Since w'(t) < 0 for $t > t_4(>t_3)$, then $w(t) < w(t_4)$, that is,

$$a(t)(b(t)v'(t))' < a(t_4)(b(t_4)v'(t_4))'.$$

Integrating the preceeding inequality from t_4 to t, we obtain

$$b(t)v'(t) < b(t_4)v'(t_4) + a(t_4)(b(t_4)v'(t_4))' \int_{t_4}^t \frac{ds}{a(s)}.$$

Using (H_2) in the preceding inequality, we obtain $b(t)v'(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$, a contradiction to the fact that v'(t) > 0.

Case IV. Suppose v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Then, integrating (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 twice from t_3 to t, we obtain

$$v(t) \le v(t_3) + b(t_3)v'(t_3) \int_{t_3}^t \frac{ds}{b(s)}.$$

Using (H_2) in the preceding inequality, we obtain $v(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$, a contradiction to the fact that v(t) > 0.

Finally, we suppose that y(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_0$. From (H_7) , we note that G(-u) = -G(u) and $H(-u) = -H(u), u \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence putting x(t) = -y(t) for $t \ge t_0$, we obtain x(t) > 0 and

$$(a(t)(b(t)(x(t)+p(t)x(\sigma(t)))')')^{(n-2)}+q(t)G(x(\alpha(t)))-h(t)H(x(\beta(t)))=0.$$

Proceeding as above, we can show that every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$. Suppose that $(H_1), (H_3)$ and $(H_6) - (H_8)$ hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \geqslant 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. (The proof in case y(t) < 0 eventually is similar and will be omitted.) Then there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t)) > 0, y(\beta(t)) > 0, y(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for $t \geqslant t_1$. Setting z(t), k(t), v(t) as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, we get (2.4) and (2.5) for $t \geqslant t_1$. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t), w^{(n-4)}(t), ..., w'(t), w(t)$ are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t \in [t_2, \infty)$, $t_2 \geqslant t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies v'(t) is monotonic function. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. If v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then proceeding as in Case I of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then proceeding as in Case II of Theorem 2, we obtain $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$.

If w(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (i): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually, then proceeding as in Subcase (i) of Case III of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then from (2.4) it implies that $w^{(n-4)}(t) < 0,...,w'(t) < 0$ for large t. Now v'(t) > 0 implies z'(t) > 0 eventually. Therefore from (2.4) and (2.8), we obtain

$$0 \geqslant w^{(n-2)}(t) + q(t)G((1-p_1)z(t_3))$$

for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. Integrating the last inequality consecutively (n-2) times from t_4 to t, we obtain

$$0 > w(t_4) \geqslant w(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^t (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) G((1-p_1)z(t_3)) ds.$$

Hence,

$$0 > (b(t)v'(t))' + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \frac{1}{a(t)} \int_{t_4}^t (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) G((1-p_1)z(t_3)) ds.$$

Further integrating the preceding inequality from t_4 to t, we obtain

$$b(t_4)v'(t_4) \geqslant b(t)v'(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^t \frac{1}{a(v)} \int_{t_4}^v (v-s)^{n-3} q(s) G((1-p_1)z(t_3)) ds dv.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} b(t)v'(t) < \infty$, then from the last inequality for large t, we get

$$\frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} \int_{t_4}^{t} (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) G((1-p_1)z(t_3)) ds dt < \infty,$$

a contradiction to (H_8) .

Case IV. Since v'(t) < 0 and (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then using (H_3) and proceeding as in Case IV of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction to the fact that v(t) > 0. Hence the proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 4. Let $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$. Suppose that (H_1) , (H_4) and $(H_6) - (H_9)$ hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \ge 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. (The proof in case y(t) < 0 eventually is similar and will be omitted.) Then there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t)) > 0, y(\beta(t)) > 0, y(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for $t \ge t_1$. Setting z(t), k(t), v(t) as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, we get (2.4) and (2.5) for $t \ge t_1$. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t), w^{(n-4)}(t), ..., w'(t), w(t)$ are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t \in [t_2, \infty), t_2 \ge t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies v'(t) is monotonic function. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. If v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then z'(t) > 0 eventually. Therefore,

$$(1-p_1)z(t) < (1-p(t))z(t) < z(t) - p(t)z(\sigma(t)) = y(t) - p(t)p(\sigma(t))y(\sigma(\sigma(t))),$$

which implies

$$(1 - p_1)z(t) < y(t)$$

for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. From (2.4), z'(t) > 0 and by using the last inequality, we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \leq -q(t)G((1-p_1)z(t_5))$$

for $t \ge t_5 > t_4$. Then integrating the preceding inequality from t_5 to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_5) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_5) \geqslant G((1-p_1)z(t_5)) \int_{t_5}^t q(s)ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{(n-3)}(t) < \infty$, then taking $t\to\infty$ in the last inequality we have

$$\int_{t_5}^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction to (H_6) .

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then proceeding as in Case II of Theorem 2, we obtain $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$.

If w(t) < 0, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (i): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually, then proceeding as in Subcase (i) of Case III of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then proceeding as in Subcase (ii) of Case III of Theorem 3, we obtain a contradiction (H_8) .

Case IV. Since v'(t) < 0 and (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since, $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (iii): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then, $w^{(n-4)}(t) < 0, ..., w'(t) < 0, w(t) < 0$ eventually. Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) < \infty$, let $0 < \lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) < \infty$. Therefore from (2.4), (2.6), we obtain

$$0 \geqslant w^{(n-2)}(t) + q(t)G(k_2l) \tag{2.9}$$

for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. Integrating (2.9) consecutively (n-2) times from t_4 to t, we obtain

$$0 > w(t_4) \geqslant w(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^t (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) G(k_2 l) ds.$$

Hence,

$$0 > (b(t)v'(t))' + \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^t (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) G(k_2 l) ds.$$

Further integrating the preceeding inequality from t_4 to t and considering the fact that v'(t) < 0, we obtain

$$0 > b(t_4)v'(t_4) \geqslant b(t)v'(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^{t} \frac{1}{a(\theta)} \int_{t_4}^{\theta} (\theta - s)^{n-3} q(s) G(k_2 l) ds d\theta.$$

Again integrating the last inequality from t_4 to t, we get

$$v(t_4) \geqslant v(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^{t} \frac{1}{b(u)} \int_{t_4}^{u} \frac{1}{a(\theta)} \int_{t_4}^{\theta} (\theta - s)^{n-3} q(s) G(k_2 l) ds d\theta du.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) < \infty$, then it implies for large t

$$\frac{G(k_2l)}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_4}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(u)} \int_{t_4}^{u} \frac{1}{a(\theta)} \int_{t_4}^{\theta} (\theta - s)^{n-3} q(s) ds d\theta du < \infty,$$

a contradiction to (H_9) .

If $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$. Hence, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ as $y(t) \leqslant z(t)$.

Subcase (iv): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. If $0 < \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < \infty$, then from (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$\int_{t_4}^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty,$$

a contradiction to (H_6) . Hence, $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$. Thus, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. Hence proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 5. Let $0 \le p(t) \le p_1 < 1$. Suppose that (H_1) , (H_5) , (H_6) , (H_7) and (H_9) hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0,\infty)$, $t_0\geqslant 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. (The proof in case y(t)<0 eventually is similar and will be omitted.) Then there exists $t_1>t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t))>0, y(\beta(t))>0, y(\sigma(t))>0$ for $t\geqslant t_1$. Setting z(t),k(t), v(t) as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, we get (2.4) and (2.5) for $t\geqslant t_1$. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t), w^{(n-4)}(t), ..., w'(t), w(t)$ are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t\in [t_2,\infty),\ t_2\geqslant t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies v'(t) is monotonic function. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. If v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then proceeding as in Case I of Theorem 4, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then proceeding as in Case II of Theorem 2, we obtain $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$.

If w(t) < 0, for $t \ge t_2$, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually. then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (i): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually, then proceeding as in Subcase (i) of Case III of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then using (H_5) and proceeding as in Subcase (ii) of Case III of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction to the fact that v'(t) > 0.

Case IV. Suppose v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since, $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (iii): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually. If $0 < \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < \infty$, then proceeding as in Subcase (iii) of Case IV of Theorem 4, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_9) .

If $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$, then we obtain $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Subcase (iv): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually, then proceeding as in Subcase (iv) of Case IV of Theorem 4, we obtain $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. Hence proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 6. Let $-1 < p_2 \le p(t) \le 0$. Suppose that (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_6) and (H_7) hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \ge 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. (The proof in case y(t) < 0 eventually is similar and will be omitted.) Then there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t)) > 0, y(\beta(t)) > 0, y(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for $t \ge t_1$. Setting z(t), k(t), v(t) as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, we get (2.4) and (2.5) for $t \ge t_1$. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t)$, $w^{(n-4)}(t)$, ..., w'(t), w(t) are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t \in [t_2, \infty)$, $t_2 \ge t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies v'(t) is monotonic function. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Now v'(t) > 0 and k'(t) < 0 implies that z'(t) > 0 eventually. Hence, z(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

Subcase (i): If z(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then

$$y(t) \geqslant z(t)$$

for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. Using the last inequality in (2.4), we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \leqslant -q(t)G(z(t_4)).$$

Thus integrating this from $t_5(>t_4)$ to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_5) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_5) \geqslant G(z(t_4)) \int_{t_5}^t q(s) ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{n-3}(t) < \infty$, then taking the limit as $t\to\infty$ in the last inequality, we obtain

$$\int_{t_5}^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty,$$

a contradiction to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$ exists. Note that y(t) is bounded. Hence,

$$0 \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

$$= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t).$$

Since $(1+p_2) > 0$, then it implies $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. So also $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then two cases are possible: v(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

Subcase (iii): If v(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} v(t)$ exists and equal to l_1 (say). We will claim that $l_1 = 0$. If it is not true, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_5 > t_4$ such that $l_1 < v(t) < l_1 + \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_5$. Choose $0 < \varepsilon < l_1$. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} k(t) = 0$, then for the same chosen ε , $k(t) < \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_6 > t_5$. Thus,

$$v(t) - y(t) - k(t) = p(t)y(\sigma(t)) \le 0$$

for $t \ge t_7 > t_6$. Hence,

$$l_1 - \varepsilon < v(t) - k(t) \leq v(t)$$
.

From (2.4), we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \leqslant -q(t)G(l_1 - \varepsilon)$$

for $t \ge t_8 > t_7$. Thus integrating the last inequality from t_8 to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_8) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_8) \ge G(l_1 - \varepsilon) \int_{t_8}^t q(s) ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{n-3}(t) < \infty$, then taking the limit as $t\to\infty$ in the preceding inequality we get a contradiction to (H_6) . Hence, $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$. Hence, z(t) is bounded. We can show that y(t) is bounded. Thus,

$$0 = \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

$$= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t).$$

Since $(1+p_2)>0$, then it implies $\limsup_{t\to\infty}y(t)=0$. Hence, $\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)=0$. $Subcase\ (iv)$: Suppose v(t)<0 for $t\geqslant t_4$, as v'(t)<0 so $-\infty\leqslant \lim_{t\to\infty}v(t)<0$. Thus, $-\infty\leqslant \lim_{t\to\infty}z(t)(=l_2)<0$. If $l_2=-\infty$, then we get a contradiction due to the boundedness of y(t).

If $-\infty < l_2 < 0$, then

$$0 > \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

$$= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t).$$

Since $(1+p_2) > 0$, then it implies $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) < 0$, a contradiction to the fact that y(t) > 0.

If w(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (v): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually. Now v'(t) > 0 and k'(t) < 0 implies that z'(t) > 0 eventually. Hence, z(t) > 0 or < 0 eventually.

If z(t) > 0 eventually, then

$$y(t) \geqslant z(t) \tag{2.10}$$

for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. Using (2.10) in (2.4), we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \leqslant -q(t)G(z(t_4)).$$

Thus integrating this from t_4 to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_4) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_4) \geqslant G(z(t_4)) \int_{t_4}^t q(s) ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{n-3}(t) < \infty$, then taking the limit as $t\to\infty$ in the last inequality we obtain

$$\int_{t_A}^{\infty} q(t)dt < \infty,$$

a contradiction to (H_6) .

If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)$ exists. Let it be l_3 . So $-\infty < l_3 \le 0$. We may note that y(t) is bounded. Hence,

$$0 \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

$$= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t).$$

Since $(1+p_2) > 0$, then it implies $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. So also $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Subcase (vi): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then proceeding as in Subcase (ii) of Case III of Theorem 2, we obtain a contradiction due to v'(t) > 0.

Case IV. Suppose v'(t) < 0 and (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then integrating (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 twice consecutively from t_3 to t, we obtain

$$v(t) \le v(t_3) + b(t_3)v'(t_3) \int_{t_3}^t \frac{ds}{b(s)}.$$

Using (H_2) in the last inequality, we obtain $v(t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Thus, v(t) < 0 for large t. It is easy to show that y(t) is bounded, hence v(t) is bounded, a contradiction. Hence proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 7. Let $-1 < p_2 \le p(t) \le 0$. Suppose that (H_1) , (H_3) and $(H_6) - (H_8)$ hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0,\infty)$, $t_0\geqslant 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. (The proof in case y(t)<0 eventually is similar and will be omitted.) Then there exists $t_1>t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t))>0, y(\beta(t))>0, y(\sigma(t))>0$ for $t\geqslant t_1$. Setting z(t),k(t), v(t) as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, we get (2.4) and (2.5) for $t\geqslant t_1$. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t),w^{(n-4)}(t),...,w'(t),w(t)$ are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t\in [t_2,\infty),\ t_2\geqslant t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies v'(t) is monotonic function. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. If v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then z'(t) > 0 and hence two cases are possible: z(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

Subcase (i): If z(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (i) of Case I of Theorem 6, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (ii) of Case I of Theorem 6, we obtain $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, we have two cases; v(t) > 0 or v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$. Subcase (iii): If v(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < \infty$ and proceeding as in

Subcase (iii): If v(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < \infty$ and proceeding as in Subcase (iii) of Case II of Theorem 6, we get $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$.

Subcase (iv): If v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (iv) of Case II of Theorem 6, we get $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$.

If w(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (v): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually. Now v'(t) > 0 and k'(t) < 0 implies that z'(t) > 0. Hence, z(t) > 0 or < 0 eventually.

If z(t) > 0 eventually, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (v) of Case III of Theorem 6, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

If z(t) < 0 eventually, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (v) of Case III of Theorem 6, we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Subcase (vi): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually. Since z'(t) > 0 eventually. Hence, z(t) > 0 eventually.

If z(t) > 0 eventually, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (ii) of Case III of Theorem 3, we get a contradiction due to (H_8) .

If z(t) < 0 eventually, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$ exists. Note that y(t) is bounded. Hence,

$$0 \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

$$= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t)$$

which implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Case IV. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then using (H_3) and proceeding as in Case IV of Theorem 6, we get a contradiction to the fact that y(t) is bounded. Hence proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 8. Let $-1 < p_2 \le p(t) \le 0$. Suppose that (H_1) , (H_4) and $(H_6) - (H_9)$ hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \ge 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. (The proof in case y(t) < 0 eventually is similar and will be omitted.) Then there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t)) > 0$, $y(\beta(t)) > 0$, $y(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for $t \ge t_1$. Setting z(t), k(t), v(t) as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, we get (2.4) and (2.5) for $t \ge t_1$. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t)$, $w^{(n-4)}(t)$, ..., w'(t), w(t) are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t \in [t_2, \infty)$, $t_2 \ge t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies v'(t) is monotonic function. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. If v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then z'(t) > 0 eventually. Thus, we have two cases; z(t) > 0 or z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

Subcase (i): If z(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding same as in Subcase (i) of Case I of Theorem 6, we obtain a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$ exists. Let it be l_4 . Now $-\infty < l_4 \le 0$. Note that y(t) is bounded. Hence,

$$0 \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$
$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

= $(1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t)$.

Since $(1+p_2) > 0$, then it implies $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. So also $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then we have two cases: v(t) > 0 or v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

Subcase (iii): If v(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (iii) of Case II of Theorem 6, we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Subcase (iv): If v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (iv) of Case II of Theorem 6, we get a contradiction due to y(t) > 0.

If w(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, Then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (v): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually. Since v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then z'(t) > 0 eventually. Thus, we have two cases: z(t) > 0 or z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

If z(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (v) of Case III of Theorem 6, we get a contradiction to (H_6) .

If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (v) of Case III of Theorem 6, we get $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$.

Subcase (vi): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually. Since v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then z'(t) > 0 eventually. Thus, we have two cases: z(t) > 0 or z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

If z(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (ii) of Case III of Theorem 3, we get a contradiction due to (H_8) .

If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} z(t)$ exists. Note that y(t) is bounded. Hence,

$$\begin{split} 0 \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) &\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t))) \\ &\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t))) \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t)) \\ &= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) \end{split}$$

which implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Case IV. Suppose v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then we have two cases $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually.

Subcase (vii): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually. Since v'(t) < 0, then v(t) > 0 or v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

If v(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} v(t)$ exists and equal to l_5 (say). We will claim that $l_5 = 0$. If it is not true, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_5 > t_4$ such that $l_5 < v(t) < l_5 + \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_5$. Choose $0 < \varepsilon < l_5$. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} k(t) = 0$, then for the same chosen ε , $k(t) < \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_6 > t_5$. Thus,

$$v(t) - y(t) - k(t) = p(t)y(\sigma(t)) \le 0$$

for $t \ge t_7 > t_6$. Hence,

$$l_5 - \varepsilon < v(t) - k(t) \leq v(t)$$
.

From (2.4), we obtain

$$w^{(n-2)}(t) \leqslant -q(t)G(l_5 - \varepsilon)$$

for $t \ge t_8 > t_7$. Thus integrating the last inequality from t_8 to t, we obtain

$$\infty > w^{(n-3)}(t_8) > -w^{(n-3)}(t) + w^{(n-3)}(t_8) \ge G(l_5 - \varepsilon) \int_{t_8}^t q(s) ds.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} w^{n-3}(t) < \infty$, then taking the limit as $t\to\infty$ in the preceding inequality we get a contradiction to (H_6) . Hence, $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$. Therefore, z(t) is bounded. We can show that y(t) is also bounded. Thus,

$$0 = \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

$$= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t).$$

Since $(1+p_2) > 0$, then $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ and hence $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Suppose v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$ as v'(t) < 0. Thus, $-\infty \le \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < 0$. Hence, $-\infty \le \lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) (= l_6) < 0$. If $l_6 = -\infty$, then we get a contradiction due to the boundedness of v(t).

If $-\infty < l_6 < 0$, then

$$\begin{split} 0 &> \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t))) \\ &\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t))) \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t)) \\ &= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t). \end{split}$$

Since $(1+p_2) > 0$, then $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) < 0$, a contradiction to the fact that y(t) > 0.

Subcase (viii): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then from (2.4) we can conclude that $w^{(n-4)}(t) < 0, ..., w'(t) < 0$ for large t.

If v(t) > 0 eventually, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < \infty$ and equal to l_7 (say). We will claim $l_7 = 0$. If it is not true, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_4 > t_3$ such that $l_7 < v(t) < l_7 + \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_4$. Choose $0 < \varepsilon < l_7$. Since $\lim_{t \to \infty} k(t) = 0$, then for the same chosen ε , $k(t) < \varepsilon$ for $t \ge t_5 > t_4$. Thus,

$$v(t) - y(t) - k(t) = p(t)y(\sigma(t)) \le 0$$

for $t \ge t_6 > t_5$. Hence,

$$l_7 - \varepsilon < v(t) - k(t) \leq v(t)$$
.

Therefore using the last inequality in (2.4), we obtain

$$0 \geqslant w^{(n-2)}(t) + q(t)G(l_7 - \varepsilon)$$

for $t \ge t_7 > t_6$. Integrating the last inequality consecutively (n-2) times from t_7 to t, we obtain

$$0 > w(t_7) \geqslant w(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_7}^t (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) G(l_7 - \varepsilon) ds.$$

Hence,

$$0 > (b(t)v'(t))' + \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_7}^t (t-s)^{n-3} q(s) G(l_7 - \varepsilon) ds.$$

Further integrating the preceeding inequality from t_7 to t and considering the fact that v'(t) < 0, we obtain

$$0 > b(t_7)v'(t_7) \geqslant b(t)v'(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_7}^t \frac{1}{a(\theta)} \int_{t_7}^{\theta} (\theta - s)^{n-3} q(s) G(t_7 - \varepsilon) ds d\theta.$$

Again integrating the last inequality from t_7 to t, we obtain

$$v(t_7) \geqslant v(t) + \frac{1}{(n-3)!} \int_{t_7}^{t} \frac{1}{b(u)} \int_{t_7}^{u} \frac{1}{a(\theta)} \int_{t_7}^{\theta} (\theta - s)^{n-3} q(s) G(l_7 - \varepsilon) ds d\theta du.$$

Since $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) < \infty$, then it implies that

$$\frac{1}{(n-3)!}G(l_7-\varepsilon)\int_{t_7}^{\infty}\frac{1}{b(u)}\int_{t_7}^{u}\frac{1}{a(\theta)}\int_{t_7}^{\theta}(\theta-s)^{n-3}q(s)dsd\theta du<\infty,$$

a contradiction to (H_9) . Hence, $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} z(t) = 0$. Thus,

$$0 = \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t)))$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t))$$

$$= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t).$$

Since $(1+p_2) > 0$, then $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$. Hence, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

If v(t) < 0 eventually, then $-\infty \le \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < 0$. If $-\infty < \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < 0$, then $-\infty < \lim_{t \to \infty} z(t) < 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{split} 0 &> \limsup_{t \to \infty} z(t) \geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} (y(t) + p_2 y(\sigma(t))) \\ &\geqslant \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + \liminf_{t \to \infty} (p_2 y(\sigma(t))) \\ &= \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t) + p_2 \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(\sigma(t)) \\ &= (1 + p_2) \limsup_{t \to \infty} y(t), \end{split}$$

which implies $\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) < 0$, a contradiction to the fact that y(t) > 0. If $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = -\infty$, then we obtain a contradiction to the fact that y(t) is bounded. Hence the proof of the theorem is complete.

THEOREM 9. Let $-1 < p_2 \le p(t) \le 0$. Suppose that (H_1) , (H_5) , (H_6) , (H_7) and (H_9) hold, then every solution of (1.1) either oscillates or converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Let y(t) be a non-oscillatory solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty)$, $t_0 \ge 0$, say y(t) is an eventually positive solution. (The proof in case y(t) < 0 eventually is similar and will be omitted.) Then there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that $y(\alpha(t)) > 0, y(\beta(t)) > 0, y(\sigma(t)) > 0$ for $t \ge t_1$. Setting z(t), k(t), v(t) as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) respectively, we get (2.4) and (2.5) for $t \ge t_1$. Clearly, $w^{(n-3)}(t), w^{(n-4)}(t), ..., w'(t), w(t)$ are monotonic functions and of constant sign for $t \in [t_2, \infty), t_2 \ge t_1$.

If w(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then in view of Lemma 1, $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ for $t \ge t_2$. Now w(t) > 0 implies (b(t)v'(t))' > 0 for $t \ge t_2$, which in turn implies v'(t) is monotonic function. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case I. If v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then z'(t) > 0 eventually. Thus, we have two cases: z(t) > 0 or z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

Subcase (i): If z(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (i) of Case I of Theorem 8, we get a contradiction due to (H_6) .

Subcase (ii): If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (ii) of Case I of Theorem 8, we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

Case II. If v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3$, then we have two cases: v(t) > 0 or v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$.

Subcase (iii): If v(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (iii) of Case II of Theorem 6, $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$.

Subcase (iv): If v(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4$, then proceeding as in Subcase (iv) of Case II of Theorem 6, we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

If w(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_2$, then (b(t)v'(t))' < 0 for $t \ge t_2$. Thus, v'(t) > 0 or v'(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_3 > t_2$.

Case III. Suppose v'(t) > 0 for $t \ge t_3$. Since, $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then either $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or < 0 eventually.

Subcase (v): Suppose $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually. Now v'(t) > 0 and k'(t) < 0 implies that z'(t) > 0 eventually. Hence, z(t) > 0 or < 0 eventually.

If z(t) > 0 eventually, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (v) of Case III of Theorem 6, we get a contradiction to (H_6) .

If z(t) < 0 for $t \ge t_4 > t_3$, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (v) of Case III of Theorem 6, we get $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$.

Subcase (vi): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then using (H_5) and proceeding same as in Subcase (ii) of Case III of Theorem 2, we get a contradiction due to v'(t) > 0.

Case IV. Suppose v'(t) < 0 eventually. Since $w^{(n-2)}(t) \le 0$ eventually, then we have two cases; $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ or $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually.

Subcase (vii): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) > 0$ eventually, then proceeding as in Subcase (vii) of Case IV of Theorem 8 for v(t) > 0 part, we get $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$ and for v(t) < 0 part we get a contradiction due to y(t) > 0.

Subcase (*viii*): If $w^{(n-3)}(t) < 0$ eventually, then first we consider:

If v(t) > 0 eventually, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) < \infty$.

If $0 < \lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) < \infty$, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (*viii*) of Case IV of Theorem 8, we get a contradiction due to (H_9) .

If $\lim_{t\to\infty} v(t) = 0$, then $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0$.

If v(t) < 0 eventually, then proceeding as in *Subcase* (viii) of Case IV of Theorem 8, we get a contradiction due to y(t) > 0.

Hence proof of the theorem is complete.

3. Examples

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the fifth order differential equation

$$\left(y(t) + \frac{1}{2}y(t-\pi)\right)^{(v)} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + e^{-t}\right)y\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) - e^{-t}\left(1 + \sin^2\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) \frac{y\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{1 + y^2\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} = 0, \quad t \geqslant 4.$$
(3.1)

It is easy to verify that the hypothesis of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Thus, every solution of (3.1) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \to \infty$. Indeed, $y(t) = \sin t$ is such an oscillatary solution of (3.1).

EXAMPLE 2. Consider the third order equation

$$\left(e^{-\frac{t}{8}}\left(e^{\frac{t}{4}}\left(y(t) + \frac{1}{2e^{\pi}}y(t-\pi)\right)'\right)' + \left(\frac{63}{64}e^{\frac{7t}{8}} + e^{-\frac{5t}{4}}\right)y^{7}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right) - \frac{e^{-2t}(1 + e^{-2t + \pi})}{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}} \frac{y\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{1 + y^{2}\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} = 0, \ t \geqslant 4. \quad (3.2)$$

It is easy to verify that the conditions $(H_1), (H_5), (H_6), (H_7)$ and (H_9) are satisfied, so equation (3.2) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 5. Thus, every solution of (3.2) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \to \infty$. Indeed, $y(t) = e^{-t}$ is such a solution of (3.2).

EXAMPLE 3. Consider the fifth order equation

$$\left(y(t) - \frac{1}{2}y(t - 2\pi)\right)^{(\nu)} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + e^{-t}\right)y\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) - e^{-t}\left(1 + \sin^2\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\right) \frac{y\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{1 + y^2\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} = 0, \ t \geqslant 4.$$
(3.3)

It is easy to verify that the conditions $(H_1), (H_2), (H_6)$ and (H_7) are satisfied, so equation (3.3) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 6. Thus, every solution of (3.3) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \to \infty$. Indeed, $y(t) = \sin t$ is such an oscillatory solution of (3.3).

EXAMPLE 4. Consider the third order differential equation

$$\left(e^{-\frac{t}{8}}\left(e^{\frac{t}{4}}\left(y(t) - \frac{1}{2e^{\pi}}y(t-\pi)\right)'\right)' + \left(\frac{21}{64}e^{\frac{7t}{8}} + e^{-\frac{5t}{4}}\right)y^{7}\left(\frac{t}{4}\right) - \frac{e^{-2t}(1 + e^{-2t + \pi})}{e^{\frac{\pi}{2}}} \frac{y\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{1 + y^{2}\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right)} = 0, \quad t \geqslant 4. \quad (3.4)$$

It is easy to verify that the conditions $(H_1), (H_5), (H_6), (H_7)$ and (H_9) are satisfied, so equation (3.4) satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 9. Thus, every solution of (3.4) either oscillates or tends to zero as $t \to \infty$. Indeed, $y(t) = e^{-t}$ is such a solution of (3.4).

REMARK 1. It would be interesting to study the qualitative behavior of solutions of (1.1) with $n \ge 3$, n is an odd integer for the ranges $1 \le p(t) < \infty$ and $-\infty < p(t) \le -1$ under the hypothesis $(H_2) - (H_5)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. DIX, D. K. GOSH, R. RATH, Oscillation of a higher order neutral differential equations with a sublinear delay term and positive and negative coefficients, Math. Bohem. 134 (4), (2009), 411–425.
- [2] B. KARPUZ, R. N. RATH, L.N. PADHI, On oscillations and asymptotic behaviour of a higher order neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Electron. J. Differ. Equations 2008 (2008), 1–15.
- [3] T. KIGURADZE, On the oscillation of solutions of the equation $\frac{d^m(u)}{dt^m} + a(t)u^m signu = 0$, Mat. Sb. 65 (1964), 172–187.
- [4] G. S. LADDE, V. LAKHSMIKANTHAM, B. G. ZHANG, Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1987.
- [5] T. LI, C. ZHANG, G. XING, Oscillation of third-order neutral delay differential equations, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 569201, 11 p. (2012).
- [6] WANTONG LI, QUAN HONGSHUN, Oscillation of higher order neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Ann. Differ. Equations 11 (1995), 70–76.
- [7] J. MANOJLOVIC, Y. SHOUKAKU, T. TANIGAWA, N. YOSHIDA, Oscillation criteria for second order differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput. 181 (2006), 853–863.
- [8] O. OCALAN, Oscillation of neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 331 (2007), 644–654.
- [9] S. PANIGRAHI, R. BASU, Oscillation results for third order nonlinear mixed neutral differential equations, Accepted in Mathematica Slovaca.
- [10] N. PARHI, S. CHAND, On forced first order neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Math Slovaca 50 (2000), 81–94.
- [11] N. PARHI, S. CHAND, Oscillation of second order neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, J. Ind. Math. Soc. 66 (1999), 227–235.
- [12] A. K. TRIPATHY, S. PANIGRAHI, R. BASU, Oscillatory and asymptotic behaviour of fourth order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, Fasc. Math. 52, (2014), 155–174.

(Received March 29, 2015) (Revised August 8, 2015) Saroj Panigrahi School of Mathematics and Statistics University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad-500 046 India

e-mail: spsm@uohyd.ernet.in, panigrahi2008@gmail.com

Rakhee Basu School of Mathematics and Statistics University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad-500 046 India

e-mail: rakheebasu1983@gmail.com