

NONLINEAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR IMPULSIVE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH CAUSAL OPERATORS

WENLI WANG AND JINGFENG TIAN*

(Communicated by Marcia Federson)

Abstract. In this work, we investigate nonlinear boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations with causal operators. Our boundary condition is given by a nonlinear function, and more general than ones given before. To begin with, we prove a comparison theorem. Then by using this theorem, we show the existence of solutions for linear problems. Finally, by using the monotone iterative technique, we obtain the existence of extremal solutions for nonlinear boundary value problems with causal operators. An example satisfying the assumptions is presented.

1. Introduction

Impulsive differential equations are recognized as important models, which describe many evolution processes that abruptly change their state at a certain moment. This type of equations has been studied in depth by some authors in recent years [1, 2, 6, 10, 12, 15]. As an important branch, boundary value problems, especially, problems with nonlinear boundary conditions have drawn much attention. There are many ways to investigate this kind of problem. Among them, monotone iterative technique coupled with the method of upper and lower solutions is an effective method, readers can refer [8, 11, 13, 14, 19] for details. Recently, this method has been extended to boundary value problems with causal operators, see [3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 16, 17, 18, 20] and the references therein. For the case of differential equations, Jankowski [7] investigated nonlinear boundary value problems for first-order differential equations with causal operators by using the monotone iterative method. After it, Wang and Tian [16, 18] developed monotone iterative method, considered the generalized monotone iterative method for nonlinear boundary value problems and a class of integral boundary value problems, respectively, obtained the existence of extremal solutions for causal differential equations where the right-hand side is the sum of two monotone functions, one of which is monotone non-decreasing and the other is non-increasing. Moreover, for

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 34B37.

Keywords and phrases: nonlinear boundary value problems, causal operators, monotone iterative technique, extremal solutions.

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271106), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.2015ZD29), the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province, China (A2013201232) and the Science and Technology Research Projects of Higher Education Institutions of Hebei Province, China (Z2013038; Z2015137).

* The corresponding author.

impulsive differential equations, Zhao et al. [20] studied integral boundary value problems for impulsive differential equations with causal operators. Motivated by the above excellent work, in this paper, we discuss the following impulsive differential equations with a causal operator:

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = (Qy)(t), & t \neq t_k, t \in J, \\ \Delta y(t_k) = I_k(y(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ B(y(0), y) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $J = [0, T]$, $T > 0$, $E = C(J, \mathbb{R})$, $Q \in C(E, E)$ is a causal operator, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = T$, $I_k \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $B \in C[\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{T+1}, \mathbb{R}]$ and $\Delta y(t_k) = y(t_k^+) - y(t_k^-)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

The main interest of the paper lies in the fact that we consider nonlinear boundary conditions which, of course, includes the usual linear boundary conditions (such as initial and periodic) and other general conditions such as $B(y(0), y(T)) = 0$ and $y(0) = \int_0^T \mu(t, y(t))dt$, ($\mu \in C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$). Note that, the nonlinear boundary value problem (1.1) reduce to integral boundary value problems for $B(y(0), y) = y(0) - \lambda_1 y(\tau) - \lambda_2 \int_0^T \varphi(t, y(t))dt - c$ which has been studied in [20], and other general conditions such as $B(y(0), y(T)) = 0, I_k \equiv 0$ which has studied in [7]. Thus our boundary condition has a very general form.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a comparison principle is established. In Sections 3, after introducing the definition of upper and lower solutions, we obtain the existence of solutions for linear problem of (1.1) by applying Schauder's fixed point theorem. Moreover, the existence of extremal solutions for (1.1) is established by utilizing the monotone iterative technique. An example is given to illustrate our results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present a definition and a lemma which help to prove our main results.

Taking $J' = J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p\}$, let us introduce the space:

$$PC(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ y : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; y(t) \text{ is continuous everywhere except for some } t_k \right. \\ \left. \text{at which } y(t_k^-) \text{ and } y(t_k^+) \text{ exist, and } y(t_k^-) = y(t_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, p \right\},$$

$$PC'(J, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ y \in PC(J, \mathbb{R}); y' \text{ is continuous on } J', \text{ where } y'(0^+), \right. \\ \left. y'(T^-), y'(t_k^+) \text{ and } y'(t_k^-) \text{ exist, } k = 1, 2, \dots, p \right\}.$$

Put $E_0 = PC(J, \mathbb{R})$, $\Omega = PC'(J, \mathbb{R})$. E_0 and Ω are Banach spaces with the respective norms:

$$\|y\|_{E_0} = \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|, \quad \|y\|_{\Omega} = \|y\|_{E_0} + \|y'\|_{E_0}.$$

A function $y \in \Omega$ is called a solution of (1.1) if it satisfies (1.1).

DEFINITION 1. Let $Q \in C(E, E)$. We said that Q is a *causal map* if $u(s) = v(s)$, $t_0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, where $u, v \in E$, then

$$(Qu)(s) = (Qv)(s), \quad t_0 \leq s \leq t.$$

LEMMA 1. Let $m \in \Omega$ and

$$\begin{cases} m'(t) \leq -Mm(t) - (\mathcal{L}m)(t), & t \in J', \\ \Delta m(t_k) \leq -L_k m(t_k), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ m(0) \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $0 \leq L_k < 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $M \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{L} \in C(E, E)$ is a positive linear operator.

In addition, we assume that

$$\int_0^T e^{MT} (\mathcal{L}e^{-M})(t) dt + \prod_{k=1}^p L_k \leq 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Then $m(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in J$.

Proof. Let $v(t) = e^{Mt} m(t)$, $t \in J$. We have

$$\begin{cases} v'(t) \leq -e^{Mt} (\mathcal{L}e^{-M}v)(t), & t \in J', \\ \Delta v(t_k) \leq -L_k v(t_k), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ v(0) \leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

From the definition of $v(t)$, obviously, $v(t) \leq 0$ implies $m(t) \leq 0$, $t \in J$. So it suffices to show $v(t) \leq 0$ for any $t \in J$. Suppose on the contrary, there exists $t^* \in (0, T]$ such that $v(t^*) > 0$. Let $\inf_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} v(t) = -\lambda$, then $\lambda \geq 0$.

Case 1: if $\lambda = 0$, then $v(t) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0, t^*]$. Thus, by (2.2), we get $v'(t) \leq 0$ on $t \in [0, t^*]$ and $\Delta v(t_k) \leq -L_k v(t_k) \leq 0$ on $t_k \in (0, t^*)$, hence $v(t)$ is nonincreasing in $[0, t^*]$. So we have $v(t^*) \leq v(0) \leq 0$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2: if $\lambda > 0$, then there exists a $t_* \in [0, t^*)$ such that $v(t_*) = -\lambda < 0$ or $v(t_*^+) = -\lambda$. We only consider $v(t_*) = -\lambda$ because when $v(t_*^+) = -\lambda$, the proof is similar. From (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < v(t^*) &= v(t_*) + \int_{t_*}^{t^*} v'(t) dt + \sum_{t_* \leq t_k \leq t^*} \Delta v(t_k) \\ &\leq -\lambda + \lambda \int_0^T e^{MT} (\mathcal{L}e^{-M})(t) dt + \lambda \prod_{k=1}^p L_k \\ &= \lambda \left\{ \int_0^T e^{MT} (\mathcal{L}e^{-M})(t) dt + \prod_{k=1}^p L_k - 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\int_0^T e^{MT} (\mathcal{L}e^{-M})(t)dt + \prod_{k=1}^p L_k > 1.$$

A contradiction is then elicited due to (2.1). Hence $v(t) \leq 0$, and this completes the proof. \square

3. Main results

In this section, we shall establish the existence of extremal solutions of problem (1.1).

DEFINITION 2. A function $\alpha \in \Omega$ is called a *lower solution* of (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases} \alpha'(t) \leq (Q\alpha)(t), & t \in J', \\ \Delta\alpha(t_k) \leq I_k(\alpha(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ B(\alpha(0), \alpha) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 3. A function $\beta \in \Omega$ is called an *upper solution* of (1.1) if

$$\begin{cases} \beta'(t) \geq (Q\beta)(t), & t \in J', \\ \Delta\beta(t_k) \geq I_k(\beta(t_k)), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ B(\beta(0), \beta) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION 4. (see [7, Section 2]) A solution $y \in \Omega$ of problem (1.1) is called *maximal* if $x(t) \leq y(t), t \in J$, for each solution x of (1.1), and *minimal* if the reverse inequality holds. If both minimal and maximal solutions exist, we call them *extremal solutions* of (1.1).

For $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$, we write $\alpha \leq \beta$ if $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for all $t \in J$. Also, we denote $[\alpha, \beta] = \{y \in \Omega, \alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta(t), t \in J\}$.

In the sequel, we state our theorems. First we discuss the existence of solutions for the following linear problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) + My(t) + (\mathcal{L}y)(t) = \sigma_\eta(t), & t \in J', \\ \Delta y(t_k) = -L_k y(t_k) + \gamma_k, & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ B(y(0), y) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\eta \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $\sigma_\eta(t) = (Q\eta)(t) + M\eta(t) + (\mathcal{L}\eta)(t)$, $\gamma_k = I_k(\eta(t_k)) + L_k\eta(t_k)$.

Throughout this paper, we shall assume the following hypotheses hold:

(H₁) Let α and $\beta \in \Omega$ be lower and upper solutions of problem (1.1), respectively, such that $\alpha \leq \beta$.

(H₂) There exists $M \geq 0$ such that

$$(Qu)(t) - (Qv)(t) \geq -M(u - v) - (\mathcal{L}(u - v))(t), \text{ for } \alpha \leq v \leq u \leq \beta.$$

(H₃) There exist $0 \leq L_k < 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$ such that

$$I_k(u) - I_k(v) \geq -L_k(u - v), \text{ for } \alpha \leq v \leq u \leq \beta.$$

(H₄) $B(u, \cdot)$ is a nonincreasing function for each $u \in [\alpha(0), \beta(0)]$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that all conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Then linear problem (3.1) has at least one solution $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$.*

Proof. For $\eta \in [\alpha, \beta]$, we consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) + My(t) + (\mathcal{L}y)(t) = \sigma_\eta(t), & t \in J', \\ \Delta y(t_k) = -L_k p(t_k, y(t_k)) + \gamma_k, & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ y(0) = p(0, y(0) - B(y(0), y)), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\sigma_\eta(t) = (Q\eta)(t) + M\eta(t) + (\mathcal{L}\eta)(t)$, $p(t, y) = \max[\alpha(t), \min(y, \beta(t))]$, $\gamma_k = I_k(\eta(t_k)) + L_k\eta(t_k)$.

The idea of the proof is to transform (3.2) into a fixed point problem in order to apply Schauder Fixed Point Theorem (see [17]).

Consider the operator

$$\mathcal{A} : E_0 \rightarrow E_0$$

given by

$$\mathcal{A}y(t) = y(0) + \int_0^t [\sigma_\eta(s) - My(s) - (\mathcal{L}y)(s)] ds + \sum_{0 \leq t_k \leq t} [\gamma_k - L_k p(t_k, y(t_k))]. \quad (3.3)$$

It is easy to see that $y \in \Omega$ is a solution of (3.2), if and only if $y \in E_0$ is a fixed point of \mathcal{A} . Also every solution y of (3.2) is a solution of (3.1).

In order to apply Schauder's fixed point theorem, we shall prove that the operator \mathcal{A} is continuous and compact. Note that E_0 is a Banach space with the norm $\|y\| = \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|$. Let $y \in E_0$, the continuity of \mathcal{L} and σ_η imply that $\sigma_\eta(s) - My(s) - (\mathcal{L}y)(s)$ is bounded. The definition of $p(t, y)$ implies that $\gamma_k - L_k p(t_k, y(t_k))$ is bounded, so \mathcal{A} is continuous and bounded.

Let $t_1, t_2 \in J$, $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$|(\mathcal{A}y)(t_1) - (\mathcal{A}y)(t_2)| \leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} [\sigma_\eta(s) - My(s) - (\mathcal{L}y)(s)] \right| + \sum_{t_1 \leq t_k \leq t_2} |\gamma_k - L_k p(t_k, y(t_k))|,$$

as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. The right side of the above inequality tends to zero. This proves that the operator \mathcal{A} is equicontinuous on J . Then Arzela-Ascoli theorem shows that \mathcal{A} is compact. From Schauder's fixed point theorem, \mathcal{A} has a fixed point in E_0 . Next, we shall prove $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Firstly, we prove $\alpha \leq y$, set $m(t) = \alpha(t) - y(t)$, $t \in J$. Owing to (H_2) and (H_3) , we acquire

$$\begin{aligned} m'(t) &= \alpha'(t) - y'(t) \\ &\leq (Q\alpha)(t) - (Q\eta)(t) - M(\eta(t) - y(t)) - (\mathcal{L}(\eta - y))(t) \\ &\leq -Mm(t) - (\mathcal{L}m)(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m(t_k) &= \Delta\alpha(t_k) - \Delta y(t_k) \\ &\leq I_k(\alpha(t_k)) - I_k(\eta(t_k)) - L_k\eta(t_k) + L_k m(t_k, y(t_k)) \\ &\leq -L_k(\alpha(t_k) - m(t_k, y(t_k))) \\ &\leq -L_k \min\{m(t_k), 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

note that $m(0) \leq 0$, by Lemma 1, we have $\alpha \leq y$. Similarly, we can show that $y \leq \beta$ and then $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$.

Finally, we shall prove $\alpha(0) \leq y(0) - B(y(0), y) \leq \beta(0)$.

If $y(0) - B(y(0), y) < \alpha(0)$, due to (3.2) and the definition of $p(t, y)$, we have $y(0) = \alpha(0)$, in consequence $\alpha(0) - B(\alpha(0), y) < \alpha(0)$. Since $B(\alpha(0), \cdot)$ is non-increasing in $[\alpha, \beta]$ and we know $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$, we see that $B(\alpha(0), \alpha) > 0$, which contradicts with the definition of the lower solution. Similarly arguments may show that $y(0) - B(y(0), y) \leq \beta(0)$.

Thus every solution y of (3.2) is a solution of (3.1), and it belongs to $[\alpha, \beta]$.

This proves that problem (3.1) has a solution $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$. \square

THEOREM 2. *Assume that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Then there exist monotone sequence $\{\alpha_n(t)\}$, $\{\beta_n(t)\}$ in E_0 such that $\alpha_0 = \alpha$, $\beta_0 = \beta$ which converge uniformly to the extremal solutions of (1.1) in $[\alpha, \beta]$.*

Proof. Let $\eta \in [\alpha, \beta]$, we consider the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) + My(t) + (\mathcal{L}y)(t) = (Q\eta)(t) + M\eta(t) + (\mathcal{L}\eta)(t), & t \in J', \\ \Delta y(t_k) = -L_k y(t_k) + I_k(\eta(t_k)) + L_k \eta(t_k), & k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \\ y(0) = \zeta_\eta, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where ζ_η is the minimal solution in $[\alpha(0), \beta(0)]$ such that $B(\zeta_\eta, \eta) = 0$. Since B is continuous and $B(\alpha_0, \eta) \leq B(\alpha(0), \alpha) \leq 0$ and $0 \leq B(\beta(0), \beta) \leq B(\beta(0), \eta)$, ζ_η is well defined.

By Theorem 1, (3.4) has at least one solution (defining $B(u, v) = u - \zeta_\eta$). Next we prove the uniqueness of solution to this problem. If not, let $y_1(t)$, $y_2(t)$ be two solutions of (3.4). Set $v_1(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t)$ and $v_2(t) = y_2(t) - y_1(t)$, then

$$v_1(0) = 0, \quad v_1'(t) + Mv_1(t) + (\mathcal{L}v_1)(t) = 0, \quad \Delta v_1(t_k) = -L_k v_1(t_k),$$

and

$$v_2(0) = 0, \quad v_2'(t) + Mv_2(t) + (\mathcal{L}v_2)(t) = 0, \quad \Delta v_2(t_k) = -L_k v_2(t_k),$$

from Lemma 1, we have $v_1 = y_1 - y_2 \leq 0$ and $v_2 = y_2 - y_1 \leq 0$, so $y_1 = y_2$. Then problem (3.4) has exactly one solution.

Define a mapping A by $A\eta = y$, then A has the following properties:

- (a) $\alpha \leq A\alpha, \beta \geq A\beta$;
- (b) A is monotonically nondecreasing in $[\alpha, \beta]$, i.e., for any $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$ implies $A\eta_1 \leq A\eta_2$.

To prove (a), set $m(t) = \alpha(t) - \alpha_1(t)$, $t \in J$, where $\alpha_1 = A\alpha$. Employing (H₁), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m'(t) &= \alpha'(t) - \alpha_1'(t) \\ &\leq (Q\alpha)(t) - [(Q\alpha)(t) + M\alpha(t) + (\mathcal{L}\alpha)(t) - M\alpha_1(t) - (\mathcal{L}\alpha_1)(t)] \\ &= -Mm(t) - (\mathcal{L}m)(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m(t_k) &= \Delta\alpha(t_k) - \Delta\alpha_1(t_k) \\ &\leq I_k(\alpha(t_k)) - [-L_k\alpha_1(t_k) + I_k(\alpha(t_k)) + L_k\alpha(t_k)] \\ &= -L_k m(t_k), \end{aligned}$$

note that $m(0) \leq 0$, then based on Lemma 1, we get $\alpha \leq \alpha_1$. Analogously, we have $\beta \geq A\beta$.

To prove (b), let $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$. Suppose that $v_1 = A\eta_1, v_2 = A\eta_2$ and $m(t) = v_1(t) - v_2(t)$. Applying (H₂) and (H₃), we get

$$\begin{aligned} m'(t) + Mm(t) + (\mathcal{L}m)(t) &= (Q\eta_1)(t) + M\eta_1(t) + (\mathcal{L}\eta_1)(t) \\ &\quad - (Q\eta_2)(t) - M\eta_2(t) - (\mathcal{L}\eta_2)(t) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta m(t_k) &= \Delta v_1(t_k) - \Delta v_2(t_k) \\ &= [-L_k v_1(t_k) + I_k(\eta_1(t_k)) + L_k \eta_1(t_k)] - [-L_k v_2(t_k) + I_k(\eta_2(t_k)) + L_k \eta_2(t_k)] \\ &\leq -L_k(v_1(t_k) - v_2(t_k)) \\ &= -L_k m(t_k), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B(x, \eta_1) \geq B(x, \eta_2), \quad \text{for all } x \in [\alpha_0, \beta_0],$$

then $A\eta_1(0) = \varsigma_{\eta_1} \leq \varsigma_{\eta_2} = A\eta_2(0)$, thus $m(0) \leq 0$. Based on Lemma 1 we have $m(t) \leq 0, t \in J$, which implies $A\eta_1 \leq A\eta_2$.

Now, define the sequences $\{\alpha_n(t)\}, \{\beta_n(t)\}$ with $\alpha_0 = \alpha, \beta_0 = \beta$ such that $\alpha_{n+1} = A\alpha_n, \beta_{n+1} = \beta_n$. Following (a) and (b), we have

$$\alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n \leq \dots \leq \beta_n \leq \dots \leq \beta_2 \leq \beta_1 \leq \beta_0.$$

Consequently, there exist ρ and r such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(t) = \rho(t)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(t) = r(t)$ uniformly and monotonically. Clearly, $\rho(t)$ and $r(t)$ are the solutions of problem (1.1).

To prove that $\rho(t)$ and $r(t)$ are extremal solutions of problem (1.1), let $y(t)$ be any solution of (1.1) such that $\alpha(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta(t)$. Suppose that there exists a positive integer n such that $\alpha_n(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta_n(t)$ on J . Based on the monotonically nondecreasing property of A , we can easily see that $\alpha_{n+1} = A\alpha_n \leq Ay = y$, i.e., $\alpha_{n+1}(t) \leq y(t)$ on J . Similarly, we can get $y(t) \leq \beta_{n+1}(t)$ on J . Since $\alpha_0(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$ on J , by induction we derive $\alpha_n(t) \leq y(t) \leq \beta_n(t)$ on J for every n . Therefore $\rho(t) \leq y(t) \leq r(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We complete the proof. \square

4. Example

In this section, we give an example that proves the validity of Theorem 2.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = -\frac{1}{2}y(t) + \frac{1}{2} \cos(y(\frac{1}{2}t)) - t^2 \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}s} y(s) ds = (Qy)(t), & t \neq \frac{1}{3}, t \in J, \\ \Delta y(t_k) = -\frac{1}{15}y^2(t_k), & t_k = \frac{1}{3}, \\ B(y(0), y) = y(0) + \int_0^1 (s - y(s)) ds - \frac{1}{4}, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $J = [0, 1]$. Set

$$\alpha_0(t) = 0, \quad \beta_0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{4}t + 1, & t \in [0, \frac{1}{3}], \\ \frac{3}{4}t + \frac{3}{4}, & t \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1], \end{cases}$$

we can easily verify that $\alpha_0(t)$ is a lower solution and $\beta_0(t)$ is an upper solution with $\alpha_0(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$.

By computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(u(t_k)) - I_k(v(t_k)) &= -\frac{1}{15}(u^2(t_k) - v^2(t_k)) \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{6}(u(t_k) - v(t_k)) \\ &= -L_1(u(t_k) - v(t_k)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_0(t_k) \leq v(t_k) \leq u(t_k) \leq \beta_0(t_k)$, $L_1 = \frac{1}{6}$.

$$(Qu)(t) - (Qv)(t) \geq -\frac{1}{2}(u - v) - (\mathcal{L}(u - v))(t)$$

where $\alpha_0(t) \leq v(t) \leq u(t) \leq \beta_0(t)$, $M = \frac{1}{2}$.

It is easy to prove that $(\mathcal{L}y)(t) = t^2 \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}s} y(s) ds$ and

$$\int_0^T e^{MT} (\mathcal{L}e^{-M})(t) dt + \prod_{k=1}^p L_k = \int_0^1 e^{\frac{1}{2}} (t^2 \int_0^t e^{\frac{1}{2}s} e^{-\frac{1}{2}s} ds) dt + \frac{1}{6} \leq 1.$$

Apparently, for any $y_1 < y_2$, $B(y(0), y_1) - B(y(0), y_2) = \int_0^1 (y_2(s) - y_1(s)) ds > 0$. So $B(y(0), \cdot)$ is nonincreasing. Then all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore, via Theorem 2, there exist monotone iterative sequences $\{\alpha_n(t)\}, \{\beta_n(t)\}$ which converge uniformly on J to the extremal solutions of (4.1).

Acknowledgement. The authors sincerely thank the reviewers and the editors for their valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. BAINOV, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM AND S. SIMEONOV, *Theory of impulsive differential equations*, World Scientific Press, Singapore, 1989.
- [2] D. CHEN AND B. DAI, *Periodic solution of second order impulsive delay differential systems via variational method*, Appl. Math. Lett., **38**, 38 (2014), 61–66.
- [3] D. DRICI, F.A. MCRAE AND J.V. DEVI, *Differential equations with causal operators in a Banach space*, Nonlinear Anal., **62**, 2 (2005), 301–313.
- [4] D. DRICI, F.A. MCRAE AND J.V. DEVI, *Monotone iterative technique for periodic boundary value problems with causal operators*, Nonlinear Anal., **64**, 6 (2006), 1271–1277.
- [5] F. GENG, *Differential equations involving causal operators with nonlinear periodic boundary conditions*, Math. Comput. Model., **48**, 5–6 (2008), 859–866.
- [6] Z. HE AND J. YU, *Periodic boundary value problem for first-order impulsive ordinary differential equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., **272**, 2 (2002), 205–217.
- [7] T. JANKOWSKI, *Boundary value problems with causal operators*, Nonlinear Anal., **68**, 12 (2008), 3625–3632.
- [8] G. S. LADDE, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM AND A. S. VATSALA, *Monotone Iterative Techniques for Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [9] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, S. LEELA, Z. DRICI AND F. A. MCRAE, *Theory of causal differential equations*, World Scientific Press, Paris, 2009.
- [10] X. YANG AND J. SHEN, *Nonlinear boundary value problems for first order impulsive functional differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **189**, 2 (2007), 1943–1952.
- [11] X. LIU, S. SIVALOGANATHAN AND S. ZHANG, *Monotone iterative techniques for time-dependent problems with applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **237**, 1 (1999), 1–18.
- [12] Z. LUO AND Z. JING, *Periodic boundary value problem for first-order impulsive functional differential equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **138**, 2 (2002), 205–217.
- [13] P. WANG, S. TIAN AND Y. WU, *Monotone iterative method for first-order functional difference equations with nonlinear boundary value conditions*, Appl. Math. Comput., **203**, 1 (2008), 266–272.
- [14] P. WANG AND J. ZHANG, *Monotone iterative technique for initial-value problems of nonlinear singular discrete systems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **221**, 1 (2008), 158–164.
- [15] P. WANG AND M. WU, *Practical ϕ_0 -stability of impulsive dynamic systems on time scales*, Appl. Math. Lett., **20**, 6 (2007), 651–658.
- [16] W. WANG AND J. TIAN, *Generalized monotone iterative method for nonlinear boundary value problems with causal operators*, Bound. Value Probl., **2014**, 1 (2014), 1–12.
- [17] W. WANG AND J. TIAN, *Difference equations involving causal operators with nonlinear boundary conditions*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **8**, 3 (2015), 267–274.
- [18] W. WANG AND J. TIAN, *Generalized monotone iterative method for integral boundary value problems with causal operators*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **8**, 4 (2015), 600–609.

- [19] Z. WEI AND C. PANG, *Periodic boundary value problems for second order functional differential equations*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **56**, 1 (2010), 2065–2072.
- [20] Y. ZHAO, G. SONG AND X. SUN, *Integral boundary value problems with causal operators*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **59**, 8 (2010), 2768–2775.

(Received September 27, 2015)

Wenli Wang
Faculty of Fundamental Courses
China University of Geosciences Great Wall College
Baoding, Hebei 071000
People's Republic of China,
e-mail: emilyzh@163.com

Jingfeng Tian
College of Science and Technology
North China Electric Power University
Baoding, Hebei 071051
People's Republic of China,
e-mail: tianjf@ncepu.edu.cn