

## POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A SINGULAR COUPLED SYSTEM OF NONLINEAR HIGHER–ORDER FRACTIONAL $q$ -DIFFERENCE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS WITH TWO PARAMETERS

WENGUI YANG

*(Communicated by C. Goodrich)*

*Abstract.* In this paper, we are concern with the existence of positive solutions for a singular system of nonlinear fractional  $q$ -difference equations with coupled integral boundary conditions and two parameters. By using the properties of the Green's function and Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, some existence results of at least one positive solution are obtained. As applications, two examples are presented to illustrate the main results.

### 1. Introduction

The subject of fractional differential equations has gained considerable popularity and importance due mainly to its fact that fractional differential equations describe many phenomena than the corresponding integer order differential equations in various fields of engineering and scientific disciplines such as physics, biophysics, chemistry, biology, etc. For the theory and applications of fractional calculus, reader can see [18, 22]. Many researchers pay more attentions to the existence of positive solutions for a system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral and multi-point boundary conditions, see [9, 13, 21, 25, 26, 34] and the references therein. In [35], by applying a nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorems, Yuan et al. considered the multiple positive solutions for four-point coupled boundary value problem for systems of the nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equation. In [14, 16], the authors investigated the existence of positive solutions for nonlinear Riemann-Liouville fractional differential equations with coupled integral boundary conditions, respectively. In [24], Wang et al. studied the existence of a class of higher-order singular semipositone fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions and parameters. By using the properties of the Green's function and the Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem, Henderson and Luca [15] focused on the introduction of positive solutions for boundary value problems for systems of fractional differential equations. By applying the corresponding Green's

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 39A13, 34B18, 34A08.

*Keywords and phrases:* Fractional  $q$ -difference systems, coupled integral boundary conditions, positive solution, Green's function, fixed point theorems.

This research is supported by the Key Scientific Research Program of Higher Education of Henan Province-Guidance Plan under Grant 16B110011.

function and Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorems, the author [30, 31] investigated the positive solutions for nonlinear semipositone Hadamard fractional differential systems with coupled integral and four-point coupled boundary conditions, respectively.

Recently, fractional  $q$ -difference equations, regarded as  $q$ -analog of fractional differential equations, have been studied by a lot of researchers. In papers [3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 19, 20, 23, 27, 28, 32, 38], the authors studied the existence and uniqueness of solutions or positive solutions for the nonlinear fractional  $q$ -difference equations with boundary conditions by using some standard fixed point theorems as well as monotone iterative technique and lower-upper solution method. By applying the properties of the Green function, the upper and lower solutions method and some well-known fixed-point theorems, Yuan and Yang [36, 37] considered the positive solutions to nonlinear boundary value problems for delayed fractional  $q$ -difference systems and four-point boundary value problems of fractional  $q$ -difference equations with  $p$ -Laplacian operator, respectively. In [1] and [2], some important  $q$ -fractional inequalities were proved. Those inequalities are necessary for the development of  $q$ -fractional systems. In [4], by applying some standard fixed point theorems, Ahmad et al. showed some existence results for sequential  $q$ -fractional integro-differential equations with nonlocal four-point boundary conditions. Graef and Kong [10] investigated the existence of positive solutions for boundary value problems with fractional  $q$ -derivatives. In [40], Zhou and Liu obtained the uniqueness and existence of solutions for fractional  $q$ -difference system with four-point boundary conditions obtained based on the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Banach's fixed point theorem. In [29], by applying the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type and Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorems, the author gave the existence results of nonlinear semipositone fractional  $q$ -difference systems with boundary value conditions. By using some well-known fixed point theorems, Yang and Qin [33] investigated the existence of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear Caputo type fractional  $q$ -difference equations with integral boundary conditions. By applying a mixed monotone method and Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem, Zhao and Yang [39] studied the existence and uniqueness results of positive solutions for the singular coupled integral boundary value problem of nonlinear higher-order fractional  $q$ -difference equations.

Motivated by the wide applications of coupled boundary value problems and the results mentioned above, we consider the following singular fractional  $q$ -difference systems and two parameters

$$D_q^{\alpha_1} u(t) + \lambda_1 f_1(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, \quad D_q^{\alpha_2} v(t) + \lambda_2 f_2(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

with the coupled integral boundary value conditions

$$\begin{aligned} D_q^{j_1} u(0) = D_q^{j_2} v(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 2, \\ u(1) = \mu_1 \int_0^1 g_1(s) v(s) d_q s, \quad v(1) = \mu_2 \int_0^1 g_2(s) u(s) d_q s, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu_i > 0$ ,  $\alpha_i \in (n_i - 1, n_i]$  with  $3 \leq n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D^{\alpha_i}$  is the Riemann-Liouville type fractional  $q$ -derivative of fractional order  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are two positive parameters,  $f_1, f_2 : (0, 1) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two continuous functions and may be

singular at  $t = 0, 1$ . In this article, we will obtain some existence results of at least one positive solution for singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) by applying the properties of the Green’s function and Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. At the end, two examples are given to illustrate our main results.

### 2. Preliminaries

For the convenience of the reader, we present some necessary definitions and lemmas of fractional  $q$ -calculus theory to facilitate analysis of the  $q$ -fractional boundary value problem (1). These details can be found in the recent literature; see [6, 17] and references therein.

Let  $q \in (0, 1)$  and define

$$[a]_q = \frac{q^a - 1}{q - 1}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The  $q$ -analogue of the power  $(a - b)^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is

$$(a - b)^{(0)} = 1, \quad (a - b)^{(n)} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (a - bq^k), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

More generally, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$(a - b)^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a - bq^n}{a - bq^{\alpha+n}}.$$

Note that, if  $b = 0$ , then  $a^{(\alpha)} = a^\alpha$ . Here we point out that the following equality holds

$$(a - b)^{(\alpha)} = a(a - bq^{\alpha-1})(a - b)^{(\alpha-1)}.$$

The  $q$ -gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_q(x) = (1 - q)^{(x-1)}(1 - q)^{1-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$$

and satisfies  $\Gamma_q(x + 1) = [x]_q \Gamma_q(x)$ .

The  $q$ -derivative of a function  $f$  is here defined by

$$(D_q f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x}, \quad (D_q f)(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} (D_q f)(x)$$

and  $q$ -derivatives of higher order by

$$(D_q^0 f)(x) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (D_q^n f)(x) = D_q(D_q^{n-1} f)(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The  $q$ -integral of a function  $f$  defined in the interval  $[0, b]$  is given by

$$(I_q f)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) d_q t = x(1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(xq^n) q^n, \quad x \in [0, b].$$

If  $a \in [0, b]$  and  $f$  is defined in the interval  $[0, b]$ , its integral from  $a$  to  $b$  is defined by

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t.$$

Similarly as done for derivatives, an operator  $I_q^n$  can be defined, namely,

$$(I_q^0 f)(x) = f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (I_q^n f)(x) = I_q(I_q^{n-1} f)(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The fundamental theorem of calculus applies to these operators  $I_q$  and  $D_q$ , i.e.,

$$(D_q I_q f)(x) = f(x),$$

and if  $f$  is continuous at  $x = 0$ , then

$$(I_q D_q f)(x) = f(x) - f(0).$$

Basic properties of the two operators can be found in the book [6]. We now point out five formulas that will be used later ( ${}_i D_q$  denotes the derivative with respect to variable  $i$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(s) (D_q g)(s) d_q s &= [f(s)g(s)]_{s=a}^{s=b} - \int_a^b (D_q f)(s)g(s) d_q s \quad (q\text{-integration by parts}), \\ {}_t D_q (t-s)^{(\alpha)} &= [\alpha]_q (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)}, \quad {}_s D_q (t-s)^{(\alpha)} = -[\alpha]_q (t-s)^{(\alpha-1)}, \\ [a(t-s)]^{(\alpha)} &= a^\alpha (t-s)^{(\alpha)}, \quad \left( {}_x D_q \int_0^x f(x,t) d_q t \right) (x) = \int_0^x {}_x D_q f(x,t) d_q t + f(qx, x). \end{aligned}$$

Denote that if  $\alpha > 0$  and  $a \leq b \leq t$ , then  $(t-a)^{(\alpha)} \geq (t-b)^{(\alpha)}$  [7].

**DEFINITION 2.1.** [18] Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $f$  be function defined on  $[0, 1]$ . The fractional  $q$ -integral of the Riemann-Liouville type is  $I_q^0 f(x) = f(x)$  and

$$(I_q^\alpha f)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-qt)^{(\alpha-1)} f(t) d_q t, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

**DEFINITION 2.2.** [6] The fractional  $q$ -derivative of the Riemann-Liouville type of order  $\alpha \geq 0$  is defined by  $D_q^0 f(x) = f(x)$  and

$$(D_q^\alpha f)(x) = (D_q^m I_q^{m-\alpha} f)(x), \quad \alpha > 0,$$

where  $m$  is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** [6] Let  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  and  $f$  be a function defined on  $[0, 1]$ . Then the next formulas hold:

- (1)  $(I_q^\beta I_q^\alpha f)(x) = I_q^{\alpha+\beta} f(x)$ ;
- (2)  $(D_q^\alpha I_q^\alpha f)(x) = f(x)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. [8] Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $p$  be a positive integer. Then the following equality holds:

$$(I_q^\alpha D_q^p f)(x) = (D_q^p I_q^\alpha f)(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{x^{\alpha-p+k}}{\Gamma_q(\alpha+k-p+1)} (D_q^k f)(0).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we always assume that the following assumptions hold.

(H1)  $g_1, g_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are two continuous functions and satisfy

$$v_1 = \int_0^1 s^{\alpha_2-1} g_1(s) d_q s, \quad v_2 = \int_0^1 s^{\alpha_1-1} g_2(s) d_q s, \quad \kappa = 1 - \mu_1 \mu_2 v_1 v_2 > 0.$$

(H2)  $f_i : (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  is continuous and satisfies the following inequality  $-q_i(t) \leq f_i(t, u, v) \leq p_i(t) h_i(t, u, v)$ ,  $(t, u, v) \in (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty)^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $h_i \in C([0, 1] \times [0, +\infty)^2, [0, +\infty))$ ,  $q_i, p_i \in C((0, 1), [0, +\infty))$ , and

$$0 < \int_0^1 p_i(s) d_q s < +\infty, \quad 0 < \int_0^1 q_i(s) d_q s < +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

LEMMA 2.3. [39] Assume that (H1) holds. Then for  $x, y \in C[0, 1]$ , the boundary value problem

$$D_q^{\alpha_1} u(t) + x(t) = 0, \quad D_q^{\alpha_2} v(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1),$$

with the coupled integral boundary value conditions (2) has a unique integral representation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs) x(s) d_q s + \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs) y(s) d_q s, \\ v(t) &= \int_0^1 K_2(t, qs) y(s) d_q s + \int_0^1 H_2(t, qs) x(s) d_q s, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t, s) &= G_1(t, s) + \kappa^{-1} \mu_1 \mu_2 v_1 t^{\alpha_1-1} \int_0^1 g_2(\tau) G_1(\tau, s) d_q \tau, \\ H_1(t, s) &= \kappa^{-1} \mu_1 t^{\alpha_1-1} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau) G_2(\tau, s) d_q \tau, \\ K_2(t, s) &= G_2(t, s) + \kappa^{-1} \mu_1 \mu_2 v_2 t^{\alpha_2-1} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau) G_2(\tau, s) d_q \tau, \\ H_2(t, s) &= \kappa^{-1} \mu_2 t^{\alpha_2-1} \int_0^1 g_2(\tau) G_1(\tau, s) d_q \tau, \\ G_i(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_i)} \begin{cases} t^{\alpha_i-1} (1-s)^{(\alpha_i-1)} - (t-s)^{(\alpha_i-1)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{\alpha_i-1} (1-s)^{(\alpha_i-1)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.4. [39] *The functions  $K_i(t, s)$  and  $H_i(t, s)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined by Lemma 2.3 satisfy the following conditions:*

- (a)  $K_i(t, s)$  and  $H_i(t, s)$  are continuous functions on  $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  and  $K_i(t, qs) \geq 0$  and  $H_i(t, qs) \geq 0$ , for  $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (b)  $\rho t^{\alpha_i-1} \varphi_i(qs) \leq K_i(t, qs) \leq \rho \varphi_i(qs)$ ,  $K_i(t, qs) \leq \rho t^{\alpha_i-1}$ ,  $\rho t^{\alpha_i-1} \varphi_2(qs) \leq H_1(t, qs) \leq \rho \varphi_2(qs)$ ,  $\rho t^{\alpha_2-1} \varphi_1(qs) \leq H_2(t, qs) \leq \rho \varphi_1(qs)$  and  $H_i(t, qs) \leq \rho t^{\alpha_i-1}$  for  $\varphi_i(t) = t^{\alpha_i-1}(1-t)$  and  $\varphi_i(s) = (1-s)^{\alpha_i-1}s$ ,  $(t, s) \in [0, 1]^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $\rho = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4\}$ ,  $\rho = \max\{\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= \frac{q^{\alpha_1-2} \mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1}{\kappa \Gamma_q(\alpha_1)} \int_0^1 g_2(\tau) \psi_1(\tau) d_q \tau, & \rho_2 &= \frac{q^{\alpha_2-2} \mu_1}{\kappa \Gamma_q(\alpha_2)} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau) \psi_2(\tau) d_q \tau, \\ \rho_3 &= \frac{q^{\alpha_2-2} \mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_2}{\kappa \Gamma_q(\alpha_2)} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau) \psi_2(\tau) d_q \tau, & \rho_4 &= \frac{q^{\alpha_1-2} \mu_2}{\kappa \Gamma_q(\alpha_1)} \int_0^1 g_2(\tau) \psi_1(\tau) d_q \tau, \\ \rho_1 &= \frac{[\alpha_1 - 1]_q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_1)} \left( 1 + \kappa^{-1} \mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_1 \int_0^1 g_2(\tau) d_q \tau \right), & \rho_2 &= \frac{\mu_1 [\alpha_2 - 1]_q}{\kappa \Gamma_q(\alpha_2)} \int_0^1 g_1(\tau) d_q \tau, \\ \rho_3 &= \frac{[\alpha_2 - 1]_q}{\Gamma_q(\alpha_2)} \left( 1 + \kappa^{-1} \mu_1 \mu_2 \nu_2 \int_0^1 g_1(\tau) d_q \tau \right), & \rho_4 &= \frac{\mu_2 [\alpha_1 - 1]_q}{\kappa \Gamma_q(\alpha_1)} \int_0^1 g_2(\tau) d_q \tau. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.1. [39] From Lemma 2.4, for  $t, \tau, s \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(t, qs) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_1-1} H_2(\tau, qs), K_2(t, qs) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_2-1} H_1(\tau, qs), H_1(t, qs) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_1-1} K_2(\tau, qs), \\ H_2(t, qs) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_2-1} K_1(\tau, qs), K_i(t, qs) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_i-1} K_i(\tau, qs), H_i(t, qs) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_i-1} H_i(\tau, qs), \end{aligned}$$

where  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\omega = \rho / \rho$ ,  $\rho, \rho$  are defined as Lemma 2.4,  $0 < \omega < 1$ .

LEMMA 2.5. *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold, then the coupled integral boundary value problem*

$$-D_q^{\alpha_1} \bar{w}_1(t) = \lambda_1 q_1(t), \quad -D_q^{\alpha_2} \bar{w}_2(t) = \lambda_2 q_2(t), \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

with the coupled integral boundary value conditions

$$D_q^{j_1} \bar{w}_1(0) = D_q^{j_2} \bar{w}_2(0) = 0, \bar{w}_1(1) = \mu_1 \int_0^1 g_1(s) \bar{w}_2(s) d_q s, \bar{w}_2(1) = \mu_2 \int_0^1 g_2(s) \bar{w}_1(s) d_q s,$$

where  $0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 2$ , has an unique integral representation

$$\begin{cases} \bar{w}_1(t) = \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs) q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs) q_2(s) d_q s, \\ \bar{w}_2(t) = \lambda_2 \int_0^1 K_2(t, qs) q_2(s) d_q s + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 H_2(t, qs) q_1(s) d_q s, \end{cases}$$

which satisfies

$$\bar{w}_i(t) \leq \rho t^{\alpha_i-1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 and the condition (H2) that the proof of Lemma 2.5 is easily proved.  $\square$

Let  $X = C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$ , then  $X$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|(u, v)\| = \max\{\|u\|, \|v\|\}$ ,  $\|u\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$ ,  $\|v\| = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |v(t)|$ . Denote  $P = \{(u, v) \in X : u(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \|(u, v)\|, v(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_2 - 1} \|(u, v)\|, t \in [0, 1]\}$ , where  $\omega$  is defined as Remark 2.1. It is easy to see that  $P$  is a positive cone in  $X$ . It can be easily seen that  $P$  is a cone in  $X$ . For any real constants  $r$  and  $R$  with  $0 < r < R$ , define  $P_r = \{(u, v) \in P : \|(u, v)\| < r\}$ ,  $P_{[r, R]} = \{(u, v) \in P : r \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq R\}$ .

Next we only consider the following fractional  $q$ -difference system with boundary conditions (2):

$$\begin{cases} D_q^{\alpha_1} u(t) + \lambda_1 (f_1(t, [u(t) - \bar{w}_1(t)]^*, [v(t) - \bar{w}_2(t)]^*) + q_1(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \lambda_1 > 0, \\ D_q^{\alpha_2} v(t) + \lambda_2 (f_2(t, [u(t) - \bar{w}_1(t)]^*, [v(t) - \bar{w}_2(t)]^*) + q_2(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \lambda_2 > 0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where a modified function  $[z(t)]^*$  for any  $z \in C[0, 1]$  by  $[z(t)]^* = z(t)$ , if  $z(t) \geq 0$ , and  $[z(t)]^* = 0$ , if  $z(t) < 0$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *If  $(u, v) \in X$  with  $u(t) > \bar{w}_1(t)$  and  $v(t) > \bar{w}_2(t)$ , for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ , is a positive solution of the singular system (4) and (2), then  $(u - \bar{w}_1, v - \bar{w}_2)$  is a positive solution of the singular system (1) and (2).*

*Proof.* In fact, if  $(u, v) \in X$  is a positive solution of the singular system (4) such that  $u(t) > \bar{w}_1(t)$  and  $v(t) > \bar{w}_2(t)$ , for any  $t \in (0, 1]$ , then from (4) and the definition of  $[\cdot]^*$ , we have the following system with boundary conditions (2):

$$\begin{cases} D_q^{\alpha_1} u(t) + \lambda_1 (f_1(t, u(t) - \bar{w}_1(t), v(t) - \bar{w}_2(t)) + q_1(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \lambda_1 > 0, \\ D_q^{\alpha_2} v(t) + \lambda_2 (f_2(t, u(t) - \bar{w}_1(t), v(t) - \bar{w}_2(t)) + q_2(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \lambda_2 > 0. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Let  $x = u - \bar{w}_1$  and  $y = v - \bar{w}_2$ , then  $D_q^{\alpha_1} x(t) = D_q^{\alpha_1} u(t) - D_q^{\alpha_1} \bar{w}_1(t)$  and  $D_q^{\alpha_2} y(t) = D_q^{\alpha_2} v(t) - D_q^{\alpha_2} \bar{w}_2(t)$ , for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , which imply that  $-D_q^{\alpha_1} x(t) = -D_q^{\alpha_1} u(t) - \lambda_1 q_1(t)$ ,  $-D_q^{\alpha_2} y(t) = -D_q^{\alpha_2} v(t) - \lambda_2 q_2(t)$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Thus (5) becomes

$$D_q^{\alpha_1} x(t) + \lambda_1 f_1(t, x(t), y(t)) = 0, D_q^{\alpha_2} y(t) + \lambda_2 f_2(t, x(t), y(t)) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0,$$

with the coupled integral boundary value conditions

$$D_q^{j_1} x(0) = D_q^{j_2} y(0) = 0, \quad x(1) = \mu_1 \int_0^1 g_1(s) y(s) d_q s, \quad y(1) = \mu_2 \int_0^1 g_2(s) x(s) d_q s,$$

where  $0 \leq j_i \leq n_i - 2$ , i.e.,  $(u - \bar{w}_1, v - \bar{w}_2)$  is a positive solution of the singular system (1) and (2). The proof is completed.  $\square$

Employing Lemma 2.3, the singular system (4) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs) (f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \\ & + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs) (f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t) = & \lambda_2 \int_0^1 K_2(t, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s))d_qs \\
& + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 H_2(t, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s))d_qs, \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we always assume that  $x = u - \overline{\omega}_1$  and  $y = v - \overline{\omega}_2$ . By a solution of the singular system (4), we mean a solution of the corresponding system of integral equation (6). Defined an operator  $\mathcal{T} : P \rightarrow P$  by  $\mathcal{T}(u, v) = (\mathcal{T}_1(u, v), \mathcal{T}_2(u, v))$ , where operators  $\mathcal{T}_i : P \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t) = & \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s))d_qs \\
& + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s))d_qs, \\
\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)(t) = & \lambda_2 \int_0^1 K_2(t, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s))d_qs \\
& + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 H_2(t, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s))d_qs, \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Clearly, if  $(u, v) \in P$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $(u, v)$  is a solution of the singular system (1) and (2).

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold, then  $\mathcal{T} : P \rightarrow P$  is a completely continuous operator.*

*Proof.* For any fixed  $(u, v) \in P$ , there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that  $\|(u, v)\| \leq L$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[u(s) - \overline{\omega}_1(s)]^* & \leq u(s) \leq \|u\| \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq L, \\
[v(s) - \overline{\omega}_2(s)]^* & \leq v(s) \leq \|v\| \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq L,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $s \in [0, 1]$ . For any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , it follows from (7) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t) = & \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s))d_qs \\
& + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s))d_qs \\
\leq & \lambda_1 \rho \int_0^1 \varphi_1(qs)(p_1(s)h_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s))d_qs \\
& + \lambda_2 \rho \int_0^1 \varphi_2(qs)(p_2(s)h_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s))d_qs \\
\leq & \lambda_1 \rho \int_0^1 \varphi_1(qs)(Mp_1(s) + q_1(s))d_qs + \lambda_2 \rho \int_0^1 \varphi_2(qs)(Mp_2(s) + q_2(s))d_qs \\
\leq & \lambda_1 M \rho \int_0^1 (p_1(s) + q_1(s))d_qs + \lambda_2 M \rho \int_0^1 (p_2(s) + q_2(s))d_qs < +\infty,
\end{aligned}$$



where  $M = \max \{ \max_{t \in [0,1], u, v \in [0,L]} h_1(t, u, v), \max_{t \in [0,1], u, v \in [0,L]} h_2(t, u, v) \} + 1$ . Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)(t) \leq \lambda_1 M \rho \int_0^1 (p_1(s) + q_1(s)) d_q s + \lambda_2 M \rho \int_0^1 (p_2(s) + q_2(s)) d_q s < +\infty.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{T} : P \rightarrow C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$  is well defined.

Next, we show that  $\mathcal{T} : P \rightarrow P$ . For any fixed  $(u, v) \in P$  and  $t, \tau \in [0, 1]$ , by Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s \\ &\geq \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} K_1(\tau, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} H_1(\tau, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s \\ &= \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(\tau, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(\tau, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s \right) = \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s \\ &\geq \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} H_2(\tau, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \\ &\quad + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} K_2(\tau, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s \\ &= \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_2(\tau, qs)(f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_2(\tau, qs)(f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \right) = \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \mathcal{T}_2(u, v)(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \|\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)\|, \quad \mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \|\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)\|,$$

that is,  $\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \|(\mathcal{T}_1(u, v), \mathcal{T}_2(u, v))\|$ . In the same way, we can prove that

$$\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_2 - 1} \|\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)\|, \quad \mathcal{T}_2(u, v)(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_2 - 1} \|\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)\|,$$

that is,  $\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)(t) \geq \omega t^{\alpha_2-1} \|(\mathcal{T}_1(u, v), \mathcal{T}_2(u, v))\|$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{T}(P) \subset P$ . According to the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem, we can easily get that  $\mathcal{T} : P \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous. The proof is completed.  $\square$

In order to obtain the main results in this paper, we will use the following fixed point theorem.

**LEMMA 2.8.** [12] *Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $P \subset X$  be a cone in  $X$ . Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $X$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , and let  $S : P \rightarrow P$  be a completely continuous operator such that, either*

- (a)  $\|Sw\| \leq \|w\|, w \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1, \|Sw\| \geq \|w\|, w \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or
- (b)  $\|Sw\| \geq \|w\|, w \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1, \|Sw\| \leq \|w\|, w \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

Then  $S$  has at least one fixed point in  $P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

### 3. Main results

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Assume that (H1), (H2) hold and for any fixed  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, \infty)$ , the following conditions hold:*

- (H3) *There exists a constant  $r_1 > \max \left\{ L_1, L_2, \frac{\rho}{\omega} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_qs + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_qs \right) \right\}$ , such that  $h_i(t, u, v) \leq (r_1/L_i) - 1$  for  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, r_1]^2, i = 1, 2$ .*
- (H4)  $0 < l_1 \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1)} \inf_{v \in [0, \infty)} \frac{f_1(t, u, v)}{u} \leq \infty$ , or  $0 < l_1 \leq \liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1)} \inf_{u \in [0, \infty)} \frac{f_1(t, u, v)}{v} \leq \infty$ ,

where  $\omega$  is defined as Remark 2.1,  $\rho$  is defined as Lemma 2.5,  $\gamma = \min\{c^{\alpha_1-1}, c^{\alpha_2-1}\}$ ,

$$L_i = 3 \left( \lambda_i \rho \int_0^1 (p_i(s) + q_i(s)) d_qs \right)^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad l_1 = \frac{3}{2} \left( \lambda_1 \rho \omega \gamma^2 \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) d_qs \right)^{-1}.$$

Then the singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) has at least one positive solution  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ . Moreover,  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  satisfies  $\bar{u}(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_1-1}$  and  $\bar{v}(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_2-1}, t \in [0, 1]$ , for some positive constant  $\bar{l}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{r_1}$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , by the definition of  $\|\cdot\|$ , we know that

$$\begin{aligned} [u(s) - \overline{\omega}_1(s)]^* &\leq u(s) \leq \|u\| \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq r_1, \\ [v(s) - \overline{\omega}_2(s)]^* &\leq v(s) \leq \|v\| \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq r_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $s \in [0, 1]$ . For any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{r_1}$ , by condition **(H3)** and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\| &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs) (f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_qs \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs) (f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_qs \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1 - 1} (p_1(s) h_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_qs \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1 - 1} (p_2(s) h_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_qs \right| \\ &\leq \rho \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \left( p_1(s) \left( \frac{r_1}{L_1} - 1 \right) + q_1(s) \right) d_qs \\ &\quad + \rho \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \left( p_2(s) \left( \frac{r_1}{L_2} - 1 \right) + q_2(s) \right) d_qs \\ &\leq \frac{r_1}{L_1} \rho \lambda_1 \int_0^1 (p_1(s) + q_2(s)) d_qs + \frac{r_1}{L_2} \rho \lambda_2 \int_0^1 (p_2(s) + q_2(s)) d_qs \\ &= \frac{2r_1}{3} < r_1 = \|(u, v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{r_1}$ , by condition **(H3)** and Lemma 2.5, we have  $\|\mathcal{F}_2(u, v)\| < r_1 = \|(u, v)\|$ . Consequently,

$$\|\mathcal{F}(u, v)\| = \max\{\|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\|, \|\mathcal{F}_2(u, v)\|\} < r_1 = \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial P_{r_1}. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, by the inequalities in **(H4)**, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $l_1 + \varepsilon_0 > 0$ , and also there exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(t, u, v)| &\geq (l_1 + \varepsilon_0)u, \quad u \geq r_0, v \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]; \text{ or} \\ |f_1(t, u, v)| &\geq (l_1 + \varepsilon_0)v, \quad u \geq 0, v \geq r_0, t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Choose  $r_2 = \max\{3r_1, 3r_0/(2\omega\gamma)\}$ . For any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{r_2}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by the definition of  $\|\cdot\|$  and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} r_2 - \rho t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_qs + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_qs \right) \\ &= t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \left( \omega r_2 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_qs + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_qs \right) \right) \\ &\geq \gamma \left( \omega r_2 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_qs + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_qs \right) \right) \\ &\geq \omega \gamma (r_2 - r_1) \geq \frac{2\omega \gamma r_2}{3} \geq r_0, \quad t \in [c, d] \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_2-1} r_2 - \rho t^{\alpha_2-1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \\
 &= t^{\alpha_2-1} \left( \omega r_2 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \gamma \left( \omega r_2 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \omega \gamma (r_2 - r_1) \geq \frac{2\omega \gamma r_2}{3} \geq r_0, \quad t \in [c, d].
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Thus, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{r_2}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by (9)-(11), we have

$$f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) \geq (l_1 + \varepsilon_0)[y(s)]^* \text{ or } f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) \geq (l_1 + \varepsilon_0)[y(s)]^*, \tag{12}$$

where  $t \in [c, d]$ . Hence, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{r_2}$ , by (12) and Lemma 2.4, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)\| &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs) (f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs) (f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_q s \right| \\
 &\geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs) (f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_q s \\
 &\geq \min_{t \in [c, d]} \lambda_1 \int_c^d \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} \varphi_1(qs) f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) d_q s \\
 &\geq \lambda_1 \rho \gamma \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) (l_1 + \varepsilon_0) [x(s)]^* d_q s \\
 &\geq \frac{2\lambda_1 \rho \gamma^2 (l_1 + \varepsilon_0) \omega r_2}{3} \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) d_q s \geq r_2 = \|(u, v)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)\| &\geq \min_{t \in [c, d]} \lambda_1 \int_c^d \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} \varphi_1(qs) f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) d_q s \\
 &\geq \frac{2\lambda_1 \rho \gamma^2 (l_1 + \varepsilon_0) \omega r_2}{3} \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) d_q s \geq r_2 = \|(u, v)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(u, v)\| = \max\{\|\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)\|, \|\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)\|\} \geq r_2 = \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial P_{r_2}. \tag{13}$$

It follows from the above discussion, (8), (13), Lemmas 2.5 and 2.8 that for any fixed  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point  $(u, v) \in P_{[r_1, r_2]}$  and  $r_1 \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq r_2$ . Since  $\|(u, v)\| \geq r_1$ , we get  $u(t) - \bar{\omega}_1(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_1-1}$  and  $v(t) - \bar{\omega}_2(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_2-1}$ ,  $t \in (0, 1]$ ,

where  $\bar{l} = \omega r_1 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right)$ . Let  $\bar{u}(t) = u(t) - \bar{\omega}_1(t)$  and  $\bar{v}(t) = v(t) - \bar{\omega}_2(t)$ , then we have  $\bar{u}(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $\bar{v}(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ ,  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

By Lemma 2.6, we know that for any fixed  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, \infty)$ , the singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) has at least one positive solution  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ . Moreover,  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  satisfies  $\bar{u}(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $\bar{v}(t) \geq \bar{l} t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

REMARK 3.1. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know that the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 is valid if condition (H4) is replaced by

$$(H4') \quad 0 < l_2 \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ v \in [0, \infty)}} \frac{f_2(t, u, v)}{u} \leq \infty \text{ or } 0 < l_2 \leq \liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ u \in [0, \infty)}} \frac{f_2(t, u, v)}{v} \leq \infty, \text{ where } l_2 = \frac{3}{2} (\lambda_2 \rho \omega \gamma^2 \int_c^d \varphi_2(qs) d_qs)^{-1}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. Assume that (H1) and (H2) and for any fixed  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, \infty)$ , the following conditions hold:

$$(H5) \quad \text{there exists a constant } R_1 > \frac{\rho}{\omega} (\lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_qs + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_qs), \text{ such that } f_1(t, u, v) \geq R_1 / l_1, \text{ for } (t, u, v) \in [c, d] \times [0, R_1]^2;$$

$$(H6) \quad 0 \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{v \in [0, \infty)} \frac{h_i(t, u, v)}{u} < L_i \text{ or } 0 \leq \limsup_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{u \in [0, \infty)} \frac{h_i(t, u, v)}{v} < L_i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $[c, d] \subset (0, 1)$ ,  $L_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $l_1$  are defined as Theorem 3.1. Then the singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) has at least one positive solution  $(\bar{u}^*, \bar{v}^*)$ . Moreover,  $(\bar{u}^*, \bar{v}^*)$  satisfies  $\bar{u}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $\bar{v}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , for some positive constant  $\bar{l}^*$ .

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and so we omit it.  $\square$

REMARK 3.2. The conclusion of Theorem 3.2 is valid if the condition (H5) is replaced by

$$(H5') \quad f_2(t, u, v) \geq R_1 / l_2, \text{ for } (t, u, v) \in [c, d] \times [0, R_1]^2, \text{ where } R_1 \text{ is defined in Theorem 3.2 and } l_2 \text{ is defined in Remark 3.1.}$$

THEOREM 3.3. Assume that (H1) and (H2) and the following condition (H7) hold:

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ v \in [0, \infty)}} \frac{f_1(t, u, v)}{u} = +\infty \text{ or } \lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ u \in [0, \infty)}} \frac{f_1(t, u, v)}{v} = +\infty.$$

Then there exist  $\bar{\lambda}_1 > 0$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_2 > 0$  such that the singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) has at least one positive solution  $(\bar{u}^*, \bar{v}^*)$ , provided that  $\lambda_1 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ . Moreover,  $(\bar{u}^*, \bar{v}^*)$  satisfies  $\bar{u}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $\bar{v}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , for some positive constant  $\bar{l}^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S_{iR} = \sup\{h_i(t, u, v) : t \in [0, 1], u, v \in [0, R]\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where we choose

$$R > \frac{\rho}{\omega} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_{q,s} + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_{q,s} \right), \quad \bar{\lambda}_i = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{R}{2\rho \int_0^1 (p_i(s)S_{iR} + q_i(s)) d_{q,s}} \right\},$$

where  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  are defined as Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, respectively.

For any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_R$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , by the definition of  $\|\cdot\|$ , we know that

$$\begin{aligned} [x(s)]^* &= [u(s) - \bar{w}_1(s)]^* \leq u(s) \leq \|u\| \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq R, \\ [y(s)]^* &= [v(s) - \bar{w}_2(s)]^* \leq v(s) \leq \|v\| \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq R, \quad s \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

So, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_R$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ , by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)\| &= \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \lambda_1 \int_0^1 K_1(t, qs) (f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_{q,s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 H_1(t, qs) (f_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_{q,s} \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left| \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1 - 1} (p_1(s)h_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_{q,s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1 - 1} (p_2(s)h_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_{q,s} \right| \\ &\leq \rho \lambda_1 \int_0^1 (p_1(s)S_{1R} + q_1(s)) d_{q,s} + \rho \lambda_2 \int_0^1 (p_2(s)S_{2R} + q_2(s)) d_{q,s} \\ &< R = \|(u, v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_R$ , by Lemma 2.5, we also get  $\|\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)\| < R = \|(u, v)\|$ . Consequently, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(u, v)\| = \max\{\|\mathcal{T}_1(u, v)\|, \|\mathcal{T}_2(u, v)\|\} < R = \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial P_R. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, by the condition **(H7)**, choose  $M_1$  such that

$$\lambda_1 \rho \gamma^2 M_1 \omega \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) d_{q,s} > 2 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda_1 \rho \gamma^2 M_1 \omega \int_c^d \varphi_2(qs) d_{q,s} > 2,$$

where  $\gamma = \min\{c^{\alpha_1 - 1}, c^{\alpha_2 - 1}\}$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  are defined as Remark 2.1 and Lemma 2.5, respectively. Then there exists  $N^* > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t, u, v) &\geq M_1 u, \quad u \geq N^*, \quad v \geq 0, \quad t \in [c, d] \quad \text{or} \\ f_1(t, u, v) &\geq M_1 v, \quad u \geq 0, \quad v \geq N^*, \quad t \in [c, d]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Let  $R' > \max\{2R, 2N^*/(\gamma\omega)\}$ . For any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R'}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by the definition

of  $\|\cdot\|$  and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_1-1} R' - \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \\
 &= t^{\alpha_1-1} \left( \omega R' - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \gamma \left( \omega R' - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \omega \gamma (R' - R) \geq \frac{2\omega \gamma R'}{3} \geq N^*, \quad t \in [c, d]
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 y(t) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_2-1} R' - \rho t^{\alpha_2-1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \\
 &= t^{\alpha_2-1} \left( \omega R' - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \gamma \left( \omega R' - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\
 &\geq \omega \gamma (R' - R) \geq \frac{2\omega \gamma R'}{3} \geq N^*, \quad t \in [c, d].
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Thus, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R'}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by (15)-(17), we have

$$f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) \geq M_1 [x(s)]^* \text{ or } f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) \geq M_1 [y(s)]^*, \quad t \in [c, d]. \tag{18}$$

Hence, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R'}$ , by (18) and Lemma 2.4, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\| &\geq \min_{t \in [c, d]} \lambda_1 \int_c^d \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} \varphi_1(qs) f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) d_q s \\
 &\geq \frac{2\lambda_1 \rho \gamma^2 M_1 \omega R'}{3} \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) d_q s \geq R' = \|(u, v)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\| &\geq \min_{t \in [c, d]} \lambda_1 \int_c^d \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} \varphi_1(qs) f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) d_q s \\
 &\geq \frac{2\lambda_1 \rho \gamma^2 M_1 \omega R'}{3} \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) d_q s \geq R' = \|(u, v)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}(u, v)\| = \max\{\|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\|, \|\mathcal{F}_2(u, v)\|\} \geq R' = \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial P_{R'}. \tag{19}$$

It follows from the above discussion, (14), (19), Lemmas 2.5 and 2.8 that for any  $\lambda_1 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  has a fixed point  $(u, v) \in P_{[R', R]}$  and  $R \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq R'$ .

Since  $\|(u, v)\| \geq R$ , we get  $u(t) - \bar{\omega}_1(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $v(t) - \bar{\omega}_2(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ , for  $t \in (0, 1]$ , where  $\bar{l}^* = \omega R - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right)$ . Let  $\bar{u}^*(t) = u(t) - \bar{\omega}_1^*(t)$  and  $\bar{v}^*(t) = v(t) - \bar{\omega}_2^*(t)$ , then we have  $\bar{u}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $\bar{v}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ ,  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

By Lemma 2.6, we know that for any  $\lambda_1 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ , the singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) has at least one positive solution  $(\bar{u}^*, \bar{v}^*)$ , provided that  $\lambda_1 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_1)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_2)$ . Moreover,  $(\bar{u}^*, \bar{v}^*)$  satisfies  $\bar{u}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $\bar{v}^*(t) \geq \bar{l}^* t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

REMARK 3.3. From the proof of Theorem 3.3, we know that the conclusion of Theorem 3.3 is valid if the condition (H7) is replaced by

$$(H7') \quad \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ v \in [0, \infty)}} \frac{f_2(t, u, v)}{u} = +\infty \text{ or } \liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ u \in [0, \infty)}} \frac{f_2(t, u, v)}{v} = +\infty.$$

THEOREM 3.4. Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold. And

$$(H8) \quad \Lambda < \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ v \in [0, \infty)}} f_1(t, u, v) = +\infty \text{ or } \Lambda < \liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\substack{t \in [c, d] \subset (0, 1) \\ u \in [0, \infty)}} f_1(t, u, v) = +\infty, \text{ where } \Lambda = 4 \int_0^1 (q_1(s) + q_2(s)) d_q s / \left( \gamma \omega^2 \max \left\{ \int_c^d \phi_1(qs) d_q s, \int_c^d \phi_2(qs) d_q s \right\} \right) \text{ and } \gamma = \min \{ c^{\alpha_1 - 1}, c^{\alpha_2 - 1} \}.$$

$$(H9) \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{v \in [0, \infty)} \frac{h_i(t, u, v)}{u} = 0 \text{ or } \limsup_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \frac{h_i(t, u, v)}{v} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then there exist  $\tilde{\lambda}_1 > 0$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_2 > 0$  such that the singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) has at least one positive solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ , provided that  $\lambda_1 \in (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \infty)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (\tilde{\lambda}_2, \infty)$ . Moreover,  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  satisfies  $\tilde{u}(t) \geq \tilde{l} t^{\alpha_1 - 1}$  and  $\tilde{v}(t) \geq \tilde{l} t^{\alpha_2 - 1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , for some positive constant  $\tilde{l}$ .

Proof. It follows from (H8) that there exists  $\tilde{N} > 0$  such that

$$f_1(t, u, v) \geq \Lambda, \quad u \geq \tilde{N}, \quad v \geq 0 \text{ or } f_1(t, u, v) \geq \Lambda, \quad u \geq 0, \quad v \geq \tilde{N}, \tag{20}$$

where  $t \in [c, d]$ . Put  $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \tilde{N} / \left( 2\rho\gamma \int_0^1 q_i(s) d_q s \right)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $R_1 = \max \left\{ (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, 2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_2) \frac{2\rho}{\omega} \int_0^1 (q_1(s) + q_2(s)) d_q s \right\}$ . For any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R_1}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by the definition of  $\|\cdot\|$  and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_1 - 1} R_1 - \rho t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \\ &= t^{\alpha_1 - 1} \left( \omega R_1 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\ &\geq \gamma \left( \omega R_1 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$



$$\geq \rho \gamma \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \geq \tilde{N} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &\geq \omega t^{\alpha_2-1} R_1 - \rho t^{\alpha_2-1} \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \\ &= t^{\alpha_2-1} \left( \omega R_1 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\ &\geq \gamma \left( \omega R_1 - \rho \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \right) \\ &\geq \rho \gamma \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \right) \geq \tilde{N}, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

for  $t \in [c, d]$ . Thus, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R_1}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by (20)-(22), we have

$$f_1(s, [u(s) - \bar{\omega}_1(s)]^*, [v(s) - \bar{\omega}_2(s)]^*) \geq \Lambda, \quad t \in [c, d]. \tag{23}$$

Hence, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R_1}$ , by (23) and Lemma 2.4, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\| &\geq \min_{t \in [c, d]} \lambda_1 \int_c^d \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} \varphi_1(qs) f_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) d_q s \geq \lambda_1 \rho \gamma \Lambda \int_c^d \varphi_1(qs) d_q s \\ &\geq R_1 = \|(u, v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}(u, v)\| = \max\{\|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\|, \|\mathcal{F}_2(u, v)\|\} \geq R_1 = \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial P_{R_1}. \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, choose  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon_i = \left( 3\lambda_i \rho \int_0^1 p_i(s) d_q s \right)^{-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Then, for the above  $\varepsilon_i$ , by the first inequality in (H9), there exists  $\widehat{N} > 0$  such that for any  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$h_i(t, u, v) \leq \varepsilon_i u, \quad u \geq \widehat{N}, \quad v \geq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad h_i(t, u, v) \leq \varepsilon_i v, \quad u \geq 0, \quad v \geq \widehat{N},$$

where  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(t, u, v) &\leq \Phi + \varepsilon_i u, \quad u \geq \widehat{N}, \quad v \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1] \quad \text{or} \\ h_i(t, u, v) &\leq \Phi + \varepsilon_i v, \quad u \geq 0, \quad v \geq \widehat{N}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where  $\Phi = \{h_i(t, u, v) : 0 \leq x \leq \widehat{N}, 0 \leq x \leq \widehat{N}, t \in [0, 1], i = 1, 2\}$ . Choose

$$R_2 = \max \left\{ 2R_{1, \rho}(\Phi + 1) \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 (p_1(s) + q_1(s)) d_q s + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 (p_2(s) + q_2(s)) d_q s \right) \right\}.$$

For any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R_2}$ , by (25) and Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\| &\leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} (p_1(s)h_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s))d_qs \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} (p_2(s)h_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s))d_qs \right| \\ &\leq \rho \lambda_1 \int_0^1 (p_1(s)(\Phi + \varepsilon_1[x(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_qs \\ &\quad + \rho \lambda_2 \int_0^1 (p_2(s)(\Phi + \varepsilon_2[x(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_qs \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi + 1) \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 (p_1(s) + q_1(s))d_qs + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 (p_2(s) + q_2(s))d_qs \right) \\ &\quad + \rho \|u\| \left( \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 \int_0^1 p_1(s)d_qs + \lambda_2 \varepsilon_2 \int_0^1 p_2(s)d_qs \right) \leq R_2 = \|(u, v)\| \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\| &\leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} \left| \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} (p_1(s)h_1(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_1(s))d_qs \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 \rho t^{\alpha_1-1} (p_2(s)h_2(s, [x(s)]^*, [y(s)]^*) + q_2(s))d_qs \right| \\ &\leq \rho \lambda_1 \int_0^1 (p_1(s)(\Phi + \varepsilon_1[y(s)]^*) + q_1(s)) d_qs \\ &\quad + \rho \lambda_2 \int_0^1 (p_2(s)(\Phi + \varepsilon_2[y(s)]^*) + q_2(s)) d_qs \\ &\leq \rho(\Phi + 1) \left( \lambda_1 \int_0^1 (p_1(s) + q_1(s))d_qs + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 (p_2(s) + q_2(s))d_qs \right) \\ &\quad + \rho \|v\| \left( \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1 \int_0^1 p_1(s)d_qs + \lambda_2 \varepsilon_2 \int_0^1 p_2(s)d_qs \right) \leq R_2 = \|(u, v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial P_{R_2}$ , by Lemma 2.5, we also get  $\|\mathcal{F}_2(u, v)\| < R_2 = \|(u, v)\|$ . Consequently, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}(u, v)\| = \max\{\|\mathcal{F}_1(u, v)\|, \|\mathcal{F}_2(u, v)\|\} < R_2 = \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial P_{R_2}. \tag{26}$$

It follows from the above discussion, (24), (26), Lemmas 2.5 and 2.8 that for any  $\lambda_1 \in (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \infty)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (\tilde{\lambda}_2, \infty)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  has a fixed point  $(u, v) \in P_{[R_1, R_2]}$  and  $R_1 \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq R_2$ . Since  $\|(u, v)\| \geq R_1$ , by the same method as Theorem 3.3, we know that for any  $\lambda_1 \in (\tilde{\lambda}_1, \infty)$  and  $\lambda_2 \in (\tilde{\lambda}_2, \infty)$ , the singular coupled boundary value problem (1) and (2) has at least one positive solution  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$ , satisfies  $\tilde{u}(t) \geq \tilde{I}t^{\alpha_1-1}$  and  $\tilde{v}(t) \geq \tilde{I}t^{\alpha_2-1}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. From the proof of Theorem 3.4, we know that the conclusion of Theorem 3.4 is valid if the condition (H8) is replaced by

**(H8')**  $\Lambda < \liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{t \in [c,d] \subset (0,1)} \inf_{v \in [0,\infty)} f_2(t, u, v) = +\infty$  or  $\Lambda < \liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{t \in [c,d] \subset (0,1)} \inf_{u \in [0,\infty)} f_2(t, u, v) = +\infty$ , where  $\Lambda$  is defined in Theorem 3.4.

### 4. Two examples

**EXAMPLE 4.1.** Consider the following fractional  $q$ -difference system with coupled integral boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0,5}^{2.5}u(t) + \lambda_1 f_1(t, u(t), v(t)) &= 0, \quad D_{0,5}^{2.5}v(t) + \lambda_2 f_2(t, u(t), v(t)) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ D_{0,5}^{j_1}u(0) = D_{0,5}^{j_2}v(0) &= 0, \quad 0 \leq j_i \leq 1, \\ u(1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \sqrt{sv}(s) d_q s, \quad v(1) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} u(s) d_q s, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are two parameters. We have  $v_1 = 4/7$ ,  $v_2 = 2/3$ ,  $\kappa = 19/21$ , which implies **(H1)**.

Let  $p_1(t) = p_2(t) = 1/\sqrt{t(1-t)}$ ,  $q_1(t) = q_2(t) = -\ln t$ ,  $h_1(t, u, v) = u^2 + v^2$ ,  $h_2(t, u, v) = 1 + e^u + e^v$ , and

$$f_1(t, u, v) = \frac{u^2 + v^2}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \ln t, \quad f_2(t, u, v) = \frac{1 + e^u + e^v}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} + \ln t, \quad (t, u, v) \in (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty)^2.$$

Then  $-q_i(t) \leq f_i(t, u, v) \leq p_i(t)h_i(t, u, v)$ ,  $(t, u, v) \in (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty)^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By direct calculation, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 p_1(s) d_q s = \int_0^1 p_2(s) d_q s \approx 3.39926, \quad \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s = \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \approx 0.69315,$$

which implies **(H2)**. On the other hand, choosing  $[1/3, 2/3] \subset [0, 1]$ , we can see that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{t \in [1/3, 2/3] \subset (0,1)} \inf_{v \in [0,\infty)} \frac{f_1(t, u, v)}{u} = +\infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{t \in [1/3, 2/3] \subset (0,1)} \inf_{u \in [0,\infty)} \frac{f_2(t, u, v)}{v} = +\infty.$$

So condition **(H7)** of Theorem 3.3 is satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 3.3, the coupled system (27) has at least one positive solution, provided  $\lambda_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is small enough.

**EXAMPLE 4.2.** Consider the fractional  $q$ -difference system (27), where

$$f_1(t, u, v) = \frac{\sqrt{2(u+v)}}{\sqrt[3]{t^2(1-t)}(1+t^2(t+1))} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad f_2(t, u, v) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{e^{u+v+1} \sqrt[3]{t^2(1-t)}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}},$$

where  $(t, u, v) \in (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty)^2$ . Let  $p_1(t) = p_2(t) = \sqrt{2}/\sqrt[3]{t^2(1-t)}$ ,  $q_1(t) = q_2(t) = 2/\sqrt{t}$ ,  $h_1(t, u, v) = \sqrt{u+v}/(1+t^2(t+1))$  and  $h_2(t, u, v) = 1/e^{u+v+1}$ , then  $-q_i(t) \leq f_i(t, u, v) \leq p_i(t)h_i(t, u, v)$ ,  $(t, u, v) \in (0, 1) \times [0, +\infty)^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By direct calculation, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 p_1(s) d_q s = \int_0^1 p_2(s) d_q s \approx 6.10606, \quad \int_0^1 q_1(s) d_q s = \int_0^1 q_2(s) d_q s \approx 3.41530,$$

which implies **(H2)**. On the other hand, choosing  $[1/3, 2/3] \subset [0, 1]$ , we can see that  $\limsup_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{v \in [0, \infty)} (h_i(t, u, v)/u) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\liminf_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{v \in [0, \infty)} f_1(t, u, v) = +\infty$  or  $\liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{u \in [0, \infty)} f_1(t, u, v) = +\infty$ . So conditions **(H8)** and **(H9)** of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied. Therefore, by Theorem 3.4, the coupled system in Example 4.2 has at least one positive solution, provided  $\lambda_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) is large enough.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. ABDELJAWAD AND J. ALZABUT, *The  $q$ -fractional analogue for Gronwall-type inequality*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl., **2013**, (2013), Art. ID 543839, 1–7.
- [2] T. ABDELJAWAD, J. ALZABUT AND D. BALEANU, *A generalized  $q$ -fractional Gronwall inequality and its applications to nonlinear delay  $q$ -fractional difference systems*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2016** (2016), no. 240, 1–13.
- [3] B. AHMAD ET AL., *Existence and uniqueness results for a nonlocal  $q$ -fractional integral boundary value problem of sequential orders*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **20** (2016), 514–529.
- [4] B. AHMAD, J. J. NIETO, A. ALSAEDI AND H. AL-HUTAMI, *Existence of solutions for nonlinear fractional  $q$ -difference integral equations with two fractional orders and nonlocal four-point boundary conditions*, J. Franklin Inst., **351** (2014), 2890–2909.
- [5] B. AHMAD, S. K. NTOUYAS AND I. K. PURNARAS, *Existence results for nonlocal boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional  $q$ -difference equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2012** (2012), no. 140, 1–15.
- [6] M. H. ANNABY AND Z. S. MANSOUR,  *$q$ -Fractional calculus and equations*, Lect. Notes Math., vol. **2056**, Springer, Berlin, 2012.
- [7] R. A. C. FERREIRA, *Positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems with fractional  $q$ -difference*, Comput. Math. Appl., **61** (2011), 367–373.
- [8] R. A. C. FERREIRA, *Nontrivial solutions for fractional  $q$ -difference boundary value problems*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., **2010** (2010), no. 70, 1–10.
- [9] C. S. GOODRICH, *Existence of a positive solution to systems of differential equations of fractional order*, Comput. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), 1251–1268.
- [10] J. R. GRAEF AND L. KONG, *Positive solutions for a class of higher order boundary value problems with fractional  $q$ -derivatives*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218** (2012), 9682–9689.
- [11] J. R. GRAEF, L. KONG, Q. KONG AND M. WANG, *Uniqueness and parameter dependence of positive solutions to higher order boundary value problems with fractional  $q$ -derivatives*, J. Appl. Anal. Comput., **3** (1) (2013), 21–35.
- [12] D. J. GUO AND V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, *Nonlinear problems in abstract cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [13] J. HENDERSON AND R. LUCA, *Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a system of fractional boundary value problems*, Bound. Value Prob., **2014** (2014), no. 60, 1–17.
- [14] J. HENDERSON AND R. LUCA, *Positive solutions for a system of fractional differential equations with coupled integral boundary conditions*, Appl. Math. Comput., **249** (2014), 182–197.
- [15] J. HENDERSON AND R. LUCA, *Boundary value problems for systems of differential, difference and fractional equations: Positive solutions*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2016.
- [16] J. HENDERSON, R. LUCA AND A. TUDORACHE, *On a system of fractional differential equations with coupled integral boundary conditions*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal., **18** (2015), 361–386.
- [17] V. KAC AND P. CHEUNG, *Quantum calculus*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2002.
- [18] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA AND J. J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier, Boston, 2006.
- [19] Y. LI AND W. YANG, *Monotone iterative method for nonlinear fractional  $q$ -difference equations with integral boundary conditions*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2015** (2015), no. 294, 1–10.
- [20] S. K. NTOUYAS AND J. TARIBOON, *Fractional  $q$ -integro-difference equations and inclusions with nonlocal fractional  $q$ -integral conditions*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **20** (2016), 647–665.

- [21] S. K. NTOUYAS, J. TARIBOON AND P. THIRAMANUS, *Mixed problems of fractional coupled systems of Riemann-Liouville differential equations and Hadamard integral conditions*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **21** (2016), 813–828.
- [22] I. PODLUBNY, *Fractional differential equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1999.
- [23] J. REN AND C. ZHAI, *A fractional  $q$ -difference equation with integral boundary conditions and comparison theorem*, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., **18** (7-8) (2017), 575–583.
- [24] Y. WANG, L. LIU AND Y. WU, *Positive solutions for a class of higher-order singular semipositone fractional differential systems with coupled integral boundary conditions and parameters*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **2014** (2014), no. 268, 1–24.
- [25] S. XIE AND Y. XIE, *Positive solutions of higher-order nonlinear fractional differential systems with nonlocal boundary conditions*, J. Appl. Anal. Comput., **6** (4) (2016), 1211–1227.
- [26] W. YANG, *Positive solutions for a coupled system of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions*, Comput. Math. Appl., **63** (2012), 288–297.
- [27] W. YANG, *Positive solution for fractional  $q$ -difference boundary value problems with  $\phi$ -Laplacian operator*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **36** (4) (2013), 1195–1203.
- [28] W. YANG, *Positive solutions for boundary value problems involving nonlinear fractional  $q$ -difference equations*, Differ. Equ. Appl., **5** (2) (2013), 139–153.
- [29] W. YANG, *Positive solutions for nonlinear semipositone fractional  $q$ -difference system with coupled integral boundary conditions*, Appl. Math. Comput., **244** (2014), 702–725.
- [30] W. YANG, *Positive solutions for singular coupled integral boundary value problems of nonlinear Hadamard fractional differential equations*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **8** (2) (2015), 110–129.
- [31] W. YANG, *Positive solutions for singular Hadamard fractional differential system with four-point coupled boundary conditions*, J. Appl. Math. Comput., **49** (2015), 357–381.
- [32] W. YANG, A. ALSAEDI, T. HAYAT AND H. M. FARDOUN, *Asymptotical stability analysis of Riemann-Liouville  $q$ -fractional neutral systems with mixed delays*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **2019**, in press, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.5700>
- [33] W. YANG AND Y. QIN, *Positive solutions for nonlinear Caputo type fractional  $q$ -difference equations with integral boundary conditions*, Mathematics, **4** (4) (2016), no. 63, 1–15.
- [34] C. YUAN, *Two positive solutions for  $(n - 1, 1)$ -type semipositone integral boundary value problems for coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., **17** (2012), 930–942.
- [35] C. YUAN, D. JIANG, D. O'REGAN AND R. P. AGARWAL, *Multiple positive solutions to systems of nonlinear semipositone fractional differential equations with coupled boundary conditions*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., **2012** (2012), no. 13, 1–17.
- [36] Q. YUAN AND W. YANG, *Positive solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems for delayed fractional  $q$ -difference systems*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2014** (2014), no. 51, 1–16.
- [37] Q. YUAN AND W. YANG, *Positive solution for  $q$ -fractional four-point boundary value problems with  $p$ -Laplacian operator*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2014** (2014), no. 481, 1–14.
- [38] C. ZHAI AND J. REN, *The unique solution for a fractional  $q$ -difference equation with three-point boundary conditions*, Indagat. Math., **29** (3) (2018), 948–961.
- [39] Q. ZHAO AND W. YANG, *Positive solutions for singular coupled integral boundary value problems of nonlinear higher-order fractional  $q$ -difference equations*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2015** (2015), no. 290, 1–22.
- [40] W. ZHOU AND H. LIU, *Uniqueness and existence of solution for a system of fractional  $q$ -difference equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2014** (2014), Art. ID 340159, 1–11.

(Received March 21, 2019)

Wengui Yang  
 Department of Public Education  
 Sanmenxia Polytechnic  
 Sanmenxia 472000, China  
 e-mail: wgyang0617@yahoo.com