

APPLICATION OF PETRYSHYN'S FIXED POINT THEOREM OF EXISTENCE RESULT FOR NON-LINEAR 2D VOLTERRA FUNCTIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

SATISH KUMAR, HITESH KUMAR SINGH, BEENU SINGH AND VINAY ARORA*

(Communicated by C. Goodrich)

Abstract. In this paper, the existence of result for 2DFIEs (Two Dimensional Functional integral equations) is considered. The main techniques in this discussion are Petryshyn's fixed point theorem with an MNC(Measure of non-compactness), which carries special cases a lot of FIEs. Finally, we recall some distinct cases and examples to prove the applicability of our study.

1. Introduction

Most of the FIEs arise from mathematical physics, modeling of scientific problems such as mechanics, population dynamics, and solid mechanics (for example in modeling piezoelectric materials and using of these materials in nano-tubes), electrical engineering (especially optimal control), biology, etc (cf. [4, 5, 16, 19, 23]). Here, we study the following FIEs.

$$z(\varphi, \zeta) = q\left(\varphi, \zeta, f(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))), g(\varphi, \zeta, z(\beta(\varphi, \zeta))), \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds\right), \quad (1.1)$$

for all $(\varphi, \zeta) \in I = [0, b] \times [0, c]$.

The fixed point theorems are strong techniques to establish the existence results of integral equations in Banach space. Most of those theorems are based on the compactness of operators on a Banach space. In this article, we use Petryshyn's theorem as a generalization of Darbo's theorem. Many previous studies examined the existence result for different FIEs by Darbo's fixed point theorem in different functions spaces (cf. [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 26, 29, 32]). We generalize these results by Petryshyn's fixed point theorem. Recently many authors applied Petryshyn's fixed point theorem to obtain the existence results for non-linear FIEs in Banach algebra (see [13, 20, 21, 22, 30, 33, 34]).

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47H10.

Keywords and phrases: Fixed point theorem, functional integral equation, measure of non-compactness.

* Corresponding author.

This article is motivated by studying nonlinear functional integral equation under a general set of assumptions by using the theory of MNC with Petryshyn’s fixed point theorem. In addition, the condition boundedness explains that the “condition of sublinearity” that has been recognized in related literature will not play a meaningful involvement here. Finally, we present some particular cases and examples that show the utilization of FIEs.

2. Preliminaries

In this article, let \mathbb{R} indicate the set of all real numbers, H be real Banach space and $B_\sigma = B(z, \sigma)$ be a closed ball center z and radius σ .

DEFINITION 1. [24] Let $H \in E$ and

$$\alpha(H) = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : H = \bigcup_{j=1}^m H_j \text{ with } \text{diam } H_j \leq \rho, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}$$

is called the Kuratowski MNC.

DEFINITION 2. [1] The Hausdroff MNC

$$\psi(H) = \inf \{ \rho > 0 : \exists \text{ a finite } \rho \text{ net for } H \text{ in } E \}, \tag{2.1}$$

where, by a finite ρ net for H in E involves, a set $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m\} \subset E$ such that the ball $B_\rho(E, z_1), B_\rho(E, z_2), \dots, B_\rho(E, z_m)$ over H . Those MNC are commonly related that is

$$\psi(H) \leq \alpha(H) \leq 2\psi(H)$$

for any bounded set $H \subset E$.

THEOREM 1. [1] Let $H, \hat{H} \in E$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

- (i) $\psi(H) = 0$ if and only if H is pre-compact;
- (ii) $H \subseteq \hat{H} \implies \psi(H) \leq \psi(\hat{H})$;
- (iii) $\psi(\text{Conv}H) = \psi(H)$;
- (iv) $\psi(H \cup \hat{H}) = \max\{\psi(H), \psi(\hat{H})\}$;
- (v) $\psi(\lambda H) = |\lambda| \psi(H)$, where $\lambda H = \{\lambda z : z \in H\}$;
- (vi) $\psi(H + \hat{H}) \leq \psi(H) + \psi(\hat{H})$.

In the sequel, $C[0, b] \times [0, c]$ is the family of all continuous functions (real valued), defined on $I = [0, b] \times [0, c]$ with the max norm

$$\|z\| = \sup\{|z(\varphi, \zeta)| : \varphi \in [0, b], \zeta \in [0, c]\}.$$

The space $C[0, b] \times [0, c]$ is also the Banach algebra. The modulus of continuity of $z \in C[0, b] \times [0, c]$ is defined as

$$\omega(z, \rho) = \sup\{|z(\varphi, \zeta) - z(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\zeta})| : \varphi, \hat{\varphi} \in [0, b], \zeta, \hat{\zeta} \in [0, c], |\varphi - \hat{\varphi}| \leq \rho, |\zeta - \hat{\zeta}| \leq \rho\}.$$

and,

$$\omega(H, \rho) = \sup\{\omega(z, \rho) : z \in H\},$$

$$\omega_0(H) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \omega(H, \rho).$$

THEOREM 2. [20] *The Hausdorff MNC is similar to*

$$\psi(H) = \limsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \omega(z, \rho) \tag{2.2}$$

for all bounded sets $H \subset C[0, b] \times [0, c]$.

DEFINITION 3. [25] Let $T : E \rightarrow E$ is a continuous. T is said to be a k -set contraction if for all bounded set $G \subset E$, $T(G)$ is bounded and satisfy

$$\alpha(TG) \leq k\alpha(G), \text{ for } k \in (0, 1).$$

Moreover, if

$$\alpha(TG) < \alpha(G), \text{ for all } \alpha(G) > 0,$$

then T is said to be densifying map or condensing.

THEOREM 3. [28, 31] *Let $T : B_\sigma \rightarrow E$ is a condensing mapping which satisfying the boundary condition,*

$$\text{if } T(z) = kz, \text{ for some } z \in \partial B_\sigma \text{ then } k \leq 1.$$

Then the set of fixed points in B_σ is non-empty. This is called Petryshyn’s fixed point theorem.

3. Main results

Now, we study the existence of the Eq. (1.1) under the following assumptions;

- (1) $q \in C(I_1 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $f, g \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $p \in C(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, where

$$I = I_b \times I_c, I_1 = \{(\varphi, \zeta, f, g) : 0 \leq \varphi \leq b, 0 \leq \zeta \leq c, f, g \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$I_2 = \{(\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I^2 : 0 \leq s \leq \varphi \leq b, 0 \leq h \leq \zeta \leq c\},$$

$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma : I \rightarrow I;$$

(2) There exist non-negative constants $h_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} |q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w) - q(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z}, \hat{u}, \hat{w})| &\leq h_1|z - \hat{z}| + h_2|u - \hat{u}| + h_3|w - \hat{w}|; \\ |f(\varphi, \zeta, z) - f(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z})| &\leq h_4|z - \hat{z}|; \\ |g(\varphi, \zeta, z) - g(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z})| &\leq h_5|z - \hat{z}|. \end{aligned}$$

(3) There exists $\sigma > 0$ such that q fulfill the inequality

$$\sup\{|q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w)| : (\varphi, \zeta) \in I, z, u \in [-\sigma, \sigma], w \in [-bcL, bcL]\} \leq \sigma,$$

where

$$L = \sup\{|p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z)| : \text{for all } (\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I_2, \text{ and } z \in [-\sigma, \sigma]\}.$$

THEOREM 4. *Using the assumptions (1) – (3) be fulfill. If $h_1h_4 + h_2h_5 < 1, \forall z \in I$, then the Eq. (1.1) has at least one solution in $E = I = C(I_b \times I_c)$.*

Proof. We define the operator $T : B_\sigma \rightarrow E$, where $B_\sigma = \{z \in C(I) : \|z\| \leq \sigma\}$ in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} (Tz)(\varphi, \zeta) &= q\left(\varphi, \zeta, f(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))), g(\varphi, \zeta, z(\beta(\varphi, \zeta))), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

First, we show that T is continuous on B_σ . Choose $\rho > 0$ and any $z, x \in B_\sigma$ such that $\|z - x\| < \rho$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Tz)(\varphi, \zeta) - (Tx)(\varphi, \zeta)| \\ &= \left| q\left(\varphi, \zeta, f(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))), g(\varphi, \zeta, z(\beta(\varphi, \zeta))), \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - q\left(\varphi, \zeta, f(\varphi, \zeta, x(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))), g(\varphi, \zeta, x(\beta(\varphi, \zeta))), \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, x(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \right| \\ &\leq h_1|f(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))) - f(\varphi, \zeta, x(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta)))| + h_2|g(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))) \\ &\quad - g(\varphi, \zeta, x(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta)))| \\ &\quad + h_3 \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta |p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) - p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, x(\gamma(s, h)))| dh ds \\ &\leq h_1h_4|z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta)) - x(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))| + h_2h_5|z(\beta(\varphi, \zeta)) - x(\beta(\varphi, \zeta))| + h_3bc\omega(p, \rho) \\ &\leq (h_1h_4 + h_2h_5)\|z - x\| + h_3bc\omega(p, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega(p, \rho) = \sup\{|p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z) - p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, x)| : (\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I_2, z, x \in [-\sigma, \sigma], |z - x| \leq \rho\}.$$

From the uniform continuity of $p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z)$ on the subset $I_2 \times [-\sigma, \sigma]$, we have $\omega(p, \rho) \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the above expression prove that T is continuous on B_σ .

Further, we show that T fulfill the condensing map with respect the measure ψ . For this, select $\rho > 0$ and any $z \in H$, where $H \subset E$ is bounded. For $(\varphi_1, \zeta_1), (\varphi_2, \zeta_2) \in I$ with $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2, \zeta_1 \leq \zeta_2$ and $\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \leq \rho, \zeta_1 - \zeta_2 \leq \rho$.

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Tz)(\varphi_2, \zeta_2) - (Tz)(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)| \\ &= \left| q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))), g(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\beta(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)))\right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_2} \int_0^{\zeta_2} p(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \\ & \quad - q\left(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, f(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))), g(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))\right), \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \Big| \\ &\leq \left| q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))), g(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\beta(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)))\right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_2} \int_0^{\zeta_2} p(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \\ & \quad - q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))), g(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\beta(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)))\right), \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \Big| \\ & \quad + \left| q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))), g(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\beta(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)))\right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \\ & \quad - q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))), g(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))\right), \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \Big| \\ & \quad + \left| q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))), g(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))\right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \\ & \quad - q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))), g(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))\right), \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \Big| \\ & \quad + \left| q\left(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, f(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))), g(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))\right), \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right) \Big| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -q(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, f(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))), g(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))), \\
 & \left| \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right| \\
 \leq & h_3 \left| \int_0^{\varphi_2} \int_0^{\zeta_2} p(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds - \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds \right| \\
 & + h_2 |g(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\beta(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))) - g(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))| + h_2 |g(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))) \\
 & - g(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))| + h_1 |f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2))) - f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))| \\
 & + h_1 |f(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))) - f(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)))| + \omega_1(q, \rho) \\
 \leq & h_3 \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_0^{\zeta_1} |p(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) - p(\varphi_1, \zeta_1, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h)))| dh ds \\
 & + h_3 \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \int_0^{\zeta_1} |p(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h)))| dh ds \\
 & + h_3 \int_0^{\varphi_1} \int_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta_2} |p(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h)))| dh ds \\
 & + h_3 \int_{\varphi_1}^{\varphi_2} \int_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta_2} |p(\varphi_2, \zeta_2, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h)))| dh ds + h_2 h_5 |z(\beta(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)) - z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))| \\
 & + h_1 h_4 |z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)) - z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))| + h_2 \omega_1(g, \rho) + h_1 \omega_1(f, \rho) + \omega_1(q, \rho).
 \end{aligned}$$

To clarify, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega_1(f, \rho) &= \sup\{|f(\varphi, \zeta, z) - f(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\zeta}, z)| : |\varphi - \hat{\varphi}| \leq \rho, |\zeta - \hat{\zeta}| \leq \rho, z \in [-\sigma, \sigma]\}, \\
 \omega_1(g, \rho) &= \sup\{|g(\varphi, \zeta, z) - g(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\zeta}, z)| : |\varphi - \hat{\varphi}| \leq \rho, |\zeta - \hat{\zeta}| \leq \rho, z \in [-\sigma, \sigma]\}, \\
 \omega_1(p, \rho) &= \sup\{|p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z) - p(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\zeta}, s, h, z)| : |\varphi - \hat{\varphi}| \leq \rho, |\zeta - \hat{\zeta}| \leq \rho, (\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I_2, \\
 & z \in [-\sigma, \sigma]\}, \\
 \omega_1(q, \rho) &= \sup\{|q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w) - q(\hat{\varphi}, \hat{\zeta}, z, u, w)| : |\varphi - \hat{\varphi}| \leq \rho, |\zeta - \hat{\zeta}| \leq \rho, z, u \in [-\sigma, \sigma], \\
 & w \in [-bcL, bcL]\},
 \end{aligned}$$

From above relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Tz)(\varphi_2, \zeta_2) - (Tz)(\varphi_1, \zeta_1)| &\leq h_1 h_4 |z(\alpha(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)) - z(\alpha(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))| + h_1 \omega_1(f, \rho) \\
 &+ h_2 h_5 |z(\beta(\varphi_2, \zeta_2)) - z(\beta(\varphi_1, \zeta_1))| + h_2 \omega_1(g, \rho) \\
 &+ h_3 bc \omega_1(q, \rho) + \rho h_3 cL + \rho h_3 bL + \rho^2 h_3 L.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\omega(Tz, \rho) \leq (h_1 h_4 + h_2 h_5) \omega(z, \rho).$$

This provide the following inequality

$$\psi(TH) \leq (h_1 h_4 + h_2 h_5) \psi(H).$$

Hence T is a densifying map. Now, let $z \in \partial B_\sigma$ and if $Tz = kz$ then $\|Tz\| = k\|z\| = k\sigma$ and by (3), then

$$|Tz(\varphi, \zeta)| = \left| q\left(\varphi, \zeta, f(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))), g(\varphi, \zeta, z(\beta(\varphi, \zeta))), \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h)))dhds\right) \right| \leq \sigma$$

for all $(\varphi, \zeta) \in I$, hence $\|Tz\| \leq \sigma$ i.e $k \leq 1$. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Assume that*

- (1) $q \in C(I_1 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $p \in C(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, where

$$I = I_b \times I_c, I_1 = \{(\varphi, \zeta, z, u) : 0 \leq \varphi \leq b, 0 \leq \zeta \leq c, z, u \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$I_2 = \{(\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I^2 : 0 \leq s \leq \varphi \leq b, 0 \leq h \leq \zeta \leq c\},$$

$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma : I \rightarrow I;$$

- (2) *There exist non-negative constants $h_i, i = 1, \dots, 5, h_1 + h_2 < 1$ such that*

$$|q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w) - q(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z}, \hat{u}, \hat{w})| \leq h_1|z - \hat{z}| + h_2|u - \hat{u}| + h_3|w - \hat{w}|;$$

- (3) *There exists $\sigma > 0$ such that q fulfill the inequality*

$$\sup\{|q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w)| : (\varphi, \zeta) \in I, z, u \in [-\sigma, \sigma], w \in [-bcL, bcL]\} \leq \sigma,$$

where

$$L = \sup\{|p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z)| : \text{for all } (\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I_2, \text{ and } z \in [-\sigma, \sigma]\}.$$

Then

$$z(\varphi, \zeta) = q\left(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta)), z(\beta(\varphi, \zeta)), \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h)))dhds\right), \tag{3.1}$$

has at least one solution in $C(I_b \times I_c)$.

COROLLARY 2. *Let*

- (1) $q \in C(I_1 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $f \in C(I \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $p \in C(I_2 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, where

$$I = I_b \times I_c, I_1 = \{(\varphi, \zeta, g) : 0 \leq \varphi \leq b, 0 \leq \zeta \leq c, g \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$I_2 = \{(\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I^2 : 0 \leq s \leq \varphi \leq b, 0 \leq h \leq \zeta \leq c\},$$

$$\alpha, \beta, \gamma : I \rightarrow I;$$

(2) *There exist non-negative constants $h_i, i = 1, \dots, 5, h_3 + h_1h_4 < 1$ such that*

$$|q(\varphi, \zeta, z, w) - q(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z}, \hat{w})| \leq h_1|z - \hat{z}| + h_2|w - \hat{w}|;$$

$$|f(\varphi, \zeta, z) - f(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z})| \leq h_3|z - \hat{z}|;$$

$$|g(\varphi, \zeta, z) - g(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z})| \leq h_4|z - \hat{z}|.$$

(3) *There exists $\sigma > 0$ such that q fulfill the inequality*

$$\sup\{|f(\varphi, \zeta, z) + q(\varphi, \zeta, z, w)| : (\varphi, \zeta) \in I, z \in [-\sigma, \sigma], w \in [-bcL, bcL]\} \leq \sigma,$$

where

$$L = \sup\{|p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z)| : \text{for all } (\varphi, \zeta, s, h) \in I_2, \text{ and } z \in [-\sigma, \sigma]\}.$$

Then

$$z(\varphi, \zeta) = f(\varphi, \zeta, z(\alpha(\varphi, \zeta))) + q\left(\varphi, \zeta, g(\varphi, \zeta, z(\beta(\varphi, \zeta))), \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) dh ds\right) \tag{3.2}$$

has at least one solution in $C(I_b \times I_c)$.

4. Applications

Now, we give some examples which illustrates the Theorem 4

EXAMPLE 1.

$$z(\varphi, \zeta) = f(\varphi, \zeta) + \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p_1(\varphi, \zeta, s, h) p_2(s, h, z(s, h)) dh ds,$$

for $z = f(\varphi, \zeta)$ and $p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(\gamma(s, h))) = p_1(\varphi, \zeta, s, h) p_2(s, h, z(s, h))$, which may be observed as a 2D generalization of the popular Hammerstein type FIE (see [27]).

$$z(\varphi, \zeta) = f(\varphi, \zeta) + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(s, h)) dh ds,$$

which is the well-known 2D Fredholm FIE analyzed (e.g [27]).

EXAMPLE 2. Let $q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w) = q(\varphi, \zeta, u, w)$, then the Eq. (3.1) takes the form

$$z(\varphi, \zeta) = A(\varphi, \zeta) + q\left(\varphi, \zeta, z(\varphi, \zeta), \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z(s, h)) dh ds\right), \tag{4.1}$$

which is studied in [6].

EXAMPLE 3. Consider the following Volterra non-linear FIE:

$$z(\varphi, \zeta) = \frac{e^{-\varphi^2 \zeta^3}}{4(2 + \varphi^2 \zeta^4)} \ln(1 + z(\varphi, \zeta)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + \varphi^3 \zeta^2}{3 + 4\varphi^2 \zeta^4} \right) \sin z(\varphi, \zeta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta \arctan \left(\frac{|z(s, h)|}{1 + |z(s, h)|} \right) dh ds \tag{4.2}$$

for $(\varphi, \zeta) \in I = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Taking

$$q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w) = \frac{1}{4}z + \frac{1}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}w,$$

$$f(\varphi, \zeta, z) = \frac{e^{-\varphi^2 \zeta^3}}{(2 + \varphi^2 \zeta^4)} \ln(1 + z(\varphi, \zeta)),$$

$$g(\varphi, \zeta, z) = \left(\frac{1 + \varphi^3 \zeta^2}{3 + 4\varphi^2 \zeta^4} \right) \sin z(\varphi, \zeta),$$

$$p(\varphi, \zeta, s, h, z) = \arctan \left(\frac{|z(s, h)|}{1 + |z(s, h)|} \right).$$

This is certainly be noticed that q, f, g, p are continuous functions on respectively domain and

$$|q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w) - q(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z}, \hat{u}, \hat{w})| \leq \frac{1}{4}|z - \hat{z}| + \frac{1}{2}|u - \hat{u}| + \frac{1}{2}|w - \hat{w}|,$$

$$|f(\varphi, \zeta, z) - f(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z})| \leq \frac{1}{2}|z - \hat{z}|,$$

$$|g(\varphi, \zeta, z) - g(\varphi, \zeta, \hat{z})| \leq \frac{1}{3}|z - \hat{z}|.$$

Here $h_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $h_2 = h_3 = h_4 = \frac{1}{2}$, $h_5 = \frac{1}{3}$. We can easily see that thes functions fulfill the (1) and (2). Now, we see that (3) also fulfill. Put $\sigma = 3$ then, we get $L \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{|q(\varphi, \zeta, z, u, w)| : \varphi, \zeta \in [0, 1], z, u \in [-2, 2], w \in [-1, 1]\} \\ & \leq \sup \left| \left(\frac{e^{-\varphi^2 \zeta^3}}{4(2 + \varphi^2 \zeta^4)} \ln(1 + z(\varphi, \zeta)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1 + \varphi^3 \zeta^2}{3 + 4\varphi^2 \zeta^4} \right) \sin z(\varphi, \zeta) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\varphi \int_0^\zeta \arctan \left(\frac{|z(s, h)|}{1 + |z(s, h)|} \right) dh ds \right) \right| \\ & \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (1)–(3) conditions are fulfill. So, by Theorem 4 the equation (4.2) has at least one solution in $C(I)$.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BANAŚ, K. GOEBEL, *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces, volume 60 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1980).
- [2] J. BANAŚ, M. LECKO, *Fixed points of the product of operators in Banach algebra*, Panamer. Math. J., **12** (2002), 101–109.
- [3] J. CABALLERO, A. B. MINGARELLI, K. SADARANGANI, *Existence of solutions of an integral equation of Chandrasekhar type in the theory of radiative transfer*, Elect. J. Diff. Eq., **57** (2006), 1–11.
- [4] S. CHANDRASEKHAR, *Radiative Thznser*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, (1950).
- [5] C. CORDUNEANU, *Integral Equations and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, New York, (1990).
- [6] A. DAS, B. HAZARIKA, P. KUMAM, *Some New Generalization of Darbo's Fixed Point Theorem and Its Application on Integral Equations*, Mathematics, **7** (2019), 214.
- [7] A. DAS, B. HAZARIKA, S. K. PANDA, V. VIJAYAKUMAR, *An existence result for an infinite system of implicit fractional integral equations via generalized Darbo's fixed point theorem*, Comput. Appl. Mathematics, **40** (143), (2021).
- [8] A. DAS, B. HAZARIKA, V. PARVANEH, M. MURSALEEN, *Solvability of generalized fractional order integral equations via measures of noncompactness*, Math. Sciences, **15** (2021), 241–251.
- [9] A. DEEP, DEEPMALA, J. R. ROSHAN, K. S. NISAR, T. ABDELJAWAD, *An extension of Darbo's fixed point theorem for a class of system of nonlinear integral equations*, Adv. Difference Equ. 2020: 483(2020).
- [10] A. DEEP, DEEPMALA, J. R. ROSHAN, *Solvability for generalized non-linear integral equations in Banach spaces with applications*, J. Int. Eq. Appl., **33** (1) (2021), 19–30.
- [11] A. DEEP, DEEPMALA, M. RABBANI, *A numerical method for solvability of some non-linear functional integral equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **402** (2021), 125637.
- [12] A. DEEP, DEEPMALA, R. EZZATI, *Application of Petryshyn's fixed point theorem to solvability for functional integral equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **395** (2021), 125878.
- [13] A. DEEP, D. DHIMAN, B. HAZARIKA, S. ABBAS, *Solvability for two dimensional functional integral equations via Petryshyn's fixed point theorem*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas, RACSAM 115(4), 17 (2021).
- [14] A. DEEP, DEEPMALA, B. HAZARIKA, *An existence result for Hadamard type two dimensional fractional functional integral equations via measure of noncompactness*, Chaos Solitons Fractals., **147** (2021), 110874.
- [15] A. DEEP, S. ABBAS, B. SING, M. R. ALHARTHI, K. S. NISAR, *Solvability of functional stochastic integral equations via Darbo's fixed point theorem*, Alexandria Engineering Journal, **60** (6) (2021), 5631–5636.
- [16] K. DEIMLING, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, (1985).
- [17] G. GRIPENBERG, *On some epidemic models*, Quart. Appl. Math., **39** (1981), 317–327.
- [18] B. HAZARIKA, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, R. ARAB, M. RABBANI, *Application of simulation function and measure of noncompactness for solvability of nonlinear functional integral equations and introduction of an iteration algorithm to find solution*, Appl. Math. Comput., **360** (1) (2019), 131–146.
- [19] S. HU, M. KHAVANIN, W. ZHUANG, *Integral equations arising in the kinetic theory of gases*, Appl. Anal., **34** (1989), 261–266.
- [20] M. KAZEMI, R. EZZATI, *Existence of solutions for some nonlinear two dimensional Volterra integral equations via measutes of noncompactness*, Appl. Math. Comput., **275** (2016), 165–171.
- [21] M. KAZEMI, R. EZZATI, *Existence of solutions for some nonlinear Volterra integral equations via Petryshyn's fixed point theorem*, Int. J. Anal. Appl., **9** (2018), 1–12.
- [22] M. KAZEMI, A. R. YAGHOOBNA, *Application of fixed point theorem to solvability of functional stochastic integral equations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **417** (2022), 126759.
- [23] C. T. KELLY, *Approximation of solutions of some quadratic integral equations in transport theory*, J. Integral Eq., **4** (1982), 221–237.
- [24] K. KURATOWSKI, *Sur les espaces completes*, Fund. Math., **15** (1934), 301–335.
- [25] R. D. NUSSBAUM, *The fixed point index and fixed point theorem for k set contractions*, Proquest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1969. Thesis (Ph.D) – The University of Chicago.
- [26] I. ÖZDEMİR, U. ÇAKAN, *The solvability of some nonlinear functional integral equations*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **53** (2016), 7–21.

- [27] B. G. PACHPATTE, *Multidimensional integral equations and inequalities*, Atlantis press, Paris, (2011).
- [28] W. V. PETRYSHYN, *Structure of the fixed points sets of k -set-contractions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **40** (1970–1971), 312–328.
- [29] M. RABBANI, A. DAS, B. HAZARIKA, R. ARAB, *Existence of solution for two dimensional non-linear fractional integral equation by measure of noncompactness and iterative algorithm to solve it*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **370** (2020), 1–17.
- [30] M. RABBANI, A. DEEP, DEEPMALA, *On some generalized non-linear functional integral equations of two variables via measures of non-compactness and numerical method to solve it*, Math. Sci., **15** (2021), 317–324.
- [31] S. SINGH, B. WATSON, P. SRIVASTAVA, *Fixed point theory and best approximation: the KKM-map principle*, vol. 424 of Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1997).
- [32] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, A. DAS, B. HAZARIKA, S. A. MOHIUDDINE, *Existence of solutions for non-linear functional integral equation of two variables in Banach Algebra*, Symmetry., **11** (2019), 674.
- [33] S. SINGH, B. SINGH, K. S. NISAR, ABD-ALLAH. HYDER, M. ZAKARYA, *Solvability for generalized nonlinear two dimensional functional integral equations via measure of noncompactness*, Adv. Difference Eqs., (2021), 2021:372.
- [34] S. SINGH, S. KUMAR, M. M. A. METWALI, S. F. ALDOSARY, K. S. NISAR, *An existence theorem for nonlinear functional Volterra integral equations via Petryshyn's fixed point theorem*, Aims, Mathematics., **7** (4), 2022, 5594–5604.

(Received February 24, 2022)

Satish Kumar
 Department of Applied Sciences, UIET
 Panjab University SSGRC
 Hoshiarpur, India
 e-mail: satsidma@gmail.com

Hitesh Kumar Singh
 Department of Mathematics
 Kedarnath Girdharilal Khatri PG College Moradabad (U. P)
 India
 e-mail: hksinghiitr@gmail.com

Beenu Singh
 Department of Mathematics
 MJS Government PG College
 Bhind (M. P)-477001, India
 e-mail: singhbeenu47@gmail.com

Vinay Arora
 Department of Applied Sciences, UIET
 Panjab University SSGRC
 Hoshiarpur, India
 e-mail: vinay2037@gmail.com