

BOUNDARY SCHWARZ INEQUALITIES ARISING FROM ROGOSINSKI'S LEMMA

PETER R. MERCER

Abstract. We consider some Schwarz and Carathéodory inequalities at the boundary, as consequences of a lemma due to Rogosinski.

1. Introduction

Denote by $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ the open unit disk. *Schwarz's Lemma*, which is a consequence of the Maximum Principle, says that if $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is analytic with $f(0) = 0$, then

$$|f(\lambda)| \leq |\lambda| \quad \forall \lambda \in \Delta, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad |f'(0)| \leq 1.$$

A sharpened version of this is *Rogosinski's Lemma* (e.g. [3, 4]), which says that

$$|f(\lambda) - c_1| \leq r_1 \quad \forall \lambda \in \Delta,$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{\lambda f'(0)(1 - |\lambda|^2)}{1 - |\lambda|^2 |f'(0)|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 = \frac{|\lambda|^2(1 - |f'(0)|^2)}{1 - |\lambda|^2 |f'(0)|^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$|f(\lambda)| \leq |c_1| + r_1 = |\lambda| \frac{|\lambda| + |f'(0)|}{1 + |\lambda| |f'(0)|} \quad \forall \lambda \in \Delta. \quad (1)$$

Now let us suppose that $f : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is analytic and extends continuously to $x \in \partial\Delta$, say along a radius. By pre-composing with a rotation (if necessary) we may assume that $x = 1$ and by post-composing with a rotation (if necessary) we may assume that $f(1) = 1$. Suppose also that the radial derivative of f exists at $1 \in \partial\Delta$:

$$\lim_{r \nearrow 1} \frac{f(r) - f(1)}{r - 1} = f'(1).$$

It is easily seen that if f is not constant, then $|f'(1)| > 0$.

But if also $f(0) = 0$, Schwarz's Lemma implies the boundary Schwarz estimate $|f'(1)| \geq 1$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 30C80, 30J99.

Keywords and phrases: Schwarz lemma, Rogosinski lemma.

Osserman [8] showed that in this case, we have in fact

$$|f'(1)| \geq 1 + \frac{1 - |f'(0)|}{1 + |f'(0)|}. \quad (2)$$

Dubinin [2] incorporated more information about f , likewise assuming that $f(0) = 0$, in obtaining

$$|f'(1)| \geq 1 + \frac{2(1 - |f'(0)|)^2}{1 - |f'(0)|^2 + |f''(0)|/2}. \quad (3)$$

A main ingredient in the proof of (2) is (1), though Rogosinski's Lemma is not explicitly mentioned there. The proof of (3) relies heavily on (2); it is a byproduct of a rather complicated investigation which involves zeros of f other than just $\lambda = 0$.

Related to the Schwarz Lemma is *Carathéodory's Inequality* (e.g. [1]), which says that if $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic, with $f(0) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(f) \leq A$. Then

$$|f(\lambda)| \leq \frac{2A|\lambda|}{1 - |\lambda|} \quad \forall \lambda \in \Delta, \quad \text{and therefore} \quad |f'(0)| \leq 2A. \quad (4)$$

Örnek [5] showed that if f also extends continuously to $1 \in \partial\Delta$, $\operatorname{Re}(f(1)) = A$, and $f'(1)$ exists, then

$$|f'(1)| \geq \frac{A}{2}. \quad (5)$$

It is our purpose here to: provide an elementary argument, using (1), which yields (3) without appealing to (2); to refine (4), again using (1); and to use (3) to improve (5) and a related result along with it.

2. Direct Proof of (3)

If $|f'(0)| = 1$, then (3) holds. Otherwise, as is customary, set

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda} \quad (\text{with } g(0) := f'(0)), \quad \text{and} \quad h(\lambda) = \frac{f'(0) - g(\lambda)}{1 - \overline{f'(0)}g(\lambda)}.$$

Then h is analytic on Δ with $h(0) = 0$ and by Schwarz's Lemma $h : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$, with

$$h'(0) = \frac{-f''(0)}{2(1 - |f'(0)|^2)}.$$

Applying estimate (1) to h and then isolating f (cf. [3, 4]) gives

$$|f(\lambda) - c_2| \leq r_2,$$

where

$$c_2 = \frac{\lambda|f'(0)|(1 - a^2)}{1 - a^2|f'(0)|^2}, \quad r_2 = \frac{a|\lambda|(1 - |f'(0)|^2)}{1 - a^2|f'(0)|^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad a = |\lambda| \frac{|\lambda| + |h'(0)|}{1 + |\lambda||h'(0)|}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(\lambda) - 1}{\lambda - 1} \right| &\geq \frac{1 - |c_2| - r_2}{|\lambda - 1|} = \frac{1 - a^2|f'(0)|^2 - |\lambda||f'(0)|(1 - a^2) - a|\lambda|(1 - |f'(0)|^2)}{|\lambda - 1|(1 - a^2|f'(0)|^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + a|f'(0)|} \frac{1 + a|f'(0)| - a|\lambda| - |\lambda||f'(0)|}{|\lambda - 1|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now having $\lambda = r \rightarrow 1$ radially, so that $|\lambda - 1| = 1 - r$, an application of L'Hospital's Rule (while noticing that $a = a(r) \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow 1$) gives

$$|f'(1)| \geq \frac{3 + |h'(0)| + |f'(0)||h'(0)| - |f'(0)|}{(1 + |f'(0)|)(1 + |h'(0)|)}.$$

Finally, some more manipulations lead to

$$|f'(1)| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{1 + |h'(0)|} \frac{2(1 - |f'(0)|)^2}{(1 - |f'(0)|^2)} = 1 + \frac{4(1 - |f'(0)|)^2}{2(1 - |f'(0)|^2) + |f''(0)|},$$

which is the same as (3). \square

REMARK 2.1. Schwarz's Lemma applied to h gives $|f''(0)|/2 \leq 1 - |f'(0)|^2$, from which we see that Dubinin's estimate (3) improves (and implies) Osserman's (2).

3. Refinement of (4)

LEMMA 3.1. Let $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic, with $f(0) = 0$ and $\text{Re}(f) \leq A$. Then

$$|f(\lambda)| \leq |\lambda| \frac{2A|\lambda| + |f'(0)|}{1 - |\lambda|^2} \quad \forall \lambda \in \Delta.$$

Proof. Wherever Schwarz's Lemma is used in the proof of (4), we instead use (1); we omit some of the details (c.f. [1]). Set

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{f(\lambda)}{2A - f(\lambda)}.$$

Then $g : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is analytic, with $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(0) = f'(0)/(2A)$. (And consequently $|f'(0)| \leq 2A$, by Schwarz's Lemma.) Applying (1), then the reverse triangle inequality, then (1) again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\lambda)| &= \frac{2A|g(\lambda)|}{|1 + g(\lambda)|} \leq \frac{2A}{|1 + g(\lambda)|} |\lambda| \frac{|\lambda| + |f'(0)|/(2A)}{1 + |\lambda|f'(0)|/(2A)} \\ &\leq 2A|\lambda| \frac{|\lambda| + |f'(0)|/(2A)}{1 + |\lambda|f'(0)|/(2A)} \frac{1}{1 - |\lambda| \frac{|\lambda| + |f'(0)|/(2A)}{1 + |\lambda|f'(0)|/(2A)}} \\ &= |\lambda| \frac{2A|\lambda| + |f'(0)|}{1 - |\lambda|^2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.2. Lemma 3.1 is sharp – consider $f(\lambda) = \frac{2A\lambda}{1+\lambda}$.

4. Improvement of (5) and a related result

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic, with $f(0) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(f) \leq A$. Suppose also that f extends continuously to $1 \in \partial\Delta$, say along a radius, that $\operatorname{Re}(f(1)) = A$, and that the radial derivative $f'(1)$ exists. Then*

$$|f'(1)| \geq \frac{A}{2} + \frac{A(2A - |f'(0)|)^2}{4A^2 - |f'(0)|^2 + |Af''(0) + (f'(0))^2|}.$$

Proof. We rework the argument in [5], which uses (2), but instead we use (3). The function g in the proof of Lemma 3.1 satisfies

$$g''(0) = \frac{Af''(0) + (f'(0))^2}{2A^2}.$$

Then from (3) applied to g we get

$$\frac{2A|f'(1)|}{|f(1) - 2A|^2} = |g'(1)| \geq 1 + \frac{2(2A - |f'(0)|)^2}{4A^2 - |f'(0)|^2 + |Af''(0) + (f'(0))^2|}.$$

Now $|f(1)| \geq A$, and so $2|f'(1)|/A \geq \frac{2A|f'(1)|}{|f(1) - 2A|^2}$. Therefore,

$$|f'(1)| \geq \frac{A}{2} + \frac{A(2A - |f'(0)|)^2}{4A^2 - |f'(0)|^2 + |Af''(0) + (f'(0))^2|},$$

as desired. \square

REMARK 4.2. Lemma 4.1 (which is sharp – again consider $f(\lambda) = \frac{2A\lambda}{1+\lambda}$) may be regarded as a companion to Theorem 1 of [6], wherein the the same hypotheses are in play, but also $f'(0) = 0$.

In a very similar way one can use (3) to obtain the following result which, as Theorem 2.3 of [7], was obtained quite differently. We merely sketch the idea.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic, with $f(0) = 0$, and $|\operatorname{Re}(f)| \leq 1$. Suppose also that f extends continuously to $1 \in \partial\Delta$, say along a radius, that $\operatorname{Re}(f(1)) = 1$, and that the radial derivative $f'(1)$ exists. Then*

$$|f'(1)| \geq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{2(4 - \pi|f'(0)|)^2}{16 - \pi^2|f'(0)|^2 + 2\pi|f''(0)|} \right).$$

Proof. As in [7], set

$$\phi(\lambda) = \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}f(\lambda)} - 1}{e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}f(\lambda)} + 1}.$$

It is easily verified that $\phi : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is analytic, $\phi(0) = 0$, $|\phi(1)| = 1$, $\phi'(0) = \frac{i\pi}{4}f'(0)$, $\phi''(0) = \frac{i\pi}{4}f''(0)$, and $|\phi'(1)| = \frac{\pi}{2}|f'(1)|$. Then applying (3) to ϕ and writing the result in terms of f , the inequality follows. \square

REMARK 4.4. This result is sharp – consider $f(\lambda) = \frac{2}{i\pi} \ln \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}$. By Remark 2.1, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 of [7] are simple corollaries of Theorem 2.3 there.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. BOAS AND H. P. BOAS, *Invitation to Complex Analysis*, Math. Assoc. of America 2010.
- [2] V. N. DUBININ, *The Schwarz inequality on the boundary for functions regular in the disk*, J. Math. Sci **122** (2004), 3623–3629.
- [3] P. L. DUREN, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York & Berlin, 1983.
- [4] P. R. MERCER, *Sharpened versions of the Schwarz lemma*, J. Math. Analysis & Appl. **205** (1997), 508–511.
- [5] B. N. ÖRNEK, *Carathéodory's inequality on the boundary*, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B Pure Appl. Math. **22** (2015), 169–178.
- [6] B. N. ÖRNEK, *The Carathéodory inequality on the boundary for holomorphic functions in the unit disk*, J. Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry **12** (2016), 287–301.
- [7] B. N. ÖRNEK AND B. GÖK, *Boundary Schwarz lemma for holomorphic functions*, Filomat **31** (2017), 5553–5565.
- [8] R. OSSERMAN, *A sharp Schwarz inequality on the boundary*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3513–3517.

(Received February 20, 2018)

Peter R. Mercer
Department of Mathematics
SUNY College at Buffalo
New York 14222, USA