

## FOUR DIMENSIONAL LOGARITHMIC TRANSFORMATION INTO $\mathcal{L}_u$

FATIH NURAY \* AND NIMET AKIN

*Abstract.* Let  $t = (t_m)$  and  $\bar{t} = (\bar{t}_n)$  be two null sequences in the interval  $(0, 1)$  and define the four dimensional logarithmic matrix  $L_{t, \bar{t}} = (a_{mnkl}^{t, \bar{t}})$  by

$$a_{mnkl}^{t, \bar{t}} = \frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1}.$$

The matrix  $L_{t, \bar{t}}$  determines a sequence -to-sequence variant of classical logarithmic summability method. The aim of this paper is to study these transformations to be  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  summability methods.

### 1. Introduction

The most well-known notion of convergence for double sequences is the convergence in the sense of Pringsheim. Recall that a double sequence  $x = \{x_{kl}\}$  of complex (or real) numbers is called convergent to a scalar  $\ell$  in Pringsheim's sense (denoted by  $P\text{-}\lim x = \ell$ ) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{kl} - \ell| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $k, l > N$ . Such an  $x$  is described more briefly as "P-convergent". It is easy to verify that  $x = \{x_{kl}\}$  convergences in Pringsheim's sense if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that  $|x_{ij} - x_{kl}| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $\min\{i, j, k, l\} \geq N$ . A double sequence  $x = \{x_{kl}\}$  is bounded if there exists a positive number  $M$  such that  $|x_{kl}| \leq M$  for all  $k$  and  $l$ , that is, if  $\sup_{k,l} |x_{kl}| < \infty$ .

A double sequence  $x = \{x_{kl}\}$  is said to convergence regularly if it converges in Pringsheim's sense and, in addition, the following finite limits exist:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{kl} = \ell_l \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} x_{kl} = j_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Note that the main drawback of the Pringsheim's convergence is that a convergent sequence fails in general to be bounded. The notion of regular convergence lacks this disadvantage.

Let  $A = (a_{mnkl})$  denote a four dimensional summability method that maps the complex

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 40B05, 40C05.

*Keywords and phrases:* Tauberian condition, logarithmic summability, four dimensional summability method, double sequences, Pringsheim limit.

\* Corresponding author.

double sequence  $x$  into the double sequence  $Ax = \{(Ax)_{mn}\}$  where  $(Ax)_{mn}$  is defined as follows:

$$(Ax)_{mn} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{mnkl} x_{kl}.$$

In [17] Robison presented the following notion of regularity for four-dimensional matrix transformation and a Silverman-Toeplitz type characterization of such notion.

DEFINITION 1. The four-dimensional matrix  $A$  is said to be RH-regular if it maps every bounded P-convergent sequence into a P-convergent sequence with the same P-limit.

The assumption of bounded was added because a double sequence which is P-convergent is not necessarily bounded. Along these same lines, Robison and Hamilton presented a Silverman-Toeplitz type multidimensional characterization of regularity in [6] and [17].

THEOREM 1. *The four-dimensional matrix  $A$  is RH-regular if and only if*

*RH<sub>1</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} a_{mnkl} = 0$  for each  $k$  and  $l$ ;*

*RH<sub>2</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_{k,l=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} a_{mnkl} = 1$ ;*

*RH<sub>3</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{mnkl}| = 0$  for each  $l$ ;*

*RH<sub>4</sub>:  $P\text{-}\lim_{m,n} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |a_{mnkl}| = 0$  for each  $k$ ;*

*RH<sub>5</sub>:  $\sum_{k,l=0,0}^{\infty, \infty} |a_{mnkl}|$  is P-convergent;*

*RH<sub>6</sub>: there exist finite positive integers  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  such that*

$$\sum_{k,l > \Gamma} |a_{mnkl}| < \Delta.$$

A double series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} x_{kl}$  converges to a sum  $\ell$  if

(a) the sequence of "rectangular" partial sums  $S_{mn}$  converges:

$$\ell = P\text{-}\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=0}^n x_{kl};$$

(b) every "row series"  $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} x_{kl}$  converges;

(c) every "column series"  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{kl}$  converges.

A double series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} x_{kl}$  is called absolutely convergent if the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |x_{kl}|$$

converges. The space of all absolutely convergent double sequences will be denoted  $\mathcal{L}_u$ , that is

$$\mathcal{L}_u := \{x = \{x_{kl}\} : \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x_{kl}| < \infty\}.$$

Observe that every absolutely convergent double series is convergent. The reader may refer to the textbooks [2] and [12], and recent paper [18] on the spaces of double sequences, four dimensional matrices and related topics.

In [14], Patterson proved that the matrix  $A = (a_{mnkl})$  determines an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  method if and only if

$$\sup_{k,l} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{mnkl}| < \infty. \quad (1)$$

The aim of this paper is study four dimensional Abel matrices as  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  matrices.

## 2. Four dimensional logarithmic $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$ method

The logarithmic power series method of summability, denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_u$ , is following sequences-to-function transformation if

$$\lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 1^-, r_2 \rightarrow 1^-} \left\{ \frac{1}{\log(1-r_1)\log(1-r_2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} x_{kl} r_1^{k+1} r_2^{l+1} \right\} = a,$$

then  $x = (x_{kl})$  is  $\mathcal{L}_u$ -summable to  $a$ . This can be modified into a sequence-to-sequence transformation by replacing the continuous parameters  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  with the sequences  $(t_m)$  and  $(\bar{t}_n)$  such that  $0 < t_m < 1$  for all  $m$ ,  $0 < \bar{t}_n < 1$  for all  $n$ ,  $\lim_m t_m = 1$  and  $\lim_n \bar{t}_n = 1$ . Thus the sequence  $x = \{x_{kl}\}$  is transformed into the sequence  $L_{t,\bar{t}}x$  whose  $m$ th term is given by

$$(L_{t,\bar{t}}x)_{mn} = \frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} x_{k,l} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1}.$$

This transformation is represented by the matrix  $L_{t,\bar{t}} = (a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}})$  given by

$$a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} = \frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} x_{k,l} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1}.$$

The matrix  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is called a four dimensional logarithmic matrix. It is clear that  $A_{t,\bar{t}}$  is RH-regular matrix.

**THEOREM 2.** *The four dimensional logarithmic matrix  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  matrix if and only if  $\frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} \in \mathcal{L}_u$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $0 < t_m < 1$  for all  $m$  and  $0 < \bar{t}_n < 1$  for all  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}}| &= \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $k$  and  $l$ . Thus if  $(t_m \bar{t}_n) \in \mathcal{L}_u$ , Theorem 1 in [14] guarantees that  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  matrix. Now suppose that  $\frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} \notin \mathcal{L}_u$ , then we consider the sum

of the  $(a_{mn00}^{t,\bar{t}})$  elements of  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$ :

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{mn00}^{t,\bar{t}}| = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_m \bar{t}_n}{\log(1-t_m) \log(1-\bar{t}_n)} = \infty,$$

which shows that  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is not an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  matrix.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  matrix and the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} x_{kl}$  has bounded partial sums, then  $x \in \mathcal{L}_{L_{t,\bar{t}}}$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $s_{kl} = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^l x_{ij}$ ,  $s_{00} = 0$ ,  $s_{0l} = 0$ ,  $s_{k0} = 0$  and  $w_m^k = \frac{1}{k+1} t_m^{k+1}$ ,  $v_n^l = \frac{1}{l+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1} x_{kl} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n w_m^k v_n^l x_{kl} \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n w_m^k v_n^l (s_{kl} - s_{k,l-1} - s_{k-1,l} + s_{k-1,l-1}) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n s_{kl} [w_m^k v_n^l - w_m^{k+1} v_n^l - w_m^k v_n^{l+1} + w_m^{k+1} v_n^{l+1}] \right| \\ &\leq 4 \log(1-t_m) \log(1-\bar{t}_n) \sup_{k \leq m, l \leq n} |s_{kl}| \\ &< M \log(1-t_m) \log(1-\bar{t}_n). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1} x_{kl} \right| < M \log(1-t_m) \log(1-\bar{t}_n).$$

Hence,

$$|(L_{t,\bar{t}}x)_{mn}| < M$$

thus  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  matrix, so  $x \in \mathcal{L}_{L_{t,\bar{t}}}$ .  $\square$

### 3. A Tauberian theorem

We now prove an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  Tauberian theorem for the four dimensional logarithmic matrices.

THEOREM 4. Let  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  logarithmic matrix; if  $x$  is a double sequence such that  $L_{t,\bar{t}}x$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_u$ , and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| i j < \infty \quad (2)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01}x_{ij}| i j < \infty. \quad (3)$$

then  $x$  in  $\mathcal{L}_u$  where  $\Delta_{10}x_{ij} = x_{ij} - x_{i+1,j}$  and  $\Delta_{01}x_{ij} = x_{ij} - x_{i,j+1}$ .

*Proof.* In order to show that  $L_{t,\bar{t}}x - x$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_u$  we write

$$(L_{t,\bar{t}}x)_{mn} - x_{mn} = \frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1} (x_{kl} - x_{mn}).$$

Letting

$$a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} = \frac{1}{\log(1-t_m)\log(1-\bar{t}_n)} \frac{1}{(k+1)(l+1)} t_m^{k+1} (\bar{t}_n)^{l+1},$$

we shall prove that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} |x_{kl} - x_{mn}| < \infty.$$

Let us write

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} |x_{kl} - x_{mn}|.$$

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2$ , where

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} |x_{kl} - x_{mn}|$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} |x_{kl} - x_{mn}|.$$

Since

$$|x_{kl} - x_{mn}| = |x_{mn} - x_{kl}| = \left| \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} \Delta_{10}x_{ij} + \sum_{j=n}^{l-1} \Delta_{01}x_{ij} \right|,$$

this leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_1 &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \left( \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| + \sum_{j=n}^{l-1} |\Delta_{01}x_{ij}| \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| \sum_{m=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^i \sum_{l=0}^j a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01}x_{ij}| \sum_{m=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^i \sum_{l=0}^j a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01}x_{ij}| \right) \zeta_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_2 &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=n+1}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \left( \sum_{i=m}^{k-1} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| + \sum_{i=n}^{l-1} |\Delta_{01}x_{ki}| \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| \sum_{m=0}^i \sum_{n=0}^j \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01}x_{ij}| \sum_{m=0}^i \sum_{n=0}^j \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01}x_{ij}| \right) \varsigma_{ij},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\zeta_{ij} = \sum_{m=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^i \sum_{l=0}^j a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varsigma_{ij} = \sum_{m=0}^i \sum_{n=0}^j \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}}$$

By showing that  $\zeta_{ij} = O(ij)$  and  $\varsigma_{ij} = O(ij)$ , we will prove that  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10}x_{ij}| ij < \infty$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01}x_{ij}| ij < \infty$  implies that  $L_{t,\bar{t}}x - x$  is in  $\mathcal{L}_u$ . These  $O(ij)$  assertions are very easily verified since  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_u - \mathcal{L}_u$  we have

$$\zeta_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^i \sum_{l=0}^j \sum_{m=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \leq (i+1)(j+1) \sup_{k,l} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}}| = O(ij)$$

and since  $L_{t,\bar{t}}$  is RH-regular we have

$$\varsigma_{ij} = \sum_{m=0}^i \sum_{n=0}^j \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=j+1}^{\infty} a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}} \leq (i+1)(j+1) \sup_{m,n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |a_{mnkl}^{t,\bar{t}}| = O(ij).$$

Thus, the proof is completed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. AGNEW, *Inclusion relations among methods of summability compounded from given matrix methods*, Ark. Mat. **2**, (1952), 361–374.
- [2] F. BAŞAR, *Summability Theory and Its Applications*, Bentham Science Publishers, e-books, Monographs, İstanbul, (2012).
- [3] J. A. FRIDY, *Absolute summability matrices that are stronger than the identity mapping*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47**, (1995), 112–118.
- [4] J. A. FRIDY AND K. L. ROBERT, *Some Tauberian theorems for Euler and Borel summability*, Intnat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **3,4** (1980), 731–738.
- [5] J. A. FRIDY, *Abel transformations into  $l^1$* , Canad. Math. Bull. **25**, (1982), 421–427.
- [6] H. J. HAMILTON, *Transformations of multiple sequences*, Duke Math. J., **2** (1936), 29–60.
- [7] G. H. HARDY, *Divergent series*, Oxford, (1949).
- [8] G. H. HARDY AND J. E. LITTLEWOOD, *Theorems concerning the summability of series by Borel's exponential methods*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **41**, (1916), 36–53.
- [9] G. H. HARDY AND J. E. LITTLEWOOD, *On the Tauberian theorem for Borel summability*, J. London Math. Soc., **18**, (1943), 194–200.
- [10] M. I. KADETS, *On absolute, perfect, and unconditional convergences of double series in Banach spaces*, Ukrainian Math. J., **49**, 8 (1997), 1158–1168.
- [11] M. LEMMA, *Logarithmic transformations into  $l^1$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **28**, 1 (1998), 253–266.
- [12] M. MURSALEEN AND S.A. MOHIUDDINE, *Convergence Methods for Double sequences and Applications*, Springer Briefs In Mathematics, 2013.
- [13] R. F. PATTERSON, *A theorem on entire four dimensional summability methods*, Appl. Math. Comput., **219**, (2013), 7777–7782.
- [14] R. F. PATTERSON, *Four dimensional matrix characterization of absolute summability*, Soochow J. Math., **30**, 1 (2004), 21–26.
- [15] R. F. PATTERSON, *Analogues of some fundamental theorems of summability theory*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **23**, 1 (2000), 1–9.
- [16] A. PRINGSHEIM, *Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen*, Math. Ann., **53**, (1900), 289–32.
- [17] G. M. ROBISON, *Divergent double sequences and series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **28**, (1926), 50–73.
- [18] M. YEŞİLKAYAGIL AND F. BAŞAR, *A note on Abel summability of double series*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., **38**, 8 (2017), 1069–1076.

(Received September 18, 2018)

Fatih Nuray  
Department of Mathematics and Science Education  
Afyon Kocatepe University  
Afyonkarahisar, Turkey  
e-mail: fnuray@aku.edu.tr

Nimet Akin  
Department of Mathematics and Science Education  
Afyon Kocatepe University  
Afyonkarahisar, Turkey  
e-mail: npancaroglu@aku.edu.tr