

ON WEIGHTED β -ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES

K. N. DARJI AND R. G. VYAS

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a sufficient condition for the weighted β -absolute convergence ($0 < \beta < 2$) of the double Fourier series of a function f of (ϕ, ψ) - (Λ^1, Λ^2) -bounded variation.

1. Introduction

One of the most striking trends in analysis is the study of the Fourier coefficients properties of functions of various generalized bounded variations. Extending a classical result of Zygmund, Schramm and Waterman [6] obtained sufficient condition for the absolute convergence of single Fourier series of functions of the classes $\Lambda BV^{(p)}(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ ($p \geq 1$) and $\phi \Lambda BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$, where $\overline{\mathbb{T}} = [0, 2\pi]$ is the torus. In 2007 [8], these results of Schramm and Waterman were extended to β -absolute convergence of single Fourier series. Gogoladze and Meskhia [3] obtained sufficient conditions for the weighted β -absolute convergence of single Fourier series for different function spaces. In 2008, Móricz and Veres [4] extended these results of Gogoladze and Meskhia from single to multiple Fourier series. In 2013 [9], we have obtained sufficient conditions for the β -absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series which includes a multidimensional analogue of one dimensional result proved by Schramm and Waterman [6, Theorem 1, p. 274]. In this paper, we obtain a sufficient condition for the weighted β -absolute convergence of the double Fourier series of a function f of (ϕ, ψ) - (Λ^1, Λ^2) -bounded variation. Our sufficient condition gives generalized two dimensional analogue of one dimensional result proved in [6, Theorem 2, p. 274] by Schramm and Waterman, [8, Theorem 2, with $n_k = k$, for all k , p. 770] and [12, Theorem 1, with $n_k = k$, for all k].

In the sequel, \mathbb{L} is a class of non-decreasing sequences $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_n = \infty$, where $\Lambda_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{-1}$, and C represents a constant vary time to time.

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 42B05, 42A20; Secondary 26B30, 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: Absolute convergence, double Fourier series, functions of (ϕ, ψ) - (Λ^1, Λ^2) -bounded variation.

2. Results for functions of one variable

For a 2π -periodic complex valued function $f \in L^1(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$, its Fourier series is defined as

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m) e^{imx},$$

where the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(m)$ are defined by

$$\hat{f}(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} f(x) e^{-imx} dx.$$

A Fourier series of f is said to be β -absolute convergent if

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(m)|^\beta < \infty.$$

For $\beta = 1$, one gets the absolute convergence of the Fourier series of f .

For a given $f \in L^p(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ ($p \geq 1$), the p -integral modulus of continuity of f is defined as

$$\omega^{(p)}(f; \delta) = \sup_{0 < h \leq \delta} \|T_h f - f\|_p,$$

where $T_h f(x) = f(x+h)$ for all x and $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the L^p -norm over $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$.

For $p = \infty$, we omit writing p , one gets $\omega(f; \delta)$, the modulus of continuity of f .

Given a convex function ϕ , defined on $[0, \infty)$ and strictly increasing from 0 to ∞ , and a sequence $\Lambda \in \mathbb{L}$, a complex valued function f defined on $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ is said to be of ϕ - Λ -bounded variation (that is, $f \in \phi \Lambda BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$) if

$$V_{\Lambda \phi}(f, \overline{\mathbb{T}}) = \sup_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\sum_k \frac{\phi(|f(I_k)|)}{\lambda_k} \right) < \infty,$$

where \mathcal{J} is a finite collections of non-overlapping subintervals $\{I_k = [a_k, b_k]\}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ and $f(I_k) = f(b_k) - f(a_k)$.

Note that, for $\phi(x) = x$ and $\Lambda = \{1\}$ one gets the class $BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$; for $\phi(x) = x$ one gets the class $\Lambda BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$; and for $\phi(x) = x^p$ ($p \geq 1$) one gets the class $\Lambda BV^{(p)}(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$.

It is customary to consider ϕ an N -function which is defined as follows.

A convex function ϕ defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0_+$, and $\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, is called an N -function.

We note that an N -function is necessarily continuous and strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

An N -function ϕ is said to be a Δ_2 function if there is a constant $d \geq 2$ such that $\phi(2x) \leq d\phi(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$.

Following the definition in [3], a sequence $\gamma = \{\gamma_m : m \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ of nonnegative numbers is said to belongs to the class \mathcal{A}_α for some $\alpha \geq 1$ if

$$\left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \gamma_m^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq \kappa 2^{\mu(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu-1}} \gamma_m, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{N}_+, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{-1} := \mathcal{D}_0 = \{1\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu := \{2^{\mu-1} + 1, 2^{\mu-1} + 2, \dots, 2^\mu\}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{N}_+, \quad (2.2)$$

and the constant κ does not depend on μ . Without loss of generality, we assume that $\kappa \geq 1$.

Note that,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha_2} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_1}, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

If a sequences $\gamma = \{\gamma_m \geq 0\}$ is such that

$$\max\{\gamma_m : m \in \mathcal{D}_\mu\} \leq \kappa \min\{\gamma_m : m \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu-1}\}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{N}_+,$$

then $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \geq 1$. This inequality was introduced by Ul'yanov [7].

For convenience in writing, put

$$\gamma_{-m} := \gamma_m, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_+.$$

We prove the following results.

THEOREM 2.1. *If $\phi \in \Delta_2$, $f \in \phi \Lambda BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$, $1 \leq p < 2r$, $1 \leq r < \infty$, and $\gamma = \{\gamma_m\} \in \mathcal{A}_{2/(2-\beta)}$ for some $\beta \in (0, 2)$, then*

$$\sum_{|m| \geq 1} \gamma_m |\hat{f}(m)|^\beta \leq \kappa C \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\mu\beta/2} \Gamma_{\mu-1} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_{2^\mu}} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r},$$

where $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, κ is from (2.1) corresponding to $\alpha = 2/(2-\beta)$, C is a constant,

$$\Gamma_\mu := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \gamma_m \text{ for } \mu \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \Gamma_{-1} := \Gamma_0 := \{\gamma_1\}.$$

Proof. Since $f \in \phi \Lambda BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ is bounded, we have $f \in L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$. For any $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$, consider

$$f_j \left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu} \right) = f \left(x + \frac{j\pi}{2^\mu} \right) - f \left(x + \frac{(j-1)\pi}{2^\mu} \right).$$

Then, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\hat{f}_j(m) = 2i\hat{f}(m) e^{im(j-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{2^{\mu+1}} \right).$$

By Parseval formula, we get

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{f}(m) \sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{2^{\mu+1}} \right) \right|^2 = O(\|f_j\|_2^2).$$

Since

$$\frac{\pi}{4} < \frac{|m|\pi}{2^{\mu+1}} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad |m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu, \quad (2.4)$$

we have

$$S_\mu := \sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} |\hat{f}(m)|^2 = O(\|f_j\|_2^2), \quad (2.5)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, 2^\mu$.

Suppose $r > 1$. Since

$$2 = \left(2 - \frac{p}{r}\right) + \frac{p}{r} = \frac{2s - p(s-1)}{s} + \frac{p}{r} = \frac{(2-p)s + p}{s} + \frac{p}{r},$$

applying Hölder's inequality on the right side of the inequality (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\mu &= O\left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left|f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)\right|^{(2-p)s+p} dx\right)^{1/s} \|f_j\|_p^{p/r}\right) \\ &= O\left(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}^{1/r} \|f_j\|_p^{p/r}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} = \left(\omega^{(2-p)s+p}\left(f; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)\right)^{2r-p}$. Thus,

$$S_\mu^r = O\left(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left|f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)\right|^p dx\right). \quad (2.6)$$

Since multiplying f by a positive constant changes $\omega^{(p)}\left(f; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)$ by the same constant, f is bounded, and $\phi \in \Delta_2$, we may assume that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus $\|f_j\|_\infty \leq 1$ and hence from equation (2.6), we get

$$S_\mu^r \leq C \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left|f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)\right| dx, \quad (2.7)$$

where constant C depends on f, r, s and p .

Since ϕ is convex on $[0, \infty)$ and $\phi(0) = 0$, for any $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $x > 0$ we have

$$\phi(\alpha x) = \phi(\alpha \cdot x + (1 - \alpha) \cdot 0) \leq \alpha \phi(x) + (1 - \alpha)\phi(0) = \alpha \phi(x). \quad (2.8)$$

Further, as $\phi(2x) \leq d\phi(x)$, for all $x \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\phi(ax) \leq d^{\log_2 a + 1} \phi(x) \quad (2.9)$$

for all $x \geq 0$ and for all $a \geq 1$. For, using induction on k we get

$$\phi(2^k x) \leq d^k \phi(x),$$

for all $x \geq 0$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, if $a \geq 1$ is any real number, choosing $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{k-1} \leq a < 2^k$, we get $0 < \frac{a}{2^k} < 1$. Therefore for all $x \geq 0$ we have

$$\phi(ax) = \phi\left(\frac{a}{2^k} \cdot 2^k x\right) \leq \frac{a}{2^k} \phi(2^k x) \leq \frac{a}{2^k} d^k \phi(x) < d^k \phi(x) \leq d^{\log_2 a + 1} \phi(x).$$

Since $C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \geq 0$, if $C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} < 1$ then from equation (2.7) and equation (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{S_\mu^r}{2\pi}\right) &\leq \phi\left(\frac{C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)| dx\right) \\ &\leq C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)| dx\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Further when $C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \geq 1$, in view of equation (2.7) and equation (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{S_\mu^r}{2\pi}\right) &\leq \phi\left(\frac{C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)| dx\right) \\ &\leq d^{\log_2(C'\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}})+1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)| dx\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where $C' = \frac{C}{2\pi}$. Now, in view of the formula $x^\alpha = 2^{\alpha \log_2 x}$ for $x > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\log_2(C'\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}})+1} &= d \cdot d^{\log_2 C'} \cdot d^{\log_2 \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}} = d \cdot d^{\log_2 C'} \cdot 2^{\left(\log_2 \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}\right)(\log_2 d)} \\ &= d \cdot d^{\log_2 C'} \cdot \left(2^{\log_2 \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}}\right)^{\log_2 d} = C'' \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}^{\log_2 d}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where $C'' = d \cdot d^{\log_2 C'}$. Now, using equation (2.12) in equation (2.11) and denoting the constant C'' by C itself, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{S_\mu^r}{2\pi}\right) &\leq C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}^{\log_2 d} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)| dx\right) \\ &= C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}^{\log_2 d-1} \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)| dx\right) \\ &\leq C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \phi\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)| dx\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

because of the fact that $\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}^{\log_2 d-1} \leq 1$, as $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\log_2 d - 1 \geq 0$. In either case, from (2.10) and (2.13), in view of Jensen's inequality for integral, we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{S_\mu^r}{2\pi}\right) \leq C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \phi\left(|f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)|\right) dx.$$

Multiplying both the sides of the above inequality by $\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$ and then summing over $j = 1$ to 2^μ , we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{S_\mu^r}{2\pi}\right) = O\left(\frac{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}}}{\Lambda_{2^\mu}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{j=1}^{2^\mu} \frac{\phi\left(|f_j\left(x; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}\right)|\right)}{\lambda_j} dx\right),$$

where $\Lambda_{2\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^{2\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$. Since $f \in \phi \Lambda BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2\mu} \frac{\phi \left(\left| f_j \left(x; \frac{\pi}{2\mu} \right) \right| \right)}{\lambda_j} = O(1)$$

and hence

$$S_\mu = O \left(\left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega \frac{\pi}{2\mu}}{\Lambda_{2\mu}} \right) \right)^{1/r} \right).$$

Since $1 = \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{2-\beta}{2}$, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\mu &:= \sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \gamma_m |\hat{f}(m)|^\beta \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} |\hat{f}(m)|^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \left(\sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \gamma_m^{2/(2-\beta)} \right)^{(2-\beta)/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega \frac{\pi}{2\mu}}{\Lambda_{2\mu}} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} \left(\sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \gamma_m^{2/(2-\beta)} \right)^{(2-\beta)/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Thus, for $\mu \geq 1$, in view of (2.1), with $\alpha = 2/(2-\beta)$, and (2.14), we get

$$R_\mu \leq C \kappa 2^{-\mu\beta/2} \Gamma_{\mu-1} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega \frac{\pi}{2\mu}}{\Lambda_{2\mu}} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}.$$

If $\mu = 0$, then from equation (2.14) it follows that

$$R_0 = \gamma_1 (|\hat{f}(-1)|^\beta + |\hat{f}(1)|^\beta) = O \left(\gamma_1 \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega \pi}{\frac{1}{\lambda_1}} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} \right).$$

Hence, for $r > 1$, the result follows from

$$\sum_{|m| \geq 1} \gamma_m |\hat{f}(m)|^\beta = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} R_\mu.$$

For the case $r = 1$, $s = \infty$, simply note that

$$\left| f_j \left(x; \frac{\pi}{2\mu} \right) \right|^2 \leq \left(\omega \left(f; \frac{\pi}{2\mu} \right) \right)^{2-p} \left| f_j \left(x; \frac{\pi}{2\mu} \right) \right|^p, \quad x \in \overline{\mathbb{T}},$$

and proceed as above from equation (2.6) onwards. \square

COROLLARY 2.2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, we have*

$$\sum_{|m| \geq 1} \gamma_m |\hat{f}(m)|^\beta \leq \kappa C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\beta/2} \gamma_m \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{m}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}.$$

In the case when $\{\gamma_m\} = \{1\}$, it follows from Corollary 2.2 that

$$\sum_{|m| \geq 1} |\hat{f}(m)|^\beta \leq \kappa C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\beta/2} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{m}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}.$$

This was proved in [8, Theorem 2, with $n_k = k$, for all k , p. 770].

Similarly, Corollary 2.2 reduces to the result concerning the absolute convergence of Fourier series of Schramm and Waterman [6, Theorem 2, p. 274] in the case when $\{\gamma_m\} = \{1\}$ and $\beta = 1$; and also reduces to the result proved in [12, Theorem 1, with $n_k = k$, for all k] in the case when $\{\gamma_m\} = \{1\}$, $\phi(x) = x$, $r = 1$ and $p = 1$.

3. Results for functions of two variables

For a complex valued function $f \in L^1(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$, where f is 2π -periodic in each variable, its double Fourier series is defined as

$$f(x, y) \sim \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m, n) e^{i(mx+ny)},$$

where the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}(m, n)$ are defined by

$$\hat{f}(m, n) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} f(x, y) e^{-i(mx+ny)} dx dy.$$

A double Fourier series of f is said to be β -absolute convergent if

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta < \infty,$$

where

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta = \sum_{|m| \geq 1} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(m, 0)|^\beta + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(0, n)|^\beta - |\hat{f}(0, 0)|^\beta. \quad (3.1)$$

In the special case, when $m = 0$ or $n = 0$, we write

$$\hat{f}(m, 0) = \hat{f}_1(m), \quad \text{where } f_1(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} f(x, y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}; \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\hat{f}(0, n) = \hat{f}_2(n), \quad \text{where } f_2(y) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}}} f(x, y) dx, \quad y \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (3.3)$$

We may write

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_1(m)|^\beta = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(m, 0)|^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_2(n)|^\beta = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(0, n)|^\beta.$$

Combining this with (3.1) gives

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta = \sum_{|m| \geq 1} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_1(m)|^\beta + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_2(n)|^\beta - |\hat{f}(0, 0)|^\beta.$$

Thus, the double Fourier series of f is β -absolute convergent if

$$\sum_{|m| \geq 1} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta < \infty, \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_1(m)|^\beta < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_2(n)|^\beta < \infty.$$

For $\beta = 1$, one gets the absolute convergence of the double Fourier series of f .

For a given $f \in L^p(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ ($p \geq 1$), the p -integral modulus of continuity of f is defined as

$$\omega^{(p)}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup_{\substack{h \in (0, \delta_1] \\ k \in (0, \delta_2]}} \|T_{h,k}f - T_{0,k}f - T_{h,0}f + f\|_p,$$

where $(T_{h,k}f)(x, y) = f(x+h, y+k)$ for all x and $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the L^p -norm over $\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2$.

For $p = \infty$, we omit writing p , one gets $\omega(f; \delta_1, \delta_2)$, the modulus of continuity of f .

For $I = [a, b]$ and $J = [c, d]$, define

$$f(I \times J) = f(b, d) - f(a, d) - f(b, c) + f(a, c).$$

A complex valued measurable function f defined on $\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2$ is said to be of (ϕ, ψ) - (Λ^1, Λ^2) -bounded variation (that is, $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$) if

$$V_{(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)(\phi, \psi)}(f, \overline{\mathbb{T}}^2) = \sup_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}} \left(\sum_j \frac{1}{\lambda_{2,j}} \psi \left(\sum_k \frac{\phi(|f(I_k \times J_j)|)}{\lambda_{1,k}} \right) \right) < \infty,$$

where $\Lambda^1 = \{\lambda_{1,n}\}$, $\Lambda^2 = \{\lambda_{2,n}\} \in \mathbb{L}$; functions ϕ and ψ are convex and strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$; and \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} are finite collections of non-overlapping subintervals $\{I_k\}$ and $\{J_j\}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ respectively.

Consider a function $f: \overline{\mathbb{T}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x, y) = g(x) + h(y)$, where g and h are any two arbitrary not necessarily bounded functions from $\overline{\mathbb{T}}$ into \mathbb{R} . Then $V_{(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)(\phi, \psi)}(f, \overline{\mathbb{T}}^2) = 0$. Thus, a function f with $V_{(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)(\phi, \psi)}(f, \overline{\mathbb{T}}^2) < \infty$ need not be bounded.

If $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ is such that the marginal functions $f(0, \cdot) \in \phi\Lambda^2BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ and $f(\cdot, 0) \in \phi\Lambda^1BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}})$ then f is said to be of (ϕ, ψ) - $(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*$ -bounded variation (that is, $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$).

Note that, for $\phi(x) = \psi(x) = x$ and $\Lambda^1 = \Lambda^2 = \{1\}$ classes $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ and $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ reduce to classes $BV_V(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ (the class of functions of bounded variation in the sense of Vitali (refer [5, p. 279] for the definition of $BV_V(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$)) and $BV_H(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ (the class of functions of bounded variation in the sense of Hardy (refer [5, p. 280] for the definition of $BV_H(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$)) respectively; for $\psi(x) = x$ classes $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ and $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ reduce to classes $\phi(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ [10, Definition 1. p. 1153] and $\phi(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ respectively; for $\psi(x) = x$ and $\phi(x) = x^p$ ($p \geq 1$) classes $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ and $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ reduce

to classes $(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV^{(p)}(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ [11, Definition 1.2, p. 28] and $(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV^{(p)}(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ respectively; for $\phi(x) = x^p$ ($p \geq 1$) and $\psi(x) = x^{q/p}$ ($q \geq p$) classes $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ and $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ reduce to classes $(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV^{(p,q)}(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ [2, Definition 2.1, p. 362] and $(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV^{(p,q)}(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ respectively; for $\phi(x) = \psi(x) = x$ classes $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ and $(\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ reduce to classes $(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ [1, Definition 2, p. 8] and $(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$ respectively.

Following the definition in [4], a double sequence $\gamma = \{\gamma_{mn}\} : (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}_+^2$ of nonnegative numbers belongs to the class \mathcal{A}_α for some $\alpha \geq 1$ if

$$\left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_\nu} \gamma_{mn}^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq \kappa 2^{(\mu+\nu)(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu-1}} \gamma_{mn} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $\mu, \nu \geq 0$, where \mathcal{D}_μ is as defined in (2.2) for $\mu \geq 0$.

For instance, if $\mu \geq 1$ and $\nu = 0$, then inequality (3.4) is of the form

$$\left(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \gamma_{m1}^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \leq \kappa 2^{\mu(1-\alpha)/\alpha} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu-1}} \gamma_{m1}.$$

It is easy to check that the inclusion (2.3) remain valid; and if a double sequence $\gamma = \{\gamma_{mn} \geq 0\}$ is such that

$$\max\{\gamma_{mn} : m \in \mathcal{D}_\mu, n \in \mathcal{D}_\nu\} \leq \kappa \min\{\gamma_{mn} : m \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu-1}, n \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu-1}\}, \quad (\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{N}^2,$$

where κ is a constant, then $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \geq 1$.

For convenience in writing, put

$$\gamma_{-m,n} = \gamma_{m,-n} = \gamma_{-m,-n} = \gamma_{m,n}, \quad (m,n) \in \mathbb{N}_+^2.$$

We prove the following results.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $\phi, \psi \in \Delta_2$, $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2) \cap L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$, $1 \leq p < 2r$, $1 \leq r < \infty$, and $\gamma = \{\gamma_{mn}\} \in \mathcal{A}_{2/(2-\beta)}$ for some $\beta \in (0, 2)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|m| \geq 1} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \gamma_{mn} |\hat{f}(m,n)|^\beta &\leq \kappa C \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(\mu+\nu)\beta/2} \Gamma_{\mu-1, \nu-1} \\ &\times \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_{2^\mu}^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_{2^\nu}^2} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, κ is from (3.4) corresponding to $\alpha = 2/(2-\beta)$,

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu} := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_\nu} \gamma_{mn} \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \geq -1, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\Gamma_{-1,\nu} := \Gamma_{0\nu}, \Gamma_{\mu,-1} := \Gamma_{\mu 0} \quad \text{for } \mu, \nu \geq 0, \quad \text{and } \Gamma_{-1,-1} := \Gamma_{00} = \{\gamma_{11}\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, consider

$$f_{jk} \left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) = f \left(\left[x + \frac{(j-1)\pi}{2^\mu}, x + \frac{j\pi}{2^\mu} \right] \times \left[y + \frac{(k-1)\pi}{2^\nu}, y + \frac{k\pi}{2^\nu} \right] \right).$$

Then, for each $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\widehat{f}_{jk}(m, n) = -4\widehat{f}(m, n) e^{im(j-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}} e^{in(k-\frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{2^\nu}} \sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{2^{\mu+1}} \right) \sin \left(\frac{n\pi}{2^{\nu+1}} \right).$$

Since $f \in L^2(\overline{\mathbb{T}^2})$, from Parseval formula, we get

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \widehat{f}(m, n) \sin \left(\frac{m\pi}{2^{\mu+1}} \right) \sin \left(\frac{n\pi}{2^{\nu+1}} \right) \right|^2 = O(\|f_{jk}\|_2^2).$$

In view of inequality (2.4) and an analogous inequality for $|n| \in \mathcal{D}_\nu$, we have

$$S_{\mu\nu} := \sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \sum_{|n| \in \mathcal{D}_\nu} |\widehat{f}(m, n)|^2 = O(\|f_{jk}\|_2^2), \quad (3.8)$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, 2^\mu$ and for all $k = 1, \dots, 2^\nu$.

Suppose $r > 1$. Since

$$2 = \frac{(2-p)s+p}{s} + \frac{p}{r},$$

applying Hölder's inequality on the right side of the inequality (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu\nu} &= O \left(\left(\int \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}^2}} \left| f_{jk} \left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) \right|^{(2-p)s+p} dx dy \right)^{1/s} \|f_{jk}\|_p^{p/r} \right) \\ &= O \left(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu}}^{1/r} \|f_{jk}\|_p^{p/r} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu}} = \left(\omega^{(2-p)s+p} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) \right)^{2r-p}$. Thus,

$$S_{\mu\nu}^r = O \left(\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu}} \int \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}^2}} \left| f_{jk} \left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) \right|^p dx dy \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Since multiplying f by a positive constant changes $\omega^{(p)} \left(f; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right)$ by the same constant, f is bounded, and $\phi \in \Delta_2$, we may assume that $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Thus $\|f_{jk}\|_\infty \leq 1$ and hence from equation (3.9), we get

$$S_{\mu\nu}^r \leq C \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu}} \int \int_{\overline{\mathbb{T}^2}} \left| f_{jk} \left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^\nu} \right) \right| dx dy, \quad (3.10)$$

where constant C depends on f, r, s and p .

Since $C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}} \geq 0$, if $C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}} < 1$ then from equation (3.10) and equation (2.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{S'_{\mu\nu}}{4\pi^2}\right) &\leq \phi\left(\frac{C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right| dx dy\right) \\ &\leq C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}} \phi\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right| dx dy\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Further when $C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}} \geq 1$, in view of equation (3.10) and equation (2.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{S'_{\mu\nu}}{4\pi^2}\right) &\leq \phi\left(\frac{C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right| dx dy\right) \\ &\leq d^{\log_2\left(C'\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}\right)+1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right| dx dy\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $C' = \frac{C}{4\pi^2}$. Since $d^{\log_2\left(C'\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}\right)+1} = C''\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}^{\log_2 d}$, where $C'' = d \cdot d^{\log_2 C'}$, and denoting the constant C'' by C itself, in view of equation (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{S'_{\mu\nu}}{4\pi^2}\right) &\leq C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}^{\log_2 d} \phi\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right| dx dy\right) \\ &= C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}^{\log_2 d-1} \Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}} \phi\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right| dx dy\right) \\ &\leq C\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}} \phi\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right| dx dy\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

because of the fact that $\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}^{\log_2 d-1} \leq 1$, as $\|f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{4}$ and $\log_2 d - 1 \geq 0$. In either case, from (3.11) and (3.13), in view of Jensen's inequality for integral, we have

$$\phi\left(\frac{S'_{\mu\nu}}{4\pi^2}\right) \leq C \frac{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \phi\left(\left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right|\right) dx dy.$$

Multiplying both the sides of the above inequality by $\frac{1}{\lambda_{1,j}}$ and then summing over $j = 1$ to 2^μ , we have

$$\frac{\Lambda_{2\mu}^1}{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}} \phi\left(\frac{S'_{\mu\nu}}{4\pi^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{j=1}^{2^\mu} \frac{\phi\left(\left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right|\right)}{\lambda_{1,j}} dx dy\right),$$

where $\Lambda_{2\mu}^1 = \sum_{j=1}^{2^\mu} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1,j}}$.

Again, using Jensen's inequality for integrals, we have

$$\Psi\left(\frac{\Lambda_{2\mu}^1}{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}}} \phi\left(\frac{S'_{\mu\nu}}{4\pi^2}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \Psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^\mu} \frac{\phi\left(\left|f_{jk}\left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}\right)\right|\right)}{\lambda_{1,j}}\right) dx dy\right).$$

Multiplying both the sides of the above inequality by $\frac{1}{\lambda_{2,k}}$ and then summing over $k = 1$ to 2^v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda_{2^v}^2 \psi \left(\frac{\Lambda_{2^\mu}^1}{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^v}}} \phi \left(\frac{S_{\mu\nu}^r}{4\pi^2} \right) \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \sum_{k=1}^{2^v} \frac{1}{\lambda_{2,k}} \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^\mu} \frac{\phi(|f_{jk}(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^v})|)}{\lambda_{1,j}} \right) dx dy \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_{2^v}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{2^v} \frac{1}{\lambda_{2,k}}$. Since $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}^2})$ implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2^v} \frac{1}{\lambda_{2,k}} \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^\mu} \frac{\phi(|f_{jk}(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^v})|)}{\lambda_{1,j}} \right) = O(1)$$

and hence

$$S_{\mu\nu} = O \left(\left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^v}}}{\Lambda_{2^\mu}^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_{2^v}^2} \right) \right) \right)^{1/r} \right).$$

Since $1 = \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{2-\beta}{2}$, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} &:= \sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \sum_{|n| \in \mathcal{D}_\nu} \gamma_{mn} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \sum_{|n| \in \mathcal{D}_\nu} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \left(\sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \sum_{|n| \in \mathcal{D}_\nu} \gamma_{mn}^{2/(2-\beta)} \right)^{(2-\beta)/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^v}}}{\Lambda_{2^\mu}^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_{2^v}^2} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} \left(\sum_{|m| \in \mathcal{D}_\mu} \sum_{|n| \in \mathcal{D}_\nu} \gamma_{mn}^{2/(2-\beta)} \right)^{(2-\beta)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Thus, for $\max\{\mu, \nu\} \geq 1$, in view of (3.4), with $\alpha = 2/(2-\beta)$, and (3.14), we get

$$R_{\mu\nu} \leq C\kappa 2^{-(\mu+\nu)\beta/2} \Gamma_{\mu-1, \nu-1} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2^v}}}{\Lambda_{2^\mu}^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_{2^v}^2} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}.$$

If $\mu = \nu = 0$, then from equation (3.14) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{00} &= \gamma_{11} (|\hat{f}(1, 1)|^\beta + |\hat{f}(-1, 1)|^\beta + |\hat{f}(1, -1)|^\beta + |\hat{f}(-1, -1)|^\beta) \\ &= O \left(\gamma_{11} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Omega_{\pi, \pi}}{\lambda_{1,1}} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{2,1}} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $r > 1$, the result follows from

$$\sum_{|m| \geq 1} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \gamma_{mn} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} R_{\mu\nu}.$$

For the case $r = 1$, $s = \infty$, simply note that

$$\left| f_{jk} \left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu} \right) \right|^2 \leq \left(\omega \left(f; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu} \right) \right)^{2-p} \left| f_{jk} \left(x, y; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu} \right) \right|^p, \quad (x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{T}}^2,$$

and proceed as above from equation (3.9) onwards. \square

LEMMA 3.2. *If $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2) * BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$, then f is bounded on $\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2$.*

Proof. For any $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2) * BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, y)| &\leq |f([0, x] \times [0, y])| + |f(0, y) - f(0, 0)| + |f(x, 0) - f(0, 0)| + |f(0, 0)| \\ &\leq \phi^{-1}(\lambda_{1,1} \psi^{-1}(\lambda_{2,1} V_{(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)(\phi, \psi)}(f, \overline{\mathbb{T}}^2))) + \phi^{-1}(\lambda_{2,1} V_{\Lambda_0^2}(f(0, \cdot), \overline{\mathbb{T}})) \\ &\quad + \phi^{-1}(\lambda_{1,1} V_{\Lambda_0^1}(f(\cdot, 0), \overline{\mathbb{T}})) + |f(0, 0)| \end{aligned}$$

implies f is bounded on $\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2$. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $\phi, \psi \in \Delta_2$ and a measurable $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2) * BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$, then (3.5) holds true, where $p, r, s, \gamma, \beta, \kappa, \alpha$ and Γ are as in Theorem 3.1.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.1. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{|m| \geq 1} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \gamma_{mn} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta \\ &\leq \kappa C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (mn)^{-\beta/2} \gamma_{mn} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_n^2} \right)) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. In case when $\mu, \nu \geq 1$, from (3.4) and (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{-(\mu+\nu)\beta/2} \Gamma_{\mu-1, \nu-1} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{2\mu}, \frac{\pi}{2\nu}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_{2\mu}^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_{2\nu}^2} \right)) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} \\ &\leq \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{D}_{\nu-1}} (mn)^{-\beta/2} \gamma_{mn} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_n^2} \right)) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $\mu \geq 1$ and $\nu = 0$, from (2.2) and (3.7) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-\mu\beta/2} \Gamma_{\mu-1,-1} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{2^\mu}, \pi))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_{2^\mu}^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{2,1}} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} \\ & \leq \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu-1}} m^{-\beta/2} \gamma_{m1} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{m}, \pi))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{2,1}} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $\mu = 0$ and $\nu \geq 1$, an analogous inequality holds; while in case $\mu = \nu = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{-1,-1} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \pi, \pi))^{2r-p}}{\lambda_{1,1}} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{2,1}} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} \\ & = \gamma_{11} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \pi, \pi))^{2r-p}}{\lambda_{1,1}} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{2,1}} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the corollary follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

COROLLARY 3.5. *Under the hypothesis of Corollary 3.3, the inequality (3.15) holds true.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.4. \square

Taking $\psi(x) = x$ in the Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 3.6. *If $\phi \in \Delta_2$ and $f \in \phi(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2) \cap L^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|m| \geq 1} \sum_{|n| \geq 1} \gamma_{mn} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta \\ & \leq \kappa C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (mn)^{-\beta/2} \gamma_{mn} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{m}, \frac{\pi}{n}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m^1 \Lambda_n^2} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r}, \quad (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

where $p, r, s, \gamma, \beta, \kappa$ and α are as in Theorem 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.7. *If $\phi \in \Delta_2$ and a measurable $f \in \phi(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\overline{\mathbb{T}}^2)$, then (3.16) holds true, where $p, r, s, \gamma, \beta, \kappa$ and α are as in Theorem 3.1.*

In the case when $\{\gamma_{mn}\} = \{1\}$, $\psi(x) = \phi(x) = x$, $r = 1$ and $p = 1$, Corollary 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 were proved in [9, Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 (i)].

Combining Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 3.4, or Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 3.6, we can easily find sufficient conditions imposed on f , f_1 and f_2 for the convergence of the double series

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma_{mn} |\hat{f}(m, n)|^\beta.$$

For $\{\gamma_{mn}\} = \{\gamma_m\} = \{\gamma_n\} = \{1\}$, combining Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 3.4, we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.8. *If $\phi, \psi \in \Delta_2$, a measurable $f \in (\phi, \psi)(\Lambda^1, \Lambda^2)^*BV(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $1 \leq p < 2r$, $1 \leq r < \infty$, $\beta \in (0, 2)$,*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (mn)^{-\beta/2} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f; \frac{\pi}{m}; \frac{\pi}{n}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m^1} \psi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_n^2} \right) \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} < \infty,$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\beta/2} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f_1; \frac{\pi}{m}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_m^1} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta/2} \left(\phi^{-1} \left(\frac{(\omega^{((2-p)s+p)}(f_2; \frac{\pi}{n}))^{2r-p}}{\Lambda_n^2} \right) \right)^{\beta/2r} < \infty,$$

where f_1 and f_2 are as defined in (3.2) and (3.3), respectively, and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, then the double Fourier series of f is β -absolute convergent.

Corollary 3.8 gives two dimensional analogue of one dimensional result proved in [8, Theorem 2, with $n_k = k$, for all k , p. 770] in the case when $\psi(x) = x$. Similarly, Corollary 3.8 gives two dimensional analogue of one dimensional result of Schramm and Waterman [6, Theorem 2, p. 274] in the case when $\psi(x) = x$ and $\beta = 1$; and also gives two dimensional analogue of one dimensional result proved in [12, Theorem 1, with $n_k = k$, for all k] in the case when $\phi(x) = \psi(x) = x$, $r = 1$ and $p = 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. N. BAKHVALOV, *Fourier coefficients of functions from many-dimensional classes of bounded Λ -variation*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bulletin, 66 (1) (2011), 8–16.
- [2] K. N. DARJI AND R. G. VYAS, *On weighted absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series of a function of $(p_1, \dots, p_N) - (\Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^N) - BV$* , Acta Math. Hungar., 156 (2) (2018), 361–371.
- [3] L. GOGOLADZE AND R. MESKHIA, *On the absolute convergence of trigonometric Fourier series*, Proc. Razmadze. Math. Inst., 141 (2006), 29–40.
- [4] F. MÓRICZ AND A. VERES, *Absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series revisited*, Anal. Math., 34 (2) (2008), 145–162.
- [5] F. MÓRICZ AND A. VERES, *On the absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series*, Acta Math. Hungar., 117 (3) (2007), 275–292.
- [6] M. SCHRAMM AND D. WATERMAN, *Absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of class $\Lambda BV^{(p)}$ and $\phi \Lambda BV$* , Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 40 (3–4) (1982), 273–276.
- [7] P. L. UL'YANOV, *Series with respect to a Haar system with monotone coefficients* (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat., 28 (1964), 925–950.
- [8] R. G. VYAS, *On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of $\Lambda BV^{(p)}$ and $\phi \Lambda BV$* , Georgian Math. J., 14 (4) (2007), 769–774.
- [9] R. G. VYAS AND K. N. DARJI, *On absolute convergence of multiple Fourier series*, Math. Notes, 94 (1) (2013), 71–81; Russian transl., Mat. Zametki, 94 (1) (2013), 81–93.
- [10] R. G. VYAS AND K. N. DARJI, *On multiple Fourier coefficients of functions of $\phi - \Lambda$ -bounded variation*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 17 (3) (2014), 1153–1160.

- [11] R. G. VYAS AND K. N. DARJI, *Order of magnitude of multiple Fourier coefficients*, Anal. Theory Appl., 29 (1) (2013), 27–36.
- [12] R. G. VYAS AND J. R. PATADIA, *On the absolute convergence of Fourier series of functions of generalized bounded variations*, J. Indian Math. Soc., 62, (1–4) (1996), 129–136.

(Received December 26, 2020)

K. N. Darji
Department of Science and Humanities,
Tatva Institute of Technological Studies
Modasa, Arvalli, Gujarat, India
e-mail: darjikiramsu@gmail.com

R. G. Vyas
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda
Vadodara, Gujarat, India
e-mail: drrgvyas@yahoo.com