

A PRIORI BOUNDS FOR ELLIPTIC OPERATORS IN WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES

SERENA BOCCIA, MARIA SALVATO AND MARIA TRANSIRICO

(Communicated by D. Žubrinić)

Abstract. This paper is concerning with the study of a class of weight functions and their properties. As an application, we prove some a priori bounds for a class of uniformly elliptic second order linear differential operators in weighted Sobolev spaces.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n (not necessarily bounded), $n \geq 3$. Assign in Ω the uniformly elliptic second order linear differential operator

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a. \quad (1.1)$$

The aim of this paper is to investigate about a new class of weight functions (introduced in [15]) and to obtain some a priori estimates for the operator L in weighted Sobolev spaces.

In particular, we are interested in the study of the functions $m : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in \Omega \\ |x-y| < d}} \frac{m(x)}{m(y)} < +\infty, \quad (1.2)$$

with $d \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Typical examples of such functions are:

$$m(x) = e^{t|x|}, \quad m(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^t, \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we study the multiplication operator

$$u \rightarrow gu \quad (1.3)$$

defined in a weighted Sobolev space and which takes values in a weighted Lebesgue space. We give conditions on g and Ω so that the operator defined by (1.3) is bounded and other ones in order to get its compactness.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35J25, 35B65, 35R05.

Keywords and phrases: Weight functions, weighted Sobolev spaces, elliptic operators, a priori bounds.

As an application, we obtain some a priori estimates for the operator L . We recall that when Ω is bounded, the problem of determining a priori bounds has been investigated by several authors under various hypotheses on the leading coefficients. It is worth to mention the results proved in [10], [7], [8], [16], [17], where the coefficients a_{ij} are required to be discontinuous. If the open set Ω is unbounded, a priori bounds are established in [12], [2] with analogous assumptions to those required in [10], while in [6], [3], [4], under similar hypotheses asked in [7], [8], the above estimates are obtained. In this paper, we extend some results of [7], [8] to a weighted case.

Actually, assuming that the coefficients a_{ij} are locally VMO and “close” at infinity to certain functions e_{ij} of class VMO , and supposing that the lower – order coefficients verify suitable regularity hypotheses and have a certain behaviour at the infinity, we get the following a priori bound:

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)} \right) \quad \forall u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$, Ω is sufficiently regular, $W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $\overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L_s^p(\Omega)$ are weighted Sobolev spaces in which the weight functions verify (1.2), $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is independent of u , and Ω_1 is a bounded open subset of Ω .

As a consequence of the above estimate we can say that the operator L has closed range and finite – dimensional kernel.

We wish to stress that an analogous estimate has been obtained in [5], in a different situation. Indeed, in [5] the open set Ω has singular boundary and the coefficients of the operator L are singular near a subset of $\partial\Omega$. Hence, in [5] the weight function goes to zero on such subset of $\partial\Omega$ and then also the weighted Sobolev spaces are different with respect to those considered in this paper.

2. Notation and function spaces

Let G be any Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $\Sigma(G)$ the collection of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of G . Let $F \in \Sigma(G)$ and $|F|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of F . Let χ_F be the characteristic function of F and $\mathcal{D}(F)$ the class of restrictions to F of functions $\zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\bar{F} \cap \text{supp } \zeta \subseteq F$. If $X(F)$ is a space of functions defined on F , $X_{\text{loc}}(F)$ denotes the class of all functions $g : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\zeta g \in X(F)$ for any $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}(F)$. Finally, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we put $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$, $B_r = B(0, r)$ and $F(x, r) = F \cap B(x, r)$. We now recall the definitions of the function spaces in which the coefficients of the operator are chosen. Indeed, if Ω has the property

$$|\Omega(x, r)| \geq A r^n \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall r \in]0, 1], \tag{2.1}$$

where A is a positive constant independent of x and r , then we can consider the space $BMO(\Omega, \tau)$ ($\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$) of functions $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$[g]_{BMO(\Omega, \tau)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0, \tau]}} \int_{\Omega(x, r)} |g - \int_{\Omega(x, r)} g| < +\infty,$$

with

$$\int_{\Omega(x,r)} g = |\Omega(x,r)|^{-1} \int_{\Omega(x,r)} g.$$

If $g \in BMO(\Omega) = BMO(\Omega, \tau_A)$, and

$$\tau_A = \sup \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0, \tau]}} \frac{r^n}{|\Omega(x,r)|} \leq \frac{1}{A} \right\},$$

we say that $g \in VMO(\Omega)$ if $[g]_{BMO(\Omega, \tau)} \rightarrow 0$ for $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$. A function

$$\eta[g] :]0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

is called a *modulus of continuity* of g in $VMO(\Omega)$ if

$$[g]_{BMO(\Omega, \tau)} \leq \eta[g](\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in]0, 1], \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \eta[g](\tau) = 0.$$

For $t \in [1, +\infty[$ and $\lambda \in [0, n[$, $M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of all functions g in $L^t_{loc}(\bar{\Omega})$ endowed with the following norm:

$$\|g\|_{M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \sup_{\substack{r \in]0, 1] \\ x \in \Omega}} r^{-\lambda/t} \|g\|_{L^t(\Omega(x,r))} < +\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Then we define $\tilde{M}^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ as the closure of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ in $M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ and $M^{t,\lambda}_o(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C^\infty_o(\Omega)$ in $M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$. In particular, we put $M^t(\Omega) = M^{t,0}(\Omega)$, $\tilde{M}^t(\Omega) = \tilde{M}^{t,0}(\Omega)$ and $M^t_o(\Omega) = M^{t,0}_o(\Omega)$. Recall that for a function $g \in M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ the following characterization holds:

$$g \in \tilde{M}^{t,\lambda}(\Omega) \iff \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} p_g(\tau) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$p_g(\tau) = \sup_{\substack{E \in \Sigma(\Omega) \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} |E(x,1)| \leq \tau}} \|\chi_E g\|_{M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Thus the *modulus of continuity* of $g \in \tilde{M}^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ is a function

$$\tilde{\sigma}[g] :]0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

such that

$$p_g(\tau) \leq \tilde{\sigma}[g](\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in]0, 1], \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{\sigma}[g](\tau) = 0.$$

Furthermore, if $g \in M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)$ then

$$g \in M^{t,\lambda}_o(\Omega) \iff \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} (p_g(\tau) + \|(1 - \zeta_{1/\tau})g\|_{M^{t,\lambda}(\Omega)}) = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

where $\zeta_r, r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is a function in $C^\infty_o(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$0 \leq \zeta_r \leq 1, \quad \zeta_r|_{B_r} = 1, \quad \text{supp } \zeta_r \subset B_{2r}.$$

Thus the *modulus of continuity* of $g \in M_{\circ}^{\lambda}(\Omega)$ is a function

$$\sigma_{\circ}[g] :]0, 1[\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$$

such that

$$p_g(\tau) + \|(1 - \zeta_{1/\tau})g\|_{M^{\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq \sigma_{\circ}[g](\tau) \quad \forall \tau \in]0, 1[, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_{\circ}[g](\tau) = 0.$$

A more detailed account of properties of the above defined function spaces can be found in [11], [13] and [14].

3. Weight functions

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $d \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ and $G_d(\Omega)$ the set of all measurable functions $m : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ |x-y| < d}} \frac{m(x)}{m(y)} < +\infty. \quad (3.1)$$

It is easy to verify that $m \in G_d(\Omega)$ if and only if there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that

$$\gamma^{-1}m(y) \leq m(x) \leq \gamma m(y) \quad \forall y \in \Omega, \quad \forall x \in \Omega(y, d), \quad (3.2)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ is independent of x and y .

Hence from (3.2) we get

$$m, m^{-1} \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $G(\Omega)$ be the class of weight functions defined as follows:

$$G(\Omega) = \bigcup_{d \in \mathbb{R}_{+}} G_d(\Omega).$$

Hence, if $m \in G(\Omega)$ then:

$$m^s \in G(\Omega), \quad \lambda m \in G(\Omega) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let m be a positive function defined on Ω . If $\log m \in \text{Lip}(\Omega)$ then $m \in G(\Omega)$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, there is a constant $L \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ such that for each $x, y \in \Omega$

$$|\log m(x) - \log m(y)| \leq L|x - y|. \quad (3.4)$$

For $x, y \in \Omega$ such that $|x - y| < d$ ($d \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$), from (3.4) we have

$$\left| \log \frac{m(x)}{m(y)} \right| \leq Ld \quad \forall y \in \Omega, \quad \forall x \in \Omega(y, d),$$

and then the claimed implication. \square

Examples of functions in $G(\Omega)$ are:

$$m(x) = e^{t|x|}, \quad m(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^t, \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *If $m \in G(\Omega)$ and Ω has the cone property, then there exists a function $\sigma \in G(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ such that*

$$c_1 m(x) \leq \sigma(x) \leq c_2 m(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|\partial^\alpha \sigma(x)|}{\sigma(x)} < +\infty \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \tag{3.6}$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are dependent only on n, Ω, m .

Proof. Since $m \in G(\Omega)$ then there exists a positive number d such that $m \in G_d(\Omega)$. Assume $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$g \geq 0, \quad g|_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1, \quad \text{supp } g \subset B_1$$

and

$$\sigma : x \in \Omega \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} m(y) g\left(\frac{x-y}{d}\right) dy.$$

Since

$$\sigma(x) = \int_{\Omega(x,d)} m(y) g\left(\frac{x-y}{d}\right) dy \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

using (3.2), it follows (3.5). Thus $\sigma \in G_d(\Omega)$.

Again by (3.2), for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $x \in \Omega$, we have:

$$|\partial^\alpha \sigma(x)| \leq \gamma m(x) d^{-|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega(x,d)} \left| g^{(|\alpha|)}\left(\frac{x-y}{d}\right) \right| dy \leq c_3 m(x),$$

where c_3 depends on n, Ω, m, α , and then (3.6) follows. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *If Ω has the property that there are $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x_0 \in \Omega \setminus B_{r_0}$ such that for every $x \in \Omega \setminus B_{r_0}$ $\overline{xx_0} \subset \Omega$, then for any $m \in G(\Omega)$ and for every $x \in \Omega$,*

$$c_0^{-1} e^{-c|x|} \leq m(x) \leq c_0 e^{c|x|},$$

where c and c_0 depend only on n, Ω and m .

Proof. Fix $x \in \Omega$. If $x \in \Omega \setminus B_{r_0}$ then $\overline{xx_0} \subset \Omega$ and by Lagrange's theorem, using (3.6), we have

$$|\log \sigma(x) - \log \sigma(x_0)| \leq c|x - x_0|, \tag{3.7}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on n, Ω, m . So, by an easy computation via (3.2), we have the result. Otherwise, if $x \in \Omega \cap B_{r_0}$, the result is obtained by (3.3). \square

If $m \in G(\Omega)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ be the space of distributions u on Ω such that $m^s \partial^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$, equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|m^s \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, denote by $\overset{\circ}{W}_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C^\infty_0(\Omega)$ in $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and put $W_s^{0,p}(\Omega) = L^p_s(\Omega)$.

From (3.6), by induction, we can deduce the following property of the function σ defined in Lemma 3.2:

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|\partial^\alpha \sigma^s(x)|}{\sigma^s(x)} < +\infty \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.9}$$

Now, by (3.9), we can easily deduce the following.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If Ω has the cone property, $m \in G(\Omega)$ and σ is the function defined in Lemma 3.2, then the map*

$$u \longrightarrow \sigma^s u$$

defines a topological isomorphism from $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ to $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ and from $\overset{\circ}{W}_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ to $\overset{\circ}{W}^{k,p}(\Omega)$.

A more detailed account of properties of the above defined spaces can be found, for instance, in [15].

4. Some embedding results

Let m be a function of class $G(\Omega)$. We consider the following condition:

(h_0) Ω has the cone property, $p \in]1, +\infty[$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, k, t are numbers such that:

$$k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \geq p, \quad t \geq \frac{n}{k}, \quad t > p \text{ if } p = \frac{n}{k}, \quad g \in M^t(\Omega).$$

By Theorem 3.1 of [9] we easily obtain the following.

THEOREM 4.1. *If the assumption (h_0) holds, then for any $u \in W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ we have $gu \in L^p_s(\Omega)$ and*

$$\|gu\|_{L^p_s(\Omega)} \leq c \|g\|_{M^t(\Omega)} \|u\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)}, \tag{4.1}$$

with c dependent only on Ω, n, k, p and t .

COROLLARY 4.2. *If the assumption (h_0) holds and $g \in \tilde{M}^t(\Omega)$, then for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exists a constant $c(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

$$\|gu\|_{L^p_s(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} + c(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L^p_s(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in W_s^{k,p}(\Omega), \tag{4.2}$$

where $c(\varepsilon)$ depends only on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, k, p, t, \tilde{\sigma}[g]$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let c be the constant in (4.1). Since $g \in \tilde{M}^t(\Omega)$, then there exists $g_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|g - g_\varepsilon\|_{M^t(\Omega)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$. By Theorem 4.1

$$\|gu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq c \|g - g_\varepsilon\|_{M^t(\Omega)} \|u\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} + \|g_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}$$

for any u in $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$, and then the result follows. \square

COROLLARY 4.3. *If the assumption (h_0) holds and $g \in M_\circ^t(\Omega)$, then for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist a constant $c(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset\subset \Omega$ with the cone property such that*

$$\|gu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} + c(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \quad \forall u \in W_s^{k,p}(\Omega), \tag{4.3}$$

where $c(\varepsilon)$ and Ω_ε depend only on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, k, p, m, s, t, \sigma_\circ[g]$.

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let c be the constant in (4.1). Since $g \in M_\circ^t(\Omega)$, there exists $g_\varepsilon \in C_\circ^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|g - g_\varepsilon\|_{M^t(\Omega)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{c}$. Let Ω_ε be a bounded open subset of Ω , with the cone property, such that $\text{supp } g_\varepsilon \subset \Omega_\varepsilon$, hence by Theorem 4.1 and (3.3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|gu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} &\leq c \|g - g_\varepsilon\|_{M^t(\Omega)} \|u\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} + \|g_\varepsilon u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} + \|g_\varepsilon m^s\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for any u in $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$, and then we have the result. \square

THEOREM 4.4. *If the assumption (h_0) holds and $g \in M_\circ^t(\Omega)$, then the operator*

$$u \in W_s^{k,p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow gu \in L_s^p(\Omega) \tag{4.5}$$

is compact.

Proof. Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions which weakly converges to zero in $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$. Therefore there exists $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\|u_n\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} \leq b$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, from Corollary 4.3, there exist $c(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset\subset \Omega$ with the cone property such that

$$\|gu_n\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b} \|u_n\|_{W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)} + c(\varepsilon) \|u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $W_s^{k,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{k,p}(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, we obtain the result from a well-known compact embedding theorem. \square

5. A priori estimates

Assume that Ω is an unbounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$, with the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property, $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider in Ω the differential operator

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a, \tag{5.1}$$

with the following conditions on the coefficients:

$$(h_1) \quad \begin{cases} a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap VMO_{loc}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \exists v > 0 : \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq v |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

there exist functions $e_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n, g$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$(h_2) \quad \begin{cases} e_{ij} = e_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap VMO(\Omega), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq \mu |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ g \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|e_{ij} - g a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \setminus B_r)} = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(h_3) \quad a_i \in \tilde{M}^{t_1}(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad a \in \tilde{M}^{t_2}(\Omega),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 &\geq p, \quad t_1 \geq n, \quad t_1 > p \quad \text{if } p = n, \\ t_2 &\geq p, \quad t_2 \geq n/2, \quad t_2 > p \quad \text{if } p = n/2. \end{aligned}$$

Under assumptions $(h_1) - (h_3)$, by Theorem 4.1, the operator $L : W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_s^p(\Omega)$ is bounded.

Let

$$L_0 = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

THEOREM 5.1. *Suppose that assumptions $(h_1), (h_2)$ and (h_3) hold. Then there exist $r_0, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that:*

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c (\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}) \quad \forall u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where c depends only on $n, p, t_1, t_2, \Omega, v, \mu, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \eta[\zeta_{2r_0} a_{ij}], \eta[e_{ij}], \tilde{\sigma}[a_i], \tilde{\sigma}[a], m, s$, and r_0 depends only on $n, p, \Omega, \mu, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \eta[e_{ij}]$.

Proof. Let $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.4 we have that

$$\sigma^s u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Then, by Theorem 3.1 of [3], there exist r_0 and $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\|\sigma^s u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_0 \left(\|L_0(\sigma^s u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\sigma^s u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right), \tag{5.2}$$

where c_0 depends on $n, p, \Omega, \nu, \mu, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \eta[\zeta_{2r_0} a_{ij}], \eta[e_{ij}]$, and r_0 depends on $n, p, \Omega, \mu, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \eta[e_{ij}]$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(\sigma^s u) &= \sigma^s Lu - s(s-1)\sigma^{s-2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \sigma_{x_i} \sigma_{x_j} u - 2s\sigma^{s-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \sigma_{x_i} u_{x_j} \\ &\quad - s\sigma^{s-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \sigma_{x_i x_j} u - \sigma^s \sum_{i=1}^n a_i u_{x_i} - \sigma^s au, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

from (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma^s u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} &\leq c_1 \left(\|\sigma^s Lu\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\sigma^s u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\sigma^{s-2} \sigma_{x_i} \sigma_{x_j} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\sigma^{s-1} \sigma_{x_i} u_{x_j}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\sigma^{s-1} \sigma_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \|\sigma^s a_i u_{x_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\sigma^s au\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where c_1 depends on the same parameters as c_0 and on s .

By Theorem 4.7 of [1], for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have:

$$\|u_{x_i}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \left(\|u_{xx}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \right), \tag{5.5}$$

where c_2 depends on Ω, m, n, p .

Moreover, from Corollary 4.2, for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ there exist $c_1(\varepsilon), c_2(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that:

$$\|a_i u_{x_i}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_1(\varepsilon) \|u_{x_i}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\|au\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_2(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $c_1(\varepsilon)$ depends on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, p, t_1, \tilde{\sigma}[a_i]$ and $c_2(\varepsilon)$ depends on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, p, t_2, \tilde{\sigma}[a]$.

From (5.4)–(5.7), Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} &\leq c_3 \left(\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \right) \\ &\quad + c_3(\varepsilon) \left(\|u_{xx}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

where c_3 depends on the same parameters as c_0 and on s, m , and $c_3(\varepsilon)$ depends on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, p, t_1, t_2, \tilde{\sigma}[a_i], \tilde{\sigma}[a]$.

For $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2c_3}$, from (5.8) we have

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_4 \left(\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u_{xx}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \tag{5.9}$$

where c_4 depends on the same parameters as c_3 and on $t_1, t_2, \tilde{\sigma}[a_i], \tilde{\sigma}[a]$.

Using Young’s inequality and (5.9), we get the result. \square

Add the following assumptions on the coefficients of L and on the weight function:

$$(h_4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (e_{ij})_{x_h} \in M_o^{t, n-t}(\Omega), \text{ with } t \in]2, n], \ i, j, h = 1, \dots, n, \\ a_i \in M_o^{t_1}(\Omega), \ i = 1, \dots, n, \\ a = a' + b, \ a' \in M_o^{t_2}(\Omega), \ b \in L^\infty(\Omega), \ b_0 = \text{ess\,inf}_\Omega b > 0, \\ g_0 = \text{ess\,inf}_\Omega g > 0, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sigma_x + \sigma_{xx}}{\sigma} = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where t_1 and t_2 are defined as in (h_3) .

THEOREM 5.2. *Suppose that assumptions $(h_1), (h_2)$ and (h_4) hold. Then there are a real positive number c and a bounded open $\Omega_1 \subset \subset \Omega$ with the cone property such that:*

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)} \right) \quad \forall u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where c and Ω_1 are dependent only on $n, p, \Omega, \nu, \mu, g_0, b_0, t, t_1, t_2, m, s, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \eta[\zeta_{2r_0} a_{ij}], \sigma_0[(e_{ij})_x], \sigma_0[a_i], \sigma_0[a']$.

Proof. Let $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_s^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.4 we have that

$$\sigma^s u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Applying Theorem 3.3 of [4] to the operator $L_0 + b$, we have that there exist a real number $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and an open bounded subset $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with the cone property such that

$$\|\sigma^s u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_0 \left(\|(L_0 + b)(\sigma^s u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\sigma^s u\|_{L^p(\Omega_0)} \right),$$

where c_0 and Ω_0 are dependent on $n, p, \Omega, \nu, \mu, g_0, b_0, t, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \eta[\zeta_{2r_0} a_{ij}], \sigma_0[(e_{ij})_x]$, and r_0 depends on $n, p, \Omega, \mu, g_0, b_0, t, \|e_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \|b\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \sigma_0[(e_{ij})_x]$.

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} &\leq c_1 \left(\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_0)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\sigma^{s-2} \sigma_{x_i} \sigma_{x_j} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\sigma^{s-1} \sigma_{x_i} u_{x_j}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\sigma^{s-1} \sigma_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i u_{x_i}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|a' u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

where c_1 depends on the same parameters as c_0 and on m, s .

From Corollary 4.3 and (1.6) of [11] it follows that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ there exist $c_1(\varepsilon), c_2(\varepsilon), c_3(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and some bounded open subsets $\Omega_1(\varepsilon) \subset\subset \Omega, \Omega_2(\varepsilon) \subset\subset \Omega, \Omega_3(\varepsilon) \subset\subset \Omega$ with the cone property such that

$$\|\sigma^{s-2} \sigma_{x_i} \sigma_{x_j} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_1(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1(\varepsilon))}, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\|\sigma^{s-1} \sigma_{x_i} u_{x_j}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_2(\varepsilon) \|u_{x_j}\|_{L^p(\Omega_2(\varepsilon))}, \tag{5.12}$$

$$\|\sigma^{s-1} \sigma_{x_i x_j} u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_3(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_3(\varepsilon))}, \tag{5.13}$$

where $c_1(\varepsilon), c_2(\varepsilon), c_3(\varepsilon), \Omega_1(\varepsilon), \Omega_2(\varepsilon), \Omega_3(\varepsilon)$ are dependent on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, p, m, s$.

Using again Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.7 of [1] we have that there exist $c_4(\varepsilon), c_5(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and bounded open sets $\Omega_4(\varepsilon) \subset\subset \Omega, \Omega_5(\varepsilon) \subset\subset \Omega$ with the cone property such that:

$$\|a_i u_{x_i}\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_4(\varepsilon) \|u_{x_i}\|_{L^p(\Omega_4(\varepsilon))} \tag{5.14}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_4(\varepsilon) \left(\|u_{xx}\|_{L^p(\Omega_4(\varepsilon))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_4(\varepsilon))}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_4(\varepsilon))} \right),$$

$$\|a' u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c_5(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_5(\varepsilon))}, \tag{5.15}$$

where $c_4(\varepsilon)$ and $\Omega_4(\varepsilon)$ depend on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, p, m, s, t_1, \sigma_0[a_i]$, and $c_5(\varepsilon)$ and $\Omega_5(\varepsilon)$ depend on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, p, m, s, t_2, \sigma_0[a']$.

From (5.10)–(5.15) and Young’s inequality we have the result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. BOCCIA, L. CASO, *Interpolation inequalities in weighted Sobolev spaces*, J. Math. Inequal. **2** (2008), 309–322.
- [2] L. CASO, P. CAVALIERE, M. TRANSIRICO, *Solvability of the Dirichlet problem in $W^{2,p}$ for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains*, Matematiche (Catania) **57** (2002), 287–302.
- [3] L. CASO, P. CAVALIERE, M. TRANSIRICO, *Uniqueness results for elliptic equations with VMO-coefficients*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **13** (2004), 499–512.
- [4] L. CASO, P. CAVALIERE, M. TRANSIRICO, *An existence result for elliptic equations with VMO-coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325** (2007), 1095–1102.

- [5] L. CASO, M. TRANSIRICO, *A priori estimates for elliptic equations in weighted Sobolev spaces*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **13**, 3 (2010), 655–666.
- [6] P. CAVALIERE, M. LONGOBARDI, A. VITOLO, *Imbedding estimates and elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains*, Le Matematiche **51** (1996), 87–104.
- [7] F. CHIARENZA, M. FRASCA, P. LONGO, *Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for non divergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ricerche Mat. **40** (1991), 149–168.
- [8] F. CHIARENZA, M. FRASCA, P. LONGO, *$W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **336** (1993), 841–853.
- [9] A. V. GLUSHAK, M. TRANSIRICO, M. TROISI, *Teoremi di immersione ed equazioni ellittiche in aperti non limitati*, Rend. Mat. (7) **9** (1989), 113–130.
- [10] C. MIRANDA, *Sulle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine a coefficienti discontinui*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **63** (1963), 353–386.
- [11] M. TRANSIRICO, M. TROISI, *Equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale in aperti non limitati*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **152** (1988), 209–226.
- [12] M. TRANSIRICO, M. TROISI, *Ulteriori contributi allo studio delle equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine in aperti non limitati*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7) **4-B** (1990), 679–691.
- [13] M. TRANSIRICO, M. TROISI, A. VITOLO, *Spaces of Morrey type and elliptic equations in divergence form on unbounded domains*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (7) **9-B** (1995), 153–174.
- [14] M. TRANSIRICO, M. TROISI, A. VITOLO, *BMO spaces on domains of \mathbb{R}^n* , Ricerche Mat. **45** (1996), 355–378.
- [15] M. TROISI, *Su una classe di spazi di Sobolev con peso*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. **10** (1986), 177–189.
- [16] C. VITANZA, *$W^{2,p}$ -regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Matematiche (Catania) **47** (1992), 177–186.
- [17] C. VITANZA, *A new contribution to the $W^{2,p}$ -regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Matematiche (Catania) **48** (1993), 287–296.

(Received April 9, 2010)

S. Boccia
 Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Salerno
 Via Ponte Don Melillo
 I 84084 Fisciano (SA)
 Italy
 e-mail: seboccia@unisa.it

M. Salvato
 Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Salerno
 Via Ponte Don Melillo
 I 84084 Fisciano (SA)
 Italy
 e-mail: msalvato@unisa.it

M. Transirico
 Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Salerno
 Via Ponte Don Melillo
 I 84084 Fisciano (SA)
 Italy
 e-mail: mtransirico@unisa.it