

MINKOWSKI AND BECKENBACH—DRESHER INEQUALITIES AND FUNCTIONALS ON TIME SCALES

RABIA BIBI, MARTIN BOHNER, JOSIP PEČARIĆ AND SANJA VAROŠANEC

(Communicated by A. Peterson)

Abstract. We obtain integral forms of the Minkowski inequality and Beckenbach–Dresher inequality on time scales. Also, we investigate a converse of Minkowski’s inequality and several functionals arising from the Minkowski inequality and the Beckenbach–Dresher inequality.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

A time scale \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real numbers. The theory of time scales was introduced by Stefan Hilger [6] in order to unify the theory of difference equations and the theory of differential equations. For an introduction to the theory of dynamic equations on time scales, we refer to [2, 7]. Martin Bohner and Gusein Sh. Guseinov [3, 4] defined the multiple Riemann and multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales and compared the Lebesgue Δ -integral with the Riemann Δ -integral.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let \mathbb{T}_i denote a time scale and

$$\Lambda^n = \mathbb{T}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{T}_n = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) : t_i \in \mathbb{T}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

an n -dimensional time scale. Let μ_Δ be the σ -additive Lebesgue Δ -measure on Λ^n and \mathcal{F} be the family of Δ -measurable subsets of Λ^n . Let $E \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu_\Delta)$ be a time scale measure space. Then for a Δ -measurable function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding Δ -integral of f over E will be denoted according to [4, (3.18)] by

$$\int_E f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \Delta_1 t_1 \dots \Delta_n t_n, \quad \int_E f(t) \Delta t, \quad \int_E f d\mu_\Delta, \quad \text{or} \quad \int_E f(t) d\mu_\Delta(t).$$

By [4, Section 3], all theorems of the general Lebesgue integration theory, including the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, hold also for Lebesgue Δ -integrals on Λ^n . Here we state Fubini’s theorem for integrals on time scales. It is used in the proofs of our main results.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D15, 34N05, 28A25.

Keywords and phrases: Integration, time scale, Minkowski inequality, Beckenbach–Dresher inequality, subadditive, superadditive, Minkowski functional, Beckenbach–Dresher functional.

The research of the third and fourth author is supported by the Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of the Republic of Croatia under grants 058-1170889-1050 and 117-1170889-0888.

THEOREM 1.1. (Fubini’s theorem) *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu_\Delta)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \nu_\Delta)$ be two finite-dimensional time scale measure spaces. If $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Δ -integrable function and if we define the functions*

$$\varphi(y) = \int_X f(x, y) d\mu_\Delta(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } y \in Y$$

and

$$\psi(x) = \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu_\Delta(y) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in X,$$

then φ is Δ -integrable on Y and ψ is Δ -integrable on X and

$$\int_X d\mu_\Delta(x) \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu_\Delta(y) = \int_Y d\nu_\Delta(y) \int_X f(x, y) d\mu_\Delta(x). \tag{1.1}$$

Some classical inequalities, including Jensen’s inequality, Hölder’s inequality, Minkowski’s inequality and their converses for multiple integration on time scales were investigated in [1]. These inequalities hold for both Riemann integrals and Lebesgue integrals on time scales. For completeness, let us recall these inequalities from [1].

THEOREM 1.2. (Jensen’s inequality [1, Theorem 4.2]) *Assume $\Phi \in C(I, \mathbb{R})$ is convex, where $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval. Let $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu_\Delta)$ be a time scale measure space and suppose f is Δ -integrable on E such that $f(E) = I$. Moreover, let $h : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be nonnegative Δ -integrable such that $\int_E h d\mu_\Delta > 0$. Then*

$$\Phi \left(\frac{\int_E f(t)h(t) d\mu_\Delta(t)}{\int_E h(t) d\mu_\Delta(t)} \right) \leq \frac{\int_E \Phi(f(t))h(t) d\mu_\Delta(t)}{\int_E h(t) d\mu_\Delta(t)}. \tag{1.2}$$

If Φ is concave, then (1.2) is reversed.

THEOREM 1.3. (Hölder’s inequality [1, Theorem 6.2]) *For $p \neq 1$, define $q = p/(p - 1)$. Let $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu_\Delta)$ be a time scale measure space. Assume w, f, g are nonnegative functions such that wf^p, wg^q, wfg are Δ -integrable on E . If $p > 1$, then*

$$\int_E w(t)f(t)g(t) d\mu_\Delta(t) \leq \left(\int_E w(t)f^p(t) d\mu_\Delta(t) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_E w(t)g^q(t) d\mu_\Delta(t) \right)^{1/q}. \tag{1.3}$$

If $0 < p < 1$ and $\int_E wg^q d\mu_\Delta > 0$, or if $p < 0$ and $\int_E wf^p d\mu_\Delta > 0$, then (1.3) is reversed.

THEOREM 1.4. (Minkowski’s inequality [1, Theorem 7.2]) *Let $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu_\Delta)$ be a time scale measure space. For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, assume w, f, g , are nonnegative functions such that $wf^p, wg^p, w(f + g)^p$ are Δ -integrable on E . If $p \geq 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_E w(t)(f(t) + g(t))^p d\mu_\Delta(t) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_E w(t)f^p(t) d\mu_\Delta(t) \right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_E w(t)g^p(t) d\mu_\Delta(t) \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

If $0 < p < 1$ or $p < 0$, then (1.4) is reversed provided each of the two terms on the right-hand side is positive.

THEOREM 1.5. (Converse of Hölder’s inequality [1, Theorem 11.3]) For $p \neq 1$, define $q = p/(p - 1)$. Let $(E, \mathcal{F}, \mu_\Delta)$ be a time scale measure space. Assume w, f, g are nonnegative functions such that wf^p, wg^q, wfg are Δ -integrable on E . Suppose

$$0 < m \leq f(t)g^{-q/p}(t) \leq M \quad \text{for all } t \in E.$$

If $p > 1$, then

$$\int_E w(t)f(t)g(t)d\mu_\Delta(t) \geq K(p, m, M) \left(\int_E w(t)f^p(t)d\mu_\Delta(t) \right)^{1/p} \times \left(\int_E w(t)g^q(t)d\mu_\Delta(t) \right)^{1/q}, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$K(p, m, M) = |p|^{1/p}|q|^{1/q} \frac{(M - m)^{1/p}|mM^p - Mm^p|^{1/q}}{|M^p - m^p|}. \tag{1.6}$$

If $0 < p < 1$ or $p < 0$, then (1.5) is reversed provided either $\int_E wg^q d\mu_\Delta > 0$ or $\int_E wf^p d\mu_\Delta > 0$.

2. Minkowski inequalities

Theorem 1.4 also holds if we have a finite number of functions. The next theorem gives an inequality of Minkowski type for infinitely many functions. In the sequel, we assume that all occurring integrals are finite.

THEOREM 2.1. (Integral Minkowski inequality) Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu_\Delta)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \nu_\Delta)$ be time scale measure spaces and let u, v , and f be nonnegative functions on X, Y , and $X \times Y$, respectively. If $p \geq 1$, then

$$\left[\int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \tag{2.1}$$

holds provided all integrals in (2.1) exists. If $0 < p < 1$ and

$$\int_X \left(\int_Y f v d\nu_\Delta \right)^p u d\mu_\Delta > 0, \quad \int_Y f v d\nu_\Delta > 0 \tag{2.2}$$

holds, then (2.1) is reversed. If $p < 0$ and (2.2) and

$$\int_X f^p u d\mu_\Delta > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

hold, then (2.1) is reversed as well.

Proof. Let $p \geq 1$. Put

$$H(x) = \int_Y f(x, y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y).$$

Now, by using Fubini's theorem (Theorem 1.1) and Hölder's inequality (Theorem 1.3) on time scales, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X H^p(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) &= \int_X H(x)H^{p-1}(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \\ &= \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \right) H^{p-1}(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \\ &= \int_Y \left(\int_X f(x, y)H^{p-1}(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right) v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \\ &\leq \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_X H^p(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \\ &= \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \left(\int_X H^p(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left(\int_X H^p(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y).$$

For $p < 0$ and $0 < p < 1$, the corresponding results can be obtained similarly. \square

THEOREM 2.2. (Converse of integral Minkowski inequality) *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu_\Delta)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \nu_\Delta)$ be time scale measure spaces and let u , v , and f be nonnegative functions on X , Y , and $X \times Y$, respectively. Suppose*

$$0 < m \leq \frac{f(x, y)}{\int_Y f(x, y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y)} \leq M \quad \text{for all } x \in X, y \in Y.$$

If $p \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \geq K(p, m, M) \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

provided all integrals in (2.4) exist, where $K(p, m, M)$ is defined by (1.6). If $0 < p < 1$ and (2.2) holds, then (2.4) is reversed. If $p < 0$ and (2.2) and (2.3) hold, then (2.4) is reversed as well.

Proof. Let $p \geq 1$. Put

$$H(x) = \int_Y f(x, y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y).$$

Then by using Fubini’s theorem (Theorem 1.1) and the converse Hölder inequality (Theorem 1.5) on time scales, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X H^p(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) &= \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \right) H^{p-1}(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \\ &= \int_Y \left(\int_X f(x, y)H^{p-1}(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right) v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \\ &\geq K(p, m, M) \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_X H^p(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y). \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $(\int_X H^p(x)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x))^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$, we obtain (2.4). For $0 < p < 1$ and $p < 0$, the corresponding results can be obtained similarly. \square

Let the functions f, u, v be defined as in Theorem 2.1. Now we define the r th power mean $M^{[r]}(f, \mu_\Delta)$ of the function f with respect to the measure μ_Δ by

$$M^{[r]}(f, \mu_\Delta) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\int_X f^r(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)}{\int_X u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} & \text{if } r \neq 0, \\ \exp \left(\frac{\int_X \log f(x, y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)}{\int_X u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)} \right) & \text{if } r = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\int_X u d\mu_\Delta > 0$.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $0 < s \leq r$. Then*

$$M^{[r]}(M^{[s]}(f, d\nu_\Delta), d\mu_\Delta) \geq K \left(\frac{r}{s}, m, M \right) M^{[s]}(M^{[r]}(f, d\mu_\Delta), d\nu_\Delta).$$

Proof. By putting $p = r/s$ and replacing f by f^s in (2.4), raising to the power of $\frac{1}{s}$ and dividing by

$$\left(\int_X u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\int_Y v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \right)^{\frac{1}{s}},$$

we get the above result. \square

3. Minkowski functionals

In this section, we will consider some functionals which arise from the Minkowski inequality. Similar results (but not for time scales measure spaces) can be found in [8].

Let f and v be fixed functions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Let us consider the functional M_1 defined by

$$M_1(u) = \left[\int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y) u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p - \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y) v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x),$$

where u is a nonnegative function on X such that all occurring integrals exist. Also, if we fix the functions f and u , then we can consider the functional

$$M_2(v) = \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y) u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) - \left[\int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y) v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where v is a nonnegative function on Y such that all occurring integrals exist.

REMARK 3.1. (i) It is obvious that M_1 and M_2 are positive homogeneous, i.e., $M_1(au) = aM_1(u)$, and $M_2(av) = aM_2(v)$, for any $a > 0$.

(ii) If $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, then $M_1(u) \geq 0$, and if $0 < p < 1$, then $M_1(u) \leq 0$.

(iii) If $p \geq 1$, then $M_2(v) \geq 0$, and if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then $M_2(v) \leq 0$.

THEOREM 3.2. (i) If $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, then M_1 is superadditive. If $0 < p < 1$, then M_1 is subadditive.

(ii) If $p \geq 1$, then M_2 is superadditive. If $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then M_2 is subadditive.

(iii) Suppose u_1 and u_2 are nonnegative functions such that $u_2 \geq u_1$. If $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, then

$$0 \leq M_1(u_1) \leq M_1(u_2), \quad (3.1)$$

and if $0 < p < 1$, then (3.1) is reversed.

(iv) Suppose v_1 and v_2 are nonnegative functions such that $v_2 \geq v_1$. If $p \geq 1$, then

$$0 \leq M_2(v_1) \leq M_2(v_2), \quad (3.2)$$

and if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then (3.2) is reversed.

Proof. First we show (i). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M_1(u_1 + u_2) - M_1(u_1) - M_1(u_2) \\
 &= \left[\int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)(u_1 + u_2)(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p \\
 &\quad - \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p (u_1 + u_2)(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \\
 &\quad - \left[\int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u_1(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p \\
 &\quad + \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u_1(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \\
 &\quad - \left[\int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u_2(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p \\
 &\quad + \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u_2(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \\
 &= \left[\int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)(u_1 + u_2)(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p \\
 &\quad - \left[\int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u_1(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p \\
 &\quad - \left[\int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x, y)u_2(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Minkowski inequality (1.4) for integrals (Theorem 1.4) with p replaced by $1/p$, we have

$$M_1(u_1 + u_2) - M_1(u_1) - M_1(u_2) \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } p \geq 1 \text{ or } p < 0, \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } 0 < p \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

So, M_1 is superadditive for $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, and it is subadditive for $0 < p \leq 1$. The proof of (ii) is similar: After a simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M_2(v_1 + v_2) - M_2(v_1) - M_2(v_2) \\
 &= \left[\int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v_1(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad + \left[\int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)v_2(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad - \left[\int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y)(v_1 + v_2)(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the Minkowski inequality (2.1) for integrals (Theorem 2.1), we have that this is nonnegative for $p \geq 1$ and nonpositive for $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$. Now we show (iii). If $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, then using superadditivity and positivity of M_1 , $u_2 \geq u_1$ implies

$$M_1(u_2) = M_1(u_1 + (u_2 - u_1)) \geq M_1(u_1) + M_1(u_2 - u_1) \geq M_1(u_1),$$

and the proof of (3.1) is established. If $0 < p < 1$, then using subadditivity and negativity of M_1 , $u_2 \geq u_1$ implies

$$M_1(u_2) \leq M_1(u_1) + M_1(u_2 - u_1) \leq M_1(u_1).$$

The proof of (iv) is similar. \square

REMARK 3.3. From Theorem 3.2, we obtain a refinement of the discrete Minkowski inequality given in [8]. Namely, put $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and let u be Δ -measurable on X and v_1 and v_2 be Δ -measurable on Y such that $u(i) = u_i \geq 0$, $i \in X$, $v_1(j) = n_j \geq 0$, $v_2(j) = p_j \geq 0$, $j \in Y$. Then, for fixed f and u , the function M_2 has the form

$$M_2(v_1) = \sum_{j \in Y} n_j \left(\sum_{i \in X} u_i a_{ij}^p \right)^{1/p} - \left(\sum_{i \in X} u_i \left(\sum_{j \in Y} n_j a_{ij} \right)^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $f(i, j) = a_{ij} \geq 0$. If $p \geq 1$, then the mapping M_2 is superadditive, and $p_j \geq n_j$ for all $j \in Y$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{j \in Y} n_j \left(\sum_{i \in X} u_i a_{ij}^p \right)^{1/p} - \left(\sum_{i \in X} u_i \left(\sum_{j \in Y} n_j a_{ij} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in Y} p_j \left(\sum_{i \in X} u_i a_{ij}^p \right)^{1/p} - \left(\sum_{i \in X} u_i \left(\sum_{j \in Y} p_j a_{ij} \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

provided all occurring sums are finite.

COROLLARY 3.4. (i) Suppose u_1 and u_2 are nonnegative functions such that $Cu_2 \geq u_1 \geq cu_2$, where $c, C \geq 0$. If $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, then

$$cM_1(u_2) \leq M_1(u_1) \leq CM_1(u_2),$$

and if $0 < p < 1$, then the above inequality is reversed.

(ii) Suppose v_1 and v_2 are nonnegative functions such that $Cv_2 \geq v_1 \geq cv_2$, where $c, C \geq 0$. If $p \geq 1$, then

$$cM_2(v_2) \leq M_2(v_1) \leq CM_2(v_2),$$

and if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then the above inequality is reversed.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If v_1 and v_2 are nonnegative functions such that $v_2 \geq v_1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} M^{[0]} \left(\int_Y f(x, y) v_1(y) dv_\Delta(y), \mu_\Delta \right) - \int_Y M^{[0]}(f, \mu_\Delta) v_1(y) dv_\Delta(y) \\ \leq M^{[0]} \left(\int_Y f(x, y) v_2(y) dv_\Delta(y), \mu_\Delta \right) - \int_Y M^{[0]}(f, \mu_\Delta) v_2(y) dv_\Delta(y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $M^{[0]}(f, \mu_\Delta)$ is defined in (2.5).

REMARK 3.6. If the measures are discrete, then from Corollary 3.5, we get the following result: Let $u_j, v_i, w_i, a_{ij} > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and all $j = 1, \dots, k$. Put $U = \sum_{j=1}^k u_j$. If $v_i \leq w_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i a_{ij} \right)^{\frac{u_j}{U}} - \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^k a_{ij}^{\frac{u_j}{U}} \right) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i a_{ij} \right)^{\frac{u_j}{U}} - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^k a_{ij}^{\frac{u_j}{U}} \right).$$

This inequality is a refinement of the discrete Hölder inequality

$$\prod_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i a_{ij} \right)^{\frac{u_j}{U}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^k a_{ij}^{\frac{u_j}{U}} \right).$$

The next result gives another property of M_1 , but a similar result can also be stated for M_2 .

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a concave function. Suppose u_1 and u_2 are nonnegative functions such that*

$$\varphi \circ u_1, \quad \varphi \circ u_2, \quad \varphi \circ (\alpha u_1 + (1 - \alpha) u_2)$$

are Δ -integrable for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. If $p \geq 1$, then

$$M_1(\varphi \circ (\alpha u_1 + (1 - \alpha) u_2)) \geq \alpha M_1(\varphi \circ u_1) + (1 - \alpha) M_1(\varphi \circ u_2),$$

and if $0 < p < 1$, then the above inequality is reversed.

Proof. We show this only for $p \geq 1$ as the other case follows similarly. Since φ is concave, we have

$$\varphi(\alpha u_1 + (1 - \alpha) u_2) \geq \alpha \varphi(u_1) + (1 - \alpha) \varphi(u_2).$$

Now, from (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\varphi \circ (\alpha u_1 + (1 - \alpha) u_2)) &\geq M_1(\alpha(\varphi \circ u_1) + (1 - \alpha)(\varphi \circ u_2)) \\ &\geq M_1(\alpha(\varphi \circ u_1)) + M_1((1 - \alpha)(\varphi \circ u_2)) \\ &\geq \alpha M_1(\varphi \circ u_1) + (1 - \alpha) M_1(\varphi \circ u_2), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is established. \square

Let f , u and v be fixed functions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Let us define functionals M_3 and M_4 by

$$M_3(A) = \left[\int_Y \left(\int_A f^p(x, y) u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right]^p - \int_A \left(\int_Y f(x, y) v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x)$$

and

$$M_4(B) = \int_B \left(\int_X f^p(x, y) u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) - \left[\int_X \left(\int_B f(x, y) v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \right)^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$.

The following theorem establishes superadditivity and monotonicity of the mappings M_3 and M_4 .

THEOREM 3.8. (i) *Suppose $A_1, A_2 \subseteq X$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. If $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, then*

$$M_3(A_1 \cup A_2) \geq M_3(A_1) + M_3(A_2),$$

and if $0 < p < 1$, then the above inequality is reversed.

(ii) *Suppose $A_1, A_2 \subseteq X$ and $A_1 \subseteq A_2$. If $p \geq 1$ or $p < 0$, then*

$$M_3(A_1) \leq M_3(A_2),$$

and if $0 < p < 1$, then the above inequality is reversed.

(iii) *Suppose $B_1, B_2 \subseteq Y$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. If $p \geq 1$, then*

$$M_4(B_1 \cup B_2) \geq M_4(B_1) + M_4(B_2),$$

and if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then the above inequality is reversed.

(iv) *Suppose $B_1, B_2 \subseteq Y$ and $B_1 \subseteq B_2$. If $p \geq 1$, then*

$$M_4(B_1) \leq M_4(B_2),$$

and if $p < 1$ and $p \neq 0$, then the above inequality is reversed.

The proof of Theorem 3.8 is omitted as it is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2.

REMARK 3.9. For $p \geq 1$, if S_m is a subset of Y with m elements and if $S_m \supseteq S_{m-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq S_2$, then we have

$$M_4(S_m) \geq M_4(S_{m-1}) \geq \dots \geq M_4(S_2) \geq 0$$

and $M_4(S_m) \geq \max\{M_4(S_2) : S_2 \text{ is any subset of } S_m \text{ with 2 elements}\}$.

4. Beckenbach–Dresher inequalities

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu_\Delta)$, $(X, \mathcal{M}, \lambda_\Delta)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \nu_\Delta)$ be time scale measure spaces. Suppose u and w are nonnegative functions on X , v is a nonnegative function on Y , f is a nonnegative function on $X \times Y$ with respect to the measure $(\mu_\Delta \times \nu_\Delta)$, and g is a nonnegative function on $X \times Y$ with respect to the measure $(\lambda_\Delta \times \nu_\Delta)$. If*

$$s \geq 1, \quad q \leq 1 \leq p, \quad \text{and} \quad q \neq 0 \tag{4.1}$$

or

$$s < 0, \quad p \leq 1 \leq q, \quad \text{and} \quad p \neq 0, \tag{4.2}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[\int_X (\int_Y f(x,y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y))^p u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s}{p}}}{[\int_X (\int_Y g(x,y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y))^q w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} \\ & \leq \int_Y \frac{(\int_X f^p(x,y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x))^{\frac{s}{p}}}{(\int_X g^q(x,y)w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x))^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

provided all occurring integrals in (4.3) exist. If

$$0 < s \leq 1, \quad p \leq 1, \quad q \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad q \neq 0, \tag{4.4}$$

then (4.3) is reversed.

Proof. Assume (4.1) or (4.2). By using the integral Minkowski inequality (2.1) and Hölder’s inequality (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[\int_X (\int_Y f(x,y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y))^p u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s}{p}}}{[\int_X (\int_Y g(x,y)v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y))^q w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} \\ & \leq \frac{[\int_Y (\int_X f^p(x,y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x))^{\frac{1}{p}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y)]^s}{[\int_Y (\int_X g^q(x,y)w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x))^{\frac{1}{q}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y)]^{s-1}} \\ & = \left[\int_Y \left(\left(\int_X f^p(x,y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \right]^s \\ & \quad \times \left[\int_Y \left(\left(\int_X g^q(x,y)w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1-s}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-s}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y) \right]^{1-s} \\ & \leq \int_Y \left(\int_X f^p(x,y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{s}{p}} \left(\int_X g^q(x,y)w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x) \right)^{\frac{1-s}{q}} v(y)d\nu_\Delta(y). \end{aligned}$$

If (4.4) holds, then the reversed inequality in (4.3) can be proved in a similar way. \square

REMARK 4.2. If $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then Theorem 4.1 is a generalization of the well-known Beckenbach–Dresher inequality which states that for nonnegative real functions f, g and for $p \geq 1 \geq q \geq 0$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\int_E (f+g)^p d\varphi}{\int_E (f+g)^q d\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} \leq \left(\frac{\int_E f^p d\varphi}{\int_E f^q d\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} + \left(\frac{\int_E g^p d\varphi}{\int_E g^q d\varphi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Some historical facts about (4.5) and new results which generalize (4.5) are given in [5, 9]. For a time scale analogue of (4.5), see [1, Theorem 8.2].

5. Beckenbach–Dresher functionals

Let f, g, u, w be fixed functions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4.1. We define the Beckenbach–Dresher functional $\text{BD}(v)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BD}(v) = \int_Y \frac{(\int_X f^p(x, y) u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x))^{\frac{s}{p}}}{(\int_X g^q(x, y) w(x) d\lambda_\Delta(x))^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} v(y) dv_\Delta(y) \\ - \frac{[\int_X (\int_Y f(x, y) v(y) dv_\Delta(y))^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s}{p}}}{[\int_X (\int_Y g(x, y) v(y) dv_\Delta(y))^q w(x) d\lambda_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s-1}{q}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we suppose that all occurring integrals exist.

THEOREM 5.1. *If (4.1) or (4.2) holds, then*

$$\text{BD}(v_1 + v_2) \geq \text{BD}(v_1) + \text{BD}(v_2). \quad (5.1)$$

If $v_2 \geq v_1$, then

$$\text{BD}(v_1) \leq \text{BD}(v_2). \quad (5.2)$$

If $C, c \geq 0$ and $Cv_2 \geq v_1 \geq cv_2$, then

$$\text{CBD}(v_2) \geq \text{BD}(v_1) \geq c\text{BD}(v_1). \quad (5.3)$$

If (4.4) holds, then (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) are reversed.

Proof. Assume (4.1) or (4.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{BD}(v_1 + v_2) - \text{BD}(v_1) - \text{BD}(v_2) \\ &= \frac{[\int_X (\int_Y f(x, y) v_1(y) dv_\Delta(y))^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s}{p}}}{[\int_X (\int_Y g(x, y) v_1(y) dv_\Delta(y))^q w(x) d\lambda_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} \\ &+ \frac{[\int_X (\int_Y f(x, y) v_2(y) dv_\Delta(y))^p u(x) d\mu_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s}{p}}}{[\int_X (\int_Y g(x, y) v_2(y) dv_\Delta(y))^q w(x) d\lambda_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{[\int_X (\int_Y f(x,y)v_1(y)dv_\Delta(y) + \int_Y f(x,y)v_2(y)dv_\Delta(y))^p u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s}{p}}}{[\int_X (\int_Y g(x,y)v_1(y)dv_\Delta(y) + \int_Y g(x,y)v_2(y)dv_\Delta(y))^q w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} \\ & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used (4.3) from Theorem 4.1. Using Theorem 4.1 again, $v_2 \geq v_1$ implies

$$BD(v_2) = BD(v_1 + (v_2 - v_1)) \geq BD(v_1) + BD(v_2 - v_1) \geq BD(v_1).$$

The proof of (5.3) is similar. If (4.4) holds, then the reversed inequalities of (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) can be proved in a similar way. \square

Let f, g, u, v, w be fixed functions. We define a functional BD_1 by

$$\begin{aligned} BD_1(A) = \int_A & \frac{(\int_X f^p(x,y)u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x))^{\frac{s}{p}}}{(\int_X g^q(x,y)w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x))^{\frac{s-1}{q}}} v(y)dv_\Delta(y) \\ & - \frac{[\int_X (\int_A f(x,y)v(y)dv_\Delta(y))^p u(x)d\mu_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s}{p}}}{[\int_X (\int_A g(x,y)v(y)dv_\Delta(y))^q w(x)d\lambda_\Delta(x)]^{\frac{s-1}{q}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A \subseteq Y$.

For BD_1 , the following result holds.

THEOREM 5.2. (i) *Suppose $A_1, A_2 \subseteq Y$ and $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$. If (4.1) or (4.2) holds, then*

$$BD_1(A_1 \cup A_2) \geq BD_1(A_1) + BD_1(A_2),$$

and if (4.4) holds, then the above inequality is reversed.

(ii) *Suppose $A_1, A_2 \subseteq Y$ and $A_1 \subseteq A_2$. If (4.1) or (4.2) holds, then*

$$BD_1(A_1) \leq BD_1(A_2),$$

and if (4.4) holds, then the above inequality is reversed.

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is omitted as it is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

REMARK 5.3. If $S_k \subseteq Y$ has k elements and if $S_m \supseteq S_{m-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq S_2$, then (4.1) or (4.2) implies

$$BD_1(S_m) \geq BD_1(S_{m-1}) \geq \dots \geq BD_1(S_2) \geq 0$$

and $BD_1(S_m) \geq \max\{BD_1(S_2) : S_2 \text{ is any subset of } S_m \text{ with 2 elements}\}$, while (4.4) implies the reversed inequalities with max replaced by min.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ANWAR, R. BIBI, M. BOHNER, AND J. PEČARIĆ, *Integral inequalities on time scales via the theory of isotonic linear functionals*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., vol. 2011, Article ID 483595, 16 pages, 2011.
- [2] M. BOHNER AND A. PETERSON, *Dynamic equations on time scales: An introduction with applications*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [3] M. BOHNER AND G. SH. GUSEINOV, *Multiple integration on time scales*, Dynam. Systems Appl., 14 (3–4): 579–606, 2005.
- [4] M. BOHNER AND G. SH. GUSEINOV, *Multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales*, Adv. Difference Equ., vol. 2006, Article ID 26391, 13 pages, 2006.
- [5] B. GULJAŠ, C. E. M. PEARCE, AND J. PEČARIĆ, *Some generalizations of the Beckenbach–Dresher inequality*, Houston J. Math., 22: 629–638, 1996.
- [6] S. HILGER, *Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten*, Ph. D. thesis, Universität Würzburg, 1988.
- [7] S. HILGER, *Analysis on measure chains — a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus*, Results Math., 18 (1–2): 18–56, 1990.
- [8] B. IVANKOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, AND S. VAROŠANEC, *Properties of mappings related to the Minkowski inequality*, Mediterranean J. Math., 8 (4): 543–551, 2011.
- [9] S. VAROŠANEC, *A generalized Beckenbach–Dresher inequality and related results*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 4 (1): 13–20, 2010.

(Received March 2, 2012)

Rabia Bibi
Center for Advanced Mathematics and Physics
National University of Sciences and Technology
Islamabad, Pakistan
e-mail: emaorr@gmail.com

Martin Bohner
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Missouri University of Science and Technology
Rolla, Missouri 65409–0020
USA
e-mail: bohner@mst.edu

Josip Pečarić
Faculty of Textile Technology, University of Zagreb
Baruna Filipovića 28a
10000 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: pecaric@mahazu.hazu.hr

Sanja Varošaneć
Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb
Bijenička 30
10000 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: varosans@math.hr