

REFINED INEQUALITIES ON THE WEIGHTED LOGARITHMIC MEAN

SHIGERU FURUICHI AND NICUȘOR MINCULETE

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. Inspired by the recent work by R. Pal et al., we give further refined inequalities for a convex Riemann integrable function, applying the standard Hermite-Hadamard inequality. Our approach is different from their one in [9]. As corollaries, we give the refined inequalities on the weighted logarithmic mean and the weighted identric mean. Some further extensions are also given.

1. Introduction

The inequalities on means attract many mathematicians for their developments. See [6] and references therein for example. Recently, in [9, Theorem 2.2], the weighted logarithmic mean was properly introduced and the inequalities among weighted means were shown as

$$a\sharp_v b \leq L_v(a, b) \leq a\nabla_v b, \quad (1)$$

where the weighted geometric mean is defined by $a\sharp_v b := a^{1-v}b^v$, the weighted arithmetic mean by $a\nabla_v b := (1-v)a + vb$ and the weighted logarithmic mean by [9]:

$$L_v(a, b) := \frac{1}{\log a - \log b} \left(\frac{1-v}{v} (a - a^{1-v}b^v) + \frac{v}{1-v} (a^{1-v}b^v - b) \right) \quad (2)$$

for $a, b > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$. We easily find that $L_{1/2}(a, b) = \frac{a-b}{\log a - \log b}$ ($a \neq b$), with $L_{1/2}(a, a) := a$. This is the so-called logarithmic mean. We also find that $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} L_v(a, b) = a$ and $\lim_{v \rightarrow 1} L_v(a, b) = b$. Thus the inequalities given in (1) recover the well-known relations:

$$\sqrt{ab} \leq \frac{a-b}{\log a - \log b} \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \quad (a, b > 0).$$

We use the symbols ∇ and \sharp simply, instead of $\nabla_{1/2}$ and $\sharp_{1/2}$.

R. Pal et al. obtained the inequalities given in (1) by their general result given in [9, Theorem 2.1] which can be regarded as the generalization of the famous Hermite-Hadamard inequality with weight $v \in [0, 1]$:

$$f(a\nabla_v b) \leq C_{f,v}(a, b) \leq f(a)\nabla_v f(b) \quad (3)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 26D15; Secondary 26B25, 26E60.

Keywords and phrases: Weighted logarithmic mean, weighted identric mean, convex function, Hermite-Hadamard inequality and operator inequality.

where

$$C_{f,v}(a,b) := \left(\int_0^1 f(a\nabla_v b) dt \right) \nabla_v \left(\int_0^1 f((1-v)(b-a)t + a\nabla_v b) dt \right) \tag{4}$$

for a convex Riemann integrable function, $a, b > 0$ and $v \in [0, 1]$. By elementary calculations, we find that the inequalities given in (3) recover the standard Hermite-Hadamard inequalities:

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}. \tag{5}$$

In this paper, we give a refinement of the inequalities given in (3) and as its consequence, we imply refined inequalities on the weighted logarithmic mean.

2. Main results

We firstly give the refined inequalities for (3) by repeating use of the standard Hermite-Hadamard inequalities given in (5).

THEOREM 2.1. *For every convex Riemann integrable function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$f(a\nabla_v b) \leq R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b) \leq C_{f,v}(a,b) \leq R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b) \leq f(a)\nabla_v f(b), \tag{6}$$

where

$$R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b) := f(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}} b) \nabla_v f(a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b) \tag{7}$$

and

$$R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b) := (f(a)\nabla_v f(b)) \nabla(f(a\nabla_v b)). \tag{8}$$

Proof. Applying the standard Hermite-Hadamard inequalities (5) on the two intervals $[a, (1-v)a + vb]$ and $[(1-v)a + vb, b]$, we obtain respectively

$$f\left(\frac{(2-v)a + vb}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{v(b-a)} \int_a^{(1-v)a+vb} f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(a) + f((1-v)a + vb)}{2} \tag{9}$$

and

$$f\left(\frac{(1-v)a + (1+v)b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{(1-v)(b-a)} \int_{(1-v)a+vb}^b f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(b) + f((1-v)a + vb)}{2}. \tag{10}$$

Multiplying both sides in (9) and (10) by $(1-v)$ and v respectively and summing each side, we obtain

$$R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b) \leq \frac{1-v}{v(b-a)} \int_a^{(1-v)a+vb} f(t) dt + \frac{v}{(1-v)(b-a)} \int_{(1-v)a+vb}^b f(t) dt \leq R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b), \tag{11}$$

which is equivalent to

$$R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b) \leq C_{f,v}(a,b) \leq R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b), \tag{12}$$

by replacing the variables such as $t := v(b-a)s + a$ in the first term and $t := (1-v)(b-a)u + (1-v)a + vb$ in the second term of the integral parts in (11).

Finally we estimate $R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b)$ and $R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b)$. Since the function f is convex, we have

$$R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b) \geq f\left(\frac{((1-v)(2-v) + v(1-v))a + (v(1-v) + v(1+v))b}{2}\right) = f(a\nabla_v b)$$

and

$$R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b) \leq (f(a)\nabla_v f(b)) \nabla (f(a)\nabla_v f(b)) = f(a)\nabla_v f(b).$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

COROLLARY 2.2. For $a, b > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$a\sharp_v b \leq \left(a\sharp_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b\right) \nabla_v \left(a\sharp_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b\right) \leq L_v(a,b) \leq (a\nabla_v b) \nabla (a\sharp_v b) \leq a\nabla_v b. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Applying the convex function $f(t) := e^t$ in Theorem 2.1, we have for $b \geq a > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(1-v)a+vb} &\leq (1-v)e^{\frac{(2-v)a+vb}{2}} + ve^{\frac{(1-v)a+(1+v)b}{2}} \\ &\leq (1-v) \int_0^1 e^{v(b-a)t+a} dt + v \int_0^1 e^{(1-v)(b-a)t+(1-v)a+vb} dt \\ &\leq \frac{(1-v)e^a + ve^b + e^{(1-v)a+vb}}{2} \leq (1-v)e^a + ve^b. \end{aligned}$$

By elementary calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(1-v) \int_0^1 e^{v(b-a)t+a} dt + v \int_0^1 e^{(1-v)(b-a)t+(1-v)a+vb} dt \\ &= \frac{1-v}{v(b-a)} \left(e^{(1-v)a+vb} - e^a\right) + \frac{v}{(1-v)(b-a)} \left(e^b - e^{(1-v)a+vb}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing e^a and e^b with a and b respectively, we obtain the inequalities (13) for $b \geq a > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$. Dividing both sides of the inequalities (13) by a and putting $\frac{b}{a} := t \geq 1$, we have

$$t^v \leq (1-v)t^{\frac{v}{2}} + vt^{\frac{1+v}{2}} \leq L_v(1,t) \leq \frac{1}{2}((1-v) + vt + t^v) \leq (1-v) + vt, \quad (t \geq 1, v \in (0, 1)). \tag{14}$$

Putting $s := \frac{1}{t} \leq 1$ and $u := 1 - v$, and then multiplying both sides by $s > 0$, we have

$$s^u \leq (1 - u)s^{\frac{u}{2}} + us^{\frac{1+u}{2}} \leq L_u(1, s) \leq \frac{1}{2}((1 - u) + us + s^u) \leq (1 - u) + us \quad (15)$$

$$(0 < s \leq 1, u \in (0, 1))$$

by elementary calculations. Thus we have the inequalities:

$$t^v \leq (1 - v)t^{\frac{v}{2}} + vt^{\frac{1+v}{2}} \leq L_v(1, t) \leq \frac{1}{2}((1 - v) + vt + t^v) \leq (1 - v) + vt \quad (t > 0, v \in (0, 1)). \quad (16)$$

Therefore we complete the proof by putting $t := \frac{b}{a}$ for any $a, b > 0$ in (16) and then multiplying both sides by $a > 0$. \square

We note that the third and fourth inequalities have already been given in [9, Lemma 2.3]. However, the first and second inequalities are new results. In addition, our approaches are different from the author’s in [9].

We give the inequalities on the weighted identric mean which was defined in [9] as

$$I_v(a, b) := \frac{1}{e} (a \nabla_v b)^{\frac{(1-2v)(a \nabla_v b)}{v(1-v)(b-a)}} \left(\frac{b^{\frac{vb}{1-v}}}{a^{\frac{(1-v)a}{v}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}, \quad v \in (0, 1). \quad (17)$$

It is easy to check that $I_{1/2}(a, b)$ recovers the usual identric mean $I(a, b) := \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^b}{a^a} \right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}$, with $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} I_v(a, b) = a$ and $\lim_{v \rightarrow 1} I_v(a, b) = b$.

COROLLARY 2.3. For $a, b > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$a \sharp_v b \leq (a \sharp_v b) \sharp (a \nabla_v b) \leq I_v(a, b) \leq (a \nabla_{\frac{v}{2}} b) \sharp_v (a \nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b) \leq a \nabla_v b. \quad (18)$$

Proof. Applying the convex function $f(t) := -\log t$, ($t > 0$) in Theorem 2.1, we have for $b \geq a > 0$ with elementary calculations

$$\begin{aligned} & \log a^{1-v} b^v \leq \log \left(a^{\frac{1-v}{2}} b^{\frac{v}{2}} ((1-v)a + vb)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1-v}{v(b-a)} \{((1-v)a + vb) \log((1-v)a + vb) - ((1-v)a + vb) - a \log a + a\} \\ & \quad + \frac{v}{(1-v)(b-a)} \{b \log b - b - ((1-v)a + vb) \log((1-v)a + vb) + ((1-v)a + vb)\} \\ & \leq \log \left(\left(1 - \frac{v}{2}\right) a + \frac{v}{2} b \right)^{1-v} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1+v}{2}\right) a + \frac{1+v}{2} b \right)^v \leq \log((1-v)a + vb). \end{aligned}$$

We calculate the following.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1-v}{v(b-a)} \{((1-v)a+vb)\log((1-v)a+vb) - ((1-v)a+vb) - a\log a + a\} \\ & + \frac{v}{(1-v)(b-a)} \{b\log b - b - ((1-v)a+vb)\log((1-v)a+vb) + ((1-v)a+vb)\} \\ & = \log\{(1-v)a+vb\}^{\frac{(1-2v)\{(1-v)a+vb\}}{v(1-v)(b-a)}} b^{\frac{vb}{(1-v)(b-a)}} a^{-\frac{(1-v)a}{v(b-a)}} - 1 \\ & = \log \frac{1}{e} \{(1-v)a+vb\}^{\frac{(1-2v)\{(1-v)a+vb\}}{v(1-v)(b-a)}} \left(\frac{b^{\frac{vb}{1-v}}}{a^{\frac{(1-v)a}{v}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{b-a}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof for any $a, b > 0$ in a similar way to the proof of Corollary 2.2. \square

Our Corollary 2.3 clearly refines [9, Theorem 3.1].

According to the inequalities shown in [8, Theorem 3.3] for a convex function f ,

$$2v_{\min} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2}(a, b) \leq \Delta_{f,v}(a, b) \leq 2v_{\max} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2}(a, b) \tag{19}$$

where $v_{\min} := \min\{1-v, v\}$, $v_{\max} := \max\{1-v, v\}$ and $v \in [0, 1]$

$$\Delta_{f,v}(a, b) := f(a)\nabla_v f(b) - f(a\nabla_v b) \geq 0, \tag{20}$$

we obtain the further refinements of Theorem 2.1.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 2.1, we have*

$$f(a\nabla_v b) \leq Q_{f,v}^{(1)}(a, b) \leq R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a, b) \leq C_{f,v}(a, b) \leq R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a, b) \leq Q_{f,v}^{(2)}(a, b) \leq f(a)\nabla_v f(b), \tag{21}$$

where

$$Q_{f,v}^{(1)}(a, b) := f(a\nabla_v b) + 2v_{\min} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2}\left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}} b, a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b\right)$$

and

$$Q_{f,v}^{(2)}(a, b) := f(a)\nabla_v f(b) - v_{\min} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2}(a, b).$$

Proof. Using the first inequality from relation (19) and replacing a and b by $a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}} b$ and $a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b$ respectively, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & 2v_{\min} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2}(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}} b, a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b) \leq \Delta_{f,v}(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}} b, a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b) \\ & = R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a, b) - f\left((a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}} b)\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}(a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b)\right) = R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a, b) - f(a\nabla_v b). \end{aligned}$$

Using the first inequality in (19) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a, b) & = (f(a)\nabla_v f(b))\nabla(f(a\nabla_v b)) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(a)\nabla_v f(b) + f(a\nabla_v b)\} \\ & \leq f(a)\nabla_v f(b) - v_{\min} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2}(a, b) = Q_{f,v}^{(2)}(a, b) \leq f(a)\nabla_v f(b). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.5. (i) From the inequality $Q_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b) \geq Q_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b)$ in (21), we find that

$$\Delta_{f,v}(a,b) \geq v_{\min} \left(\Delta_{f,1/2}(a,b) + 2\Delta_{f,1/2} \left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}b, a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b \right) \right) \geq 0,$$

which gives a refinement of (20).

(ii) From the second inequality of (19), we also find that

$$R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b) \leq P_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b), \quad P_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b) \leq R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b)$$

where

$$P_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b) := f(a\nabla_v b) + 2v_{\max} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2} \left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}b, a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b \right)$$

and

$$P_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b) := f(a)\nabla_v f(b) - v_{\max} \cdot \Delta_{f,1/2}(a,b).$$

However, there is no ordering between $P_{f,v}^{(1)}(a,b)$ and $P_{f,v}^{(2)}(a,b)$, since we have the following numerical examples.

$$P_{\exp,1/4}^{(1)}(4,1) - P_{\exp,1/4}^{(2)}(4,1) \simeq 4.35403, \quad P_{\exp,1/4}^{(1)}(8,1) - P_{\exp,1/4}^{(2)}(8,1) \simeq -30.7996.$$

3. Reverses and refinements by differentiable functions

We extend the above results for differentiable functions. From [1], if $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function on I^o (interior of I) and if $f' \in L[a,b]$ (the space of Riemann integrable function on $[a,b]$), where $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$, then the following equality holds for each $x \in [a,b]$:

$$f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt = \frac{(x-a)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 v f'((1-v)a + vx)dv - \frac{(b-x)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 v f'((1-v)b + vx)dv. \tag{22}$$

If we choose $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$ in (22), then we have

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt = \frac{b-a}{4} \left\{ \int_0^1 v f' \left((1-v)a + v\frac{a+b}{2} \right) dv - \int_0^1 v f' \left((1-v)b + v\frac{a+b}{2} \right) dv \right\}. \tag{23}$$

In [2] we found the relation

$$\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt = \frac{b-a}{2} \int_0^1 (1-2v) f'(va + (1-v)b)dv. \tag{24}$$

Here, we have the equality:

$$\int_0^1 (1 - 2v)f'(va + (1 - v)b)dv = \int_0^1 (2v - 1)f'((1 - v)a + vb)dv$$

$$= \frac{2}{(b - a)^2} \int_a^b \left(t - \frac{a + b}{2}\right) f'(t)dt.$$

Thus we have the following equality from (24) with the equality

$$\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(t)dt = \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b \left(t - \frac{a + b}{2}\right) f'(t)dt. \tag{25}$$

THEOREM 3.1. For every convex differentiable function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f' \in L[a, b]$ and $|f'(x)| \leq K$, we have

$$C_{f,v}(a, b) - R_{f,v}^{(1)}(a, b) \leq \frac{v(1 - v)K(b - a)}{2} \tag{26}$$

and

$$R_{f,v}^{(2)}(a, b) - C_{f,v}(a, b) \leq \frac{v(1 - v)K(b - a)}{2}. \tag{27}$$

Proof. If $|f'(x)| \leq K$, then from (23) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(t)dt - f\left(\frac{a + b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{K(b - a)}{4} \tag{28}$$

and from (25) we obtain

$$\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(t)dt \leq \frac{K}{b - a} \int_a^b \left|t - \frac{a + b}{2}\right| dt = \frac{K(b - a)}{4}. \tag{29}$$

We obtain (26) by applying the inequalities (28) on the two intervals $[a, (1 - v)a + vb]$ and $[(1 - v)a + vb, b]$, and then multiplying them by $(1 - v)$ and v and summing them. In the same way with (29), we obtain (27). \square

COROLLARY 3.2. For $b \geq a > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$L_v(a, b) \leq \left(a \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} b\right) \nabla_v \left(a \sharp_{\frac{1+v}{2}} b\right) + \frac{v(1 - v)b}{2} \log \frac{b}{a} \tag{30}$$

and

$$(a \nabla_v b) \nabla \left(a \sharp_v b\right) \leq L_v(a, b) + \frac{v(1 - v)b}{2} \log \frac{b}{a}. \tag{31}$$

Proof. Applying the convex function $f(t) := e^t$ in Theorem 3.1, we have the relations of the statement, since we have

$$C_{\exp,v}(a, b) = L_v(e^a, e^b),$$

$$R_{\exp,v}^{(1)}(a, b) = \left(e^a \sharp_{\frac{1}{2}} e^b\right) \nabla_v \left(e^a \sharp_{\frac{1+v}{2}} e^b\right),$$

$$R_{\exp,v}^{(2)}(a, b) = \left(e^a \nabla_v e^b\right) \nabla \left(e^a \sharp_v e^b\right)$$

and we can take $K = e^b$ for $t \in [a, b]$. Finally we replace e^a and e^b by a and b , respectively. \square

The inequalities (30) and (31) give (difference type) reverses for the 2nd and 3rd inequalities in (13), respectively.

COROLLARY 3.3. *For $b \geq a > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}b\right) \#_v \left(a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b\right) \leq e^{\frac{v(1-v)(b-a)}{2a}} I_v(a, b) \tag{32}$$

and

$$I_v(a, b) \leq e^{\frac{v(1-v)(b-a)}{2a}} (a\#_vb) \# (a\nabla_v b). \tag{33}$$

Proof. Applying the convex function $f(t) := -\log t$, ($t > 0$) in Theorem 3.1, we have the relations of the statement, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{-\log, v}(a, b) &= -\log I_v(a, b), \\ R_{-\log, v}^{(1)}(a, b) &= -\log \left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}b\right) \#_v \left(a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b\right), \\ R_{-\log, v}^{(2)}(a, b) &= -\log (a\#_vb) \# (a\nabla_v b) \end{aligned}$$

and we can take $K = \frac{1}{a}$ for $t \in [a, b]$. \square

The inequalities (32) and (33) give (ratio type) reverses for the 3rd and 2nd inequalities in (18), respectively.

We extend the above results for the twice differentiable functions. From [3], [4] and [5], assume that $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous on I , twice differentiable on I° and there exist $m = \inf_{x \in I^\circ} f''(x)$ and $M = \sup_{x \in I^\circ} f''(x)$, $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{m}{3} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2 \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt \leq \frac{M}{3} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2 \tag{34}$$

and

$$\frac{m}{6} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt - f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{M}{6} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2. \tag{35}$$

THEOREM 3.4. *Assume that $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous on I , twice differentiable on I° and there exist $m = \inf_{x \in I^\circ} f''(x)$ and $M = \sup_{x \in I^\circ} f''(x)$, $a, b \in I$ with $a < b$, we have*

$$\frac{v(1-v)m}{6} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2 \leq C_{f, v}(a, b) - R_{f, v}^{(1)}(a, b) \leq \frac{v(1-v)M}{6} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2 \tag{36}$$

and

$$\frac{v(1-v)m}{3} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2 \leq R_{f, v}^{(2)}(a, b) - C_{f, v}(a, b) \leq \frac{v(1-v)M}{3} \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^2. \tag{37}$$

Proof. Applying the inequality (34) on the two intervals $[a, (1 - v)a + vb]$ and $[(1 - v)a + vb, b]$, we obtain

$$\frac{m}{6} \left(\frac{v(b-a)}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{v(b-a)} \int_a^b f(t)dt - f\left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}b\right) \leq \frac{M}{6} \left(\frac{v(b-a)}{2} \right)^2 \tag{38}$$

and

$$\frac{m}{6} \left(\frac{(1-v)(b-a)}{2} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{(1-v)(b-a)} \int_a^b f(t)dt - f\left(a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b\right) \leq \frac{M}{6} \left(\frac{(1-v)(b-a)}{2} \right)^2. \tag{39}$$

Multiplying both sides in (38) and (39) by $(1 - v)$ and v respectively and summing each side, we obtain the relations of the statement. Similarly, applying the inequality (35), we deduce the inequality (39). \square

COROLLARY 3.5. For $b \geq a > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\frac{v(1-v)a}{24} \log^2 \frac{b}{a} \leq L_v(a, b) - \left(a\sharp_{\frac{v}{2}}b\right) \nabla_v \left(a\sharp_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b\right) \leq \frac{v(1-v)b}{24} \log^2 \frac{b}{a} \tag{40}$$

and

$$\frac{v(1-v)a}{12} \log^2 \frac{b}{a} \leq (a\nabla_v b) \nabla (a\sharp_v b) - L_v(a, b) \leq \frac{v(1-v)b}{12} \log^2 \frac{b}{a}. \tag{41}$$

Proof. Applying the convex function $f(t) := e^t$ in Theorem 3.4, we have the relations of the statement, since $m = e^a$ and $M = e^b$. Finally we replace e^a and e^b by a and b , respectively. \square

The inequalities (40) and (41) give a better (difference type) refinement for the 2nd and 3rd inequality in (13), respectively.

COROLLARY 3.6. For $b \geq a > 0$ and $v \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$e^{\frac{-v(1-v)(b-a)^2}{24a^2}} \left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}b\right) \sharp_v \left(a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b\right) \leq I_v(a, b) \leq e^{\frac{-v(1-v)(b-a)^2}{24b^2}} \left(a\nabla_{\frac{v}{2}}b\right) \sharp_v \left(a\nabla_{\frac{1+v}{2}}b\right) \tag{42}$$

and

$$e^{\frac{v(1-v)(b-a)^2}{12b^2}} (a\sharp_v b) \sharp (a\nabla_v b) \leq I_v(a, b) \leq e^{\frac{v(1-v)(b-a)^2}{12a^2}} (a\sharp_v b) \sharp (a\nabla_v b). \tag{43}$$

Proof. Applying the convex function $f(t) := -\log t$, ($t > 0$) in Theorem 3.4, we have the relations of the statement, since $m = \frac{1}{b^2}$ and $M = \frac{1}{a^2}$. \square

The inequalities (42) and (43) give a better (ratio type) refinement for the 3rd and 2nd inequality in (18), respectively.

4. Concluding remarks

Our obtained results in this paper can be extended to the operator inequalities. We give operator inequalities corresponding to Corollary 2.2. We omit the other cases. For strictly positive operators A and B , the weighted geometric operator mean and arithmetic operator mean are defined as

$$A\sharp_v B := A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^v A^{1/2}, \quad A\nabla_v B := (1-v)A + vB.$$

It is known that an operator mean $M(A, B)$ is associated with the representing function $f(t) = m(1, t)$ with a mean $m(a, b)$ for positive numbers a, b , in the following

$$M(A, B) = A^{1/2} f \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right) A^{1/2}$$

in the general operator mean theory by Kubo-Ando [7]. Thus it is understood that the weighted logarithmic operator mean $A\ell_v B$ is defined through the representing function $L_v(1, t)$ for $v \in (0, 1)$.

From Corollary 2.2 and Kubo-Ando theory (or standard functional calculus), we can obtain the following operator inequalities. However, we state an alternative proof for the scalar inequalities on the representing functions.

THEOREM 4.1. *For any $v \in (0, 1)$ and strictly positive operators A and B , we have*

$$A\sharp_v B \leq (1-v)A\sharp_{\frac{v}{2}} B + vA\sharp_{\frac{1+v}{2}} B \leq A\ell_v B \leq \frac{1}{2} (A\sharp_v B + A\nabla_v B) \leq A\nabla_v B.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the following scalar inequalities:

$$t^v \leq (1-v)t^{v/2} + vt^{(1+v)/2} \leq L_v(1, t) \leq \frac{1}{2} (t^v + (1-v) + vt) \leq (1-v) + vt \quad (44)$$

where

$$L_v(1, t) := \frac{1}{\log t} \left(\frac{1-v}{v} (t^v - 1) + \frac{v}{1-v} (t - t^v) \right) \quad (t > 0, v \in (0, 1)).$$

The fourth inequality in (44) is trivial and third one in (44) was proven in [9, Lemma 2.3]. The first inequality in (44) can be proven by the fact that the arithmetic mean is greater than or equal to the geometric mean as $(1-v)t^{v/2} + vt^{(1+v)/2} \geq t^{v(1-v)/2} t^{v(1+v)/2} = t^v$. The second inequality in (44) can be proven by the use of the following first inequality:

$$\frac{x^2 - 1}{\log x^2} \geq x > 0. \quad (45)$$

Putting $x := t^{v/2}$ and $x := t^{(v-1)/2}$ in (45), we have respectively

$$t^{v/2} \leq \frac{t^v - 1}{v \log t} \quad \text{and} \quad t^{(v-1)/2} \leq \frac{t^{v-1} - 1}{(v-1) \log t} \Leftrightarrow t^{(1+v)/2} \leq \frac{t - t^v}{(1-v) \log t}.$$

Multiplying the first and second inequality in the above by $(1 - v)$ and v and then summing them, we obtain the second inequality in (44). Finally, replacing t by $A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$ in the inequalities (44) and then multiplying both sides by $A^{1/2}$, we complete the proof. \square

The upper bound of Al_vB has already given in [9, Theorem 2.4]. But the lower bound of Al_vB is a new result in Theorem 4.1.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful and insightful comments to improve our manuscript. The author (S. F.) was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K05257.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. CERONE AND S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Ostrowski type inequalities for functions whose derivatives satisfy certain convexity assumptions*, Demonstratio Math., **37** (2) (2004) 299–308.
- [2] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND R. P. AGARWAL, *Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula*, Appl. Math. Lett., **11**, (5) (1998), 91–95.
- [3] S. S. DRAGOMIR, P. CERONE AND A. SOFO, *Some remarks on the midpoint rule in numerical integration*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., **XLV** (1) (2000), 63–74.
- [4] S. S. DRAGOMIR, P. CERONE AND A. SOFO, *Some remarks on the trapezoid rule in numerical integration*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **31** (5) (2000), 475–494.
- [5] A. EL FARISSI, Z. LATREUCH AND B. BALAIDI, *Hadamard type inequalities for near convex functions*, Gazeta Matematica Seria A, No. 1-2/2010.
- [6] S. FURUICHI AND H. R. MORADI, *Advances in mathematical inequalities*, De Gruyter, 2020.
- [7] F. KUBO AND T. ANDO, *Means of positive operators*, Math. Ann., **264** (1980), 205–224.
- [8] F. C. MITROI-SYMEONIDIS, *About the precision in Jensen-Steffensen inequality*, An. Univ. Craiova Ser. Mat. Inform., **37** (4) (2010), 73–84.
- [9] R. PAL, M. SINGH, M. S. MOSLEHIAN AND J. S. AUJLA, *A new class of operator monotone functions via operator means*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, **64** (12) (2016), 2463–2473.

(Received January 12, 2020)

Shigeru Furuichi
Department of Information Science
College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University
3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan
e-mail: furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

Nicușor Minculete
Transilvania University of Brașov
Brașov, 500091, Romania
e-mail: minculeten@yahoo.com