

NEW INEQUALITIES FOR INTERPOLATIONAL OPERATOR MEANS

SHIGERU FURUICHI, HAMID REZA MORADI AND MOHAMMAD SABABHEH

(Communicated by J. Mičić Hot)

Abstract. The main goal of this article is to present several refinements and reverses of well known operator inequalities. These inequalities include operator means, operator monotone functions, operator log-convex functions and positive linear maps.

Among many other results, we show that for any $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$,

$$f(A\nabla_{\alpha}B) \leq f((A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\nabla_{\beta}A) \sharp_{\alpha} f((A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\nabla_{\beta}B) \leq f(A) \sharp_{\alpha} f(B)$$

whenever f is a non-negative operator log-convex function, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are positive operators, and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$. Further, we consider some inequalities of Ando's type, and prove that if Φ is a positive linear map, then

$$\Phi(A \sharp_{\alpha} B) \leq \Phi((A \sharp_{\alpha} B) \sharp_{\beta} A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi((A \sharp_{\alpha} B) \sharp_{\beta} B) \leq \Phi(A) \sharp_{\alpha} \Phi(B).$$

Many other refinements and reverses are shown by invoking ideas related to the so called interpolational operator means.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We denote the set of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be positive (denoted by $A > 0$) if $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$ for all non zero $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

The axiomatic theory for connections and means for pairs of positive operators has been studied by Kubo and Ando [11]. A binary operation σ defined on the cone of positive operators is called an operator mean if for $A, B > 0$,

- (i) $I\sigma I = I$, where I is the identity operator;
- (ii) $C^*(A\sigma B)C \leq (C^*AC)\sigma(C^*BC)$, $\forall C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$;
- (iii) $A_n \downarrow A$ and $B_n \downarrow B$ imply $A_n\sigma B_n \downarrow A\sigma B$, where $A_n \downarrow A$ means that $A_1 \geq A_2 \dots$ and $A_n \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the strong operator topology;
- (iv)

$$A \leq B \quad \& \quad C \leq D \quad \Rightarrow \quad A\sigma C \leq B\sigma D, \quad \forall C, D > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): Primary 47A63; Secondary 47B15, 47A64.

Keywords and phrases: Operator inequality, operator log-convex function, Ando inequality, operator mean.

For a symmetric operator mean σ (in the sense that $A\sigma B = B\sigma A$), a parametrized operator mean σ_α ($\alpha \in [0, 1]$) is called an interpolational path for σ (or Uhlmann's interpolation for σ) if it satisfies

- (c1) $A\sigma_0 B = A$ (here we recall the convention $T^0 = I$ for any positive operator T), $A\sigma_1 B = B$, and $A\sigma_{\frac{1}{2}} B = A\sigma B$;
- (c2) $(A\sigma_\alpha B)\sigma(A\sigma_\beta B) = A\sigma_{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} B$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$;
- (c3) the map $\alpha \in [0, 1] \mapsto A\sigma_\alpha B$ is norm continuous for each A and B .

It is straightforward to see that the set of all $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ satisfying

$$(A\sigma_\alpha B)\sigma_\gamma(A\sigma_\beta B) = A\sigma_{(1-\gamma)\alpha+\gamma\beta} B, \tag{1.2}$$

for all α, β is a convex subset of $[0, 1]$ including 0 and 1. Therefore (1.2) is valid for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ (see [7, Lemma 1]).

An example of typical interpolational means the so-called power means

$$Am_\nu B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(I + \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^\nu \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad -1 \leq \nu \leq 1$$

and their interpolational paths are [8, Theorem 5.24],

$$Am_{\nu,\alpha} B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((1-\alpha)I + \alpha \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Am_{1,\alpha} B &= A\nabla_\alpha B := (1-\alpha)A + \alpha B, \\ Am_{0,\alpha} B &= A\sharp_\alpha B := A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^\alpha A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ Am_{-1,\alpha} B &= A!_\alpha B := \left(A^{-1} \nabla_\alpha B \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

These are called the weighted arithmetic, weighted geometric, and weighted harmonic means respectively. It is well-known that

$$A!_\alpha B \leq A\sharp_\alpha B \leq A\nabla_\alpha B, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \tag{1.3}$$

In [5], Aujla et al. introduced the notion of operator log-convex functions in the following way: A continuous real function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called operator log-convex if

$$f(A\nabla_\alpha B) \leq f(A)\sharp_\alpha f(B), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \tag{1.4}$$

for all positive operators A and B . After that, Ando and Hiai [2] gave the following characterization of operator monotone decreasing functions.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let f be a continuous non-negative function on $(0, \infty)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) f is operator monotone decreasing;
- (b) f is operator log-convex;
- (c) $f(A \nabla B) \leq f(A) \sigma f(B)$ for all positive operators A, B and for all symmetric operator means σ .

In Theorem 2.1 below, we provide a more precise estimate than (1.4) for operator log-convex functions. As a by-product, we improve both inequalities in (1.3). Additionally, we present a refinement and two reverse inequalities for the triangle inequality.

Our main application of Theorem 2.1 is a subadditive behavior of operator monotone decreasing functions. Recall that a concave function (not necessarily operator concave) $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ enjoys the subadditive inequality

$$f(a + b) \leq f(a) + f(b), \quad a, b > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Operator concave functions do not enjoy the same subadditive behavior. However, in [3] it was shown that an operator concave function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies the norm version of (1.5) as follows

$$\| \|f(A + B)\| \| \leq \| \|f(A) + f(B)\| \|, \tag{1.6}$$

for positive matrices A, B and any unitarily invariant norm $\| \| \|$. Later, the authors in [6] showed that (1.6) is still valid for concave functions $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ (not necessarily operator concave).

We emphasize that (1.6) does not hold without the norm. We refer the interested reader to [15], where additional assumption implies (1.6) without the norm and to [9] for a reversed version of (1.6). In [4], it is shown that an operator monotone decreasing function $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfies the subadditive inequality

$$f(A + B) \leq f(A) \nabla f(B), \tag{1.7}$$

for the positive matrices A, B .

In Corollary 2.1, we present multiple refinements of (1.7).

The celebrated Ando inequality asserts that if Φ is a positive unital linear map on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are positive operators, then

$$\Phi(A \#_{\alpha} B) \leq \Phi(A) \#_{\alpha} \Phi(B), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \tag{1.8}$$

Recall that, a linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is positive if $\Phi(A)$ is positive whenever A is positive. In Section 3, we improve and extend this result to Uhlmann’s interpolation $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ ($0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$).

A reverse of (1.8) has been shown in [13, Theorem 4] as follows

$$\Phi(A) \# \Phi(B) \leq \left(\frac{(M/m)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (m/M)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \right) \Phi(A \# B), \tag{1.9}$$

whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are two positive operators such that $mA \leq B \leq MA$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, and Φ is a unital positive linear map. In compliance with the theme of this article, we present a refinement of (1.9) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[(Mm)^{-\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(B)) + (Mm)^{\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(A)) \right] dt \\ & \leq \left(\frac{(M/m)^{\frac{1}{4}} + (m/M)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2} \right) \Phi(A \sharp B). \end{aligned}$$

2. On the operator log-convexity

We begin our main results with a refinement of (1.4), as follows.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator monotone decreasing function, then*

$$f(A \nabla_\alpha B) \leq f((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta A) \sharp_\alpha f((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta B) \leq f(A) \sharp_\alpha f(B), \quad (2.1)$$

for any $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$.

Proof. Assume f is operator monotone decreasing (equivalently, operator-log-convex by Lemma 1.1). The following useful identity is easily verified:

$$A \nabla_\alpha B = ((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta A) \nabla_\alpha ((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta B), \quad (2.2)$$

which follows from (1.2) with $A = A \nabla_0 B$ and $B = A \nabla_1 B$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(A \nabla_\alpha B) &= f(((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta A) \nabla_\alpha ((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta B)) \\ &\leq f((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta A) \sharp_\alpha f((A \nabla_\alpha B) \nabla_\beta B) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\leq (f(A \nabla_\alpha B) \sharp_\beta f(A)) \sharp_\alpha (f(A \nabla_\alpha B) \sharp_\beta f(B)) \quad (2.4)$$

$$\leq ((f(A) \sharp_\alpha f(B)) \sharp_\beta f(A)) \sharp_\alpha ((f(A) \sharp_\alpha f(B)) \sharp_\beta f(B)) \quad (2.5)$$

$$= ((f(A) \sharp_\alpha f(B)) \sharp_\beta (f(A) \sharp_0 f(B))) \sharp_\alpha ((f(A) \sharp_\alpha f(B)) \sharp_\beta (f(A) \sharp_1 f(B))) \quad (2.6)$$

$$= f(A) \sharp_{(1-\beta)\alpha + \beta} f(B) \quad (2.7)$$

$$= f(A) \sharp_\alpha f(B),$$

where the inequalities (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) follow directly from the log-convexity assumption on f together with (1.1), the equalities (2.6) and (2.7) are obtained from the property (c1) and (1.2), respectively. This completes the proof. \square

As promised in the introduction, we present the following refinement of Aujla inequality (1.7), as a main application of Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators. If $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an operator monotone decreasing function, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(A+B) &\leq f(3A\nabla B)\sharp f(A\nabla 3B) \\ &\leq f(2A)\sharp f(2B) \\ &\leq f(2A)\nabla f(2B) \\ &\leq f(A)\nabla f(B). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In Theorem 2.1, let $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ and replace (A, B) by $(2A, 2B)$. This implies the first and second inequalities immediately. The third inequality follows from the second inequality in (1.3), while the last inequality follows from the properties of operator means and the fact that f is operator monotone decreasing. \square

REMARK 2.1. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. If f is a function satisfying

$$f(A\nabla_\alpha B) \leq f((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta A) \sharp_\alpha f((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta B), \tag{2.8}$$

for $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then f is operator monotone decreasing. This follows by taking $\beta = 1$ in (2.8) and equivalence of (a) and (b) in Lemma 1.1 above.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators. If $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is operator monotone increasing, then

$$g(A\nabla_\alpha B) \geq g((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta A) \sharp_\alpha g((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta B) \geq g(A) \sharp_\alpha g(B),$$

for any $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$.

Proof. It was shown in [2] that operator monotonicity of g is equivalent to operator log-concavity ($g(A\nabla_\alpha B) \geq g(A) \sharp_\alpha g(B)$). The proof goes in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

REMARK 2.2. In [2, Remark 2.6], we have for non-negative operator monotone decreasing function f , and any operator mean σ and $A, B > 0$,

$$f(A\nabla_\alpha B) \leq f(A) !_\alpha f(B) \leq f(A)\sigma f(B), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Better estimates than (2.9) may be obtained as follows, where $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(A\nabla_\alpha B) &= f(((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta A)\nabla_\alpha((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta B)) \\ &\leq f((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta A) !_\alpha f((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta B) \\ &\leq (f(A\nabla_\alpha B) !_\beta f(A)) !_\alpha (f(A\nabla_\alpha B) !_\beta f(B)) \\ &\leq ((f(A) !_\alpha f(B)) !_\beta f(A)) !_\alpha ((f(A) !_\alpha f(B)) !_\beta f(B)) \\ &= ((f(A) !_\alpha f(B)) !_\beta (f(A) !_0 f(B))) !_\alpha ((f(A) !_\alpha f(B)) !_\beta (f(A) !_1 f(B))) \\ &= f(A) !_{(1-\beta)\alpha+\beta} f(B) \\ &= f(A) !_\alpha f(B) \\ &\leq f(A)\sigma f(B). \end{aligned}$$

In the following we improve the well-known weighted operator arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequalities (1.3).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} A!_{\alpha}B &\leq ((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}A)!_{\alpha}((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}B) \\ &\leq A\sharp_{\alpha}B \\ &\leq ((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}A)\nabla_{\alpha}((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}B) \\ &\leq A\nabla_{\alpha}B, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$.

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 that

$$A\sharp_{\alpha}B = ((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}A)\sharp_{\alpha}((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}B), \quad 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\sharp_{\alpha}B &= ((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}A)\sharp_{\alpha}((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}B) \\ &\leq ((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}A)\nabla_{\alpha}((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}B) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\leq ((A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\nabla_{\beta}A)\nabla_{\alpha}((A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\nabla_{\beta}B) \tag{2.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= ((A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\nabla_{\beta}(A\nabla_0B))\nabla_{\alpha}((A\nabla_{\alpha}B)\nabla_{\beta}(A\nabla_1B)) \\ &= A\nabla_{\alpha}B, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where in the inequalities (2.11) and (2.12) we used the weighted operator arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and the equality (2.13) follows from (1.2). This proves the third and fourth inequalities.

As for the first and second inequalities, replace A and B by A^{-1} and B^{-1} , respectively in

$$A\sharp_{\alpha}B \leq ((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}A)\nabla_{\alpha}((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}B) \leq A\nabla_{\alpha}B,$$

which we have just shown. Then take the inverse to obtain the required results (thanks to the identity $A^{-1}\sharp_{\alpha}B^{-1} = (A\sharp_{\alpha}B)^{-1}$). This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.3. We notice that similar inequalities maybe obtained for any symmetric mean σ , as follows. First, observe that if σ, τ are two symmetric means such that $\sigma \leq \tau$, then the set $T = \{t : 0 \leq t \leq 1 \text{ and } \sigma_t \leq \tau_t\}$ is convex. Indeed, assume $t_1, t_2 \in T$. Then for the positive operators A, B , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\sigma_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}B &= (A\sigma_{t_1}B)\sigma(A\sigma_{t_2}B) \\ &\leq (A\tau_{t_1}B)\tau(A\tau_{t_2}B) \\ &= A\tau_{\frac{t_1+t_2}{2}}B, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the assumptions $\sigma \leq \tau$ and $t_1, t_2 \in T$. This proves that T is convex, and hence $T = [0, 1]$ since $0, 1 \in T$, trivially. Thus, we have shown that if $\sigma \leq \tau$ then $\sigma_{\alpha} \leq \tau_{\alpha}$, for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Now noting that

$$A\sigma_{\alpha}B = ((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}A)\sigma_{\alpha}((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}B),$$

and proceeding as in Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$f(A\nabla_\alpha B) \leq f((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta A) \sigma_\alpha f((A\nabla_\alpha B)\nabla_\beta B) \leq f(A) \sigma_\alpha f(B), \tag{2.14}$$

for any $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and the operator log-convex function f . This provides a more precise estimate than (c) in Lemma 1.1 above.

On the other hand, proceeding as in Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$A\sigma_\alpha B \leq ((A\sigma_\alpha B)\sigma_\beta A) \nabla_\alpha ((A\sigma_\alpha B)\sigma_\beta B) \leq A\nabla_\alpha B, \tag{2.15}$$

observing that $\sigma_\alpha \leq \nabla_\alpha$. This provides a refinement of the latter basic inequality.

In the next result, we aim to provide a more precise estimate than (1.9).

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators such that $mA \leq B \leq MA$ for some scalars $0 < m < M$, and let Φ be a positive linear map. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[(Mm)^{-\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(B)) + (Mm)^{\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(A)) \right] dt \\ & \leq \left(\frac{(M/m)^{\frac{1}{4}} + (m/M)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2} \right) \Phi(A \sharp B). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the assumption $\sqrt{m} \leq (A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{M}$, so

$$0 \leq \left((A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{m} \right) \left(\sqrt{M} - (A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

This implies that

$$\left(\sqrt{M} + \sqrt{m} \right) \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \sqrt{Mm} + A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Multiply both sides by $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ so that

$$\left(\sqrt{M} + \sqrt{m} \right) A \sharp B \geq \sqrt{Mm}A + B.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sqrt{M} + \sqrt{m} \right) \Phi(A \sharp B) \\ & \geq \sqrt{Mm} \Phi(A) + \Phi(B) \\ & \geq \left(\sqrt{Mm} \Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B) \right) \sharp_t \Phi(B) + \left(\sqrt{Mm} \Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B) \right) \sharp_t \sqrt{Mm} \Phi(A) \\ & = (Mm)^{\frac{1-t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(B)) + (Mm)^{\frac{1+t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(A)) \\ & \geq 2(Mm)^{\frac{1}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))) \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Theorem 2.2. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\sqrt{M} + \sqrt{m}}{2(Mm)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) \Phi(A \sharp B) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[(Mm)^{-\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(B)) + (Mm)^{\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(A)) \right] \\ & \geq \Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over t from 0 to 1, yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\sqrt{M} + \sqrt{m}}{2(Mm)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right) \Phi(A \sharp B) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[(Mm)^{-\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(B)) + (Mm)^{\frac{t}{4}} ((\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B)) \sharp_t \Phi(A)) \right] dt \\ & \geq \Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is then completed by noting that $\frac{\sqrt{M} + \sqrt{m}}{2(Mm)^{\frac{1}{4}}} = \frac{(M/m)^{\frac{1}{4}} + (m/M)^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2}$. \square

Taking into account (2.2), it follows that

$$A + B = \alpha A + (1 - \alpha)(A \nabla B) + \alpha B + (1 - \alpha)(A \nabla B).$$

As a consequence of this inequality, we have the following refinement of the well-known triangle inequality

$$\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|.$$

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\|A + B\| \leq \|\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)(A \nabla B)\| + \|\alpha B + (1 - \alpha)(A \nabla B)\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|.$$

REMARK 2.4. Using Corollary 2.4, we obtain the reverse triangle inequalities

$$\|A\| - \|B\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|A \nabla_{-\alpha}(2B)\| + \|A \nabla_{\alpha}(2B)\| - 2\|B\|) \leq \|A - B\|,$$

and

$$\|B\| - \|A\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|B \nabla_{-\alpha}(2A)\| + \|B \nabla_{\alpha}(2A)\| - 2\|A\|) \leq \|A - B\|,$$

where $A \nabla_{\alpha} B := (1 - \alpha)A + \alpha B$ for positive operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

3. A glimpse at the Ando inequality

In this section, we present some versions and improvements of the Ando inequality (1.8).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators and Φ be a unital positive linear map. Then for any $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$,*

$$\Phi(A\sharp_{\alpha}B) \leq \Phi((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}A)\sharp_{\alpha}\Phi((A\sharp_{\alpha}B)\sharp_{\beta}B) \leq \Phi(A)\sharp_{\alpha}\Phi(B). \tag{3.1}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^m A_j\sharp_{\alpha}B_j &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (A_j\sharp_{\alpha}B_j)\sharp_{\beta}A_j \right)\sharp_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (A_j\sharp_{\alpha}B_j)\sharp_{\beta}B_j \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m A_j \right)\sharp_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m B_j \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We omit the proof of (3.1) because it is proved in a way similar to that of (2.1) in Theorem 2.1. Now, if in (3.1) we take $\Phi : M_{nk}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_k(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$\Phi \left(\begin{pmatrix} X_{1,1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & X_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \right) = X_{1,1} + \dots + X_{n,n},$$

and apply Φ to $A = \text{diag}(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and $B = \text{diag}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$, we get (3.2). \square

In the following, we present a more general form of (3.1).

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators and Φ be a unital positive linear map. Then we have the following inequalities for Uhlmann’s interpolation $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A\sigma_{\alpha\beta}B) &\leq \Phi((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}(A\sigma_0B))\sigma_{\alpha}\Phi((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}(A\sigma_1B)) \\ &\leq \Phi(A)\sigma_{\alpha}\Phi(B). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (1.2), we obviously have

$$\begin{aligned} &((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}(A\sigma_0B))\sigma_{\alpha}((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}(A\sigma_1B)) \\ &= (A\sigma_{\alpha(1-\beta)}B)\sigma_{\alpha}(A\sigma_{\alpha(1-\beta)+\beta}B) \\ &= A\sigma_{\alpha}B. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the desired result follows directly from the above identities. \square

REMARK 3.1. From simple calculations, we have the following inequalities for positive operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, a unital positive linear map Φ , and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(A\sigma_{\alpha(1-\beta)+\beta((1-\alpha)\gamma+\alpha\delta)}B) &\leq \Phi((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}((A\sigma_{\gamma}B)))\sigma_{\alpha}\Phi((A\sigma_{\alpha}B)\sigma_{\beta}((A\sigma_{\delta}B))) \\ &\leq \Phi(A)\sigma_{\alpha(1-\beta)+\beta((1-\alpha)\gamma+\alpha\delta)}\Phi(B). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Apparently, (3.3) reduces to (3.1) when $\gamma = 0$, $\delta = 1$, $\sigma_{\alpha} = \sharp_{\alpha}$ and $\sigma_{\beta} = \sharp_{\beta}$.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for carefully reading the manuscript sincerely. The author (S.F.) was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K05257.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. ANDO, *Concavity of certain maps on positive definite matrices and applications to Hadamard products*, Linear Algebra Appl., **26** (1979), 203–241.
- [2] T. ANDO AND F. HIAI, *Operator log-convex functions and operator means*, Math. Ann., **350** (2011), 611–630.
- [3] T. ANDO AND X. ZHAN, *Norm inequalities related to operator monotone functions*, Math. Ann., **315** (1999), 771–780.
- [4] J. S. AUJLA, *Some norm inequalities for completely monotone functions*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., **22**(2) (2000), 569–573.
- [5] J. S. AUJLA, M. S. RAWLA AND H. L. VASUDEVA, *Log-convex matrix functions*, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat., **11** (2000), 19–32.
- [6] J. C. BOURIN AND M. UCHIYAMA, *A matrix subadditivity inequality for $f(A+B)$ and $f(A)+f(B)$* , Linear Algebra Appl., **423** (2007), 512–518.
- [7] J. I. FUJII AND E. KAMEI, *Uhlmann's interpolational method for operator means*, Math. Japon., **34** (4) (1989), 541–547.
- [8] T. FURUTA, J. MIĆIĆ HOT, J. PEČARIĆ AND Y. SEO, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [9] I. H. GÜMÜŞ, H. R. MORADI, AND M. SABABHEH, *Further subadditive matrix inequalities*, Math. Ineq. Appl., in press.
- [10] F. HIAI, *Matrix Analysis: Matrix Monotone Functions, Matrix Means, and Majorization*, Interdisciplinary Information Science, **16** (2010), 139–248.
- [11] F. KUBO AND T. ANDO, *Means of positive linear operators*, Math. Ann., **246** (3) (1979), 205–224.
- [12] J. LAWSON AND Y. LIM, *Monotonic properties of the least squares mean*, Math. Ann., **351** (2011), 267–279.
- [13] E.-Y. LEE, *A matrix reverse Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl., **430** (2009), 805–810.
- [14] Y. LIM, *Convex geometric means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **404** (2013), 115–128.
- [15] H. R. MORADI, Z. HEYDARBEYGI AND M. SABABHEH, *Subadditive inequalities for operators*, Math. Ineq. Appl., **23** (1) (2020), 317–327.

(Received August 7, 2019)

Shigeru Furuichi
Department of Information Science
College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University
3-25-40, Sakurajoyosui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan
e-mail: furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

Hamid Reza Moradi
Department of Mathematics
Payame Noor University (PNU)
P.O. Box, 19395–4697, Tehran, Iran
e-mail: hrmoradi@mshdiau.ac.ir

Mohammad Sababheh
Department of Basic Sciences
Princess Sumaya University for Technology
Amman 11941, Jordan
e-mail: sababheh@yahoo.com; sababheh@psut.edu.jo