

OPTIMAL BOUNDS FOR THE SÁNDOR MEAN IN TERMS OF THE COMBINATION OF GEOMETRIC AND ARITHMETIC MEANS

WEI-MAO QIAN, CHUN-LIN MA AND HUI-ZUO XU*

(Communicated by A. Witkowski)

Abstract. In this paper, we prove that $\lambda = 1/2 - \sqrt{1 - e^{-2/p}}/2$ and $\mu = 1/2 - \sqrt{6p}/(6p)$ are the best possible parameters on the interval $(0, 1/2)$ such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} G^p [\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b, \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)a] A^{1-p}(a, b) &< X(a, b) \\ &< G^p [\mu a + (1 - \mu)b, \mu b + (1 - \mu)a] A^{1-p}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, where $G(a, b)$ is the geometric mean, $A(a, b)$ is the arithmetic mean, and $X(a, b)$ is the Sándor mean.

1. Introduction

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. Then the geometric mean $G(a, b)$, the arithmetic mean $A(a, b)$, first Seiffert mean $P(a, b)$ [4], Sándor mean $X(a, b)$ [6] and r th power mean $M_r(a, b)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G(a, b) &= \sqrt{ab}, \quad A(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2} \\ P(a, b) &= \frac{a-b}{2 \arcsin[(a-b)/(a+b)]}, \quad X(a, b) = A(a, b) e^{G(a, b)/P(a, b)-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$M_r(a, b) = \left(\frac{a^r + b^r}{2} \right)^{1/r} \quad (r \neq 0), \quad M_0(a, b) = a^{1/2} b^{1/2}.$$

It is well known the r th power mean is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $r \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed distinct positive real numbers a and b , and the inequalities

$$H(a, b) = M_{-1}(a, b) < G(a, b) = M_0(a, b) < A(a, b) = M_1(a, b) \quad (1.2)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 26E60.

Keywords and phrases: Sándor mean, geometric mean, arithmetic mean, inequality.

* Corresponding author.

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, where $H(a, b) = 2ab / (a + b)$ is the harmonic mean of a and b . Recently, the Sándor mean has attracted the attention of several researchers. In [7], Sándor established the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 X(a, b) &< \frac{P^2(a, b)}{A(a, b)}, \frac{A(a, b)G(a, b)}{P(a, b)} < X(a, b) < \frac{A(a, b)P(a, b)}{2P(a, b) - G(a, b)}, \\
 \frac{A(a, b)[P(a, b) + G(a, b)]}{3P(a, b) - G(a, b)} &< X(a, b) < A(a, b) \left[\frac{1}{e} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \frac{G(a, b)}{P(a, b)} \right], \\
 A(a, b) + G(a, b) - P(a, b) &< X(a, b) < A^{-1/3}(a, b) \left[\frac{A(a, b) + G(a, b)}{2} \right]^{4/3}, \\
 P^{1/\lceil \log(\pi/2) \rceil}(a, b) A^{1 - 1/\lceil \log(\pi/2) \rceil}(a, b) &< X(a, b) < P^{-1}(a, b) \left[\frac{A(a, b) + G(a, b)}{2} \right]^2
 \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Chu, Yang and Wu [3] proved that the double inequality

$$M_\alpha(a, b) < X(a, b) < M_\beta(a, b) \tag{1.3}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 1/3$ and $\beta \geq \log 2 / (1 + \log 2) = 0.4903 \dots$.

In [8], Zhou et al. proved that the double inequality

$$H_\lambda(a, b) < X(a, b) < H_\mu(a, b)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda \leq 1/2$ and $\mu \geq \log 3 / (1 + \log 2) = 0.6488 \dots$, where $H_q(a, b) = \left[(a^q + (ab)^{q/2} + b^q) / 3 \right]^{1/q}$ ($q \neq 0$) and $H_0(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ is the q th power-type Heronian mean of a and b .

Qian, Chu and Zhang [5] proved that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1 A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_1) H(a, b) &< X(a, b) < \beta_1 A(a, b) + (1 - \beta_1) H(a, b), \\
 \alpha_2 A(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_2) G(a, b) &< X(a, b) < \beta_2 A(a, b) + (1 - \beta_2) G(a, b)
 \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_1 \leq 1/e$, $\beta_1 \geq 2/3$, $\alpha_2 \leq 1/3$ and $\beta_2 \geq 1/e$.

Let $a, b > 0$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $t \in (0, 1/2)$ and

$$GA_{t,p}(a, b) = G^p [ta + (1 - t)b, tb + (1 - t)a] A^{1-p}(a, b). \tag{1.4}$$

It is not difficult to verify that (See [2])

$$GA_{t,1}(a, b) = G[ta + (1 - t)b, tb + (1 - t)a], \tag{1.5}$$

$$GA_{t,2}(a, b) = H[ta + (1 - t)b, tb + (1 - t)a] \tag{1.6}$$

and $GA_{t,p}(a, b)$ is strictly increasing with respect to $t \in (0, 1/2)$ for fixed $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

From (1.2)–(1.6) and monotonicity of the function, we clearly see that

$$\begin{aligned} GA_{1,2}(a,b) = H(a,b) = M_{-1}(a,b) < GA_{1,1}(a,b) = G(a,b) = M_0(a,b) \\ < X(a,b) < M_1(a,b) = A(a,b) = GA_{t,0}(a,b) = GA_{1/2,1/2}(a,b) \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Motivated by inequality (1.7), it is natural to ask, for fixed $p \in [1, \infty)$, what are the best possible parameters $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1/2)$ such that the double inequality

$$GA_{\lambda,p}(a,b) < X(a,b) < GA_{\mu,p}(a,b)$$

holds and $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$? The aim of this paper is to answer this question.

2. Lemmas

In order to prove the desired theorems we need following five Lemmas, which we present in this section.

LEMMA 2.1. (See [1, Theorem 1.25]) *For $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$, let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , and $g'(x) \neq 0$ on (a, b) . If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on (a, b) , then so are*

$$\frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(x) - f(b)}{g(x) - g(b)}.$$

If $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.

LEMMA 2.2. *The inequality*

$$\frac{2}{3p} + e^{-2/p} < 1 \quad (2.1)$$

hold for all $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Proof. It is easy to check that inequality $t/3 + e^{-t} < 1$ for $t \in (0, 2]$. By substituting $t = 2/p$, we obtain Lemma 2.2 immediately. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *The function*

$$\phi(x) = \frac{x^3 \sqrt{1-x^2}}{\arcsin(x) - x\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

decreases on the interval $(0, 1)$ from $3/2$ to 0 .

Proof. Let $\phi_1(x) = x^3\sqrt{1-x^2}$, $\phi_2(x) = \arcsin(x) - x\sqrt{1-x^2}$. Then elaborated computations lead to $\phi_2'(x) = 2x^2/\sqrt{1-x^2} > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, and

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\phi_1(x)}{\phi_2(x)} = \frac{\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(0)}{\phi_2(x) - \phi_2(0)}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\phi_1'(x)}{\phi_2'(x)} = -2x^2 + \frac{3}{2}. \tag{2.3}$$

It is well known that the function $x \mapsto -2x^2 + 3/2$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$, hence (2.3) leads to the conclusion that the function $\phi_1'(x)/\phi_2'(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$.

Note that

$$\phi(0^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi_1'(x)}{\phi_2'(x)} = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \phi(1^-) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.3 follows from (2.2), (2.4) and Lemma 2.1 together with the monotonicity of $\phi_1'(x)/\phi_2'(x)$. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *The function*

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{x^2 \arcsin(x)}{\arcsin(x) - x\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

decreases on the interval $(0, 1)$ from $3/2$ to 1 .

Proof. Let $\varphi_1(x) = x^2 \arcsin(x)$, $\varphi_2(x) = \arcsin(x) - x\sqrt{1-x^2}$. Then simple computations lead to $\varphi_2'(x) = 2x^2/\sqrt{1-x^2} > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, and

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{\varphi_1(x)}{\varphi_2(x)} = \frac{\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_1(0)}{\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_2(0)}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\frac{\varphi_1'(x)}{\varphi_2'(x)} = \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2} \arcsin(x)}{x} + \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Note that $x < \arcsin(x)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, and

$$\frac{d \left[\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2} \arcsin(x)}{x} \right]}{dx} = \frac{x\sqrt{1-x^2} - \arcsin(x)}{x^2\sqrt{1-x^2}} < 0$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Thus the function $x \mapsto \sqrt{1-x^2} \arcsin(x)/x$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$ and so is $\varphi_1'(x)/\varphi_2'(x)$ by (2.6). Moreover,

$$\varphi(0^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi_1'(x)}{\varphi_2'(x)} = \frac{3}{2}, \quad \varphi(1^-) = 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.4 follows from (2.5), (2.7) and Lemma 2.1 together with the monotonicity of $\varphi_1'(x)/\varphi_2'(x)$. \square

LEMMA 2.5. Let $0 < u < 1$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and

$$g(u, p; x) = \frac{1}{2}p \log(1 - ux^2) - \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2} \arcsin(x)}{x} + 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Then the following statements are true:

1. $g(u, p; x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $u \leq 2/(3p)$;
2. $g(u, p; x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $u \geq 1 - e^{-2/p}$.

Proof. From (2.8) and elaborated computations one has

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(u, p; x) = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} g(u, p; x) = \frac{1}{2}p \log(1 - u) + 1, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g(u, p; x)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\arcsin(x) - x\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x^2\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \frac{pux}{1-ux^2} \\ &= \frac{(p-1)x\sqrt{1-x^2} + \arcsin(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}(1-ux^2)} [g_p(x) - u], \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$g_p(x) = \frac{1}{(p-1) \frac{x^3\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\arcsin(x)-x\sqrt{1-x^2}} + \frac{x^2\arcsin(x)}{\arcsin(x)-x\sqrt{1-x^2}}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Lemma 2.3 and 2.4 together with (2.12) show that the function $x \mapsto g_p(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g_p(x) = \frac{2}{3p}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} g_p(x) = 1. \quad (2.13)$$

From Lemma 2.2 we know that the interval on $(0, 1)$ can be expressed by

$$(0, 1) = \left(0, \frac{2}{3p}\right] \cup \left(\frac{2}{3p}, 1 - e^{-\frac{2}{p}}\right) \cup \left[1 - e^{-\frac{2}{p}}, 1\right).$$

Following we divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1. $0 < u \leq 2/(3p)$. Then from (2.11) and (2.13) together with the monotonicity of the function $g_p(x)$ lead to the conclusion that the function $x \mapsto g(u, p; x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$. Therefore, $g(u, p; x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ follows from (2.9).

Case 2. $1 - e^{-2/p} \leq u < 1$. Then from (2.10), (2.11), (2.13), and Lemma 2.2 together with the monotonicity of the function $x \mapsto g_p(x)$, we clearly see that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} g(u, p; x) \leq 0, \quad (2.14)$$

and there exists $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that the function $x \mapsto g(u, p; x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_0)$ and strictly increasing on $(x_0, 1)$. Therefore, $g(u, p; x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ follows easily from (2.9) and (2.14).

Case 3. $2/(3p) < u < 1 - e^{-2/p}$. Then it follows from (2.10), (2.11), (2.13) together with the monotonicity of the function $x \mapsto g_p(x)$ that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} g(u, p; x) > 0, \tag{2.15}$$

and there exists $x_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that the function $x \mapsto g(u, p; x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_1)$ and strictly increasing on $(x_1, 1)$. Combining with (2.9), we conclude that there exists $x^* \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(u, p; x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, x^*)$ and $g(u, p; x) > 0$ for $x \in (x^*, 1)$. \square

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1/2)$, then the double inequality*

$$GA_{\lambda,p}(a, b) < X(a, b) < GA_{\mu,p}(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda \leq 1/2 - \sqrt{1 - e^{-2/p}}/2$ and $\mu \geq 1/2 - \sqrt{6p}/(6p)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a > b > 0$.

Let $x = (a - b) / (a + b)$. Then $x \in (0, 1)$, and equations (1.1) and (1.4) yield

$$X(a, b) = A(a, b) e^{\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2} \arcsin(x)}{x} - 1}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$GA_{t,p}(a, b) = A(a, b) \left[1 - (1 - 2t)^2 x^2 \right]^{p/2}. \tag{3.2}$$

It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left[\frac{GA_{t,p}(a, b)}{X(a, b)} \right] &= \log \left[\frac{GA_{t,p}(a, b)}{A(a, b)} \right] - \log \left[\frac{X(a, b)}{A(a, b)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p \log \left[1 - (1 - 2t)^2 x^2 \right] - \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2} \arcsin(x)}{x} + 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, Theorem 3.1 follows from Lemma 2.5 and (3.3). \square

4. Applications

Let $p = 1, 2$ in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain the following Theorem 4.1 immediately, which provides the sharp one-parameter harmonic and geometric means bounds for the Sándor mean.

THEOREM 4.1. ([8, Theorems 3.3 and 3.4]) *Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in (0, 1/2)$. Then the double inequalities*

$$H[\lambda_1 a + (1 - \lambda_1)b, \lambda_1 b + (1 - \lambda_1)a] < X(a, b) < H[\mu_1 a + (1 - \mu_1)b, \mu_1 b + (1 - \mu_1)a], \\ G[\lambda_2 a + (1 - \lambda_2)b, \lambda_2 b + (1 - \lambda_2)a] < X(a, b) < G[\mu_2 a + (1 - \mu_2)b, \mu_2 b + (1 - \mu_2)a]$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \leq 1/2 - \sqrt{1 - 1/e}/2$, $\mu_1 \geq 1/2 - \sqrt{3}/6$, $\lambda_2 \leq 1/2 - \sqrt{1 - 1/e^2}/2$ and $\mu_2 \geq 1/2 - \sqrt{6}/6$.

Theorem 3.1 and (1.1) also lead to Theorem 4.2, which gives the sharp bound for the first Seiffert mean in terms of the combination of geometric and arithmetic means.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1/2)$. Then the double inequality*

$$\frac{G(a, b)}{\log[GA_{\alpha, p}(a, b)] - \log[A(a, b)] + 1} < P(a, b) < \frac{G(a, b)}{\log[GA_{\beta, p}(a, b)] - \log[A(a, b)] + 1}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 1/2 - \sqrt{6p}/(6p)$ and $\beta \leq 1/2 - \sqrt{1 - e^{-2/p}}/2$.

Let $a > b > 0$, $x = (a - b)/(a + b)$, $\alpha = 1/2 - \sqrt{6p}/(6p)$ and $\beta = 1/2 - \sqrt{1 - e^{-2/p}}/2$. Then from Theorem 4.2 we obtain the new one-parameter bounds for the inverse sine function as follows: for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} p \log \left(1 - x^2 + e^{-2/p} x^2 \right) + 1 \right] < \arcsin(x) \\ < \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} p \log \left(1 - \frac{2}{3p} x^2 \right) + 1 \right]. \quad (4.1)$$

Making use of Lemma 2.1, it is not difficult to verify that the function $t \rightarrow \{\log[1 - x^2 + (1/e^2)^t x^2]\}/t$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$ for fixed $x \in (0, 1)$, while $t \rightarrow [\log(1 - tx^2)]/t$ is decreasing. Changing the variables of the above two functions, we shall find that the function on the left-hand side of (4.1) is strictly decreasing on $p \in [1, \infty)$, while the function on the right-hand side is strictly increasing on $p \in [1, \infty)$. Therefore, the best estimates in (4.1) are arrived at for $p = 1$.

THEOREM 4.3. *The double inequality*

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 - x^2 + (1/e^2)x^2 \right) + 1 \right] < \arcsin(x) \\ < \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 - \frac{2}{3} x^2 \right) + 1 \right].$$

holds for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

Acknowledgements. The authors express their thanks to the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions. The research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61673169, 11301127, 11601485) and the Key Project of the Scientific Research of Zhejiang Open University (Grant no. XKT-19Z02) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province in 2020 (Grant no. Y202043179) and the “312 project of talents cultivation” of Zhejiang Open University (Grant no. 7).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. D. ANDERSON AND M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- [2] Y.-M. CHU AND M.-K. WANG AND Y.-F. QIU AND X.-Y. MA, *Sharp two parameter bounds for the logarithmic mean and the arithmetic-geometric mean of Gauss*, J. Math. Inequal. **7**, 3 (2013), 349–355.
- [3] Y.-M. CHU AND ZH.-H. YANG AND L.-M. WU, *Sharp power mean bounds for Sándor mean*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., Article ID 172867, (2015), 1–9.
- [4] E. NEUMAN AND J. SÁNDOR, *On the Schwab-Borchardt Mean*, Math. Pannon. **14**, 2 (2003), 253–266.
- [5] W.-M. QIAN AND Y.-M. CHU AND X.-H. ZHANG, *Sharp bounds for Sándor mean in terms of arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2015**, 221 (2015), 1–13.
- [6] J. SÁNDOR, *Two sharp inequalities for trigonometric and hyperbolic functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **15**, 2 (2012), 409–413.
- [7] J. SÁNDOR, *On two new means of two variables*, Notes Number Theory Discrete Math **20**, 1 (2014), 1–9.
- [8] S.-S. ZHOU, W.-M. QIAN, Y.-M. CHU, X.-H. ZHANG, *Sharp power-type Heronian mean bounds for the Sándor and Yang means*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2015**, (2015), 1–10.

(Received February 7, 2020)

Wei-Mao Qian
School of Continuing Education
Huzhou Vocational & Technical College
Huzhou Radio & Television University
Huzhou 313000, China
e-mail: qwm661977@126.com

Chun-Lin Ma
Office of Educational Administration
Changji Radio & Television University
Changji, 831100, China
e-mail: 490126646@qq.com

Hui-Zuo Xu
School of Mathematics and Information Engineering
Wenzhou University of Technology
Wenzhou 325000, China
e-mail: huizuoxu@163.com