

ABSOLUTELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING THE COMPLETE ELLIPTIC INTEGRALS OF THE FIRST KIND WITH APPLICATIONS

ZHEN-HANG YANG AND JING-FENG TIAN*

(Communicated by T. Burić)

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{K}(r)$ be the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. In this paper, we prove that the function $F_p(x) = (1-x)^p \exp \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x})$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \leq \pi/8$, and $-F_p'(x)$ is absolutely monotonic on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8$. This generalizes a known result and gives several new inequalities involving the complete elliptic integral of the first kind.

1. Introduction

For real numbers a, b , and c with $-c \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined as

$$F(a, b; c; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

for $x \in (-1, 1)$, where $(a)_n$ denotes Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_n = a(a+1) \cdots (a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(n+a)}{\Gamma(a)},$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a)_0 = 1$ for $a \neq 0$, here $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$ ($x > 0$) is the gamma function. $F(a, b; a+b; x)$ is called a zero-balanced hypergeometric function.

For later use, we list the behavior of the hypergeometric function near $x = 1$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} & \text{if } c > a+b, \\ F(a, b; c; x) = (1-x)^{c-a-b} F(c-a, c-b; c; x) & \text{if } c < a+b; \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 33E05, 26A48; Secondary 40A05, 29B62.

Keywords and phrases: Complete elliptic integrals of the first kind, absolute monotonicity, hypergeometric series, recurrence method, inequality.

This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant 2015ZD29.

* Corresponding author.

in the case of $c = a + b$, $F(a, b; c; x)$ is called zero-balanced function, which satisfies the asymptotic relation

$$F(a, b; a + b; x) = \frac{R(a, b) - \ln(1 - x)}{B(a, b)} + O((1 - x) \ln(1 - x)) \tag{1.2}$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$, where

$$B(z, w) = \frac{\Gamma(z)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma(z + w)}, \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(w) > 0$$

is the classical beta function and

$$R(a, b) = -2\gamma - \psi(a) - \psi(b), \tag{1.3}$$

here $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z) / \Gamma(z)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ is the psi function and γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

The complete elliptic integrals $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ of the first kind and second kind are defined on $(0, 1)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(r) &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - r^2 \sin^2 t}} dt, \\ \mathcal{E}(r) &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - r^2 \sin^2 t} dt, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. They can also be expressed by the Gaussian hypergeometric function

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; r^2\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)_n^2}{(n!)^2} r^{2n}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; r^2\right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/2)_n (1/2)_n}{(n!)^2} r^{2n}. \tag{1.5}$$

By the asymptotic relation (1.2) it holds that

$$\mathcal{K}(r) \sim \ln \frac{4}{r'} \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1^-, \tag{1.6}$$

where and in what follows $r' = \sqrt{1 - r^2}$. Due to the importance of the complete elliptic integrals in different branches of mathematics such as geometric function theory and quasiconformal mappings, a variety of properties of combinations of them and other elementary functions including monotonicity, convexity and inequalities have been widely studied, see for example, [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], and recent papers [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18].

In 1992, Anderson, Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen [3, Conjecture 3.1 (6)] conjectured that

$$(r')^2 \leq \frac{r' \exp \mathcal{K}(r) - 4}{\exp(\pi/2) - 4} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{1 - r}}{2 - r} \tag{1.7}$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$. This was solved by Qiu, Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen [6] and was improved as

$$(r')^2 < \frac{r' \exp \mathcal{K}(r) - 4}{\exp(\pi/2) - 4} < r' < \frac{2\sqrt{1-r}}{2-r}$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$. In 1996, Qiu and Vamanamurthy [6, Theorem 1.2] showed the function $r \mapsto r' \exp \mathcal{K}(r)$ is strictly decreasing and concave from $(0, 1)$ onto $(4, e^{\pi/2})$.

Recently, Yang, Qian and Chu [10, Theorem 3.1] proved that the function $r \mapsto (r')^p \exp \mathcal{K}(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \leq \pi/4$ and strictly decreasing on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \geq 1$.

Recall that a function f is called absolutely monotonic (AM, for short) on the interval I if it has nonnegative derivatives of all orders in the region, that is,

$$f^{(k)}(x) \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in I \text{ and } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(see [19]). Clearly, if $f(x)$ is a power series converging on $(0, c)$ ($c > 0$), then $f(x)$ is AM on $(0, c)$ if and only if all coefficients of $f(x)$ are nonnegative.

The aim of this paper is to study the absolute monotonicity of the functions

$$F_p(x) = (1-x)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x})} \tag{1.8}$$

and $\ln F_p(x)$ on $(0, 1)$. Our results are contained in the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let F_p be defined on $(0, 1)$ by (1.8).*

- (i) $-(\ln F_p)'$ is AM on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \geq 1/2$.
- (ii) F_p is AM on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \leq \pi/8 = 0.392\dots$

THEOREM 2. *Let F_p be defined on $(0, 1)$ by (1.8).*

- (i) $-F_p'$ is AM on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8 = 1.340\dots$
- (ii) F_p is AM on $(0, 1)$ if and only if $p \leq \pi/8 = 0.392\dots$

REMARK 1. Taking $p = 1/2$ in Theorem 2, we immediately see that the function the function $F_p(r^2) = r' \exp \mathcal{K}(r)$ is strictly decreasing and concave from $(0, 1)$ onto $(4, e^{\pi/2})$. So Theorem 2 is a generalization of Qiu and Vamanamurthy’s result in [6, Theorem 1.2].

2. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

For convenience, we use W_n to denote the Wallis ratio:

$$W_n = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(n+1)}.$$

Clearly, W_n has the following properties:

- (i) W_n satisfies the recurrence relation

$$W_{n+1} = \frac{n+1/2}{n+1} W_n; \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) W_n satisfies the inequality (see [20])

$$W_n < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n+1/4)}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Moreover, using the notation W_n , $\mathcal{K}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$ can be represented as

$$\mathcal{K}(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; r^2; \right) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n^2 r^{2n}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\mathcal{E}(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} F\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; r^2\right) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_n^2}{2n-1} r^{2n}. \quad (2.4)$$

We now establish the recurrence relations of coefficients of the power series of $F_p(x)$ and $\ln F_p(x)$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $x \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$. (i) $\ln F_p(x)$ has the power series representation*

$$\ln F_p(x) = \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x}) + p \ln(1-x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n, \quad (2.5)$$

with $b_0 = \pi/2$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} n W_n^2 - p \right). \quad (2.6)$$

(ii) $F_p(x)$ has the power series representation

$$F_p(x) = (1-x)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n, \quad (2.7)$$

where the coefficients $a_n = a_n(p)$ satisfy: $a_0 = e^{\pi/2}$, $a_1 = (\pi/8 - p)e^{\pi/2}$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k b_k a_{n-k}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. (i) Using (1.4), (2.3) and

$$\ln(1-x) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n},$$

we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln F_p(x) &= \mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x}) + p \ln(1-x) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n^2 x^n - p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} n W_n^2 - p \right) \frac{x^n}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first assertion.

(ii) It is clear that

$$F_p(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

Now, differentiation yields

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n b_n x^{n-1}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n b_n x^{n-1}\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1},$$

then multiplying x gives

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n b_n x^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n x^n.$$

Using Cauchy product formula and comparing the coefficients of x^n , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k b_k a_{n-k} = n a_n,$$

which implies (2.8). This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 2. Let b_n be defined by (2.6) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (i) $b_n < 0$ if $p \geq 1/2$ and $b_n > 0$ if $p \leq \pi/8$; (ii) the sequence $\{(n+1)b_{n+1}/(nb_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing if $p \geq 1/2$.

Proof. To prove the desired results, we need to prove the sequence $\{nW_n^2\}_{n \geq 1}$ is strictly increasing with

$$\frac{1}{4} \leq nW_n^2 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nW_n^2) = \frac{1}{\pi}.$$

In fact, using the recurrence relation (2.1), we have

$$(n+1)W_{n+1}^2 - nW_n^2 = (n+1) \left(\frac{n+1/2}{n+1}\right)^2 W_n^2 - nW_n^2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{W_n^2}{n+1} > 0,$$

which implies that the sequence $\{nW_n^2\}_{n \geq 1}$ is strictly increasing. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{4} = 1 \times W_1^2 \leq nW_n^2 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nW_n^2) = \frac{1}{\pi},$$

where the limit relation holds due to

$$nW_n^2 = n \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(n+1)} \right]^2 \sim \frac{1}{\pi} n \times (n^{1/2-1})^2 = \frac{1}{\pi},$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, with the aid of the above fact, we prove the desired results.

(i) If $p \geq 1/2$ then

$$nb_n = \frac{\pi}{2}nW_n^2 - p \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} = 0.$$

If $p \leq \pi/8$, then

$$nb_n = \frac{\pi}{2}nW_n^2 - p \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\pi}{8} = 0.$$

(ii) To show the sequence $\{(n+1)b_{n+1}/(nb_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is increasing if $p \geq 1/2$, it suffices to check that $(n+1)b_{n+1}(n-1)b_{n-1} - (nb_n)^2 > 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Using the recurrence relation (2.1) and simplifying yield

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+1)b_{n+1}(n-1)b_{n-1} - (nb_n)^2 \\ &= \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(n+1) \left(\frac{n+1/2}{n+1} \right)^2 W_n^2 - p \right] \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(n-1) \left(\frac{n}{n-1/2} \right)^2 W_n^2 - p \right] - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}nW_n^2 - p \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\pi}{8} \frac{W_n^2}{(n+1)(2n-1)^2} \vartheta_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vartheta_n = (8n-1)p - 4\pi n^2 W_n^2.$$

Utilizing the known Wallis inequality (2.2) and $2p \geq 1$, we get

$$\vartheta_n > (8n-1) \frac{1}{2} - 4\pi n^2 \frac{1}{\pi(n+1/4)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4n-1}{4n+1} > 0,$$

which proves the monotonicity of the sequence $\{(n+1)b_{n+1}/(nb_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorems 1 and 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) If $-(\ln F_p)'$ is AM on $(0, 1)$, then $b_n \leq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. This yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nb_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}nW_n^2 - p \right) = \frac{1}{2} - p \leq 0,$$

that is, $p \geq 1/2$. Conversely, when $p \geq 1/2$, by (i) of Lemma 2 we see that $nb_n < 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, which implies so is b_n .

(ii) If $\ln F_p$ is AM on $(0, 1)$, then $b_1 = \pi/8 - p \geq 0$, that is, $p \leq \pi/8$. Conversely, if $p \leq \pi/8$ then $nb_n \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ due to (i) of Lemma 2, thereby completing the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. (i) The recurrence relation (2.8) can be written as

$$a_n = b_n a_0 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k b_k a_{n-k}. \tag{2.9}$$

Then

$$a_{n+1} = b_{n+1} a_0 + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1) b_{k+1} a_{n-k}. \tag{2.10}$$

Eliminating a_0 from the above two relations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} a_n &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1) b_{k+1} a_{n-k} - \frac{1}{n} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k b_k a_{n-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} b_1 a_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(k+1) b_{k+1}}{n+1} - \frac{b_{n+1}}{n b_n} k b_k \right) a_{n-k}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by an arrangement, gives

$$a_{n+1} = \left(\frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} + \frac{b_1}{n+1} \right) a_n + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{(k+1) b_{k+1}}{k b_k} - \frac{(n+1) b_{n+1}}{n b_n} \right) k b_k a_{n-k}. \tag{2.11}$$

Now, if $-F'_p$ is AM on $(0, 1)$, then

$$F'_p(x) = \left[(1-x) \frac{\pi}{8} F \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; x \right) - p \right] (1-x)^{p-1} e^{K(\sqrt{x})} \leq 0$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. This yields

$$p \geq \frac{\pi}{8} (1-x) F \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 2; x \right)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. By the formulas (1.1) we have

$$p \geq \frac{\pi}{8} \sup_{x \in (0,1)} \left[F \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2; x \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

which gives the first necessary condition. The second follows from

$$a_1 = \left(\frac{\pi}{8} - p \right) e^{\pi/2} < 0 \text{ and } a_2 = \frac{64p^2 - 16(\pi+4)p + \pi^2 + 9\pi}{128} e^{\pi/2} \leq 0,$$

which implies $p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi}) / 8 = 1.340\dots$

Assume that $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi}) / 8$. We have known that $a_1, a_2 < 0$. Suppose that $a_n \leq 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq m$. We prove $a_{m+1} \leq 0$ by induction. Let us return to the recurrence relation (2.11).

By Lemma 2, we see that $((k+1) b_{k+1} / (k b_k) - (n+1) b_{n+1} / (n b_n)) < 0$ and $k b_k < 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. If we check $(b_{n+1} / b_n + b_1 / (n+1)) > 0$ for $2 \leq n \leq m$, then $a_{m+1} < 0$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} + \frac{b_1}{n+1} &= \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{(n+1) b_{n+1}}{n b_n} + \frac{b_1}{n+1} > \frac{n}{n+1} \frac{3b_3}{2b_2} + \frac{b_1}{n+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left(n \frac{3b_3}{2b_2} + b_1 \right) > \frac{1}{n+1} \left[2 \frac{75\pi/512 - p}{9\pi/64 - p} + \left(\frac{1}{8} \pi - p \right) \right] \\ &= - \frac{512p^2 - 8p(17\pi + 128) + 3\pi(3\pi + 50)}{8(64p - 9\pi)(n+1)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8$. The sufficiency thus follows.

(ii) The necessary condition for F_p to be AM on $(0, 1)$ follows from $a_1 = (\pi/8 - p)e^{\pi/2} \geq 0$. Suppose that $p \leq \pi/8$. A direct verification gives $a_0 = e^{\pi/2} > 0$,

$$a_2 = \frac{64p^2 - 16(\pi + 4)p + \pi^2 + 9\pi}{128} e^{\pi/2} > 0.$$

Assume that $a_n \geq 0$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$. By Lemma 2, we see that $b_k \geq 0$ for $p \leq \pi/8$ and $k \geq 1$, by the recurrence formula (2.8) we immediately get $a_{m+1} > 0$. Using the induction we arrive at $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

This completes the proof. \square

3. Several functional inequalities

As applications, we give several functional inequalities involving the complete elliptic integral of the first kind $\mathcal{K}(r)$.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $a_k = a_k(p)$ for $k \geq 0$ be defined by (2.8) with $a_0 = e^{\pi/2}$. The double inequality

$$\ln \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(q) r^{2k}}{(r')^{2q}} < \mathcal{K}(r) < \ln \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(p) r^{2k}}{(r')^{2p}} \tag{3.1}$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1)$ if $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8$ and $q \leq \pi/8$.

Proof. By Theorem 2 the inequality

$$G_{p,n}(x) := (1-x)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x})} - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(p) x^k = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k(p) x^k < 0 \tag{3.2}$$

holds for $x \in (0, 1)$ if $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8$. Set $x = r^2$. Then this inequality implies the second one of (3.1). Similarly, the inequality $G_{q,n}(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, 1)$ if $q \leq \pi/8$ implies the first one of (3.1). This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2. Taking $n = 0$ in the double inequality (3.1) yields

$$\frac{\pi}{2} + 2q \ln \frac{1}{r'} < \mathcal{K}(r) < \frac{\pi}{2} + 2p \ln \frac{1}{r'} \tag{3.3}$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$ with the best constants $p = 1/2$ and $q = \pi/8$. This result was proven in [10, Corollary 3.6]. Evidently, Proposition (1) is a generalization of [10, Corollary 3.6].

Note that the function $x \mapsto x^{-n-1} G_{1/2,n}(x)$ is strictly decreasing with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_{1/2,n}(x)}{x^{n+1}} = a_{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{G_{1/2,n}(x)}{x^{n+1}} = 4 - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

We have

$$\left[4 - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right] x^{n+1} < \sqrt{1-x} e^{\mathcal{X}(\sqrt{x})} - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) x^k < a_{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) x^{n+1}$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. We obtain therefore the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $a_k = a_k(p)$ for $k \geq 0$ be defined by (2.8) with $a_0 = e^{\pi/2}$. The double inequality

$$\ln \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n a_k (1/2) r^{2k} + [4 - \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (1/2)] r^{2n+2}}{r'} < \mathcal{X}(r) < \ln \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} a_k (1/2) r^{2k}}{r'}$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1)$. The lower and upper bounds are sharp.

REMARK 3. Taking $n = 0$ in Proposition 2 yields

$$\ln \frac{e^{\pi/2} + (4 - e^{\pi/2}) r^2}{r'} < \mathcal{X}(r) < \ln \frac{e^{\pi/2} + (\pi/8 - 1/2) e^{\pi/2} r^2}{r'} \tag{3.4}$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$. The left hand side inequality of (3.4) was proven in [6, Eq. (1.4)], while the right hand side one seems to be a newcomer. Furthermore, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. Let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. The double inequality

$$\ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{r'} + (e^{\pi/2} - \alpha) r' \right) < \mathcal{X}(r) < \ln \left(\frac{\beta}{r'} + (e^{\pi/2} - \beta) r' \right) \tag{3.5}$$

holds for $r \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 4$ and $\beta \geq \beta_0 = (\pi + 4) e^{\pi/2} / 8 = 4.294 \dots$

Proof. Necessity. The necessary conditions for the double inequality (3.5) to hold for $r \in (0, 1)$ can be deduced from the following limit relations

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{r'} + (e^{\pi/2} - \alpha) r' \right) - \mathcal{X}(r) \right] \leq 0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{X}(r) - \ln \left(\beta/r' + (e^{\pi/2} - \beta) r' \right)}{r^2} \leq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Using the asymptotic formula (1.6) we have, as $r \rightarrow 1^-$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{r'} + (e^{\pi/2} - \alpha) r' \right) - \mathcal{X}(r) &\sim \ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{r'} + (e^{\pi/2} - \alpha) r' \right) - \ln \frac{4}{r'} \\ &= \ln \left(\frac{\alpha}{4} + \frac{e^{\pi/2} - \alpha}{4} (r')^2 \right) \rightarrow \ln \frac{\alpha}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.6) implies $\alpha \leq 4$. Expanding in power series leads to

$$\mathcal{K}(r) - \ln\left(\beta/r' + (e^{\pi/2} - \beta)r'\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}r^2\right) - \frac{\pi}{2} - \left(\beta e^{-\pi/2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)r^2 + O(r^4),$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$, which gives

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{K}(r) - \ln(\beta/r' + (e^{\pi/2} - \beta)r')}{r^2} = -\left(\beta - \frac{\pi + 4}{8}e^{\pi/2}\right)e^{-\pi/2}.$$

This in combination with (3.7) indicates $\beta \geq (\pi + 4)e^{\pi/2}/8 = \beta_0$.

Sufficiency. Since the function $\alpha \mapsto \ln(\alpha/r' + (e^{\pi/2} - \alpha)r')$ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$, it suffices to prove the double inequality holds for $r \in (0, 1)$ when $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = \beta_0$. Since the double inequality (3.4) can be written as

$$\ln\left(\frac{4}{r'} + (e^{\pi/2} - 4)r'\right) < \mathcal{K}(r) < \ln\left(\frac{\beta_0}{r'} + (e^{\pi/2} - \beta_0)r'\right),$$

the sufficiency follows. This completes the proof. \square

A function $f : (a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be superadditive if

$$f(x) + f(y) \leq f(x + y) \text{ for } x, y \in (a, \infty). \tag{3.8}$$

If $-f$ is superadditive, then f is called subadditive on (a, ∞) (see [21]). Petrović [22] showed that every convex function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a functional inequality

$$f(x) + f(y) \leq f(0) + f(x + y) \text{ for } x, y \in [0, \infty) \tag{3.9}$$

(see [22]). In fact, this conclusion is also true for any interval $[0, a)$ ($a > 0$). Let $G_{p,n}(x)$ be as in (3.2). It is clear that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} G_{p,n}(x) = 0.$$

By Theorem 2 we immediately get the double inequality

$$2G_{p,n}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) < G_{p,n}(x) + G_{p,n}(y) < G_{p,n}(x+y)$$

for $x, y, x+y \in (0, 1)$ if $p \leq \pi/8$. The double inequality is reversed if $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8$.

PROPOSITION 3. *If $p \leq \pi/8$, then the double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\left(1 - \frac{x+y}{2}\right)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{(x+y)/2})} + 2\sum_{k=0}^n a_k(p) \left[\frac{x^k + y^k}{2} - \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^k\right] \\ & < (1-x)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x})} + (1-y)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{y})} \\ & < (1-x-y)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x+y})} + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(p) [x^k + y^k - (x+y)^k] \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

holds for $x, y, x+y \in (0, 1)$. The inequalities (3.10) are reversed if

$$1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8.$$

Taking $n = 0$ in Proposition 3 and noting $a_0(p) = e^{\pi/2}$, we have

COROLLARY 2. *If $p \leq \pi/8$, then the double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \left(1 - \frac{x+y}{2}\right)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{(x+y)/2})} &< (1-x)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x})} + (1-y)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{y})} \\ &< (1-x-y)^p e^{\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{x+y})} + e^{\pi/2} \end{aligned}$$

holds for $x, y, x+y \in (0, 1)$. It is reversed if $1/2 \leq p \leq (\pi + 4 + \sqrt{16 - \pi})/8$.

REMARK 4. Putting $p = 1/2$ and letting $y = 1 - r^2$, $x \rightarrow r^2$ in the above corollary we obtain

$$4 + e^{\pi/2} < r' e^{\mathcal{K}(r)} + r e^{\mathcal{K}(r')} < \sqrt{2} e^{\mathcal{K}(1/\sqrt{2})} = \sqrt{2} \exp\left(\frac{\Gamma(1/4)^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}}\right) \quad (3.11)$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$, here we have used the asymptotic formula (1.6) and the identity

$$\mathcal{K}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^4}} = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

Clearly, the lower and upper bounds are sharp.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. BORWEIN, P. B. BORWEIN, *Pi and the AGM*, John Wiley and Sons, 1987, New York.
- [2] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Functional inequalities for complete elliptic integrals and their ratios*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21** (1990), no. 2, 536–549.
- [3] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Functional inequalities for hypergeometric functions and complete elliptic integrals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **23** (1992), no. 2, 512–524.
- [4] G. D. ANDERSON, R. W. BARNARD, K. C. RICHARDS, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Inequalities for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 5, 1713–1723.
- [5] S.-L. QIU, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, *Sharp estimates for complete elliptic integrals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **27** (1996), 823–834.
- [6] S.-L. QIU, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, M. VUORINEN, *Some inequalities for the growth of elliptic integrals*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **29** (1998), 1224–1237.
- [7] S. L. QIU, M. VUORINEN, *Duplication inequalities for the ratios of hypergeometric functions*, Forum Math. **12** (2000), 109–133.
- [8] H. ALZER, S.-L. QIU, *Monotonicity theorems and inequalities for the complete elliptic integrals*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **172** (2004), 289–312.
- [9] H. ALZER, K. RICHARDS, *Inequalities for the ratio of complete elliptic integrals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (2017), no. 4, 1661–1670.
- [10] Z.-H. YANG, W.-M. QIAN, YU-M., CHU, *Monotonicity properties and bounds involving the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **21** (2018), no. 4, 1185–1199.
- [11] K. C. RICHARDS, *A note on inequalities for the ratio of zero-balanced hypergeometric functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **6** (2019), 15–20.
- [12] Z.-H. YANG, J. TIAN, *Sharp inequalities for the generalized elliptic integrals of the first kind*, Ramujan J. **48** (2019), 91–116.
- [13] Z.-H. YANG, J.-F. TIAN, *Convexity and monotonicity for elliptic integrals of the first kind and applications*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **13** (2019), 240–260.

- [14] M.-K. WANG, W. ZHANG, Y.-M. CHU, *Monotonicity, convexity and inequalities involving the generalized elliptic integrals*, Acta Math. Sci. **39B** (2019), no. 5, 1440–1450.
- [15] Z.-H. YANG, Y.-M. CHU, W. ZHANG, *High accuracy asymptotic bounds for the complete elliptic integral of the second kind*, Appl. Math. Comput. **348** (2019), 552–564.
- [16] Z.-H. YANG, J.-F. TIAN, Y.-R. ZHU, *A rational approximation for the complete elliptic integral of the first kind*, Math. **8** (2020), 635.
- [17] Z.-H. YANG, W.-M. QIAN, W. ZHANG, Y.-M. CHU, *Notes on the complete elliptic integral of the first kind*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **23** (2020), no. 1, 77–93.
- [18] M.-K. WANG, H.-H. CHU, Y.-M. LI, Y.-M. CHU, *Positive answers to three conjectures on the convexity of the complete elliptic integral proposed by Yang and Tian*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. **14** (2020), 255–271.
- [19] D. V. WIDDER, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941/1946.
- [20] C.-P. CHEN, F. QI, *The best bounds in Wallis' inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2004), no. 2, 397–401.
- [21] R. A. ROSENBAUM, *Subadditive functions*, Duke Math. J. **17** (1950), 227–242.
- [22] M. PETROVIĆ, *Sur une équation fonctionnelle*, Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade **1** (1932), 149–156.

(Received February 25, 2021)

Zhen-Hang Yang
Engineering Research Center of Intelligent Computing for
Complex Energy Systems of Ministry of Education
North China Electric Power University
Yonghua Street 619, 071003, Baoding, P. R. China
and
Zhejiang Society for Electric Power
Hangzhou, Zhejiang, 310014, P. R. China
e-mail: yzhkm@163.com

Jing-Feng Tian
Department of Mathematics and Physics
North China Electric Power University
Yonghua Street 619, 071003, Baoding, P. R. China
e-mail: tianjef@ncepu.edu.cn