

## A NOTE ON “AN EIGENVALUE INEQUALITY FOR POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE $k \times k$ BLOCK MATRICES”

FENG ZHANG

(Communicated by M. Krnić)

*Abstract.* Zhang and Xu recently obtained some new matrix norm inequalities in [1]. In this note, we provide alternative proofs and some applications for these results.

### 1. Introduction

Given a real vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ , we rearrange its components as  $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$ . For  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$ , if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

then we say that  $x$  is weakly majorized by  $y$  denoted  $x \prec_w y$ . If  $x \prec_w y$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$  hold, we say that  $x$  is majorized by  $y$  denoted  $x \prec y$ .

Let  $M_n$  be the set of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. The identity matrix of  $M_n$  is denoted by  $I$ . In [1], Zhang and Xu obtained the following result

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k \in M_n$  with  $A_i^* A_j = -A_j^* A_i, (1 \leq i < j \leq k)$ . Then*

$$\lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^k A_i A_i^* \right) \prec \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^k A_i^* A_i \right).$$

The way that Zhang and Xu proved Theorem 1 is original. Considering that alternative proofs may provide new perspectives to these elegant results, we take the chance to do it here.

Recall that a norm  $\|\bullet\|$  on  $M_n$  is unitarily invariant if  $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$  for any unitary matrices  $U, V \in M_n$  and any  $A \in M_n$ . In the sequel,  $\|\bullet\|$  stands for any unitarily invariant norm. Due to Ky Fan’s result (see [2]), it’s known that  $\|X\| \leq \|Y\|$  if and only if  $s(X) \prec_w s(Y)$  for  $X, Y \in M_n$ . Let  $f$  be a convex increasing function on  $[0, +\infty)$ . If  $x \prec_w y$ , then [2]

$$f(x) \prec_w f(y). \tag{1}$$

We use the following notations throughout this paper:

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 15A42, 06A06.

*Keywords and phrases:* Norm inequality, block matrix.

1. For  $A \in M_n$ ,  $s_i(A)$  is the  $i$ -th largest singular value of  $A$  and  $s(A) = (s_1(A), \dots, s_n(A))$ .
2.  $\lambda_i(A)$  is the  $i$ -th largest eigenvalue of  $A$  and  $\lambda(A) = (\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A))$ .
3.  $s_i(A) = \lambda_i(|A|)$  for  $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , where  $A^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $A$ .
4. When  $A, B$  are Hermitian,  $A \geq B$  means that  $A - B$  is positive semidefinite.
5.  $A \oplus B$  is the direct sum of  $A$  and  $B$ .
6. We use  $[X_{ij}]$  to present a block matrix in which the  $i, j$ -th block is  $X_{ij}$ .

For  $a \in [0, 1]$ , Audenaert proved in [3] that

$$\|AB^*\|^2 \leq \|aA^*A + (1-a)B^*B\| \times \|(1-a)A^*A + aB^*B\|. \tag{2}$$

As explained in [3], inequality (2) interpolates between the Arithmetic–Geometric mean ( $a = \frac{1}{2}$ ) and Cauchy–Schwarz ( $a = 0$ ) matrix norm inequalities.

In [5], Zou and Jiang proved that

$$\|AB\| \leq \frac{1}{4a(1-a)} \|(aA + (1-a)B)^2\| \times \|((1-a)A + aB)^2\|. \tag{3}$$

In [6], Wu obtained a generalization of inequality (3) as follows

$$\| |AB|^{2r} \| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{4a(1-a)} \right]^r \| (aA + (1-a)B)^{2rp} \|^{1/p} \times \| ((1-a)A + aB)^{2rq} \|^{1/q}, \tag{4}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $p, q > 1$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $r \geq \max\left\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\right\}$ .

Further, we notice that inequality (4) is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \| |XY^*|^{2r} \| &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{4a(1-a)} \right]^r \left\| \left( a(X^*X)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a)(Y^*Y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rp} \right\|^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left( (1-a)(X^*X)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a(Y^*Y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rq} \right\|^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

As an application of our proof, we use block matrix technique to present some generalizations of inequality (2) and inequality(5).

The following Lemma will be needed in our proof.

LEMMA 2. [4] *Let  $A, B \in M_n$  be Hermitian matrices. Then  $2\lambda(A) \prec \lambda(A - B) + \lambda(A + B)$ .*

### 2. Main result

In this section, we present the new proof of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We use mathematical induction to prove this result. The base case  $m = 2$ , i.e. Theorem 1.1 was treated in [4].

Suppose the asserted inequality is true for  $m = k$  for some  $k \geq 2$ .

Then we consider the  $m = k + 1$  case.

In this case, we consider the following matrices:

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k-1}, A_k + A_{k+1}$$

and

$$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{k-1}, A_k - A_{k+1},$$

where  $A_i^* A_j = -A_j^* A_i$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$ ).

By the inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i A_i^* + (A_k + A_{k+1})(A_k + A_{k+1})^* \right) \\ & \prec \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i^* A_i + (A_k + A_{k+1})^* (A_k + A_{k+1}) \right) \\ & = \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i^* A_i + (A_k^* A_k + A_{k+1}^* A_{k+1} + A_k^* A_{k+1} + A_{k+1}^* A_k) \right) \\ & = \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i^* A_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i A_i^* + (A_k - A_{k+1})(A_k - A_{k+1})^* \right) \\ & \prec \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i^* A_i + (A_k - A_{k+1})^* (A_k - A_{k+1}) \right) \\ & = \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i^* A_i + (A_k^* A_k + A_{k+1}^* A_{k+1} - A_k^* A_{k+1} - A_{k+1}^* A_k) \right) \\ & = \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i^* A_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed to estimating the eigenvalue of  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i A_i^*$ , by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i A_i^* \right) \\ & \prec \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i A_i^* + A_k A_k^* + A_{k+1} A_{k+1}^* + A_k A_{k+1}^* + A_{k+1} A_k^* \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i A_i^* + A_k A_k^* + A_{k+1} A_{k+1}^* - A_k A_{k+1}^* - A_{k+1} A_k^* \right) \\ & \prec \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i A_i^* + (A_k + A_{k+1})(A_k + A_{k+1})^* \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i A_i^* + (A_k - A_{k+1})(A_k - A_{k+1})^* \right) \\ & \prec \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i^* A_i \right) + \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i^* A_i \right) \right] = \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i^* A_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the asserted inequality is true for  $m = k + 1$ , so the proof of induction step is complete.  $\square$

REMARK 3. For  $s \times t$  ( $s > t$ ) matrices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  with  $A_i^* A_j = -A_j^* A_i$  ( $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ ), by Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^k A_i A_i^* \right) &= \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^k [A_i \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} A_i^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &\prec \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} A_i^* \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [A_i \ 0] \right) \\ &\prec \lambda \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^k A_i^* A_i \right) \oplus 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we show the following Proposition:

PROPOSITION 4. [1] Let  $H = [A_{ij}] \in M_{sn}$  ( $s \geq 2$ ) be positive semidefinite matrix with  $A_{ij} = -A_{ij}^*$  ( $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ). Then

$$\lambda(H) \prec \lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^s A_{ii} \oplus 0 \right). \tag{6}$$

THEOREM 5. Let  $A_i, B_i \in M_n, a \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i B_i^* \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) B_i^* B_i \right\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) A_i^* A_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a B_i^* B_i \right\|,$$

where  $A_i^*A_j = -A_j^*A_i$ ,  $B_i^*B_j = -B_j^*B_i$  ( $i \neq j$ ).

*Proof.* By inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i B_i^* \oplus 0 \right\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & \cdots & A_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & \cdots & B_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^* \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \|a[A_i^*A_j] + (1-a)[B_i^*B_j]\| \|(1-a)[A_i^*A_j] + a[B_i^*B_j]\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M = [A_i^*A_j]$  and  $N = [B_i^*B_j]$ .

By inequality (6), we obtain

$$\|aM + (1-a)N\| \leq \left\| a \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^*A_i + (1-a)B_i^*B_i \oplus 0 \right\|$$

and

$$\|(1-a)M + aN\| \leq \left\| (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^*A_i + aB_i^*B_i \oplus 0 \right\|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i B_i^* \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n aA_i^*A_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a)B_i^*B_i \right\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a)A_i^*A_i + \sum_{i=1}^n aB_i^*B_i \right\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 6. Let  $n = 2$ ,  $A_1 = B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $A_2 = B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A_1^*A_2 \neq A_2^*A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1^*B_2 \neq B_2^*B_1$$

and

$$A_1A_1^* + A_2A_2^* = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_1(A_1A_1^* + A_2A_2^*) = \|A_1A_1^* + A_2A_2^*\|_1 > \|A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2\|_1 = \lambda_1(A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2)$$

without  $A_i^*A_j = -A_j^*A_i$ ,  $B_i^*B_j = -B_j^*B_i$  ( $i \neq j$ ) and  $\|A\|_1 = s_1(A)$ .

COROLLARY 7. [3] Let  $A, B \in M_n$ . Then

$$\|AB^*\|^2 \leq \|aA^*A + (1-a)B^*B\| \times \|(1-a)A^*A + aB^*B\|.$$

Next we give a generalization of inequality (5), we require the following result for our purpose.

LEMMA 8. [2] Let  $0 \leq A \leq B$  and  $0 < t < 1$ , then  $A^t \leq B^t$ .

THEOREM 9. Let  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $p, q > 1$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $r \geq \max\{\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i^* \right|^{2r} \right\| &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{4a(1-a)} \right]^r \left\| \left( a \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left( (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

under the conditions that the off-diagonal elements of  $[X_i^* X_j]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $[Y_i^* Y_j]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are skew-Hermitian.

*Proof.* Let  $M = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $N = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & Y_2 & \cdots & Y_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . By inequality (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i^* \right|^{2r} \oplus 0 \right\| &= \| |MN^*|^{2r} \| \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{4a(1-a)} \right]^r \left\| \left( a(M^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a)(N^*N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left( (1-a)(M^*M)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a(N^*N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the conditions that the off-diagonal elements of  $M_1 = [X_i^* X_j]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $N_1 = [Y_i^* Y_j]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are skew-Hermitian.

We write  $M_1 = [A_{ij}] = P^*P$  with  $P = [P_1, \dots, P_n]$ , then  $A_{ii} = P_i^*P_i$ . By calculation,

$$M_1^2 = (P^*P)^2 = P^*(PP^*)P$$

and

$$X_i^* X_i = P_i^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^n P_i P_i^* \right) P_i \geq P_i^* (P_i P_i^*) P_i = (P_i^* P_i)^2 = A_{ii}^2.$$

By Lemma 8,

$$(X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq A_{ii}. \tag{7}$$

Let  $N_1 = [B_{ij}]$ , we also have

$$(Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq B_{ii}. \tag{8}$$

By inequality (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\left\| a(M^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a)(N^* N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \leq \left\| \left( a \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \oplus 0 \right\|.$$

Since  $r \geq \frac{1}{p}$ , by inequality (1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( a(M^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a)(N^* N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left\| \left( a \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rp} \oplus 0 \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left( (1-a)(M^* M)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a(N^* N)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \left\| \left( (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rq} \oplus 0 \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i^* \right\|^{2r} & \leq \left[ \frac{1}{4a(1-a)} \right]^r \left\| \left( a \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \left\| \left( (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^* X_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} + a \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^* Y_i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{2rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 10. Let  $X_1 = Y_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $X_2 = Y_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $p = q = 2$ , we find that

$$s_1(X_1 X_1^* + X_2 X_2^*) = 2, s_1\left( (X_1^* X_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (X_2^* X_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 = 1.$$

Thus,

$$s_1(X_1 X_1^* + X_2 X_2^*) > s_1\left( (X_1^* X_1)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (X_2^* X_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2$$

without the conditions that the off-diagonal elements of  $[X_i^* X_j]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $[Y_i^* Y_j]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are skew-Hermitian.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. ZHANG, J. XU, *An eigenvalue inequality for positive semidefinite  $k \times k$  block matrices*, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 2020 (14), 1383–1388.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, New York (1997).
- [3] K. M. R. AUDENAERT, *Interpolating between the arithmetic-geometric mean and Cauchy-Schwarz matrix norm inequalities*, Oper. Matrices, 2015 (9), 475–479.
- [4] M. LIN, H. WOLKOWICZ, *An eigenvalue majorization inequality for positive semidefinite block matrices*, Linear Multilinear A., 2012 (60), 1365–1368.
- [5] L. ZOU, Y. JIANG, *A note on interpolation between the arithmetic-geometric mean and Cauchy-Schwarz matrix norm inequalities*, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 2016 (10), 1119–1122.
- [6] X. WU, *Two inequalities of unitarily invariant norms for matrices*, Science Asia, 2019 (45), 395–397.

(Received February 27, 2021)

Feng Zhang  
Department of Mathematics  
Northeast Forestry University  
Harbin 150040, P. R. China