

A MATRIX INEQUALITY FOR UNITARILY INVARIANT NORMS

XIN JIN, FENG ZHANG AND JINLI XU*

(Communicated by M. Krnić)

Abstract. In this paper, we present an inequality of matrix norms, which is a generalization of the inequality shown by Zou [Linear Algebra Appl. 562, 154–162].

1. Introduction

As usual, the set of $n \times n$ complex matrices is denoted by M_n . The identity matrix of M_n is denoted by I . For $A \in M_n$, $s_i(A)$ is the i -th largest singular value of A and $s(A) = (s_1(A), \dots, s_n(A))$. $\lambda_i(A)$ is the i -th largest eigenvalue of A and $\lambda(A) = (\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A))$. $s_i(A) = \lambda_i(|A|)$ for $|A| = (A^*A)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where A^* is the conjugate transpose of A . $A \geq B$ means that $A - B$ is positive semidefinite. The direct sum of A and B is denoted by $A \oplus B$. The block matrix is presented by $[X_{ij}]$ which X_{ij} is the i, j -th block.

Now we introduce the definition of majorization. Given a real vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we rearrange its components as $x_{[1]} \geq x_{[2]} \geq \dots \geq x_{[n]}$.

For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_{[i]}, k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then we say that x is weakly majorized by y and denote $x \prec_w y$. If $x \prec_w y$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ hold, then we say that x is majorized by y and denote $x \prec y$.

Let $\|\bullet\|$ be any unitary invariant norm. Due to Ky fan's result (see [2]), it's known that $\|X\| \leq \|Y\|$ if and only if $s(X) \prec_w s(Y)$ for $X, Y \in M_n$. Let f be convex and increasing function on $[0, +\infty)$. If $x \prec_w y$, then

$$f(x) \prec_w f(y). \quad (1)$$

For more detail (see [2]).

For $a \in [0, 1]$, in [1], Zou proved

$$\left\| |AXB^*|^{2r} \right\| \leq \| |aA^*AX + (1-a)XB^*B|^{rp} \|^{1/p} \times \| |(1-a)A^*AX + aXB^*B|^{rq} \|^{1/q} \quad (2)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 15A60, 47A63.

Keywords and phrases: Arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, unitarily invariant norms, majorization.

* Corresponding author.

for $r \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right\}$. Zou explained that the insertion of X is no idle generalization, a judicious choice can lead to powerful perturbation theorems. Our aim here is to obtain a stronger version of inequality (2) in the same spirit.

In this paper, we present a generalization of inequality (2).

2. Main result

In this section, we first show some Lemmas used in our proof.

LEMMA 1. [3] *Let $A, B \in M_n$ be Hermitian matrices. Then*

$$\lambda(A + B) \prec \lambda(A) + \lambda(B).$$

LEMMA 2. [4] *Let $H = [A_{ij}] \in M_{sn}$ ($s \geq 2$) be positive semidefinite matrix with $A_{ij} = -A_{ij}^*$ ($i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$). Then*

$$\lambda(H) \prec \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^s A_{ii} \oplus 0 \right). \tag{3}$$

THEOREM 1. *Let $A_i, B_i, X \in M_n$, $p > 1$, $q > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $r \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right\}$, $a \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i^* \right\|^{2r} \right\| &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n a X B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

holds under the conditions that $A_i^* A_j = -A_j^* A_i$, $B_i^* B_j = -B_j^* B_i$ ($i \neq j$) is skew-Hermitian.

Proof. We first discuss the case when X is positive semidefinite. By inequality (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i^* \oplus 0 \right\|^{2r} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A_1 X^{\frac{1}{2}} & A_2 X^{\frac{1}{2}} & \dots & A_n X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 X^{\frac{1}{2}} & B_2 X^{\frac{1}{2}} & \dots & B_n X^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^* \right\|^{2r} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left(a \left[X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_j X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + (1-a) \left[X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_j X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right)^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left\| \left((1-a) \left[X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_j X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] + a \left[X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_j X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right)^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \left\| \left(a \sum_{i=1}^n X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{rp} \oplus 0 \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times \left\| \left((1-a) \sum_{i=1}^n X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} + a \sum_{i=1}^n X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{rq} \oplus 0 \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i^* \right|^{2r} \right\| & \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^n a X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 9.1.2 in [2] if a product AB is Hermitian, then $\|AB\| \leq \|\operatorname{Re} BA\|$. Using this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^k s_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^k s_j \left(\operatorname{Re} \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) B_i^* B_i X \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^k s_j \left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X B_i^* B_i \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $r \geq \frac{1}{p}$, by inequality (1), we obtain

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a X^{\frac{1}{2}} A_i^* A_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X^{\frac{1}{2}} B_i^* B_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{rp} \right\| \leq \left\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X B_i^* B_i \right|^{rp} \right\|.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i^* \right|^{2r} \right\| & \leq \left\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X B_i^* B_i \right|^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times \left\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n a X B_i^* B_i \right|^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case when X is any matrix. By the singular value decomposition we know there exist unitary matrices U, V such that $X = UDV^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i^* \right\|^{2r} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i U D (B_i V)^* \right\|^{2r} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a U^* A_i^* A_i U D + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) D V^* B_i^* B_i V \right\|^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) U^* A_i^* A_i U D + \sum_{i=1}^n a D V^* B_i^* B_i V \right\|^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i U D V^* + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) U D V^* B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) A_i^* A_i U D V^* + \sum_{i=1}^n a U D V^* B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) A_i^* A_i X + \sum_{i=1}^n a X B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 1. Let $n = 2$, $A_1 = B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_2 = B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = A_1^* A_2 \neq A_2^* A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1^* B_2 \neq B_2^* B_1$$

and

$$A_1 A_1^* + A_2 A_2^* = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1^* A_1 + A_2^* A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A trivial verification shows that $\lambda_1(A_1 A_1^* + A_2 A_2^*) > \lambda_1(A_1^* A_1 + A_2^* A_2)$.

REMARK 2. For $n = 2$, let $A_1 = B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_2 = B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $X_1 = I$, $X_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\lambda_1(A_1 X_1 A_1^* + A_2 X_2 A_2^*) > \lambda_1 \left(A_1^* A_1 + \frac{A_2^* A_2 X_2 + X_2 A_2^* A_2}{2} \right). \tag{4}$$

Inequality (4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X_i B_i^* \right\|^{2r} \right\| &\leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a A_i^* A_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) X_i B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rp} \right\|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (1-a) A_i^* A_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a X_i B_i^* B_i \right\|^{rq} \right\|^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

isn't always true without $X_i = X_j$ ($i \neq j$).

COROLLARY 1. *Let $A, B, X \in M_n$, $p > 1$, $q > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $r \geq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} \right\}$, $a \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$\left\| \|AXB^*\|^{2r} \right\| \leq \| \|aA^*AX + (1-a)XB^*B\|^{rp} \|^{1/p} \times \| \|(1-a)A^*AX + aXB^*B\|^{rq} \|^{1/q}.$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments which have improve this paper significantly. Jinli Xu is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2572019BC07), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11701075).

REFERENCES

[1] L. ZOU, *Unification of the arithmetic-geometric mean and Hölder inequalities for unitarily invariant norms*, Linear Algebra Appl. **562**, 1 (2019), 154–162.
 [2] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
 [3] K. FAN, *On a theorem of Weyl concerning eigenvalues of linear transformations I*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **35**, 11 (1949), 652–655.
 [4] F. ZHANG, AND J. L. XU, *An eigenvalue inequality for positive semidefinite $k \times k$ block matrices*, J. Math. Ineq. **14**, 4 (2020), 1383–1388.

(Received June 21, 2021)

Xin Jin
 Department of Mathematics
 Northeast Forestry University
 Harbin 150040, P. R. China
 e-mail: 2321355910@qq.com

Feng Zhang
 Department of Higher Mathematics
 Jiangxi College of Science
 Xinyu 338000, P. R. China
 e-mail: fzhang1024@163.com

Jinli Xu
 Department of Mathematics
 Northeast Forestry University
 Harbin 150040, P. R. China
 e-mail: jclixv@qq.com