

A DERIVATIVE–HILBERT OPERATOR ACTING FROM BESOV SPACES INTO BLOCH SPACE

LIYUN ZHAO, ZHENYOU WANG* AND ZHIRONG SU

(Communicated by M. Krnić)

Abstract. If μ is a positive Borel measure on the interval $[0, 1)$, we let \mathcal{H}_μ be the Hankel matrix $\mathcal{H}_\mu = (\mu_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ with entries $\mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n+k}$ and $\mu_n = \int_{[0,1)} t^n d\mu(t)$. Using \mathcal{H}_μ , Ye and Zhou first defined the Derivative-Hilbert operator as

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k \right) (n+1)z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is an analytic function in \mathbb{D} . In this paper, we characterize the measure μ for which \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded (resp., compact) operator from Besov space B_p into Bloch space \mathcal{B} with $1 < p < \infty$.

1. Introduction

If μ is a positive Borel measure on the interval $[0, 1)$, we let \mathcal{H}_μ be the Hankel matrix $\mathcal{H}_\mu = (\mu_{n,k})_{n,k \geq 0}$ with entries $\mu_{n,k} = \mu_{n+k}$ and $\mu_n = \int_{[0,1)} t^n d\mu(t)$. For any analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, generalized Hilbert operator is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k \right) z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

on the space of analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . In recent decades, in complex setting, generalized Hilbert operator \mathcal{H}_μ has been studied extensively. For example, Galanopoulos and Peláez [7] characterized the Borel measure μ for which the Hankel operator is a bounded (resp., compact) operator on Hardy and Bergman space. Girela and Merchán [6] extended the study of Hilbert operator to all the conformally invariant spaces. Li and Zhou [10] studied the essential norm of generalized Hilbert matrix from Bloch type spaces into BMOA and Bloch space.

In 2020, Ye and Zhou [13] defined the Derivative-Hilbert operator for the first time using Hankel matrix. They defined it as

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k \right) (n+1)z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 30H25, 30H30, 65E05.

Keywords and phrases: Derivative-Hilbert operator, Besov spaces, Bloch space, Carleson measure.

* Corresponding author.

on the space of analytic functions in \mathbb{D} . Since

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f)(z) = (z\mathcal{H}_\mu(f)(z))',$$

\mathcal{DH}_μ is called the Derivative-Hilbert operator. Another generalized integral operator related to \mathcal{DH}_μ (denoted by \mathcal{I}_{μ_α} , $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+$) is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu_\alpha}(f)(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^\alpha} d\mu(t).$$

Ye and Zhou [13] characterized the measure μ for which \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} and \mathcal{DH}_μ is bounded (resp., compact) on Bloch space. They did the similar research on Bergman spaces in [14].

In this paper, we consider the operators

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu, \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2} : B_p \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

The aim is to study the boundedness (resp., compactness) of \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} and \mathcal{DH}_μ .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we state some notation and preliminaries which will be used in the sequel. Section 3 gives the sufficient condition such that \mathcal{DH}_μ and \mathcal{I}_{μ_α} ($\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+$) are well defined in B_p . Section 4 devotes to study the boundedness (resp., compactness) of \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} and \mathcal{DH}_μ .

NOTATION. Throughout this paper, C denotes a positive constant which may be different from one occurrence to the next.

2. Notation and preliminaries

Let \mathbb{D} and $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ denote respectively the open unit disc and the unit circle in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all analytic functions in \mathbb{D} and $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy$ the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} .

For $1 < p < \infty$, the analytic Besov space B_p consists of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{B_p} = (|f(0)|^p + \rho_p(f)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where

$$\rho_p(f) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} |f'(z)|^p dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We refer to [1, 5, 8, 16, 18] for the theory of Besov spaces.

If $0 < p < \infty$, the Bergman space A^p is the set of all $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{A^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA(z) < \infty.$$

We refer to [18] for the notation and results regarding Bergman spaces.

The Bloch space \mathcal{B} is the set of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)| < \infty.$$

It is known that \mathcal{B} is a Banach space with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$, a classical reference for the Bloch space is [17].

For an arc $I \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$, let $|I| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_I |d\xi|$ be the normalized length of I and $S(I)$ is the Carleson box based on I with

$$S(I) = \{z = re^{it} : e^{it} \in I; 1 - |I| \leq r < 1\}.$$

Clearly, if $I = \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $S(I) = \mathbb{D}$.

For $0 < s < \infty$, we say that a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} is a s -Carleson measure (see [4]) if

$$\sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^s} < \infty.$$

If $s = 1$, 1-Carleson measure is the classical Carleson measure. When the positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} satisfies the following equation

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^s} = 0,$$

μ is a vanishing s -Carleson measure. If $s = 1$, the vanishing 1-Carleson measure is the vanishing Carleson measure.

For $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ and $0 < s < \infty$, we say that a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{D} is a α -logarithmic s -Carleson measure (see [15]) if

$$\sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))(\log \frac{2\pi}{|I|})^\alpha}{|I|^s} < \infty.$$

If a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{D} satisfies the following equation

$$\lim_{|I| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(S(I))(\log \frac{2\pi}{|I|})^\alpha}{|I|^s} = 0,$$

μ is a vanishing α -logarithmic s -Carleson measure (see [11]).

Suppose μ is a s -Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} , the Carleson norm of μ is

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\mu) = \sup_{I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^s},$$

we use $\mathcal{N}_2(\mu)$ denote the norm of identity mapping i from A^1 into $L^1(\mathbb{D}, \mu)$. More important, $\mathcal{N}_1(\mu)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{N}_2(\mu)$. Set $d\mu_r(z) = \mathcal{X}_{r < |z| < 1}(t)d\mu(t)$. Then μ is a vanishing s -Carleson measure if and only if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{N}_1(\mu_r) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{N}_2(\mu_r) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

A positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$ can be seen as a Borel measure on \mathbb{D} by identifying it with the measure $\tilde{\mu}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(E \cap [0, 1)),$$

for any Borel subset E of \mathbb{D} . Then a positive Borel measure μ on $[0, 1)$ can be seen as an s -Carleson measure on \mathbb{D} , if

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1)} \frac{\mu([t, 1))}{(1-t)^s} < \infty.$$

On the interval $[0, 1)$, we have similar statements for vanishing s -Carleson measure, α -logarithmic β -Carleson measure and vanishing α -logarithmic β -Carleson measure.

3. Conditions such that \mathcal{DH}_μ and \mathcal{I}_{μ_α} are well defined in B_p

In this section, we find the sufficient condition such that \mathcal{I}_{μ_α} and \mathcal{DH}_μ are well defined in B_p ($1 < p < \infty$) and obtain that $\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f) = \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)$, for all $f \in B_p$, with the certain condition.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$. If μ satisfies $\int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) < \infty$, then for any $f \in B_p$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_\alpha}(f)(z)$ uniformly converges on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} .*

Proof. Let $M = \int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t)$, it follows from Holland [8] that there exists a positive constant C , such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C \|f\|_{B_p} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-|z|}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad f \in B_p. \tag{3.1}$$

For any $f \in B_p$, $0 < r < 1$, $|z| \leq r$, using (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1)} \frac{|f(t)|}{|1-tz|^\alpha} d\mu(t) &\leq \frac{1}{(1-r)^\alpha} \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{C \|f\|_{B_p}}{(1-r)^\alpha} \int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{CM \|f\|_{B_p}}{(1-r)^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_\alpha}(f)(z)$ uniformly converges on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . \square

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$. If the operator \mathcal{I}_{μ_α} is well defined in B_p , then for any $\gamma < \frac{1}{q}$, we have $\int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^\gamma d\mu(t) < \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma < \frac{1}{q}$, note that the function $F(z) = \left(\log \frac{2}{1-z}\right)^\gamma$ belongs to B_p (see [8], Theorem 1). From the suppose, $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_\alpha}(F)(z)$ is well defined for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Take

$z = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu_\alpha}(F)(0) = \int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t} \right)^\gamma d\mu(t),$$

it is a complex number. Since μ is a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$ and $(\log \frac{2}{1-t})^\gamma > 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1)$, we obtain that

$$\int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t} \right)^\gamma d\mu(t) < \infty. \quad \square$$

The following two lemmas will be used in finding the condition such that \mathcal{DH}_μ is well defined in B_p .

LEMMA 3.3. [6] *Suppose that $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, $s \geq 1$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$ which is a β -logarithmic s -Carleson measure. Then*

$$\int_{[0,1)} t^k \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t} \right)^\alpha d\mu(t) = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{(\log k)^{\alpha-\beta}}{k^s} \right), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

LEMMA 3.4. (I) [8] *Suppose that $1 < p \leq 2$. Then there exists a positive constant C_p such that if $f \in B_p$ and $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$), then $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{p-1} |a_k|^p \leq C_p (\rho_p(f))^p$.*

(II) [12] *Suppose that $2 < p < \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant C_p such that if $f \in B_p$ and $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$), then $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k |a_k|^p \leq C_p (\rho_p(f))^p$.*

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and let μ be a finite positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$.*

(I) *If $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\mu_k^q}{k} < \infty$, then the operator \mathcal{DH}_μ is well defined in B_p .*

(II) *If $2 < p < \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\mu_k^q}{k^{\frac{p}{p-1}}} < \infty$, then the operator \mathcal{DH}_μ is well defined in B_p .*

Proof. For any $f \in B_p$, let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$ ($z \in \mathbb{D}$). Since

$$\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n = \int_{[0,1)} t^n (t - 1) d\mu(t) < 0,$$

the non-negative sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is decreasing, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty |\mu_{n+k}| |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\mu_k| |a_k|, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

First, we prove (I):

Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k^q}{k} < \infty$. Using (3.2), Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.4(I), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu_{n+k}| |a_k| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu_k| |a_k| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{1-\frac{1}{p}} |a_k| \frac{|\mu_k|}{k^{\frac{1}{q}}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{p-1} |a_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_k|^q}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C \rho_p(f) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_k|^q}{k} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So in this condition, \mathcal{DH}_μ is well defined in B_p .

Second, we prove (II):

Let $2 < p < \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_k|^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} < \infty$. Applying (3.2), Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.4(II), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu_{n+k}| |a_k| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mu_k| |a_k| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\frac{1}{p}} |a_k| \frac{|\mu_k|}{k^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_k|^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C \rho_p(f) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mu_k|^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we see also \mathcal{DH}_μ is well defined in B_p . \square

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose that $1 < p < \infty$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$. If μ is a 1-Carleson measure, then the operator \mathcal{DH}_μ is well defined in B_p .*

Proof. Since μ is a 1-Carleson measure, using Lemma 3.3 with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, we have

$$\mu_k = \int_{[0,1)} t^k d\mu(t) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence there exists a positive constant C and a positive integer N such that

$$\mu_k \leq \frac{C}{k}, \quad k > N. \tag{3.3}$$

When $1 < p \leq 2$ and $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$, using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k^q}{k} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu_k^q}{k} + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k^q}{k} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu_k^q}{k} + C \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{q+1}} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then by Theorem 3.5(I), $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_\mu$ is well defined in B_p .

When $2 < p < \infty$ and $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$, using (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu_k^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_k^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu_k^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} + C \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{q+\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mu_k^q}{k^{\frac{q}{p}}} + C \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{2q}{p}+1}} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then Theorem 3.5(II) yields that $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_\mu$ is well defined in B_p . \square

THEOREM 3.7. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $s \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and let μ be the positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$. If μ is a $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic s -Carleson measure, then the operator $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_\mu$ and \mathcal{I}_{μ_α} are well defined in B_p and $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_\mu(f) = \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)$, for all $f \in B_p$.*

Proof. Let $d\nu(t) = \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t)$. Proposition 2.5 of [6] gives that ν is a s -Carleson measure. From the definition of s -Carleson measure, we know that there exists a positive constant C , such that

$$\int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) = \nu([0, 1)) \leq C(1-0)^s \leq C,$$

then

$$\int_{[0,1)} |t^n f(t)| d\mu(t) \leq \int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) \leq C \|f\|_{B_p} \int_{[0,1)} \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) \leq C.$$

Thus for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the integral $\int_{[0,1]} t^n f(t) d\mu(t)$ converges absolutely. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we know the integral $\int_{[0,1]} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t)$ converges absolutely. We have

$$\int_{[0,1]} \frac{f(t)}{(1-tz)^2} d\mu(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{[0,1]} t^n f(t) d\mu(t) \right) (n+1)z^n.$$

Hence, from the given condition we can imply that \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} is well defined in B_p and

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{[0,1]} t^n f(t) d\mu(t) \right) (n+1)z^n.$$

Since μ is a $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic s -Carleson measure on $[0, 1)$, Theorem 3.6 gives that \mathcal{DH}_{μ} is well defined in B_p . From the proof of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6, we get that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_{n,k} a_k| \leq C$, hence we can interchange the order of summation in the expression defining $\mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)(z)$.

Therefore, for any $f \in B_p$, let $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, using Fubini's theorem, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{DH}_{\mu}(f)(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} a_k \right) (n+1)z^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \int_{[0,1]} t^{n+k} d\mu(t) \right) (n+1)z^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{[0,1]} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k \right) t^n d\mu(t) \right) (n+1)z^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{[0,1]} f(t) t^n d\mu(t) \right) (n+1)z^n \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. Boundedness and compactness of \mathcal{DH}_{μ} and \mathcal{I}_{μ_2}

The following two lemmas will be very useful in the proof of the boundedness and compactness of \mathcal{DH}_{μ} and \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} .

LEMMA 4.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} is a bounded operator from B_p ($1 < p < \infty$) into \mathcal{B} . Then \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} is compact if and only if for any bounded sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subseteq B_p$ which converges to 0 uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} , we have $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{B} .*

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is referred to the Proposition 3.11 of [3]. From [9] and the closed graph theorem we can easy obtain the following result.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $1 \leq m \leq n < \infty$, then $A^m \subseteq L^n(\mathbb{D}, d\mu)$ if and only if μ is a $\frac{2n}{m}$ -Carleson measure.*

THEOREM 4.3. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$.*

(I) If μ is a $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure, then \mathcal{S}_{μ_2} is a bounded operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} .

(II) If μ is a vanishing $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure, then \mathcal{S}_{μ_2} is a compact operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} .

Proof. Let $M = \int_{[0,1)} (\log \frac{2}{1-t})^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t)$, since μ is a $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure, by the proof of Theorem 3.7, we know that $M < \infty$ and \mathcal{S}_{μ_2} is well defined in B_p . Using (3.1), we obtain that

$$\int_{[0,1)} |f(t)| d\mu(t) \leq CM \|f\|_{B_p}.$$

If $0 \leq r < 1$, for any $f \in B_p$, $g \in A^1$, let $g_r(z) = g(rz)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, by Theorem 11.6 of [18], we get that $\|g_r\|_{A^1} \leq \|g\|_{A^1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{[0,1)} \left| \frac{f(t)g(rz)}{(1-rtz)^2} \right| d\mu(t) dA(z) &\leq \frac{CM \|f\|_{B_p}}{(1-r)^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(rz)| dA(z) \\ &= \frac{CM \|f\|_{B_p}}{(1-r)^2} \|g_r\|_{A^1} \\ &\leq \frac{CM \|f\|_{B_p}}{(1-r)^2} \|g\|_{A^1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

For $0 < k < 1$, the Bergman kernel function of $k\mathbb{D} = \{kz : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$ is $K(z, \xi) = \frac{1}{k^2(1-\frac{z\xi}{k^2})^2}$.

The reproducing property is

$$f(z) = \int_{k\mathbb{D}} f(\xi) K(z, \xi) dA(\xi), \quad f \in A^1. \tag{4.2}$$

When $0 \leq r < 1$, $f \in \mathcal{B}$, $g \in A^1$, using (4.1), Fubini’s theorem and (4.2), we imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mu_2}(f)(rz)} g(rz) dA(z) = \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} g(r^2t) d\mu(t), \tag{4.3}$$

which is referred to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [13].

Now we begin the proof of (I):

Let $d\nu(t) = (\log \frac{2}{1-t})^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t)$, by Proposition 2.5 of [6], ν is a 2-Carleson measure. From Lemma 4.2, we obtain that $A^1 \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{D}, d\nu)$. Hence there exists $C > 0$, such that for any $g \in A^1$,

$$\int_{[0,1)} |g(t)| d\nu(t) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(z)| d\nu(z) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(z)| dA(z) = C \|g\|_{A^1}. \tag{4.4}$$

For any $f \in B_p, g \in A^1$, combining (4.3) and (3.1) with (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)(rz)} g(rz) dA(z) \right| &= \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} g(r^2t) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{B_p} \int_{[0,1)} |g(r^2t)| \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) \\ &= C \|f\|_{B_p} \int_{[0,1)} |g(r^2t)| d\nu(t) \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{B_p} \|g\|_{A^1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

we know that $(A^1)^* \cong \mathcal{B}$ (see [17]), under the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{f(rz)} g(rz) dA(z), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}, g \in A^1. \tag{4.6}$$

Combining (4.5) with (4.6), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f), g \rangle &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f)(rz)} g(rz) dA(z) \right| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{B_p} \|g\|_{A^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} is a bounded operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} .

Next we start the proof of (II):

Let $d\nu(t) = (\log \frac{2}{1-t})^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t)$, since μ is a vanishing $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure, then by Proposition 2.5 of [6], ν is a vanishing 2-Carleson measure. For $0 < r < 1$, let $d\nu_r(z) = \mathcal{X}_{r < |z| < 1}(t) d\nu(t)$ and \mathcal{N} be the norm of identity mapping i from A^1 into $L^1(\mathbb{D}, d\nu)$, by (2.1), $\mathcal{N}(\nu_r) \rightarrow 0$ ($r \rightarrow 1^-$). Suppose $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence in B_p which converges to 0 uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} . For any $g \in A^1, r \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) + \int_{[r,1)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) + C \|f_n\|_{B_p} \int_{[r,1)} |g(t)| \left(\log \frac{2}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) + C \|f_n\|_{B_p} \int_{[0,1)} |g(t)| d\nu_r(t) \\ &\leq \int_{[0,r)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) + C \|f_n\|_{B_p} \mathcal{N}(\nu_r) \|g\|_{A^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{N}(\nu_r) \rightarrow 0$ ($r \rightarrow 1^-$) and the condition $\{f_n\} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) = 0, \quad g \in A^1, \tag{4.7}$$

combining (4.7) with (4.3), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f_n), g \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f_n)(rz)} g(rz) dA(z) \right| \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f_n(t)} g(r^2t) d\mu(t) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1)} |f_n(t)| |g(t)| d\mu(t) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence when $n \rightarrow 0$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{B} . Then, Lemma 4.1 implies that \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} is a compact operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} . \square

Using Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.4. (I) If μ is a $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure, then \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} .

(II) If μ is a vanishing $\frac{1}{q}$ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure, then \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} .

THEOREM 4.5. Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on $[0, 1)$ which satisfies $\int_{[0,1)} (\log \frac{2}{1-t})^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) < \infty$.

(I) If \mathcal{DH}_μ is a bounded operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} , then μ is a γ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure. ($\gamma < \frac{1}{q}$)

(II) If \mathcal{DH}_μ is a compact operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} , then μ is a vanishing γ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure. ($\gamma < \frac{1}{q}$)

Proof. Since μ satisfies $\int_{[0,1)} (\log \frac{2}{1-t})^{\frac{1}{q}} d\mu(t) < \infty$, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} is well defined in B_p . Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_k z^k \in \mathcal{B}$, the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [6] gives that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty |\mu_{n,k} a_k| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. $B_p \subset \mathcal{B}$ implies that for every $f = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k \in B_p$, $\sum_{k=0}^\infty |\mu_{n,k} a_k| \leq C$. Then by the similar proof of Theorem 3.7, we get that

$$\mathcal{DH}_\mu(f) = \mathcal{I}_{\mu_2}(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in B_p.$$

(I) From the given condition and the above proof, we know that \mathcal{I}_{μ_2} is a bounded operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} . For $b \in (0, 1)$, we take two test functions $F_b(z) = (\log \frac{2}{1-bz})^\gamma$ and $g_b(z) = (\frac{1-b^2}{(1-bz)^2})^2$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We already mention that the function $F(z) = (\log \frac{1}{1-z})^\gamma$ belongs to B_p .

Let $\varphi(z) = bz$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then φ is an analytic function from \mathbb{D} into \mathbb{D} and $F_b(z) = F(\varphi(z))$. Using the Theorem 11.6 in [18], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_b\|_{B_p}^p &= |F_b(0)| + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F'_b(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) \\ &= |F(0)| + b^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F'(\varphi(z))|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< |F(0)| + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F'(\varphi(z))|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) \\ &< |F(0)| + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F'(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) \\ &= \|F\|_{B_p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|F_b\|_{B_p} < \|F\|_{B_p}$ and $\|g_b\|_{A^1} = 1$, this implies that $F_b(z) \in B_p$, $g_b(z) \in A^1$ and

$$\sup_{0 < b < 1} \|F_b\|_{B_p} \leq \|F\|_{B_p}, \quad \sup_{0 < b < 1} \|g_b\|_{A^1} = 1.$$

Combing (4.3) with (4.6), due to the boundedness of \mathcal{J}_{μ_2} , there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{f(t)} g(r^2 t) d\mu(t) \right| \leq C \|f\|_{B_p} \|g\|_{A^1}, \quad 0 < r < 1, f \in B_p, g \in A^1.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\infty > C \sup_{0 < b < 1} \|F\|_{B_p} \sup_{0 < b < 1} \|g_b\|_{A^1} \\ &\geq \left| \int_{[0,1)} \overline{F_b(t)} g_b(r^2 t) d\mu(t) \right| \\ &\geq \int_{[b,1)} \left(\frac{1 - b^2}{(1 - br^2 t)^2} \right)^2 \left(\log \frac{2}{1 - bt} \right)^\gamma d\mu(t) \\ &\geq C \frac{\left(\log \frac{2}{1 - b^2} \right)^\gamma}{(1 - b^2)^2} \mu([b, 1)), \end{aligned}$$

thus, μ is a γ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure.

(II) Since $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{H}_\mu(f) = \mathcal{J}_{\mu_2}(f)$, \mathcal{J}_{μ_2} is a compact operator from B_p into \mathcal{B} . Take any sequence $\{b_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 1$. Set

$$g_{b_n}(z) = \left(\frac{1 - b_n^2}{(1 - b_n z)^2} \right)^2,$$

thus $\|g_{b_n}\|_{A^1} = 1$ and $g_{b_n} \in A^1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $f_{b_n}(z) = \frac{1}{\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n^2}} (\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n z})^{\gamma+1}$ and $F_{b_n}(z) = (\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n z})^\gamma$, from the proof of (I), we know $F_{b_n} \in B_p$. Let

$$M = |f'_{b_n}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} = \left(\frac{b_n(\gamma + 1) \left(\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n z} \right)^\gamma}{|1 - b_n z| \log \frac{2}{1 - b_n^2}} \right)^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2}.$$

When $|z| \leq b_n$,

$$M \leq \left(\frac{b_n(\gamma + 1) \left(\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n z} \right)^{\gamma-1}}{|1 - b_n z|} \right)^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} = C |F'_{b_n}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{b_n}(z)\|_{B_p}^p &= |f_{b_n}(0)|^p + \int_{|z|\leq b_n} M dA(z) + \int_{b_n < |z|\leq 1} M dA(z) \\ &\leq |f_{b_n}(0)|^p + C \int_{|z|\leq b_n} |F'_{b_n}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) + \int_{b_n < |z|\leq 1} M dA(z) \\ &\leq C |F_{b_n}(0)|^p + C \int_{\mathbb{D}} |F'_{b_n}(z)|^p (1 - |z|^2)^{p-2} dA(z) + \int_{b_n < |z|\leq 1} M dA(z) \\ &\leq C \|F_{b_n}\|_{B_p}^p + \int_{b_n < |z|\leq 1} M dA(z), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{b_n}\|_{B_p}^p \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_{b_n}\|_{B_p}^p = C \|F\|_{B_p}^p.$$

The above calculations show that $f_{b_n} \in B_p$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|f_{b_n}\|_{B_p} < \infty$. Then $\{f_{b_n}\}$ is a bounded sequence in B_p and $\{f_{b_n}\}$ converges to 0 uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Lemma 4.1 implies that $\mathcal{S}_{\mu_2}(f_{b_n})$ converges to 0 in \mathcal{B} . Using (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(0,1)} \overline{f_{b_n}(t)} g_{b_n}(r^2 t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mu_2}(f_{b_n})(rz)} g_{b_n}(rz) dA(z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(0,1)} \overline{f_{b_n}(t)} g_{b_n}(r^2 t) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq \int_{(b_n,1)} \left(\frac{1 - b_n^2}{(1 - b_n r^2 t)^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n^2}} \left(\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n t} \right)^{\gamma+1} d\mu(t) \\ &\geq C \frac{(\log \frac{2}{1 - b_n^2})^\gamma}{(1 - b_n^2)^2} \mu([b_n, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{b_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 1$,

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{(\log \frac{2}{1 - b^2})^\gamma}{(1 - b^2)^2} \mu([b, 1)) = 0.$$

It is clear that μ is a vanishing γ -logarithmic 2-Carleson measure. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for useful remarks and comments that led to the improvement of this paper. The first author was partially supported by Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (No. 2020B1515310001).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. ARAZY, S. D. FISHER, J. PEETRE, *Möbius invariant function spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 363 (1985) 110–145.
- [2] G. BAO, H. WULAN, *Hankel matrices acting on Dirichlet spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 409 (2014) 228–235.
- [3] C. C. COWEN, B. D. MACCLUER, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, International Journal of Psychoanalysis, 1995.
- [4] P. DUREN, *Extension of a theorem of Carleson*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969) 143–146.
- [5] J. J. DONAIRE, D. GIRELA, D. VUKOTIĆ, *On univalent functions in some Möbius invariant spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 553 (2002) 43–72.
- [6] D. GIRELA, N. MERCHÁN, *A generalized Hilbert operator acting on conformally invariant spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 12 (2018) 374–398.
- [7] P. GALANOPOULOS, J. Á. PELÁEZ, *A Hankel matrix acting on Hardy and Bergman spaces*, Studia Math. 359 (2010) 201–220.
- [8] F. HOLLAND, D. WALSH, *Growth estimates for functions in the Besov spaces B_p* , Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A. 88 (1988) 1–18.
- [9] W. W. HASTINGS, *A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1975) 237–241.
- [10] S. X. LI, J. Z. ZHOU, *Essential norm of generalized Hilbert matrix from Bloch type spaces to BMOA and Bloch space*, AIMS Math. 6 (2021) 3305–3318.
- [11] B. MACCLUER, R. ZHAO, *Vanishing logarithmic Carleson measures*, Illinois J. Math. J. Math. 46 (2002) 507–518.
- [12] M. PAVLOVIĆ, *Invariant Besov Spaces. Taylor coefficients and applications*, Technical Report, available at Research Gate, 9 pp.
- [13] S. YE, Z. ZHOU, *A Derivative-Hilbert operator acting on the Bloch space*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 15 (2021) 88–103.
- [14] S. YE, Z. ZHOU, *A Derivative-Hilbert operator acting on Bergman spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 506 (2022) 125553–125570.
- [15] R. ZHAO, *On logarithmic Carleson measures*, Acta Sci. Math. 69 (2003) 605–618.
- [16] K. ZHU, *Analytic Besov spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 157 (1991) 318–336.
- [17] K. ZHU, *Bloch type spaces of analytic functions*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. 23 (1993) 1143–1177.
- [18] K. ZHU, *Operator Theory in Functions Spaces*, 2nd ed, Providence, RI: Amer Math Soc, 2007.

(Received March 16, 2022)

Liyun Zhao
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Guangdong University of Technology
 510520 Guangzhou, Guangdong, P. R. China
 e-mail: 1914407155@qq.com

Zhenyou Wang
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Guangdong University of Technology
 510520 Guangzhou, Guangdong, P. R. China
 e-mail: zywang@gdut.edu.cn

Zhirong Su
 Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Guangdong University of Technology
 510520 Guangzhou, Guangdong, P. R. China
 e-mail: 1131258896@qq.com