

REMARKS ON THE STABILITY OF THE 3-VARIABLE FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITIES OF DRYGAS

JEDSADA SENASUKH AND SATIT SAEJUNG*

(Communicated by I. Raşa)

Abstract. We give some remarks on the recent paper [*J. Math. Inequal.* **13** (4) (2019), 1235–1244]. Some misleading conclusions of their stability results are carefully discussed and corrected. Moreover, we reestablish their results with a more general assumption and a stronger conclusion.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In the theory of functional equations, the following is known as the *stability problem of functional equations*:

“When is it true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation is close to an exact solution of the equation?”

The problem was first asked by Ulam [22] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. The *additive* or *Cauchy functional equation*

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \tag{1.1}$$

seems to be the first functional equation that many authors studied its stability results. By an *additive mapping*, we mean a mapping that satisfies (1.1). Hyers [10] asserted that Ulam’s question is affirmative for the additive functional equation (1.1) defined on Banach spaces. Some further generalizations of Hyers’ result were investigated in [1, 7, 8, 20].

To study a stability result, some functional inequalities were introduced. For example, Park *et al.* [18] investigated the following functional inequalities in a Banach space:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| &\leq \|f(x+y+z)\|; \\ \|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| &\leq \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) \right\|; \\ \|f(x) + f(y) + 2f(z)\| &\leq \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 39B05, 39B62, 39B82.

Keywords and phrases: Functional inequality, 3-variable Drygas functional equation, additive functional equation, stability.

* Corresponding author.

It is not hard to see that every mapping satisfying one of the above functional inequalities is additive. Moreover, the notion of a ρ -functional inequality is also introduced. Park [16] proved that the following functional inequality is equivalent to the additive functional equation:

$$\left\| f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) \right\| \leq \left\| \rho \left(kf\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i}{k}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) \right) \right\|,$$

where $k \geq 2$ is a fixed positive integer and $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\rho| < 1$. We refer the readers to [5, 9, 17, 19] for more results concerning the stability of functional inequalities.

In 2016, Lu *et al.* [15] introduced the 3-variable Jensen functional equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) &= 2f(x) + 2f(y); \\ f(x+y+z) - f(x-y-z) &= 2f(y) + 2f(z). \end{aligned}$$

They also presented the ρ -functional inequality associated with the above two equations and derived some stability results by using the direct method based on the idea of Găvruta [8].

To characterize a quasi-inner product space, Drygas [2] presented the following functional equation (later, known as the *Drygas functional equation*):

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + f(y) + f(-y). \quad (1.2)$$

Every mapping satisfying (1.2) is called a *Drygas mapping*. Given two vector spaces X, Y and a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ that satisfies (1.2) for all $x, y \in X$, we have the following observations.

- If f is odd (that is, $f(-x) = -f(x)$ for all $x \in X$), then f is additive.
- If f is even (that is, $f(-x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in X$), then f satisfies the *quadratic functional equation*, that is,

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Inspired by the observations given above, Ebanks *et al.* [3] proved that a general solution of the Drygas functional equation (1.2) is the sum of a quadratic and an additive mappings. Some stability results of the functional equation (1.2) have been studied in various directions and can be seen for examples in [4, 11, 12, 14] and references therein.

In [21], Sun *et al.* introduced the following 3-variable Drygas functional equation in a complex Banach space:

$$f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) + f(z) + f(-z). \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, functional inequalities for (1.3) and their stability results were investigated. We state their results as follows.

THEOREM SJPL1. [21, Theorems 2.2 and 2.4] *Suppose that X is a complex normed space, Y is a complex Banach space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 2$ and $|\alpha| < 1$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(z) - f(-z)\| \\ & \leq \| \alpha (f(x+y+z) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z)) \| \\ & + \| \beta (f(x+y-z) - f(x) - f(y) - f(-z)) \| + \varphi(x, y, z) \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that φ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (a) $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;
- (b) $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0)}{2(2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

By making a slight modification of (1.4), the following theorem was investigated.

THEOREM SJPL2. [21, Theorems 3.1 and 3.3] *Suppose that X is a complex normed space, Y is a complex Banach space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 1$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(z) - f(-z)\| \\ & \leq \| \alpha (f(x+y-z) + f(x-y+z) - 2f(x) - f(y) - f(-y) - f(z) - f(-z)) \| \\ & + \| \beta (f(x+y+z) - f(x+z) - f(y)) \| + \varphi(x, y, z) \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that φ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (a) $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$;
- (b) $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4^n \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{4^n}\right) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then there exists a unique Drygas mapping $\mathcal{D} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{D}(x) - f(x) - f(-x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 0, x)}{4(1 - |\alpha|)} \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Motivated by these two theorems, we present some further results and refinements. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss Theorem SJPL1. In fact, we show that a mapping satisfying the inequality (1.4) of Theorem SJPL1 is approximately additive and hence the stability result can be obtained directly from the result of Kim [13] in 2005. Moreover, the condition $|\alpha| < 1$ is discarded. In Section 3, we improve the conclusion of Theorem SJPL2 with a weaker assumption. The proof of this part is obtained by the application of Forti’s result [6].

2. Some remarks on Theorem SJPL1

We begin this section with a counterexample to Theorem SJPL1(a) together with $\alpha = \beta := 0$. A correction of this result is given later in Theorem 2.3(a).

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $f(x) := \sqrt{|x|} - 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. We also define $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\varphi(x, y, z) := \left| \sqrt{|x+y+z|} + \sqrt{|x+y-z|} - 2 \left(\sqrt{|x|} + \sqrt{|y|} + \sqrt{|z|} \right) + 4 \right|$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. It follows that f and φ satisfy (1.4) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. We also see that the condition (a) is satisfied. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{2^n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} \left(\sqrt{|x+y+z|} + \sqrt{|x+y-z|} - 2 \left(\sqrt{|x|} + \sqrt{|y|} + \sqrt{|z|} \right) \right) + \frac{4}{2^n} \right| \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. We show that the conclusion of Theorem SJPL1 does not hold. To show this, suppose that there exists an additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{A}(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0)}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 0)}{2^n} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.1}$$

We first prove that $\mathcal{A} \equiv 0$. For $x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we see from the additivity of \mathcal{A} and (2.1) that

$$\left| \mathcal{A}(x) - \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} \right| = \left| \frac{\mathcal{A}(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} \right| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(2^k x, 2^k x, 0)}{4 \cdot 2^k} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n x, 0)}{2^n}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{|x|} - 1}{2^n} = 0.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{A}(x) = 0$. Hence, (2.1) becomes

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0)}{4} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{2.2}$$

We can easily see that

$$\varphi(x, x, 0) = 2 \left| (\sqrt{2} - 2) \sqrt{|x|} + 2 \right| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By letting $x_0 := (\sqrt{2} + 2)^2$, we see that

$$\varphi(2^n x_0, 2^n x_0, 0) = 2 \left| -2 \cdot 2^{n/2} + 2 \right| = 4(2^{n/2} - 1) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_0, 0) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x_0, 2^n x_0, 0)}{2^n} = 4 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \right) = 4\sqrt{2}.$$

One can see that $|f(x_0)| = |\sqrt{2} + 1| > \sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, x_0, 0)$ which contradicts to (2.2). This shows that the additive mapping \mathcal{A} satisfying (2.1) does not exist. Therefore, Theorem SPJL1(a) is *invalid*.

The following proposition shows that: If a mapping f satisfies the functional inequality (1.4), then it is *almost* additive in the following sense.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex normed space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 2$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.4) for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then the following inequality holds true:*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \frac{\varphi(x, y, 0)}{2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|} + \frac{2 + |\alpha| + |\beta|}{2(2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)^2} \varphi(0, 0, 0) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. By letting $x = y = z := 0$ in (1.4), we obtain that

$$\|f(0)\| \leq \frac{\varphi(0, 0, 0)}{2(2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)}.$$

For any $x, y \in X$, we see from (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|2f(x+y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \\ & \leq \|f(x+y+0) + f(x+y-0) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(0) - f(0)\| + 2\|f(0)\| \\ & \leq \|\alpha(f(x+y+0) - f(x) - f(y) - f(0))\| \\ & \quad + \|\beta(f(x+y-0) - f(x) - f(y) - f(0))\| + \varphi(x, y, 0) + 2\|f(0)\| \\ & = (|\alpha| + |\beta|)\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| + \varphi(x, y, 0) + (2 + |\alpha| + |\beta|)\|f(0)\|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that (2.3) holds for all $x, y \in X$. \square

To give a simpler proof of Theorem SJPL1, we recall the following theorem which is a special case of [13].

THEOREM K. [13] *Suppose that X is a vector space and Y is a Banach space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\psi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \psi(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $\Psi(x, y) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y) < \infty$ for all $x, y \in X$;

$$(2) \Psi(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) < \infty \text{ for all } x, y \in X,$$

then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying

$$\|\mathcal{A}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\Psi(x, x)}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

With the help of Theorem K and Proposition 2.2, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 2.3. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex Banach space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 2$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.4) for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then the following statements hold true.*

(1) *If Condition (a) of Theorem SPJL1 is satisfied, then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{A}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0)}{2(2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} + \frac{2 + |\alpha| + |\beta|}{2(2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)^2} \varphi(0, 0, 0) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

(2) *If Condition (b) of Theorem SPJL1 is satisfied, then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{A}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0)}{2(2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|)} \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Proof. We first define $\psi : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\psi(x, y) := \frac{\varphi(x, y, 0)}{2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|} + \frac{2 + |\alpha| + |\beta|}{2(2 - |\alpha| - 2|\beta|)^2} \varphi(0, 0, 0) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Proposition 2.2 shows that f satisfies

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \psi(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

We also have the following observations.

- If φ satisfies Theorem SJPL1(a), then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \psi(2^n x, 2^n y) < \infty$ for all $x, y \in X$.
- If φ satisfies Theorem SJPL1(b), then we can easily obtain that $\varphi(0, 0, 0) = 0$. It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, 0)}{2 - |\alpha| - |\beta|} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Hence, the result follows from Theorem K. \square

REMARK 2.4. Theorem 2.3 improves Theorem SJPL1 in the following ways.

- (i) The condition $|\alpha| < 1$ of Theorem SJPL1 can be omitted.
- (ii) Theorem 2.3(a) is a correction of Theorem SJPL1(a).

3. Some comments on Theorem SJPL2

We start this section with the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex normed space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy $\varphi(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then the following statements are true.*

(1) *The mapping f satisfies*

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - |\alpha|)} \min\{\varphi(x, -x, -x), \varphi(-x, x, x)\}$$

for all $x \in X$.

(2) *The mapping f satisfies*

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)\| \leq \frac{\varphi(x, 0, y)}{1 - |\alpha|} + \frac{1 + |\alpha|}{2(1 - |\alpha|)^2} \varphi(y, -y, -y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. For convenience, we first let $s := |\alpha|$ and $t := |\beta|$. Letting $x = y = z := 0$ in (1.5), we have

$$4\|f(0)\| = \|4f(0)\| \leq \alpha\|4f(0)\| + \beta\|f(0)\| = (4s + t)\|f(0)\|.$$

Since $4s + t < 4$, one can obtain that $f(0) = 0$. Moreover, one can see from our condition that $s < 1$ since $4s \leq 4s + t < 4$.

Now, we prove that (1) holds. Let $(x, y, z) := (x, -x, -x)$ where $x \in X$. It follows from (1.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(-x) + f(x) - 2f(x) - 2f(-x) - f(-x) - f(x)\| \\ & \leq s\|f(x) + f(x) - 2f(x) - f(-x) - f(x) - f(-x) - f(x)\| \\ & \quad + t\|f(-x) - f(0) - f(-x)\| + \varphi(x, -x, -x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. So, we have

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - s)} \varphi(x, -x, -x)$$

for all $x \in X$. By replacing x by $-x$, we obtain that

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - s)} \varphi(-x, x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Next, we prove that (2) holds true. For $x, y, z \in X$, we see from (1.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \\ & \leq \|f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(z) - f(-z)\| + \|f(z) + f(-z)\| \\ & \leq s\|f(x+y-z) + f(x-y+z) - 2f(x) - f(y) - f(-y) - f(z) - f(-z)\| \\ & \quad + t\|f(x+y+z) - f(x+z) - f(y)\| + \varphi(x, y, z) + \|f(z) + f(-z)\| \\ & \leq s\|f(x+y-z) + f(x-y+z) - 2f(x)\| + s\|f(y) + f(-y)\| \\ & \quad + (1+s)\|f(z) + f(-z)\| + t\|f(x+y+z) - f(x+z) - f(y)\| + \varphi(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \\ & \leq s\|f(x+y-z) + f(x-y+z) - 2f(x)\| + s\|f(y) + f(-y)\| \tag{3.1} \\ & \quad + (1+s)\|f(z) + f(-z)\| + t\|f(x+y+z) - f(x+z) - f(y)\| + \varphi(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. We see by letting $y := 0$ in (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+z) + f(x-z) - 2f(x)\| \\ & \leq s\|f(x-z) + f(x+z) - 2f(x)\| + (s+1)\|f(z) + f(-z)\| + \varphi(x, 0, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x+z) + f(x-z) - 2f(x)\| & \leq \frac{1}{1-s} ((1+s)\|f(z) + f(-z)\| + \varphi(x, 0, z)) \\ & \leq \frac{1+s}{2(1-s)^2} \varphi(z, -z, -z) + \frac{1}{1-s} \varphi(x, 0, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. \square

REMARK 3.2. We see from Proposition 3.1(1) that if f satisfies (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$ then its *even part* f_e (where $f_e(x) := \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x))$ for all $x \in X$) is approximately zero.

The following example shows that our two estimates in Proposition 3.1 are *sharp* where $\alpha = \beta := 0$.

EXAMPLE 3.3. We consider the following two examples.

- (i) Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $f(x) := \sqrt{|x|}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(z) - f(-z)| \\ & = \left| \sqrt{|x+y+z|} + \sqrt{|x+y-z|} - 2\left(\sqrt{|x|} + \sqrt{|y|} + \sqrt{|z|}\right) \right| =: \varphi(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that f satisfies (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha = \beta := 0$. We also see that

$$\varphi(x, -x, -x) = \varphi(-x, x, x) = 4\sqrt{|x|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proposition 3.1(1) asserts that

$$|f(x) + f(-x)| = 2\sqrt{|x|} = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\varphi(x, -x, -x), \varphi(-x, x, x)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(ii) Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(u) := \sqrt[3]{u}$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(u+v+w) + f(u+v-w) - 2f(u) - 2f(v) - f(w) - f(-w)| \\ &= \left| \sqrt[3]{u+v+w} + \sqrt[3]{u+v-w} - 2\sqrt[3]{u} - 2\sqrt[3]{v} \right| =: \varphi(u, v, w), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. This shows that f satisfies (1.5) for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha = \beta := 0$. It can be seen that

$$\varphi(u, 0, v) = \left| \sqrt[3]{u+v} + \sqrt[3]{u-v} - 2\sqrt[3]{u} \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(v, -v, -v) = 0$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u+v) + f(u-v) - 2f(u)| &= \left| \sqrt[3]{u+v} + \sqrt[3]{u-v} - 2\sqrt[3]{u} \right| \\ &= \varphi(u, 0, v) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(v, -v, -v). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we define $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &:= \text{the real part of } x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}; \\ \tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) &:= \varphi(g(x), g(y), g(z)) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain the desired example by defining $\tilde{f} := f \circ g$.

The following result is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.1 by letting $\varphi \equiv 0$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex normed space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$. Then a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional inequality (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$ if and only if f is additive.*

Proof. If f is additive, then (1.5) holds for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Conversely, suppose that f satisfies (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$. Proposition 3.1(2) shows that

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

To show that f is additive, let $x, y \in X$ be given. We can easily see that

$$2f(x+y) = f((x+y) + (x-y)) + f((x+y) - (x-y)) = f(2x) + f(2y) = 2(f(x) + f(y)).$$

Hence, f is additive. \square

REMARK 3.5. According to Corollary 3.4, we have the following observations.

- (1) Our assumption $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$ is more general than their original assumption $|\alpha| + |\beta| < 1$. Moreover, this is a *strict* generalization. In fact, let $\alpha := \frac{1}{4}$ and $\beta := 2$. Then $4|\alpha| + |\beta| = 3 < 4$ but $|\alpha| + |\beta| = \frac{9}{4} > 1$.
- (2) The assumption $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$ is *best possible* in the sense that: If $4|\alpha| + |\beta| = 4$, then there exists $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that f satisfies (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ but f is not additive. Let α, β be such that $4|\alpha| + |\beta| = 4$. We define $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f(x) := 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Then f satisfies (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and f is not additive.

To discuss Theorem SJPL2, we recall the stability result proposed by Forti [6] which will be used later in the following two subsections.

THEOREM F. [6] *Let X be a vector space and $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$ be a complex Banach space. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y, g : Y \rightarrow Y, h : X \rightarrow X, \delta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the following two inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(g \circ f \circ h)(x) - f(x)\| &\leq \delta(x) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X; \\ \|g(u) - g(v)\| &\leq \phi(\|u - v\|) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

If ϕ is non-decreasing subadditive, g is continuous, and $\Phi(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(\delta(h^n(x))) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$, then the mapping $F : X \rightarrow Y$, determined by

$$F(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g^n \circ f \circ h^n)(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

is well-defined and it is the unique mapping such that $g \circ F \circ h = F$ and

$$\|F(x) - f(x)\| \leq \Phi(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

3.1. Some remarks on Theorem SPJL2(a)

Theorem SJPL2(a) with $\alpha = \beta := 0$ is not true as shown in the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined as in Example 2.1. Obviously that f and φ satisfy (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, it is not hard to see that φ satisfies Theorem SJPL2(a) since

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{4^n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)}{2^n} < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, we note here that

$$\varphi(x, 0, x) = \left| (\sqrt{2} - 4)\sqrt{|x|} + 4 \right| = \varphi(-x, 0, x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we suppose that there exists a Drygas mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying

$$|\mathcal{D}(x) - f(x) - f(-x)| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 0, x)}{4} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x)}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.3}$$

We show that

$$\left| 2\sqrt{|x|} - 2 \right| = |f(x) + f(-x)| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x)}{2} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.4}$$

To prove this, we show that $\mathcal{D} \equiv \mathbf{0}$. Since \mathcal{D} is Drygas, there exist a quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and an additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathcal{D}(x) = \mathcal{Q}(x) + \mathcal{A}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [3, Corollary 3]). Now, let $x \in \mathbb{C}$ be given. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we see from (3.3) that

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{D}(2^k x)}{4^k} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{4^k} - \frac{f(-2^k x)}{4^k} \right| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(2^k x, 0, 2^k x)}{2 \cdot 4^k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 0, 2^n x)}{4^n}. \tag{3.5}$$

We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^k x)}{4^k} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{k/2} \sqrt{|x|} - 1}{4^k} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(-2^k x)}{4^k} = 0; \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 0, 2^n x)}{4^n} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}(2^k x)}{4^k} = 0$. It follows that

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{D}(2^k x)}{4^k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}(2^k x)}{4^k} + \frac{\mathcal{A}(2^k x)}{4^k} \right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{Q}(x) + \frac{\mathcal{A}(x)}{2^k} \right) = \mathcal{Q}(x).$$

Hence, $\mathcal{D}(x) = \mathcal{A}(x)$. It follows from (3.3) and the evenness of f that

$$|\mathcal{A}(x) - 2f(x)| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x)}{2}. \tag{3.6}$$

Next, we show that $\mathcal{A}(x) = 0$. It follows from the direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{k/2} \sqrt{|x|} - 1}{2^k} = 0; \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(2^k x, 0, 2^k x)}{2^k} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{n+k} x, 0, 2^{n+k} x)}{2^k \cdot 4^n} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 0, 2^n x)}{2^n} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$|\mathcal{A}(x)| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\mathcal{A}(2^k x)}{2^k} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{2^k} \right| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(2^k x, 0, 2^k x)}{2 \cdot 2^k} = 0.$$

So, we have that $\mathcal{A}(x) = 0$. Hence, we prove (3.4).

By letting $x_0 := (\sqrt{2} + 4)^2$, we see from (3.2) that

$$\varphi(2^n x_0, 0, 2^n x_0) = \left| 2^{n/2}(\sqrt{2} - 4)(\sqrt{2} + 4) + 4 \right| = 14 \cdot 2^{n/2} - 4 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, 0, x_0) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x_0, 0, 2^n x_0)}{4^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{14}{2^{3n/2}} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4}{4^n} = \frac{32 + 12\sqrt{2}}{3}.$$

One can see that

$$\left| 2(\sqrt{2} + 4) - 2 \right| = 6 + 2\sqrt{2} = \frac{18 + 6\sqrt{2}}{3} > \frac{16 + 6\sqrt{2}}{3} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x_0, 0, x_0)}{2},$$

which contradicts to (3.6). Hence, the conclusion of Theorem SJPL2(a) does not hold.

The following example illustrates that the *uniqueness part* of Theorem SJPL2(a) is *not true* although we assume additionally that $\varphi(0, 0, 0) = 0$.

EXAMPLE 3.7. Let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &:= x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{C}; \\ \varphi(x, y, z) &:= |x| + |y| + |z| \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$, we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} &|f(x + y + z) + f(x + y - z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(z) - f(-z)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |f(x + y - z) + f(x - y + z) - 2f(x) - f(y) - f(-y) - f(z) - f(-z)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} |f(x + y + z) - f(x + z) - f(y)| + \varphi(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that f and φ satisfy (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha := \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta := \frac{1}{4}$. We also see that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k y, 2^k z) = 2\varphi(x, y, z).$$

Hence, φ satisfies the assumption (a) of Theorem SJPL2. Obviously that the Drygas mappings $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\mathcal{D}_1(x) := x$ and $\mathcal{D}_2(x) := 2x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$, satisfy

$$|\mathcal{D}_i(x) - f(x) - f(-x)| \leq 4|x| = \frac{(4|x|) + (4|x|)}{4(1 - \frac{1}{2})} = \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 0, x)}{4(1 - |\alpha|)}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$.

According to Theorem SJPL2(a) and Examples 3.6, 3.7, we present the following result.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex Banach space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$. If φ satisfies $\varphi(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and the assumption (a) of*

Theorem SJPL2, then the zero mapping $\mathbf{0} : X \rightarrow Y$ (that is, $\mathbf{0}(x) := 0$ for all $x \in X$) is the unique quadratic mapping such that

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| = \|\mathbf{0}(x) - f(x) - f(-x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 0, x)}{4(1 - |\alpha|)}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. For each $x \in X$, we first define a sequence $(Q_n(x))_{n=0}^\infty$ by

$$Q_n(x) := \frac{1}{4^n}(f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Sun *et al.* in [21] proved that such a sequence converges and hence we can define $\mathcal{Q} : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n}(f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)).$$

Moreover, they also proved that \mathcal{Q} is a Drygas mapping that satisfies

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(x) - f(x) - f(-x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 0, x)}{4(1 - |\alpha|)}.$$

Next, we show that $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \mathbf{0}$. To prove this, let $x, y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. It follows from Proposition 3.1(2) that there exists a real number K which fulfills the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Q_n(x+y) + Q_n(x-y) - 2Q_n(x)\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4^n} \|f(2^n x + 2^n y) + f(2^n x - 2^n y) - 2f(2^n x)\| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4^n} \|f(-2^n x - 2^n y) + f(-2^n x + 2^n y) - 2f(-2^n x)\| \\ & \leq \frac{K}{4^n} (\varphi(2^n x, 0, 2^n y) + \varphi(2^n y, -2^n y, -2^n y) + \varphi(-2^n x, 0, -2^n y) + \varphi(-2^n y, 2^n y, 2^n y)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the condition (a) of Theorem SJPL2 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\pm 2^n x, 0, \pm 2^n y)}{4^n} = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\pm 2^n y, \mp 2^n y, \mp 2^n y)}{4^n}.$$

One gets that $\mathcal{Q}(x+y) + \mathcal{Q}(x-y) = 2\mathcal{Q}(x)$. This means that \mathcal{Q} is additive (see the proof of Corollary 3.4). Since \mathcal{Q} is even and additive, we can conclude that $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \mathbf{0}$.

Finally, we prove the uniqueness part. Suppose that there exists a quadratic mapping $\mathcal{Q}' : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{Q}'(x) - f(x) - f(-x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 0, x)}{4(1 - |\alpha|)} \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $x \in X$ be given. By using (3.7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}'(x) - \mathbf{0}(x)\| &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathcal{Q}'(x) - \mathcal{Q}_k(x) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{Q}'(2^k x)}{4^k} - \frac{f(2^k x)}{4^k} - \frac{f(-2^k x)}{4^k} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4(1 - |\alpha|)} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(2^k x, 0, 2^k x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-2^k x, 0, 2^k x)}{4^k} \\ &= \frac{1}{4(1 - |\alpha|)} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, 0, 2^n x)}{4^n} + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(-2^n x, 0, 2^n x)}{4^n} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{Q}'(x) = \mathbf{0}(x) = 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

REMARK 3.9. Proposition 3.1(1) tells us that the even part of f is also approximately zero as Proposition 3.8. In fact, the mapping f satisfies

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - |\alpha|)} \min\{\varphi(x, -x, -x), \varphi(-x, x, x)\} \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

The inequality above provides another stability of the even part of functions satisfying (1.5). Comparing the result of Proposition 3.8 to Proposition 3.1, we see that the completeness of Y and the assumption (a) of Theorem SJPL2 are not necessary.

By using Proposition 3.1(2) and Theorem F, we improve Theorem SJPL2(a) as follows.

THEOREM 3.10. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex Banach space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$. If $\varphi(0, 0, 0) = 0$ and the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $\Phi_1(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 0, 2^n x) < \infty$ and $\Phi_2(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^n x, -2^n x, -2^n x) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$;
- (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,

then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\Phi_1(x)}{2(1 - |\alpha|)} + \frac{1 + |\alpha|}{4(1 - |\alpha|)^2} \Phi_2(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Proposition 3.1(2) shows that f satisfies

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)\| \leq \frac{\varphi(x, 0, y)}{1 - |\alpha|} + \frac{1 + |\alpha|}{2(1 - |\alpha|)^2} \varphi(y, -y, -y) \tag{3.9}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. We define $\delta : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\delta(x) := \frac{\varphi(x, 0, x)}{1 - |\alpha|} + \frac{1 + |\alpha|}{2(1 - |\alpha|)^2} \varphi(x, -x, -x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

By letting $x = y$ in (3.9), we have that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x)\| \leq \delta(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \tag{3.10}$$

or equivalently,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

We define $g : Y \rightarrow Y$, $h : X \rightarrow X$, and $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $g(u) := u/2$ for all $u \in Y$, $h(x) := 2x$ for all $x \in X$, and $\phi(t) := t/2$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(g \circ f \circ h)(x) - f(x)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2}f(2x) - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X; \\ \|g(u) - g(v)\| &= \frac{1}{2}\|u - v\| = \phi(\|u - v\|) \quad \text{for all } u, v \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, ϕ is non-decreasing subadditive and g is continuous. Note that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(\delta(h^n(x))) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \delta(2^n x) = \frac{\Phi_1(x)}{2(1 - |\alpha|)} + \frac{1 + |\alpha|}{4(1 - |\alpha|)^2} \Phi_2(x) < \infty$$

for all $x \in X$. Theorem F asserts that the mapping $\mathcal{A} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g^n \circ f \circ h^n$ exists and is the unique mapping such that $\mathcal{A}(2x) = 2\mathcal{A}(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and (3.8) holds. Note that $\mathcal{A}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$ for all $x \in X$. Next, we prove that \mathcal{A} is additive. To see this, let $x, y \in X$ be given. We see from (3.9) and Condition (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{A}(x+y) + \mathcal{A}(x-y) - 2\mathcal{A}(x)\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|f(2^n x + 2^n y) + f(2^n x - 2^n y) - 2f(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \left(\frac{\varphi(2^n x, 0, 2^n y)}{1 - |\alpha|} + \frac{1 + |\alpha|}{2(1 - |\alpha|)^2} \varphi(2^n y, -2^n y, -2^n y) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathcal{A} is additive as desired. Moreover, the uniqueness is obvious. \square

3.2. Some remarks on Theorem SJPL2(b)

Following the same proof of Proposition 3.8, we obtain the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex Banach space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$. If φ satisfies the assumption (b) of Theorem SJPL2, then the zero mapping $\mathbf{0} : X \rightarrow Y$ is the unique quadratic mapping such that*

$$\|f(x) + f(-x)\| = \|\mathbf{0}(x) - f(x) - f(-x)\| \leq \frac{\tilde{\varphi}(x, 0, x) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 0, x)}{4(1 - |\alpha|)}$$

for all $x \in X$.

To improve Theorem SJPL2(b), we present the following stability result which is a consequence of Theorem F. Since the proof follows similarly to that of Theorem 3.10, we omit the proof.

THEOREM 3.12. *Suppose that X is a vector space, Y is a complex Banach space, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $4|\alpha| + |\beta| < 4$. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy (1.5) for all $x, y, z \in X$. If the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $\Phi_1(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^k \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, 0, \frac{x}{2^n}\right) < \infty$ and $\Phi_2(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^k \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{-x}{2^n}, \frac{-x}{2^n}\right) < \infty$ for all $x \in X$;
- (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^k \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,

then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{\Phi_1(x)}{2(1-|\alpha|)} + \frac{1+|\alpha|}{4(1-|\alpha|)^2} \Phi_2(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

4. Final remark

According to Theorems 2.3, 3.10, and 3.12, the following two inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(z) - f(-z)\| \\ & \leq \|\alpha(f(x+y+z) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z))\| \\ & \quad + \|\beta(f(x+y-z) - f(x) - f(y) - f(-z))\| + \varphi(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y+z) + f(x+y-z) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) - f(z) - f(-z)\| \\ & \leq \|\alpha(f(x+y-z) + f(x-y+z) - 2f(x) - f(y) - f(-y) - f(z) - f(-z))\| \\ & \quad + \|\beta(f(x+y+z) - f(x+z) - f(y))\| + \varphi(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

are stable with respect to *additive mappings*. In particular, the name “3-variable double ρ -functional inequalities of Drygas” of the preceding two inequalities is not appropriate.

Acknowledgement. The first author would like to thank the Development and Promotion of Science and Technology talents project (DPST). The second author was supported by National Research Council of Thailand and Khon Kaen University under grant N42A650290, and the Research and Graduate Studies at Khon Kaen University.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. AOKI, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2**, (1950), 157–159.
- [2] H. DRYGAS, *Quasi-inner products and their applications*, 13–30, Theory Decis. Lib Ser. B: Math. Statist. Methods, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.

- [3] B. R. EBANKS, PL. KANNAPPAN, P. K. SAHOO, *A common generalization of functional equations characterizing normed and quasi-inner-product spaces*, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **35**, 3 (1992), 321–327.
- [4] V. A. FAZĪEV, P. K. SAHOO, *On the stability of Drygas functional equation on groups*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, **1**, 1 (2007), 43–55.
- [5] W. FECHNER, *Stability of a functional inequality associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation*, *Aequationes Math.*, **71**, 1–2 (2006), 149–161.
- [6] G. L. FORTI, *Comments on the core of the direct method for proving Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **295**, (2004), 127–133.
- [7] Z. GAJDA, *On stability of additive mappings*, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **14**, 3 (1991), 431–434.
- [8] P. GÄVRUTA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **184**, (1994), 431–436.
- [9] A. GILÁNYI, *On a problem by K. Nikodem*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **5**, 4 (2002), 707–710.
- [10] D. H. HYERS, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **27**, (1941), 222–224.
- [11] S. M. JUNG, K. P. SAHOO, *Stability of a functional equation of Drygas*, *Aequationes Math.*, **64**, 3 (2002), 263–273.
- [12] B. KHOSRAVI, M. MOGHIMI, A. NAJATI, *Asymptotic aspect of Drygas, quadratic and Jensen functional equations in metric abelian groups*, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **155**, 2 (2018), 248–265.
- [13] G. H. KIM, *Addendum to ‘On the stability of functional equations on square-symmetric groupoid’*, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **62**, (2005), 365–381.
- [14] I. C. KIM AND G. J. GIL, *Stability of Drygas type functional equations with involution in non-Archimedean Banach spaces by fixed point method*, *J. Appl. Math. Inform.*, **34**, 5–6 (2016), 509–517.
- [15] G. LU, Q. LIU, Y. JIN, J. XIE, *3-variable Jensen ρ -functional inequalities and equations*, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9**, 12 (2016), 5995–6003.
- [16] C. PARK, *Additive ρ -functional inequalities and equations*, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **9**, 1 (2015), 17–26.
- [17] C. PARK, *Additive ρ -functional inequalities in non-Archimedean normed spaces*, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **9**, 2 (2015), 397–407.
- [18] C. PARK, Y. CHO, M. HAN, *Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, (2007), Art. ID 41820.
- [19] C. PARK, Y. JIN, X. ZHANG, *Bi-additive s -functional inequalities and quasi-multipliers on Banach algebras*, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **49**, 2 (2019), 593–607.
- [20] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **72**, (1978), 297–300.
- [21] W. SUN, Y. JIN, C. PARK, G. LU, *3-variable double ρ -functional inequalities of Drygas*, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **13**, 4 (2019), 1235–1244.
- [22] S. M. ULAM, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Science ed., Wiley, New York, 1940.

(Received November 18, 2022)

Jedsada Senasukh
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University
 Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
 e-mail: senasukh@kkumail.com

Satit Saejung
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University
 Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
 and
 Research Center for Environmental and Hazardous
 Substance Management (EHSM)
 Khon Kaen University
 Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand
 e-mail: saejung@kku.ac.th